

Numerical Simulation of Black Oil-three Compound Combination Flooding

Yirang Yuan¹, Aijie Cheng¹, Danping Yang¹ & Changfeng Li^{1,2}

¹ School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, China

² School of Economics, Shandong University, Jinan, China

Correspondence: Yirang Yuan, School of Mathematics, Shandong University, Jinan, Shandanalu 27, China. Tel: 86-531-8836-4732. E-mail: yryuan@sdu.edu.cn

Received: July 28, 2014 Accepted: September 9, 2014 Online Published: October 25, 2014

doi:10.5539/ijc.v6n4p38

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ijc.v6n4p38>

The research is financed by the National Tackling Key Project (Grant Nos: 2011ZX05011-004, 2011ZX05052, 20050200069), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant Nos: 11101244, 11271231, 10771124, 10372052), National Doctoral Foundation (Grant No: 20030422047) and Natural Science Foundation of Shandong Province (Grant No: ZR2011AM015)

Abstract

Numerical methods of permeation fluid mechanics for black oil (water, oil and gas)--three compound combination flooding (polymer, surface active agent and alkali) in porous media is discussed in this paper. In view of petroleum geology, geochemistry, computational mechanics of flow and computer technology, a mechanics model of three-phase flow (water, oil and gas)--three compound combination flooding (the polymer, surface active agent and alkali) is presented firstly, then the characters and the application are stated in this paper. A numerical algorithm consisting of a full implicit program, an implicit computation for the pressure and an implicit/explicit program respective for the pressure and the concentration is given by structuring an upstream sequence and an iterative algorithm of implicit fined upwind fractional step finite difference to solve the pressure equation, the saturation equation and the concentration of chemical substance components and the petroleum acid concentration equation. This program runs quickly and is of high accuracy. The design of this software is given and can be applied in major industries, which is made up on ten-meters steps, hundreds of thousands nodes and tens of years and has been carried out successfully in analysis and simulation of national major oil-fields extraction such as Daqing Oilfield, Shengli Oilfield and Dagang Oilfield and others, which gives rise to outstanding economic and social benefits. A precise analysis is given for a simplified model and the numerical simulation system depends on mathematics and mechanics. An idea is presented to solve this international famous problem.

Keywords: three compound combination flooding (the polymer, surface active agent, alkali), permeation fluid mechanics of black oil (water, oil and gas), model and numerical simulation, actual application of oil fields, theoretical analysis

1. Introduction

A popular numerical simulation way, water flooding, is applied in the world to keep the reservoir pressure, and the recovery efficiency is more outstanding than any other natural exploring forms. This gives more benefits and helps Chinese oil fields keep high quantity production. It continues to be more important how a strategic project works to develop the exploiting efficiency of crude oil in the way of water-flooding driving.

There remains plenty of residual crude oil in the reservoir after water-flooding exploiting because the constraint of capillary force weakens the motion and the volume of influenced regions is small due to the disadvantageous fluidity ratio between displacement phase and driven phase. Then it is more important to develop the displacement efficiency. A popular method is considered that the mixture is injected into the underground fluid including chemical addition agents such as polymer, surface active agent and alkali. The polymer can optimize the fluidity of displacement phase, modify the ratio with respect to driven phases, balance the leading edges well, weaken the inner porous layer flow, improve the efficiency of displacement phase and increase the pressure

gradient. Surface active agent and alkali can decrease interfacial tensions of different phases, then make the bounded oil move and gather.

Some hypotheses are given as follows to find the mathematical models. The mixture fluid flows along isothermal curves, different phases keep equilibrium state, different components have no chemical reaction and expanded Darcy Theory holds and so on. In view of the pressure $p(x,t)$ and the saturation $c_i(x,t)$, the flow equation and convection-diffusion equations are derived with corresponding boundary value conditions and initial conditions.

The mass balance relation of multi-phase, multi-components and slight compressible mixture is formulated by a nonlinear coupled partial differential equations. It is hard to solve this system because many modern numerical methods such as mixed element, finite element, finite difference and numerical algebra, will be involved in the simulation. In general speaking, based on physical meanings the pressure function is solved by an implicit scheme and the concentration values are obtained by an explicit solver or an implicit solver. The scholars try to find good ways analyzing the data and numerical results and accomplishing some research work in simulation, and the results can describe the whole process of chemistry displacements very well and help the engineers control the rules and process of displacement and forecast the recovery efficiency of natural oil and compute the oil percentage of output liquid and the percent of polymer and surface active agent. By numerical research the curves describing different components motion are shown, and some plans are made about the beginning and end of injected liquid and some related parameters of natural oil efficiency are derived. These conclusions, important techniques in chemistry displacements, can be used in forecasting the characters of fields, choosing different optimization plans, establishing the models of chemical displacements of reservoir, completing computational software and carrying out the numerical simulation. Petroleum engineers and mathematicians pay more attention to modern new techniques of exploiting natural oil.

Yuan visited United States and accomplished some work cooperate with Prof. R. E. Ewing during 1985 to 1988, and kept a series of research in theoretical analysis and applications of numerical simulation. Yuan and his research group (1993,1993,1994) undertake some important projects from 1991 to 1995 such as "Eighth-Five" national key science and technology program (the Program for Tackling Key Programs) (85-203- 01-087) entitled "research and application of the polymer displacement software"¹. The software has been applied in designing plan and research work of polymer displacements in industrial production region of Daqing Oilfield. Many conclusions from actual numerical results are illustrated by Yuan, Yang and Qi (1998,2000) such as effects of fragments, fragments setting of rinsing protection, quantity of polymer, and used in actual simulations which give rise to outstanding economic and social benefits². Later the authors undertook a key tackling program of Daqing Petroleum Administration Bureau (DQYJ-1201002-2006-JS-9565)---solving development of mathematical models and completing explain of reservoir³. This software system is also applied in numerical simulation of the polymer displacement of Zaobei fault block of Daqing Oilfield, optimization of designing plan of expanded experimental area of three compound combination flooding of Gudong Little Well experimental region of Shengli Oilfield, polymer flooding of Gudong Middle One experimental region, Gudong West region and feasibility of active water flooding of Gudong eighth region, and many interesting results are obtained⁴. In recent years the research group finishes the successive key tackling project of Daqing Petroleum Administration Bureau (DQYJ-1201002-2009-JS-1077)--research on alkali flooding principle model of chemical displacement simulator and horizontal wells model structuring and solving methods⁵ and presents many important results.

¹ Institute of Mathematics, Shandong University, Exploration Institute of Daqing Petroleum Administration. Research and application of the polymer flooding software (summary of "Eighth-Five" national key science and technology program, Grant No. 85-203-01-08), 1995.10.

² China National Petroleum Corporation. Evaluation report of executive condition of "Eighth-Five" national key science and technology program (Grant no. 85-203-01-08). 1995.10.

³ Institute of Mathematics, Shandong University, Exploration and development of Daqing Petroleum Corporation. Modification of solving mathematical models of the polymer and improvement of reservoir description. 2006.

⁴ Institute of Mathematics, Shandong University, Shengli Oilfield Branch, China Petroleum & Chemical. Research on key technology of high temperature and high salinity chemical agent displacement, Chapter 4, § 4.1 Numerical method, 83-106. 2011.3.

⁵ Institute of Shandong University, Exploration and development of Daqing Petroleum Corporation. Research on alkali flooding principle model of chemical displacement simulator and horizontal wells model structuring

This paper concludes the former research and discusses proceeding analysis, mainly consisting of permeation fluid mechanical mathematical models of numerical simulation of black oil--three compound combination flooding in porous media, numerical methods, applicable software structuring, theoretical analysis and applications of actual oilfields.

2. Permeation Fluid Mechanical Model of Black Oil--three Compound Combination Displacement

The numerical simulation program of black oil model is shown based on the following factors: three phases (oil, gas and water) in the reservoir, oil-component and solution gas-component of the oil phase, water-component of the water phase, gas-component of the gas phase and the exchange of gas-component between the oil phase and the gas phase because of the changing pressure. An improved black-oil model is given to simulate the displacement process of three compound combinations (polymer, surface active agent and alkali) and a new simulation system is made for three compound combinations by considering the black oil module and the chemical reaction balance equation, and revising and adding three compound combinations module.

