

## Phosphorus Sorption and Lime Requirements of Maize Growing Acid Soils of Kenya

P. O. Kisinyo<sup>1,2</sup>, C. O. Othieno<sup>1</sup>, S. O. Gudu<sup>2,4</sup>, J. R. Okalebo<sup>1</sup>, P. A. Opala<sup>3</sup>, J. K. Maghanga<sup>1</sup>, W. K. Ng'etich<sup>1</sup>, J. J. Agalo<sup>4</sup>, R. W. Opile<sup>1</sup>, J. A. Kisinyo<sup>5</sup> & B. O. Ogola<sup>6</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Chepkoilel University College, P. O. Box 1125-30100, Eldoret, Kenya

<sup>2</sup>Rongo University College, P. O. Box 103-40404, Rongo, Kenya

<sup>3</sup>Maseno University, Private bag, Maseno, Kenya

<sup>4</sup>Moi University, P. O. Box 3900, Eldoret, Kenya

<sup>5</sup>Eldoret Polytechnic, P. O. Box 4461, Eldoret, Kenya

<sup>6</sup>Kenyatta University, P. O. Box 43844, Nairobi, Kenya

Correspondence: P. O. Kisinyo, Chepkoilel University College, Eldoret, Kenya. E-mail: kisinyopeter@yahoo.com

Received: September 4, 2012 Accepted: January 22, 2013 Online Published: January 30, 2013

doi:10.5539/sar.v2n2p116

URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/sar.v2n2p116>

### Abstract

In Kenya, maize (*Zea mays* L.) is mainly grown on acid soils in high rainfall areas. These soils are known for low available phosphorus (P), partly due to its sorption by aluminium (Al) and iron oxides. The study determined soil P sorption, lime requirements and the effects of lime on soil pH, Al levels and available P on the main maize growing acids soils in the highlands east and west of Rift Valley (RV), Kenya. Burnt lime containing 21% calcium oxide was used. The soils were strongly to extremely acid (pH 4.85-4.07), had high exchangeable Al<sup>3+</sup> (> 2 cmol Al kg<sup>-1</sup>) and Al saturation (> 20% Al), which most maize germplasm grown in Kenya are sensitive to. The base cations, cation exchange capacity and available P (< 10 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> bicarbonate extractable P) were low, except at one site in the highlands east of RV indicative with history of high fertilizer applications. Highlands east of RV soils had higher P sorption (343-402 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>) than the west (107-258 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>), probably because of their high Al<sup>3+</sup> ions and also the energies of bonding between the soil colloids and phosphate ions. Highlands east of RV also had higher lime requirements (11.4-21.9 tons lime ha<sup>-1</sup>) than the west (5.3-9.8 tons lime ha<sup>-1</sup>). Due to differences in soil acidity, Al levels and P sorption capacities within and between highlands east and west of RV, blanket P fertilizer and lime recommendations may not serve all soils equally well.

**Keywords:** acid soils, phosphorus sorption, lime requirements

### 1. Introduction

Acid soils are widespread and occupy about 4 billion ha of the total world soils (von Uexkull & Mutert, 1995) with 58% of the land area suitable for agricultural production inhabited by 73% of the world's population. They occupy 29 and 13% of the total land areas in the sub-Saharan Africa (SSA) and Kenya, respectively (Eswaran et al., 1997; Kanyanjua et al., 2002). Soil acidity is associated with hydrogen (H), aluminium (Al), iron (Fe) and manganese (Mn) toxicities and corresponding deficiencies of the available P, molybdenum (Mo), calcium (Ca), magnesium (Mg) and potassium (K) (Giller & Wilson, 1991; Jorge & Arrunda, 1997). High levels of exchangeable Al<sup>3+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> in tropical acid leads to P sorption through their reaction with phosphate ions to form insoluble compounds (Tisdale et al., 1990). In acid soils with a pH < 5.0, Al minerals hydrolyze to form octahedron hexahydrate (Al<sup>3+</sup>) and mononuclear hydroxides (Al(OH)<sup>2+</sup> and Al(OH)<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup>) which are responsible for P sorption (Kinraide, 1991; Kochian, 1995). Other factors responsible for P sorption include the type of clay minerals, clay content, soil type and soil organic matter (Tisdale et al., 1990). The predominant clay minerals responsible for P sorption in tropical acid soils are kaolinite, gibbsite, goethite, Al and Fe oxides (Buresh et al., 1997; Obura, 2008; Tisdale et al., 1990; Uehabra & Gillman, 1981). Also soils with high clay fractions sorb more P than sandy soils due to their large surface area exposed for P sorption (Tisdale et al., 1990; Warren, 1992).