The oil, water and gas three phases are considered in the system, where the oil phase consists of oil component and solution gas component, the water phase includes water component, the chemical agent component includes polymer, surface active agent, petroleum acid and so on, and the gas phase only has gas component. Petroleum acid is contained in the water phase and oil phase and the other chemical components are only in the water phase. The exchange of gas components occurs between the oil phase and the gas phase when the pressure of neighbor environments changes. The solving system consists of three basic modules: the program for solving the three-phase flow, the program for solving the component equations and the program for solving the chemical balance equation.

The solving module of three phases inherits a partial algorithm of the black oil model. The water viscosity is a variable in three compound combinations displacement because of the chemical agent component but a constant in the black oil model. Additional design structure and algorithm should be compatible with the black oil model for solving the components equation and chemical reaction balance equation, and some computational programs are modified for solving the system to satisfy engineering applications of wedge-out area, fault and edge-bottom water.

Then two different algorithms are presented in this paper.

(I) Full implicit algorithm (FIA). It is one of the most dependable finite differences to compute the values of variables implicitly such as the pressure, the water saturation, the gas saturation and the ratio of solution gas and oil. More computational time is spent for a full implicit scheme to complete each extrapolation iterative. The full implicit scheme proceeds more stable than an implicit/explicit algorithm for the pressure and saturation (IEAFPS) which is invalid sometimes because of strong stability conditions, so a large time step is introduced to decrease the total simulation cost.

(II) Implicit/explicit algorithm for the pressure and saturation (IEAFPS). This algorithm means a coupled numerical system consisting of an implicit scheme for solving the pressure and an explicit scheme for solving the saturation dependent on an assumption: no distinct flow of the fluid occurs though the saturation varies during one time step. Under this hypothesis the unknown saturation variable of discretized convection equation can canceled and the pressure is computed coupled implicitly only at different iterations. The values of saturation is updated point by point explicitly once the pressure change rules are determined during one iteration process. While the IEAFPS is unstable as the saturation changes more large with respect to time step. This implicit/explicit algorithm is really efficient as the time step is taken sufficiently small to decrease the rangeability (the relative rangeability usually is 5%).

Let "w" and "o" denote the water phase and the oil phase of water-oil two phases problem, whose mathematical model of permeation fluid mechanics is stated as follows (see Ewing, Yuan, & Li, 1989; Yuan, 2013; Yuan, Yang, & Qi, 1998; Yuan, 2000):

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\lambda_l \left(\frac{\partial p_l}{\partial x} - \gamma_l \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\phi \frac{\partial S_l}{\partial B_l} \right) - q_l, l = w, o; \quad (1a)$$

$$p_c = p_o - p_w, S_o + S_w = 1.0. \quad (1b)$$

Let "w", "o" and "g" respectively denote the water phase, the oil phase and the gas phase, and the mathematical model of three phases permeation fluid mechanics is followed¹²³⁴⁵,

$$\begin{cases} \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\lambda_w \left(\frac{\partial p_w}{\partial x} - \gamma_w \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\phi \frac{\partial S_w}{\partial B_w} \right) - q_w, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\lambda_o \left(\frac{\partial p_o}{\partial x} - \gamma_o \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\phi \frac{\partial S_o}{\partial B_o} \right) - q_o, \\ \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[R_s \lambda_o \left(\frac{\partial p_o}{\partial x} - \gamma_o \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \right] + \frac{\partial}{\partial x} \left[\lambda_g \left(\frac{\partial p_g}{\partial x} - \gamma_g \frac{\partial z}{\partial x} \right) \right] = \frac{\partial}{\partial t} \left(\phi R_s \frac{1 - S_w - S_g}{\partial B_o} + \phi \frac{\partial S_g}{\partial B_o} \right) - R_s q_o - q_g; \end{cases} \quad (2a)$$

$$p_o - p_w = p_{cow}, p_g - p_o = p_{cog}, \quad (2b)$$

$$\lambda_l = \frac{KK_{rl}}{\mu_l B_l}, l = w, o, g, \gamma_l = \rho_l g, \quad (2c)$$

$$u_l = \lambda_l (\nabla p_l - \gamma_l \nabla z), l = w, o, g. \quad (2d)$$

where ϕ means the porosity, p_l is the pressure of l -phase, S_l is the concentration of l -phase, K is the absolute permeability, B_l is the volume factor of l -phase, K_{rl} is the relative permeability of l -phase, μ_l is the viscosity of l -phase, ρ_l is the density of l -phase, R_s is the ratio of solution gas and oil, and q_l is the source sink term of l -phase (floor condition).

The viscosity of water phase μ_w is a constant of black oil model, while the viscosity is a function with respect to the density of polymer of black oil-polymer displacement, $\mu_w = \mu_w(C_{pw})$, where C_{pw} denotes the concentration of polymer relative to water. The compound combination flooding components move in water, the concentration affects in turn the viscosity field of the water phase, then influences the flow of the three phase fluid accompanying the motion of components. The coupled algorithm runs consistent with the nonlinear coupled system consisting of a convection-diffusion equation of the polymer and the mathematical model of black oil. At a time step the motion values of three phases are computed first, the flow field is obtained, then the solution of three compound combination flooding by solving a convection diffusion equation are gotten, then the viscosity field of water phase is updated. Then the computation proceeds at the next time step.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t} (\phi S_w C) + \text{div} (C u_w - \phi S_w K \nabla C) = Q, \quad (2e)$$

$$\mu_w = \mu_w(C). \quad (2f)$$

Surface active agents can change interfacial tension, thus increase capillary number, then decrease the residual oil saturability. The curve of relative permeability is updated by an interpolation of the relative permeability curves of lower capillary number and upper capillary number.

The primary principle of alkaline flooding is stated as follows. The alkali is injected into the fluid and surface active agents arise because of a chemical reaction of the alkali and petroleum acid. These agents can decrease interfacial tension of the fluid and reduce bottom oil. Petroleum acid components exist both water phase and oil phase, so mass transfer takes place between different phases. An assumption is given that the petroleum acids in water and oil can level off instantaneously, and the equation of total concentration of petroleum acid (a type of convection) is stated.

$$\frac{\partial (C_{totHA})}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot (u_w C_{HA_w} - \phi S_w \bar{K}_{HA_w} \nabla C_{HA_w}) + \nabla \cdot (u_o C_{HA_o} - \phi S_o \bar{K}_{HA_o} \nabla C_{HA_o}) = q_w C_{HA_w} + q_o C_{HA_o}, \quad (2g)$$

where \bar{K}_{HA_w} and \bar{K}_{HA_o} denote petroleum acid dispersion tensors (including molecular diffusion and dispersion) of water phase and oil phase, respectively. The total concentration C_{totHA} is defined as follows

$$C_{totHA} = \frac{S_w C_{HA_w}}{B_w} + \frac{S_o C_{HA_o}}{B_o}. \quad (2h)$$

Eqs. (1a), (1b), (2e), (2f), (2g) and (2h) give a full description of the mathematical model of water and oil two-phase polymer displacement, and eqs. (2a), (2b), (2c), (2d), (2e), (2f), (2g) and (2h) represent the full

explanation of the mathematical model of water-oil-gas three phases polymer displacement.

The computation of the black oil-polymer displacement model runs in the following steps:

Step 1. At the time level t^1 , solve the pressure and saturation, then solve the concentrations of different chemical components.

Step 2. Correct the viscosity of water phase dependent on the concentration.

Step 3. At the time level t^2 , solve the pressure and saturation, then solve the concentrations of different chemical components.

Step 4. Correct the viscosity of water phase dependent on the concentration.

.....

In a similar way the pressure and saturation of the $(n+1)$ th time step t^{n+1} are obtained, the concentration of different chemical components at t^{n+1} is computed, then the viscosity of water phase is corrected according to the concentration.

.....

The program ends.

3. Numerical Methods

Two different numerical methods are presented as follows.

3.1 Full Implicit Numerical Method of the Three Phase Flow

It aims to cancel some spare unknown variables and compute only three unknown variables, generally meaning the pressure of oil phase, the concentrations of water and gas phases. All the values of the left side of the equation such as the pressure, the saturation, the quantity, the relative permeability, the capillary force and other parameters are replaced by the latest numerical values during implicit computations. The resulting implicit difference is a nonlinear algebraic equations solved by iteration and its computation scale is more rich seven times the implicit/explicit method of one iteration (outer iteration). Because the full implicit method is unconditionally stable, it is applied to compute some difficult and complicated problems such as black oil simulation. In this paper let $\bar{\delta}$ denote the difference of a function between the n -th time level and the $(n+1)$ -th level and let δ be the difference during a time step by one iteration such as from the k -th iteration to the $(k+1)$ -th iteration.

$$\bar{\delta}f = f^{n+1} - f^n, \quad \delta f = f^{k+1} - f^k, \quad \bar{\delta}f \approx f^{k+1} - f^n = f^k + \delta f - f^n.$$

Applying Euler backwards finite difference method for eq. (2),

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\{ (\Delta T_l \Delta(p_l - \gamma_l z))^{n+1} + \omega \left[\Delta(T_o R_s \Delta(p_o - \gamma_o z))^{n+1} + (q_o R_s)^{n+1} \right] \right\}_i \\ &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \left\{ \left(\phi \frac{S_l}{B_l} \right) + \omega \left(\frac{1}{B_o} R_s S_o \right) \right\}_i^{n+1} - q_{li}^{n+1}, l = w, o, g, \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

where $\omega = 1$ as $l=w$ and $\omega = 0$ as $l=o, g$, and $V_b = \Delta x \Delta y \Delta z$.

Then a full implicit finite difference algorithm for the black oil model is derived as follows

$$\Delta T_l^{n+1} \Delta \Phi_l^{n+1} + q_l^{n+1} + \omega \left[\Delta(T_o R_s \Delta \Phi_o)^{n+1} + (q_o R_s)^{n+1} \right] = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \bar{\delta} [\phi b_l S_l + \omega(b_o R_s S_o)], l = w, o, g, \quad (4)$$

where $b_l = 1/B_l$ and $\Phi_l = p_l - \gamma_l D$. Rewrite the above expression as follows by using an operator δ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(T_l^k + \delta T_l) \Delta(\Phi_l^k + \delta \Phi_l) + q_l^k + \delta q_l + \omega \left\{ \left[\Delta(T_o R_s)^k + \delta(T_o R_s) \right] \left[\Delta(\Phi_o^k + \delta \Phi_o) + (q_o R_s)^k + \delta(q_o R_s) \right] \right\} \\ &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \left\{ [\phi b_l S_l + \omega(b_o R_s S_o)]^k + \delta [\phi b_l S_l + \omega(\phi b_o R_s S_o)] - [\phi b_l S_l + \omega(\phi b_o R_s S_o)]^n \right\}, l = w, o, g. \end{aligned}$$

Give an expansion of the above equation and omit quadratic terms, and the remainder after the k -th iteration is

$$\begin{aligned} R_l^k &\equiv \Delta T_l^k \Delta \Phi_l^k + q_l^k + \omega \left[\Delta(T_o R_s)^k \Delta \Phi_o^k + (q_o R_s)^k \right] \\ &- \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \left\{ [\phi b_l S_l + \omega(b_o R_s S_o)]^k - [\phi b_l S_l + \omega(\phi b_o R_s S_o)]^n \right\}, l = w, o, g. \end{aligned}$$

Continue to express the above equation with a remainder term as,

$$\begin{aligned} & \Delta(\delta T_l) \Delta \Phi_l^k + \Delta T_l^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_l) + \delta q_l + \omega \left[\Delta \delta (T_o R_s) \Delta \Phi_o^k + \Delta (T_o R_s)^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_o) + \delta (q_o R_s) \right] \\ &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \delta [\phi b_l S_l + \omega (\phi b_o R_s S_o)] - R_l^k. \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

The iterations are convergent as $R_l^k \rightarrow 0$ for $l=w, o, g$ and $k=1, 2, \dots$. A resulting formulation is

$$RHS_l = C_{l1} \delta p_o + C_{l2} \delta S_w + C_{l3} \delta S_g - R_l^k, l = w, o, g. \quad (6)$$

First, the equation is turned into a linearized expansion. Solving variables are denoted by δp_o , δS_w and δS_g , and the right side term is considered later.

Notice that $\delta(ab) = a^{k+1} \delta b + b^k \delta a$ and consider the right side term of the water phase equation,

$$RHS_w = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \delta(\phi b_w S_w) - R_w^k = C_{w1} \delta p_o + C_{w2} \delta S_w + C_{w3} \delta S_g - R_w^k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{w1} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} S_w^k (\phi^{k+1} b'_w + b_w^k \phi'), C_{w2} = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \phi^{k+1} (b_w^{k+1} - S^k b'_w p'_{cwo}), C_{w3} = 0, \\ R_w^k &= \Delta T_w^k \Delta \Phi_w^k + q_w^k - \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} [(\phi b_w S_w)^k - (\phi b_w S_w)^n]. \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

Consider the right side term of oil phase equation,

$$RHS_o = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \delta(\phi b_o S_o) - R_o^k = C_{o1} \delta p_o + C_{o2} \delta S_w + C_{o3} \delta S_g - R_o^k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{o1} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} S_o^k (\phi^{k+1} b'_o + b_o^k \phi'), C_{o2} = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \phi^{k+1} (\phi b_o)^{k+1}, C_{o3} = -\frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \phi^{k+1} (\phi b_o)^{k+1}, \\ R_o^k &= \Delta T_o^k \Delta \Phi_o^k + q_o^k - \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} [(\phi b_o S_o)^k - (\phi b_o S_o)^n]. \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

For the right side term of the gas phase equation,

$$RHS_g = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \delta [(\phi b_g S_g) + (\phi b_o R_s S_o)] - R_g^k = C_{g1} \delta p_o + C_{g2} \delta S_w + C_{g3} \delta S_g - R_g^k,$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} C_{g1} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \left\{ (b_g S_g + b_o R_s S_o)^k \phi_r C_r + \phi^{k+1} [S_g^k b'_g + S_o^n (R_s^{k+1} b'_o + b_o^k R'_s)] \right\}, \\ C_{g2} &= -\frac{V_b}{\Delta t} (\phi b_o R_s)^{k+1}, C_{g3} = -\frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \phi^{k+1} (b_g^{k+1} + S_g^k b'_g p'_{cgo}) + C_{g2}, \\ R_g^k &= \Delta T_g^k \Delta \Phi_g^k + q_g^k + [\Delta (T_o R_s)^k \Delta \Phi_o^k + (q_o R_s)^k] - \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} \left\{ [(\phi b_g S_g + b_o R_s S_o)]^k - [(\phi b_g S_g + b_o R_s S_o)]^n \right\}. \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

In the above expressions b'_l and ϕ' present the derivatives of volume factor and porosity with respect to the pressure, p'_{cow} denotes the derivative of p_c with respect to S_w and p'_{cgo} is the derivative of p_c with respect to S_g .

Two operators are introduced to discuss the left side term,

$$M_l = \Delta T_l^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_l) + \omega [\Delta (T_o R_s)^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_o)], N_l = \Delta(\delta T_l) \Delta \Phi_l^k + \omega [\Delta \delta (T_o R_s) \Delta \Phi_o^k].$$

Then,

$$M_l + N_l + \delta q_l + \omega \delta (q_o R_s) = RHS_l, l = w, o, g. \quad (10)$$

Here an expansion of M_l is only considered. For water phase,

$$M_w = \Delta T_w^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_w) \approx \Delta T_w^k \Delta(\delta p) - \Delta T_w^k \Delta(p'_{cwo} \delta S_w),$$

for oil phase,

$$M_o = \Delta T_o^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_o) \approx \Delta T_o^k \Delta[\delta(p - \gamma_o D)] \approx \Delta T_o^k \Delta(\delta p),$$

and for gas phase,

$$M_g = \Delta T_g^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_g) + \Delta (T_o R_s)^k \Delta(\delta \Phi_o) \approx \Delta (T_g + T_o R_s)^k \Delta(\delta p) + \Delta T_g^k \Delta(p'_{cgo} \delta S_g).$$

The values of conductivity coefficient appearing in two-order difference operator are given according to upstream rule, and let i_+ and i_- denote the upstream nodes between the i -th node and the $(i+1)$ -th node and between the i -th node and the $(i-1)$ -th node, respectively,

$$\Delta T_l \Delta(\delta f)_i = T_{li}(\delta f_{i+1} - \delta f_i) - T_{li}(\delta f_i - \delta f_{i-1}), l = w, o, g.$$

Substitute the left side and the right side terms into the initial difference equation, and get the algebraic system.