High P sorption in acid soils make crops to utilize only about 10-25% of the P fertilizer applied (Bahl & Singh, 1986). Because of its importance, several tests have been developed for soil available P determination; however, they do not provide information on soil P sorption capacities (Fixen & Grove, 1990). Therefore, P sorption isotherms which relate P concentration in soil solution to soil sorbed P have been developed to predict P fertilizer requirements for crops (Beckwith, 1965; Fox & Kamprath, 1970). The most widely used model to predict relationships between fixed and solution P is the Langmuir equation (Cabrera et al., 1977; Ryden & Syers, 1975). The model implies that P sorption takes place in a soil monolayer such that any increase in soil P above P sorption maximum does not increase its sorption. Knowledge on the soil P sorption capacities is important for accurate prediction of soil P fertilizer requirement necessary for optimum plant growth in acid soils such as those found in the highlands east and west of RV region, Kenya.

Most maize (*Zea mays* L.) growing areas in Kenya have acid soils (Muhammad & Underwood, 2004). Unfortunately, most improved maize germplasm and landraces used by farmers are sensitive to high Al (> 20% saturation), found in many Kenyan acid soils (Ligeyo, 2007; Schulze & Santana, 2003). In these soils, Al toxicity (often > 20% Al saturation) and low P (often < 5.0 mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>) reduce maize grain yield by 16 and 28%, respectively (Obura, 2008; Ligeyo, 2007; Schulze & Santana, 2003). Therefore, use of lime is highly recommended to enhance food production in the Kenyan acid soils (Kanyanjua et al., 2002). Lime contains Ca and /or Mg compounds that displace H<sup>+</sup>, Fe<sup>3+</sup> and Al<sup>3+</sup> ions from soil colloids. This reduces P sorption in acid soils resulting to increase in soil available P (Tisdale et al., 1990; van Straaten, 2007). In Kenya, lime recommendations are meant to completely neutralize soil acidity to pH 7.0 (Kanyanjua et al., 2002). This requires large amounts of lime and has been a hindrance to its adoption, especially by smallholder farmers (SHF). This study intended to determine rates of lime required to reduce Al toxicity to levels that maize germplasm grown by farmers in the Kenyan acid soils can tolerate, while reducing soil P sorption. The study objectives reported herein determined: i) soil P sorption capacities, ii) lime requirements and iii) effect of lime on soil pH, available P and Al level on the main maize growing acid soils in the highlands east and west of RV regions, Kenya.

## 2. Materials and Methods

### 2.1 Location of the Study Sites

Sites were selected to represent major agro-ecological environments with acid soils where the staple food crop, maize, is grown in the Kenyan highlands east and west of RV (Kanyanjua et al., 2002; Sombrous et al., 1982). Highlands east of RV sites were at Lavatory (00° 25'S and 37° 30'E), Kingman (00° 40'S and 36° 56'E) and Kerugoya (00° 28'S and 35° 15'E). West of RV sites were at Sega (0° 15'N and 34° 20'E), Bumala (00° 19'N and 34° 12'E) and Kuinet (00° 37'N and 35° 18'E). Sega, Bumala, Kuinet and Lavatory soils were orphic Acrisols, orphic Ferralsols, chromic Ferralsols and humic Andosols, respectively. Kangema and Kerugoya soils were both ando-humic Nitisols (Jaetzold & Schmidt, 1983). Prior to this study, Sega and Bumala were under continuous crop cultivation for over twenty years without inorganic fertilizer inputs. Kuinet was formerly under wattle trees and thereafter three years of continuous maize cropping, with an application of 19 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> as diammonium phosphate and 43 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> as urea per year. Lavatory and Kangema sites were under continuous crop cultivation for over twenty years with inorganic fertilizer inputs. Kerugoya site was a maize farm near a tea plantation and the farmer was applying high rates of P and N fertilizers meant for tea plants.