3.2 Implicit/explicit Algorithm for the Pressure and the Saturation

The implicit/explicit method is based on an equation only involved of the pressure by combining the flow equations, and the values of the saturation are obtained explicitly as the pressure at some time level is known.

Discrete difference scheme of eq. (2) is written related with p_o and the saturation,

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta [T_w(\Delta p_o - \Delta p_{cow} - \gamma_w \Delta z)] &= C_{1p} \Delta_t p_w + \sum_i C_{1i} \Delta_t S_i + q_w, \quad \Delta [T_o(\Delta p_o - \gamma_o \Delta z)] = C_{2p} \Delta_t p_o + \sum_i C_{2i} \Delta_t S_i + q_o, \\ \Delta [T_g(\Delta p_o - \Delta p_{cog} - \gamma_g \Delta z)] + \Delta [R_s T_o(\Delta p_o - \gamma_o \Delta z)] &= C_{3p} \Delta_t p_g + \sum_i C_{3i} \Delta_t S_i + R_s q_o + q_g. \end{aligned}$$

A basic hypothesis is assumed for deriving the implicit/explicit scheme that the capillary pressure of the flow term of the left side is a constant number during a time step computation. The values of some terms related of Δp_{cow} and Δp_{cog} at the previous time level can be computed explicitly, and $\Delta_t p_w = \Delta_t p_o = \Delta_t p_g$. The notation p_o is simplified to be replaced by p .

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta [T_w(\Delta p^{n+1} - \Delta p_{cow}^n - \gamma_w \Delta z)] &= C_{1p} \Delta_t p + C_{1w} \Delta_t S_w + q_w, \quad \Delta [T_o(\Delta p^{n+1} - \gamma_o \Delta z)] = C_{2p} \Delta_t p + C_{1o} \Delta_t S_o + q_o, \\ \Delta [T_g(\Delta p^{n+1} - \Delta p_{cog}^n - \gamma_g \Delta z)] + \Delta [R_s T_o(\Delta p^{n+1} - \gamma_o \Delta z)] &= C_{3p} \Delta_t p + C_{3o} \Delta_t S_o + C_{3g} \Delta_t S_g + R_s q_o + q_g. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

The coefficient C is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} C_{1p} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} [(S_w \phi)^n b'_w + S_w^n b_w^{n+1} \phi'], \quad C_{1w} = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} (\phi b_w)^{n+1}, \\ C_{2p} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} [(S_o \phi)^n b'_o + S_o^n b_o^{n+1} \phi'], \quad C_{2o} = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} (\phi b_o)^{n+1}, \\ C_{3p} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} [R_s^n (S_o \phi)^n b'_o + S_o^n b_o^{n+1} \phi'] + S_g^n \phi^n b'_g + S_g^n b_g^{n+1} \phi' + (\phi S_o b_o)^{n+1} R_s', \\ C_{3o} &= \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} [R_s^n (\phi b_o)^{n+1}], \quad C_{3g} = \frac{V_b}{\Delta t} (\phi b_g)^{n+1}. \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

Consider the three equations of (11) together and cancel all the $\Delta_t S_i$ terms by multiplying the water phase equation by A , testing the gas equation by B , and adding three equations. The right term is

$$(AC_{1p} + C_{2p} + BC_{3p}) \Delta_t p + (-AC_{1w} + C_{2o} + BC_{3o}) \Delta_t S_o + (-AC_{1w} + BC_{3p}) \Delta_t S_g.$$

The numbers A and B are defined by the following relations.

$$-AC_{1w} + C_{2o} + BC_{3o} = 0, \quad -AC_{1w} + BC_{3g} = 0.$$

By simple algebraic calculations,

$$B = C_{2o} / (C_{3g} - C_{3o}), \quad A = BC_{3g} / C_{1w}. \quad (13)$$

Then the pressure equation turns into

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta [T_o(\Delta p^{n+1} - \gamma_o \Delta z)] + A \Delta [T_w(\Delta p^{n+1} - \gamma_w \Delta z)] + B \Delta [T_g(\Delta p^{n+1} - \gamma_g \Delta z) + R_s T_o(\Delta p^{n+1} - \gamma_o \Delta z)] \\ = (C_{2p} + AC_{1p} + BC_{3p}) \Delta_t p + A \Delta (T_w \Delta p_{cow}^n) - B \Delta (T_w \Delta p_{cog}^n) + q_o + A q_w + B (R_s q_o + q_g). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

It is a typical finite difference equation from a parabolic type, whose matrix form is

$$Tp^{n+1} = D(p^{n+1} - p^n) + G + Q,$$

where T denotes a tridiagonal matrix and D is a diagonal matrix. The vector G is dependent of gravity and capillary pressure.

Given the pressure, taken in the former two equations of (11), the saturation S_i^{n+1} is obtained explicitly. Then the capillary pressure p_{cow}^{n+1} and p_{cog}^{n+1} are considered, which are used explicitly in next time level.

3.3 Numerical Method for Component Concentration Equations

The components meaning sorts of chemical agents in water phase such as surface active agents, polymer, alkali

and kinds of ions and so on are considered in this paper. The physical nature, conversation of mass, is described by a convection-diffusion equation but convection-dominated. It is more efficient and high order of accuracy to decompose the equation into a hyperbolic equation only related of diffusion and a parabolic equation only related of dispersion. The former is discretized by an implicit upwind scheme and an upstream rule inheriting some advantages of explicit algorithms such as solving the values point by point. The latter is solved by an alternating direction finite difference method, which can accelerate the computation speed and improve the efficiency. For simplicity, the concentration equation of components is rewritten by a typical convection diffusion type.

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial t}(\phi S_w C) + \text{div}(C \mathbf{u}_w - \phi S_w K \nabla C) = Q, \quad (15)$$

where dispersion tensor is a matrix of diagonal type. When the saturation S_w and the flow velocity field \mathbf{u}_w of water phase at t^{n+1} are given, it is to compute the concentration C^{n+1} at the first direction, the second and then the third direction alternatively. C denotes the concentration of any component ignoring the subscript k . A convection equation is discussed by an implicit upwind scheme,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\phi_{ijk}^{n+1} S_w^{n+1} C_{ijk}^{n+1,0} - \phi_{ijk}^n S_w^n C_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} + \frac{C_{i+1,j,k}^{n+1,0} u_{w,ijk}^{n+1} - C_{i,j,k}^{n+1,0} u_{w,i-1,j,k}^{n+1}}{\Delta x} + \frac{C_{ij,k}^{n+1,0} u_{w,ijk}^{n+1} - C_{ij,k}^{n+1,0} u_{w,i,j-1,k}^{n+1}}{\Delta y} \\ & + \frac{C_{ijk+}^{n+1,0} u_{w,ijk}^{n+1} - C_{ijk-}^{n+1,0} u_{w,ijk-1}^{n+1}}{\Delta z} = Q_{ijk}^{n+1}, \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{u}_w = (u_{wx}, u_{wy}, u_{wz})^T$ is a velocity vector. By which $C^{n+1,0}$ is obtained. Then the dispersion equation is discussed alternatively in three directions. First consider x -direction,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\phi_{ijk}^{n+1} S_w^{n+1} C_{ijk}^{n+1,1} - \phi_{ijk}^n S_w^n C_{ijk}^{n+1,0}}{\Delta t} \\ & - \frac{1}{(\Delta x)^2} \left\{ \phi_{i+1/2,j,k}^{n+1} S_{w,i+1/2,j,k}^{n+1} K_{xx,i+1/2,j,k} (C_{i+1,j,k}^{n+1,1} - C_{ijk}^{n+1,1}) - \phi_{i-1/2,j,k}^{n+1} S_{w,i-1/2,j,k}^{n+1} K_{xx,i-1/2,j,k} (C_{ijk}^{n+1,1} - C_{i-1,j,k}^{n+1,1}) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17a)$$

Secondly consider y -direction,

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\phi_{ijk}^{n+1} S_w^{n+1} C_{ijk}^{n+1,2} - \phi_{ijk}^n S_w^n C_{ijk}^{n+1,1}}{\Delta t} \\ & - \frac{1}{(\Delta y)^2} \left\{ \phi_{i,j+1/2,k}^{n+1} S_{w,i,j+1/2,k}^{n+1} K_{xx,i,j+1/2,k} (C_{i,j+1,k}^{n+1,2} - C_{ijk}^{n+1,2}) - \phi_{i,j-1/2,k}^{n+1} S_{w,i,j-1/2,k}^{n+1} K_{xx,i,j-1/2,k} (C_{ijk}^{n+1,2} - C_{i,j-1,k}^{n+1,2}) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17b)$$

Thirdly consider z -direction, then C^{n+1} is computed by

$$\begin{aligned} & \frac{\phi_{ijk}^{n+1} S_w^{n+1} C_{ijk}^{n+1} - \phi_{ijk}^n S_w^n C_{ijk}^{n+1,2}}{\Delta t} \\ & - \frac{1}{(\Delta z)^2} \left\{ \phi_{ij,k+1/2}^{n+1} S_{w,ij,k+1/2}^{n+1} K_{xx,ij,k+1/2} (C_{ij,k+1}^{n+1,1} - C_{ijk}^{n+1,1}) - \phi_{ij,k-1/2}^{n+1} S_{w,ij,k-1/2}^{n+1} K_{xx,ij,k-1/2} (C_{ijk}^{n+1,1} - C_{ij,k-1}^{n+1,1}) \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (17c)$$

The values of p_o^{n+1} , S_w^{n+1} , S_g^{n+1} and C^{n+1} are obtained, and the computation ends at present time level then continues at next time level. A technique is introduced in actual applications to overcome some difficulties and effects of grids orientation (such as the symmetrical computation kept consistently with symmetric physical problems). The values are obtained in two continuous processes, x -direction first then y -direction, then y -direction first then x -direction, then the algorithm continues in z -direction by using an average result of the above computational values. This efficient method is applied in present software.

3.4 Newton-Raphson Iterations of Chemical Reaction Balance Equations

The chemical balance equations, a nonlinear system consisting of liquid chemical agent, solid chemical agent and ions adsorbed in rocks, are solved by Newton-Raphson iterations. Considering the nonlinear equations $F(\mathbf{X})=0$,

$$\begin{aligned}
F_1(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) &= 0, \\
F_2(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) &= 0, \\
&\vdots \\
F_{N-1}(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) &= 0, \\
F_N(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N) &= 0,
\end{aligned}$$

where $F=(F_1, F_2, \dots, F_N)^T$, $X=(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_N)^T$, $0=(0, 0, \dots, 0)^T$. Its Newton-Raphson iterations are

$$X^{k+1} = X^k - \frac{F(X^k)}{DF(X^k)}, \quad k = 0, 1, \dots, \quad (18)$$

where k denotes the number of iterations, $F(X) = (F_1^k, F_2^k, \dots, F_{N-1}^k, F_N^k)^T$, $F_i^k = F_i(x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_{N-1}^k, x_N^k)$, and the convergent condition is defined by $\|F(X^k)\| < \varepsilon$ or by $\|X^{k+1} - X^k\| < \varepsilon$. The choice of the initial values X^0 affects the convergence of Newton-Raphson iteration sequences. $DF(X^k)$, a Jacobian matrix, is defined by

$$DF(X^k) = \begin{pmatrix} \frac{\partial F_1^k}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_1^k}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_1^k}{\partial x_N} \\ \frac{\partial F_2^k}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_2^k}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_2^k}{\partial x_N} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \frac{\partial F_N^k}{\partial x_1} & \frac{\partial F_N^k}{\partial x_2} & \dots & \frac{\partial F_N^k}{\partial x_N} \end{pmatrix}, \quad (19)$$

where $\frac{\partial F_i^k}{\partial x_j} = \frac{\partial F_i}{\partial x_j}(x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_{N-1}^k, x_N^k)$ denotes the partial derivative value of $F_i(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{N-1}, x_N)$ with respect to x_j at $(x_1^k, x_2^k, \dots, x_{N-1}^k, x_N^k)$.

4. Computation Program Illustration

This section illustrates three computation programs^{1,2,3,5}: the program of black oil-three compound combination flooding, the data program of upstream sequence algorithm of fault-joints, the implicit computational program of the water phase concentration equation, the explicit computation program of the total concentration equation of petroleum acid components, the computation program of relative permeability and the computation program of chemical reaction balance equation (see Fig.1, Fig.2, Fig.3, Fig.4, Fig.5 and Fig.6).

5. Experimental Tests in Oil Fields of Black Oil-three Compound Combination Alkali Flooding

5.1 Test I

The model grids scale of experimental tests is defined by $46 \times 83 \times 7$, and oil displacement efficiency is tested under different petroleum acid numbers and different concentrations of injected alkali. Three SLUGs are installed and the simulation period, 1970.1.1-1994.1.1, is divided into three time segments: water flooding segment 1970.1.1-1982.1.1, injected polymer and three compound combination flooding segment 1982.1.1-1988.1.1 and water flooding segment 1988.1.1-1994.1.1. There are five strategies considered in the simulation and let X45ASP be the polymer flooding. X45ASP2 denotes the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.0006, and the concentration values of injected Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the second way. X45ASP3 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the third way. X45ASP4 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.0006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the fourth way. X45ASP5 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the fifth way. The moisture content, instantaneous oil production and accumulative oil production in five different strategies are compared in the following curves (Fig. 7a, Fig. 7b and Fig. 7c).




Fig. 1. Computation program illustration of black oil - three compound combinations flooding




Fig. 2 Data program of upstream sequence of fault-joint points




Fig. 3 Computation program of implicit scheme of component concentration equation




Fig. 4 Computation program of implicit scheme of component concentration (total) equation of petroleum acid




Fig. 5 Computation program of relative permeability




Fig. 6 Computation program of chemical reaction balance equation




Fig. 7a. Curve of moisture content, alkaline flooding test I of oil fields




Fig. 7b. Curve of instantaneous oil production, alkaline flooding test I of oil fields




Fig. 7c. Curve of cumulative oil production, alkaline flooding test I of oil fields




Fig. 7d. Curve of moisture content, alkaline flooding test II of oil fields

5.2 Test II

The model grids scale in this test is defined by $119 \times 79 \times 9$ (84609 nodes), and oil displacement efficiency is tested under different petroleum acid numbers and different concentrations of injected alkali. Three SLUGs are installed and the simulation period is 4000 days divided into three time segments: water flooding segment during

the former 730 days, injected polymer and three compound combination flooding segment during the middle thirteen hundreds and seventy days and water flooding segment during the last nineteen hundreds days. There are five strategies considered in the simulation and let B1DDASP be the polymer flooding. B1DDASP2 denotes the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.0006, and the concentration values of injected Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the second way. B1DDASP3 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the third way. B1DDASP4 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.0006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the fourth way. B1DDASP5 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the fifth way. The moisture content, instantaneous oil production and accumulative oil production in five different strategies are compared in the following curves (Fig. 8a, Fig. 8b and Fig. 8c).




Fig. 8b. Curve of instantaneous oil production, alkaline flooding test II of oil fields




Fig. 8c. Curve of cumulative oil production, alkaline flooding test II of oil fields




Fig. 9a. Curve of moisture content, alkaline flooding test III of oil fields




Fig. 9b. Curve of instantaneous oil production, alkaline flooding test III of oil fields

5.3 Test III

The model grids scale of this test is defined by $149 \times 149 \times 7$ (155407 nodes), and oil displacement efficiency is tested under different petroleum acid numbers and different concentrations of injected alkali. Three SLUGs are installed and the simulation period, 2010.9.1-2015.11.1, is divided into three time segments: water flooding segment 2010.9.1-2012.11.1, injected polymer and three compound combination flooding segment 2012.11.1-2014.11.1 and water flooding segment 2014.11.1-2015.11.1. There are five strategies considered in the simulation and let P be the polymer flooding. The number 1 denotes the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.0006, and the concentration values of injected Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the second way. The number 3 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO_3 ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the third way. The number 4 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the




Fig. 9c. Curve of cumulative oil production, alkaline flooding test III of oil fields

acid number is 0.0006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO₃ ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the fourth way. The number 5 is the compound flooding combination the polymer with alkali, the acid number is 0.006 and the concentration values of Na ions and CO₃ ions are 0.3351 and 0.3929 in the fifth way. The moisture content, instantaneous oil production and accumulative oil production in five different strategies are compared in the following curves (Fig. 9a, Fig. 9b and Fig. 9c).