### 2.2 Soil Sampling, Preparation and Characterization

Soil samples were taken from SHF fields at the six sites (Lavatory, Kingman, Kerugoya, Sega, Bumala and Kuinet). Nine sub-samples were taken with a soil auger at the 0-20 cm soil depth in a zig-zag manner. They were thoroughly mixed and about 1.0 kg composite sample packed in a polythene bag. The samples were air-dried, ground and passed through a 2 mm sieve. They were analyzed for texture, specific gravity, pH (1:2.5 (soil: water)), available P, exchangeable bases (Ca<sup>2+</sup>, Mg<sup>2+</sup>, K<sup>2+</sup> and Na<sup>+</sup>) and acidity (Al<sup>3+</sup> and H<sup>+</sup>). Detailed laboratory procedures are described in Okalebo et al. (2002) and Smith (1981).

### 2.3 Soil P Sorption Determination

Soil P sorption characteristics were determined through incubation and continuous centrifugation processes for six days using the method described by Fox and Kamprath (1970). Non-linear regression using the General Statistics (GenStat, 2010) package was used to fit the P adsorption data to non-linear form of the Langmuir equation given below:

$$X = kbc/(1 + kc) \quad (1)$$

where, X = amount of P sorbed per gram of soil (mg P kg<sup>-1</sup>), b = adsorption maximum (mg P kg<sup>-1</sup> soil), c = final

supernatant solution P concentration ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ),  $k$  = a constant related to the energy of bonding between soil phosphate ions and the surface of soil particles.

For lime treatments, 100 g soil samples were weighed into 200 ml polythene bags and each thoroughly mixed with equivalent weights of lime requirement values in section 3.3. The samples were incubated for 30 days at approximately field water holding capacity to allow for lime to react with the soils after which they were once again air-dried for laboratory P sorption studies together with unlimed samples.

#### 2.4 Determination of Soil Lime Requirements

Lime requirements of the soils were calculated using the equation of Cochrane et al. (1980). The equation aims at reducing the % Al saturation to a level that is commensurate with crop Al tolerance given as:

$$\text{Ca (cmol kg}^{-1} \text{ soil)} = 1.5[\text{Al} - \text{RAS (Al + Ca + Mg)/100}] \quad (2)$$

where Al =  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  soil in the original exchange complex, RAS = Required percentage Al saturation, Ca =  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  soil in the original exchange complex, Mg =  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  soil in the original exchange complex. The approximate lime requirements ( $\text{tons CaCO}_3 \text{ ha}^{-1}$ ) were calculated by multiplying the Ca  $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$  by the soil specific gravities.

A RAS value of 20% was used. Soil characterization data in Table 1 was used for lime requirement determinations. Burnt lime containing about 21% calcium oxide was used in this study.

#### 2.5 Statistical Analysis

All the generated data were subjected to analysis of variance (ANOVA) with the General Linear Model using General Statistics (GenStat, 2010). The standard error of difference between means (s.e.d) was used to compare the treatment means at statistical significance level of  $p \leq 0.05$ .

### 3. Results and Discussion

#### 3.1 Initial Soil Characteristics of the Study Sites

Table 1. Initial soil chemical and physical characteristics

Sampling Sites	Sega	Bumala	Kuinet	Lavatory	Kangema	Kerugoya
Soil pH <sup>†</sup>	4.65±0.04	4.62±0.03	4.55±0.03	4.07±0.02	4.69±0.05	4.85±0.02
P ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) <sup>‡</sup>	2.13±0.02	2.74±0.02	4.48±0.06	6.08±0.04	6.00±0.03	23.18±0.06
Ca ( $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$ )	2.75±0.01	3.15±0.04	2.69±0.02	1.35±0.03	2.30±0.02	1.95±0.01
Mg ( $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$ )	1.10±0.02	2.05±0.03	0.89±0.04	0.10±0.02	1.35±0.01	1.40±0.03
K ( $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$ )	0.45±0.01	0.37±0.01	0.74±0.02	0.27±0.03	0.33±0.01	0.42±0.01
Al ( $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$ )	2.07±0.03	2.01±0.03	2.24±0.04	4.29±0.05	3.32±0.02	2.71±0.02
ECEC ( $\text{cmol kg}^{-1}$ )	6.37±0.04	7.58±0.05	6.56±0.02	6.01±0.04	7.30±0.04	6.48±0.05
Al Saturation (%)	32.50±0.56	26.52±0.37	34.15±0.62	71.38±0.49	45.48±0.24	41.82±0.53
Specific Gravity	2.39±0.04	2.33±0.02	2.29±0.01	1.74±0.02	1.82±0.01	1.90±0.02
Sand (%)	56	56	58	70	66	64
Clay (%)	30	28	24	8	14	8
Silt (%)	14	16	18	22	20	28
Textural Class	Sand clay loam	Sand clay loam	Sand clay loam	Sand loam	Sand loam	Sand loam

<sup>†</sup> = 1: 2.5 (soil: water), <sup>‡</sup> = bicarbonate extractable P and ECEC = effective cation exchange capacity.