The conclusion is derived from above figures that the more efficient the oil flooding is, the larger the acid number of petroleum acid and the concentration value of injected alkali. Error data of mass balance are shown in the following table (Table 1).

Table 1. Error results of mass balance

	Water	Oil	Polymer	Mg ²⁺	CO ₃ ²⁻	Na ⁺	H	HA
P	5E-5	4E-5	3E-13	3E-10	9E-11	4E-11	3E-11	3E-10
1	8E-5	3E-4	5E-13	2E-10	5E-11	1E-11	3E-11	1E-9
3	9E-6	3E-5	5E-13	2E-10	5E-11	2E-11	3E-11	7E-10
4	1E-5	4E-5	5E-13	6E-11	2E-11	2E-13	3E-11	2E-9
5	2E-4	1E-3	4E-13	6E-11	2E-11	9E-13	3E-11	9E-10

6. Theoretical Analysis of the Model

Theoretical analysis of numerical simulation is given for three dimensional three phases (oil, water and gas) –three compound combination flooding in porous media. A simplified model is discussed in theoretical analysis without loss of generality, that is to say a compressible oil water two phase displacement of three dimensional multi-components problem in porous media is discussed in a nonlinear partial differential equations with initial and boundary values (see Ewing, Yuan, & Li, 1989; Yuan, 2013; Ewing, 1983; Yuan, 2002, 1999, 2003, 2001).

$$d(c) \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \nabla \cdot \mathbf{u} = q(x, t), x = (x_1, x_2, x_3)^T \in \Omega, t \in J = (0, T], \quad (20a)$$

$$\mathbf{u} = -a(c) \nabla p, x \in \Omega, t \in J, \quad (20b)$$

$$\Phi(x) \frac{\partial c_\alpha}{\partial t} + b_\alpha(c) \frac{\partial p}{\partial t} + \mathbf{u} \cdot \nabla c_\alpha - \nabla \cdot (D \nabla c_\alpha) = g(x, t, c_\alpha), x \in \Omega, t \in J, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1, \quad (20c)$$

where $p(x, t)$ is the pressure of the mixture, $c_\alpha(x, t)$ is the saturation of the α -th component, $\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c$ and n_c is the number of components. There are $n_c - 1$ independent components because of $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c} c_\alpha(x, t) = 1$. Let $c(x, t) = (c_1(x, t), c_2(x, t), \dots, c_{n_c-1}(x, t))^T$ be the vector function of component saturations, $d(c) = \Phi(x) \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c} z_\alpha c_\alpha$, $\Phi(x)$ be the porosity of rock, z_α be the compressible invariant of α , \mathbf{u} be Darcy velocity of the mixture, $a(c) = \kappa(x) \mu(c)^{-1}$, $\kappa(x)$ be the permeability, $\mu(c)$ be the viscosity, $b_\alpha(c) = \Phi c_\alpha \{z_\alpha - \sum_{j=1}^{n_c} z_j c_j\}$, and let $D = D(x)$ be the diffusion parameter. The pressure $p(x, t)$ and the saturation vector $c(x, t)$ are basic unknown functions to compute.

Boundary condition without permeation:

$$\mathbf{u} \cdot \gamma = 0, x \in \partial\Omega, (D \nabla c_\alpha - c_\alpha \mathbf{u}) \cdot \gamma = 0, x \in \partial\Omega, t \in J, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1, \quad (21)$$

where γ is the outer normal vector of boundary surface $\partial\Omega$ of Ω .

Initial conditions:

$$p(x, 0) = p_0(x), x \in \Omega, c_\alpha(x, 0) = c_{\alpha,0}(x), x \in \Omega, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1. \quad (22)$$

Douglas (1983) presents a fundamental paper to analyze a type of two dimensional incompressible two-phase displacement problems. Because the computation of modern reservoir exploration and development is of huge scale, its simulation region is large, its simulation time is really long and there are tens of thousands or hundreds

of thousands nodes, it is impossible to solve so complicated problems of this type by using common methods. Alternating direction finite difference methods are put forward by Peaceman (1980) and Douglas (1963) and are successfully applied in two dimensional problems but there is substantial difficulty to give theoretical analysis. Using Fourier method Peaceman and Douglas discuss the stability and convergence for the problems with constant coefficients, while this method is not able to generalize in variable coefficient problems. Yanenke (1967), and Marchuk (1990) give many important results on fractional steps methods. Yuan (1999) presents a characteristic finite difference fractional steps method for compressible two-phase displacement problem and discusses convergence analysis. An implicit upwind finite difference fractional steps method is considered for black oil and polymer flooding problem and some substantial improvements are given in this paper. The three dimensional problem is turned into three one-dimensional problems and this can greatly reduce the computation and can solve actual problems. Error estimates in L^2 norm are presented by using variation, energy analysis, decomposition of high order difference operators and theory and technique of product commutativity.

For simplicity, assume computational domain $\Omega = \{[0,1]\}^3$ and the problem is Ω -periodic. The nonpermeation boundary condition can be dropped. Let $h=1/N$, $X_{ijk}=(ih,jh,kh)^T$, $t^n=n \Delta t$ and $W(X_{ijk},t^n)=W_{ijk}^n$, and let

$$A_{i+1/2,jk}^{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} [a(X_{ijk}, C_{ijk}^n) + a(X_{i+1,jk}, C_{i+1,jk}^n)], \quad (23a)$$

$$\delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} P^{n+1})_{ijk} = h^{-2} [A_{i+1/2,jk}^{n+1} (P_{i+1,jk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^{n+1}) - A_{i-1/2,jk}^n (P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{i-1,jk}^{n+1})]. \quad (23b)$$

Difference operators $A_{i,j+1/2,k}^{n+1}$, $A_{ij,k+1/2}^n$, $\delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} P^{n+1})_{ijk}$ and $\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} P^{n+1})_{ijk}$ can be defined similarly.

The implicit finite difference fractional steps algorithm for the flow equation (20a) is shown as follows,

$$d(C_{ijk}^n) \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1/3} - P_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} = \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} P^{n+1/3})_{ijk} + \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} P^n)_{ijk} + \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} P^n)_{ijk} + q(X_{ijk}, t^{n+1}), 1 \leq i \leq N, \quad (24a)$$

$$d(C_{ijk}^n) \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+2/3} - P_{ijk}^{n+1/3}}{\Delta t} = \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (P^{n+2/3} - P^n))_{ijk}, 1 \leq j \leq N, \quad (24b)$$

$$d(C_{ijk}^n) \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^{n+2/3}}{\Delta t} = \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} (P^{n+1} - P^n))_{ijk}, 1 \leq k \leq N. \quad (24c)$$

Darcy velocity $U=(U_1, U_2, U_3)^T$ is computed by the following expression

$$U_{1,ijk}^{n+1} = -\frac{1}{2} \left[A_{i+1/2,jk}^n \frac{P_{i+1,jk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^{n+1}}{h} + A_{i-1/2,jk}^{n+1} \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{i-1,jk}^{n+1}}{h} \right], \quad (25)$$

and analogous forms $U_{2,ijk}^{n+1}$, $U_{3,ijk}^{n+1}$ can be derived.

The implicit upwind finite difference fractional steps algorithm for the saturation equations is

$$\Phi_{ijk} \frac{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1/3} - C_{\alpha,ijk}^n}{\Delta t} = \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D \delta_{x_1} C_{\alpha}^{n+1/3})_{ijk} + \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D \delta_{x_2} C_{\alpha}^n)_{ijk} + \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D \delta_{x_3} C_{\alpha}^n)_{ijk} - b_{\alpha}(C_{\alpha,ijk}^n) \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} + g(X_{ijk}, t^n, C_{\alpha,ijk}^n), 1 \leq i \leq N, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_c - 1, \quad (26a)$$

$$\Phi_{ijk} \frac{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+2/3} - C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1/3}}{\Delta t} = \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D \delta_{x_2} (C_{\alpha}^{n+2/3} - C_{\alpha}^n))_{ijk}, 1 \leq j \leq N, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_c - 1, \quad (26b)$$

$$\Phi_{ijk} \frac{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} - C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+2/3}}{\Delta t} = \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D \delta_{x_3} (C_{\alpha}^{n+1} - C_{\alpha}^n))_{ijk} - \sum_{\beta=1}^3 \delta_{U_{\beta}^{n+1}, x_{\beta}} C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}, 1 \leq k \leq N, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, N_c - 1, \quad (26c)$$

where

$$\delta_{U_{\beta}^{n+1}, x_{\beta}} C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} = U_{\beta,ijk}^{n+1} \{H(U_{\beta,ijk}^{n+1}) \delta_{\bar{x}_{\beta}} + (1 - H(U_{\beta,ijk}^{n+1})) \delta_{x_{\beta}}\} C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}, \beta = 1, 2, 3, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1, \quad H(z) = \begin{cases} 1, z \geq 0, \\ 0, z < 0. \end{cases}$$

Initial approximation:

$$P_{ijk}^0 = p_0(X_{ijk}), C_{\alpha,ijk}^0 = c_{\alpha,0}(X_{ijk}), 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1. \quad (27)$$

The implicit upwind fractional steps algorithm runs as follows. Given $\{P_{ijk}^n, C_{\alpha,ijk}^n, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1\}$, the values of transition layer by (24a) and speedup method in x_1 direction firstly. Then $\{P_{ijk}^{n+2/3}\}$ and $\{P_{ijk}^{n+1}\}$ are computed by (24b) and by (24c), respectively. Using (25) we can have the numerical values of velocity $\{U_{ijk}^{n+1}\}$. Secondly, $\{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1/3}\}$ is computed by (26a) and speedup method in x_1 direction. $\{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+2/3}\}$ and $\{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}\}$ are obtained similarly by (26b) and (26c). Notice the problem is positive definite, so the solution of (24)-(26) exists and is unique.

Let $\pi = p - P$ and $\xi_\alpha = c_\alpha - C_\alpha$ where p, c_α are exact solutions and P, C_α are numerical solutions. The pressure equation is considered firstly, and its equivalent difference expression is

$$\begin{aligned} d(C_{ijk}^n) \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} - \nabla_h (A^n \nabla_h P^{n+1})_{ijk} &= q(X_{ijk}, t^{n+1}) - (\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2}))) \right. \\ &+ \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3}))) + \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3}))) \left. \right\} d_t P_{ijk}^n \\ &+ (\Delta t)^3 \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} d_t P^n) \dots)))_{ijk}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N. \end{aligned} \quad (28)$$

Then the error equation of the pressure is

$$\begin{aligned} d(C_{ijk}^n) \frac{\pi_{ijk}^{n+1} - \pi_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} - \nabla_h (A^n \nabla_h \pi^{n+1})_{ijk} &= -(\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2}))) + \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3}))) \right. \\ &+ \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3}))) \left. \right\} d_t \pi_{ijk}^n + (\Delta t)^3 \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} d_t \pi^n) \dots)))_{ijk} \\ &+ (\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2}))) + \dots \right\} d_t \pi_{ijk}^n \\ &- (\Delta t)^3 \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} d_t \pi^n) \dots)))_{ijk} + \sigma_{ijk}^{n+1}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N, \end{aligned} \quad (29)$$

where $d_t \pi^n = (\pi^{n+1} - \pi^n) / \Delta t, |\sigma_{ijk}^{n+1}| \leq M \{h^2 + \Delta t\}$.

Multiplying both sides of error equation (29) by $d_t \pi^n = \pi^{n+1} - \pi^n = d_t \pi^n \Delta t$, summing by parts, and obtaining an inner product form

$$\begin{aligned} \langle d(C^n) d_t \pi^n, d_t \pi^n \rangle \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle A^n \nabla_h \pi^{n+1}, \nabla_h \pi^{n+1} \rangle - \langle A^n \nabla_h \pi^n, \nabla_h \pi^n \rangle \right\} \\ \leq M \{h^4 + (\Delta t)^2\} \Delta t + \varepsilon |d_t \pi^n|_0^2 \Delta t - (\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} d_t \pi^n))) \rangle, d_t \pi^n \right\} \\ + \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} d_t \pi^n))) \rangle, d_t \pi^n + \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} d_t \pi^n))) \rangle, d_t \pi^n \rangle \Delta t \\ + (\Delta t)^3 \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (A^n \delta_{x_1} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (A^n \delta_{x_2} (d^{-1}(C^n) \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (A^n \delta_{x_3} d_t \pi^n) \dots))) \rangle, d_t \pi^n \rangle \Delta t. \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

Then it follows from (30) by complicated estimates,

$$|d_t \pi^n|_0^2 \Delta t + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle A^n \nabla_h \pi^{n+1}, \nabla_h \pi^{n+1} \rangle - \langle A^n \nabla_h \pi^n, \nabla_h \pi^n \rangle \right\} \leq M \left\{ |\pi^{n+1}|_1^2 + |\pi^n|_1^2 + h^4 + (\Delta t)^2 \right\} \Delta t. \quad (31)$$

Secondly an error analysis proceeds for the saturation equations. Eq. (26) is rewritten in the following equivalent form

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{ijk} \frac{C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} - C_{\alpha,ijk}^n}{\Delta t} - \nabla_h (D \nabla_h C_{\alpha}^{n+1})_{ijk} &= - \sum_{\beta=1}^3 \delta_{U_{\beta}^{n+1}, x_{\beta}} C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} + b_{\alpha}(C_{ijk}) \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} + g(X_{ijk}, t^{n+1}, C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}) \\ &- (\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D \delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1} \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D \delta_{x_2}))) + \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D \delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1} \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D \delta_{x_3}))) + \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D \delta_{x_2} (\Phi^{-1} \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D \delta_{x_3}))) \right\} d_t C_{\alpha,ijk}^n \\ &+ (\Delta t)^3 \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D \delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1} \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D \delta_{x_2} (\Phi^{-1} \delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D \delta_{x_3} d_t C_{\alpha}^n) \dots)))_{ijk}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1. \end{aligned} \quad (32)$$

From (20c) and (32),

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{ijk} \frac{\xi_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} - \xi_{\alpha,ijk}^n}{\Delta t} - \nabla_h (D \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^{n+1})_{ijk} &= - \sum_{\beta=1}^3 \left[\delta_{U_{\beta}^{n+1}, x_{\beta}} C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} - \delta_{U_{\beta}^{n+1}, x_{\beta}} C_{\alpha,ijk}^n \right] + g(X_{ijk}, t^{n+1}, C_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}) - g(X_{ijk}, t^n, C_{\alpha,ijk}^n) \\ &+ b_{\alpha}(C_{ijk}^n) \frac{\pi_{ijk}^{n+1} - \pi_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} - [b_{\alpha}(C_{ijk}^{n+1}) - b_{\alpha}(C_{ijk}^n)] \frac{P_{ijk}^{n+1} - P_{ijk}^n}{\Delta t} + (\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D \delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1} \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D \delta_{x_2}))) \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& +\delta_{\bar{x}_1} \left(D\delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D\delta_{x_3})) \right) + \delta_{\bar{x}_2} \left(D\delta_{x_2} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D\delta_{x_3})) \right) \Big\} d_t \xi_{\alpha,ijk}^n \\
& + (\Delta t)^3 \delta_{\bar{x}_1} \left(D\delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D\delta_{x_2} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D\delta_{x_3} d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n) \cdots)) \right)_{ijk} + \varepsilon_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}, 1 \leq i, j, k \leq N, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1,
\end{aligned} \quad (33)$$

where $|\varepsilon_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1}| \leq M\{h + \Delta t\}$.

Testing both sides of the above equation by $\delta_t \xi_{\alpha,ijk}^n = \xi_{\alpha,ijk}^{n+1} - \xi_{\alpha,ijk}^n = d_t \xi_{\alpha,ijk}^n \Delta t$, summing by parts, and obtaining an inner product form

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle \Phi d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n, d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n \rangle + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \langle D \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^{n+1}, \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^{n+1} \rangle - \langle D \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^n, \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^n \rangle \right\} \\
& \leq M \left\{ |\xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2 + |\xi_{\alpha}^{n+1}|_0^2 + |\pi^{n+1}|_1^2 + h^2 + (\Delta t)^2 \right\} \Delta t + \varepsilon |d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2 \Delta t + \langle b_{\alpha}(C^n) d_t \pi^n, d_t \pi^n \rangle \Delta t \\
& - (\Delta t)^2 \left\{ \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D\delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D\delta_{x_2} d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n))) \rangle, d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n \right\} \\
& + \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_1} (D\delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D\delta_{x_3} d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n))) \rangle, d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n \rangle + \langle \delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D\delta_{x_2} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D\delta_{x_3} d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n))) \rangle, d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n \rangle \Big\} \Delta t \\
& + (\Delta t)^3 \left\langle D\delta_{x_1} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_2} (D\delta_{x_2} (\Phi^{-1}\delta_{\bar{x}_3} (D\delta_{x_3} d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n) \cdots)) \right\rangle, d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n \Big\rangle \Delta t, \alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1,
\end{aligned} \quad (34)$$

where $|\xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c-1} |\xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2$.