Table 1 shows the initial soil chemical and physical characteristics of the study sites. Soils of Sega, Bumala, Kuinet Kangema and Kerugoya were strongly acid (pH 4.55-4.85) while Lavatory was extremely acid (pH 4.07) (Kanyanjua et al., 2002). Soils from both regions had high Al levels unsuitable for maize production. Although there are no acceptable critical levels of exchangeable  $\text{Al}^{3+}$ , values  $> 2.0 \text{ cmol Al kg}^{-1}$ , are considered excess for many crops (Landon, 1984). The percent Al saturations of the soils were also high (26.52%-71.38%), since  $> e$

high level 20% Al saturation are unsuitable for most maize germplasm grown by farmers in Kenya (Ligeyo, 2007). Highlands east of RV soils had higher exchangeable  $Al^{3+}$  ( $2.71-4.29 \text{ cmol Al kg}^{-1}$ ) than west of RV ( $2.01-2.24 \text{ cmol Al kg}^{-1}$ ). All the sites had low CEC ( $6.01-7.58 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ ) and exchangeable Ca ( $1.35-3.15 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$ ), since  $CEC < 15 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$  and exchangeable  $Ca^{2+} < 4.0 \text{ cmol kg}^{-1}$  are considered low (Landon, 1984). All the soils were P deficient ( $2.13-6.08 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$ ), except Kerugoya ( $23.18 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$ ). Bicarbonate extractable P levels  $< 10 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$  are considered inadequate for healthy plant growth (Okalebo et al., 2002). Normally, farmers growing tea such as in Kerugoya apply compound NPK fertilizers meant for tea to other crops which increases soil available P in such farms. Acid soils with high levels of Al, low base cations and soil available P such as reported in this study are characteristics of highly weathered, common in SSA (Kanyanjua et al., 2002; van Straaten, 2007; Landon, 1984). West of RV Kenya soils have higher clay content (24-30%) and higher specific gravity (2.29-2.39) than highlands east of RV of 8-14% and 1.74-1.90, respectively. Low specific gravities in the highlands east of RV are characteristics of soils formed from recent volcanic ash (Jaetzold & Schmidt, 1983).

### 3.2 Soil P Sorption Capacities

Table 2 presents Langmuir parameters of the study sites and Figure 1 shows P sorption isotherms. Soils of the highlands east of RV had higher P sorption ( $343-402 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  soil) than those of west ( $107-258 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  soil) (Table 2 and Figure 1). According to Buresh et al. (1997) P sorption levels of 0-100, 100-400 and  $> 400 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$  are classified as low, moderate and high, respectively. Therefore, highlands east of RV had moderate to high P sorption, while soils of the west had moderate P sorption. Earlier studies have also reported similar magnitudes of P sorption in some of the Kenyan acid soils (Kifuko et al., 2007; Obura, 2008; Opala, 2009). The differences observed in P sorption capacities between highlands east and west of RV were due to differences in exchangeable  $Al^{3+}$  and clay minerals (Obura, 2008; Jaetzold & Schmidt, 1983). Higher P sorptions in the highlands east compared to west of RV was due to their high exchangeable  $Al^{3+}$  which reacted with more phosphate ions to form insoluble compounds (Tisdale et al., 1990). The highlands east of RV soils consists predominantly of gibbsite minerals with larger surface area for P sorption compared to kaolinite found in west of RV (Jaetzold & Schmidt, 1983; Obura, 2008; Tisdale et al., 1990; Sanchez, 1976). Soils of the highlands east of RV had higher energies of bonding between soil phosphate ions and the surface of soil particles (k) than west of RV soils hence their higher P sorption. High correlations between energies of bonding and P sorption such as in this study have been reported in Pakistan soils (Chaudhary et al., 2003). The current study revealed that the recommended P fertilizer of  $26 \text{ kg P ha}^{-1}$  for maize production (Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, 1994) was not adequate for optimum maize production at all these sites. This was because it could only supply at most  $11.6 \text{ mg kg}^{-1}$  which was much below soils P sorption capacities of 107 to  $402 \text{ mg P kg}^{-1}$  (Figure 1) required to obtain the recommended solution concentration of  $0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$  that is considered adequate for most crops (Beckwith, 1965).