Using complicated calculations of (34),

$$|d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2 \Delta t + \langle D \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^{n+1}, \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^{n+1} \rangle - \langle D \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^n, \nabla_h \xi_{\alpha}^n \rangle \leq M \left\{ |\xi_{\alpha}^n|_1^2 + |\xi_{\alpha}^{n+1}|_1^2 + |\pi^{n+1}|_1^2 + h^2 + (\Delta t)^2 \right\} \Delta t. \quad (35)$$

Note $\pi^0 = 0, \xi_{\alpha}^0 = 0 (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1)$, and

$$|\pi^{L+1}|_0^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^L |d_t \pi^n|_0^2 \Delta t + M \sum_{n=0}^L |\pi^n|_0^2 \Delta t, \quad |\xi_{\alpha}^{L+1}|_0^2 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^L |d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2 \Delta t + M \sum_{n=0}^L |\xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2 \Delta t.$$

Summing on $0 \leq n \leq L$ for (31) and (35) with respect to t , and summing $1 \leq \alpha \leq n_c - 1$ for (35) with respect to α ,

$$\sum_{n=0}^L |d_t \pi^n|_0^2 \Delta t + |\pi^{L+1}|_1 \leq \varepsilon \sum_{n=0}^L |d_t \xi^n|_0^2 \Delta t + M \left\{ h^2 + (\Delta t)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^L |\pi^{n+1}|_1^2 \Delta t \right\}, \quad (36a)$$

$$\sum_{n=0}^L |d_t \xi^n|_0^2 \Delta t + |\xi^{L+1}|_1 \leq M \left\{ h^2 + (\Delta t)^2 + \sum_{n=1}^L [|\xi^{n+1}|_1^2 + |\pi^n|_1^2] \Delta t \right\}, \quad (36b)$$

where $|d_t \xi^n|_0^2 = \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c-1} |d_t \xi_{\alpha}^n|_0^2, \dots$.

Collecting (36a) and (36b),

$$\sum_{n=0}^L \left\{ |d_t \xi^n|_0^2 + |d_t \pi^n|_0^2 \right\} \Delta t + |\xi^{L+1}|_1^2 + |\pi^{L+1}|_1^2 \leq M \{h^2 + (\Delta t)^2\}. \quad (37)$$

Theorem Suppose that the exact solutions of (20)-(22) are suitably smooth. Applying implicit upwind difference fractional steps method (24)-(26) to compute layer by layer, we can conclude the following error estimates,

$$\|p - P\|_{L^{\infty}(J; H^1)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c-1} \|c_{\alpha} - C_{\alpha}\|_{L^{\infty}(J; H^1)} + \|d_t(p - P)\|_{L^2(J; J^2)} + \sum_{\alpha=1}^{n_c-1} \|d_t(c_{\alpha} - C_{\alpha})\|_{L^2(J; J^2)} \leq M^* \{h + \Delta t\}, \quad (38)$$

where M^* depends on $p(x, t), c_{\alpha}(x, t) (\alpha = 1, 2, \dots, n_c - 1)$ and their derivatives.

7. Discussion

Theory, method and application of numerical simulation of three-dimensional three-phase (water, oil and gas) percolation mechanics of three compound combination flooding (the polymer, surface active agents and alkali) in porous media are discussed in this paper consisting of six sections. Summary is first stated about our project and the full process of this project. Mathematical model of permeation fluid mechanics, basic physical assumption and the characters of the model are presented in the second section. A full implicit numerical scheme and implicit/explicit algorithm for the pressure and the saturation are given, and an upwind difference fractional

steps algorithm based on upstream sequence is structured in the third section. This program runs quickly, is of high accuracy and applied in general cases. A type of software applicable in major industries has been accomplished, mostly carried out with the spacial step of ten-meters, tens of thousands nodes and tens of years simulation period in the fourth section. Some experimental tests occurring successfully in national major oil fields such as Daqing Oilfield, Shengli Oilfield and Dagang Oilfield, are illustrated in the fifth section. This gives outstanding social benefits and economic benefits, and accelerates the development of energy sciences. Numerical analysis proceeds for the model problem and precise theoretical results are stated on mathematical and mechanical consideration in the sixth section. This research brings important theoretical values for the design and development of enhanced oil recovery simulation, the principle, method and the software.

Acknowledgments

The authors express their deep appreciation to prof. J. Douglas Jr, prof. R. E. Ewing and prof. Jiang Lishang for their many helpful suggestions in the serial of research of chemical production.

References

- Douglas, Jr. J. (1983). Finite difference methods for two-phase incompressible flow in porous media. *SIAM. J. Numer. Anal.*, 20(4), 681-696. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/0720046>
- Douglas, Jr. J., & Gun, J. E. (1963). Two order correct difference analogues for the equation of multidimensional heat flow. *Math. Comp.*, 81, 71-80. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1090/S0025-5718-1963-0149676-2>
- Ewing, R. E. (1983). *The Mathematics of Reservoir Simulation*. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- Ewing, R. E., Yuan, Y. R., & Li, G. (1989). *Finite element for chemical-flooding simulation*. Proceeding of the 7th International conference finite element method in flow problems, 1264-1271. The University of Alabama in Huntsville, Huntsville, Alabama: UAADDRESS. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1137/1.9781611971071>
- Marchuk, G. I. (1990). *Splitting and Alternating Direction Methods*. In: Ciarlet P G, Lions J L, eds, Handbook of Numerical Analysis. Paris: Elsevier Science Publishers BV., 197-400.
- Peaceman, D. W. (1980). *Fundamental of Numerical Reservoir Simulation*. Amsterdam: Elsevier.
- Yanenke, M. M. (1967). *The Method for Fractional Steps*. Berlin, Springer-Verlag.
- Yuan, Y. R. (1993). The characteristic finite difference method for enhanced oil recovery simulation and L^2 estimates. *Science in China (Series A)*, 11, 1296--1307.
- Yuan, Y. R. (1993). The characteristic-mixed finite element method for enhanced oil recovery simulation and optimal order L^2 error estimate. *Chinese Science Bulletin*, 21, 1761--1766.
- Yuan, Y. R. (1994). The characteristic mixed finite element method and analysis for two dimensional chemical-flooding reservoir simulation. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, 1, 118-131.
- Yuan, Y. R. (1999). The characteristic finite difference fractional steps method for compressible two-phase displacement problem. *Science in China (Series A)*, 1, 48-57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF02872049>
- Yuan, Y. R. (2000). Finite element method and analysis for chemical-flow simulation. *Systems Science and Mathematical Sciences*, 3, 302--308
- Yuan, Y. R. (2001). Characteristic finite difference fractional steps methods for three-dimensional compressible multi-component displacement problem. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, 2, 242-249.
- Yuan, Y. R. (2002). The upwind difference method for compressible two-phase displacement problem. *Acta Mathematicae Applicatae Sinica*, 3, 484-496.
- Yuan, Y. R. (2003). The upwind finite difference fractional steps methods for two-phase compressible flow in porous media. *Numer Methods Partial Differential Eq.*, 19(1), 67-88. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/num.10036>
- Yuan, Y. R. (2013). *Theory and application of numerical simulation of energy sources*, basis of numerical simulation of chemical production (tertiary oil recovery), Chapter 3, 257-304. Beijing: Science Press.
- Yuan, Y. R., Yang, D. P., & Qi, L. Q. (1998). *Research on algorithms of applied software of the polymer. Qinlin Gang* (editor in chief), Proceedings on chemical flooding, Beijing: Petroleum Industry Press, 246-253.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/>).