Table 2. Langmuir P sorption parameters of some Kenyan acid soils

Sites	a) Without lime			b) With lime		
	q ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	b ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	k ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ )	q ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	b ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ )	k ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ )
Sega	258.04±4.51	589.70±7.55	3.89±0.09	92.64±6.13	695.00±5.69	0.77±0.05
Bumala	106.83±6.14	956.00±5.20	0.63±0.11	73.71±4.17	805.00±9.17	0.50±0.07
Kuinet	138.60±5.86	818.00±10.02	1.02±0.10	69.21±6.86	794.00±9.85	0.47±0.03
Lavatory	401.91±7.00	655.00±9.00	7.94±0.06	161.45±6.66	605.00±9.50	1.82±0.08
Kangema	343.36±6.56	602.30±8.50	6.63±0.15	126.29±7.22	653.00±9.54	1.15±0.03
Kerugoya	388.43±6.11	610.90±6.66	8.73±0.06	120.17±6.72	660.00±8.61	1.11±0.05

q = P sorbed per unit soil mass at equilibrium concentration of  $0.2 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$ , b = adsorption maximum ( $\text{mg kg}^{-1}$ ) and k = a constant related to the energy of bonding between soil phosphate ions and the surface of soil particles ( $\text{mg L}^{-1}$ ).




Figure 1. Phosphorus sorption isotherms of the study sites

-L = without lime and +L = with lime.

### 3.3 Soil lime requirements and Its Effect on Soil pH, Al Levels and Available P

Highlands east RV had higher lime requirements (11.35-21.85 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>) than the west (5.28-9.84 tons ha<sup>-1</sup>). Lime significantly ( $p \leq 0.05$ ) reduced exchangeable Al<sup>3+</sup> and increased soil pH and available P (Table 3). Highlands east of RV had higher requirements than the west probably due to its higher levels of exchangeable Al<sup>3+</sup> requiring more Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions to neutralize compared to west. Lime increased soil pH because of the likely displacement of Al<sup>3+</sup>, H<sup>+</sup> and Fe<sup>3+</sup> ions by Ca<sup>2+</sup> ions it contains. This led to the observed reduction in P sorption at all the sites. Similar studies have reported increased soil pH, available P, reduction in Al levels and P sorption in acid soils due to lime application (Bado et al., 2004; Kamprath, 1984; Kanyanjua et al., 2002; Moody et al., 1998; The et al., 2006). Therefore, lime application is important for the management of soil acidity related constraints in the main maize growing areas of Kenya.

Table 3. Lime requirements, effect of lime on soil pH, available P and exchangeable Al<sup>3+</sup>

Sites	Lime requirement (tons ha <sup>-1</sup> )	Soil pH (1:2.5; soil: water)		Exchangeable Al <sup>3+</sup> (cmol kg <sup>-1</sup> )		Available P (mg kg <sup>-1</sup> )‡	
		Control	With lime	Control	With lime	Control	With lime
Sega	8.43	4.76±0.24 <sub>b</sub>	6.78±0.14 <sub>a</sub>	2.28±0.04 <sub>a</sub>	0.01±0.06 <sub>b</sub>	1.11±0.13 <sub>c</sub>	2.17±0.03 <sub>b</sub>
Bumala	5.28	4.69±0.36 <sub>b</sub>	6.55±0.12 <sub>a</sub>	2.16±0.06 <sub>a</sub>	0.02±0.07 <sub>b</sub>	1.80±0.015 <sub>d</sub>	2.49±0.11 <sub>c</sub>
Kuinet	9.84	4.60±0.16 <sub>b</sub>	6.81±0.20 <sub>a</sub>	2.42±0.05 <sub>a</sub>	0.13±0.06 <sub>b</sub>	2.48±0.15 <sub>c</sub>	3.43±0.15 <sub>b</sub>
Lavatory	21.85	4.05±0.13 <sub>b</sub>	6.80±0.15 <sub>a</sub>	4.08±0.07 <sub>a</sub>	0.22±0.07 <sub>b</sub>	3.98±0.12 <sub>d</sub>	5.11±0.14 <sub>c</sub>
Kangema	14.00	4.73±0.23 <sub>b</sub>	6.89±0.18 <sub>a</sub>	3.59±0.06 <sub>a</sub>	0.19±0.08 <sub>b</sub>	5.56±0.11 <sub>c</sub>	7.39±0.82 <sub>b</sub>
Kerugoya	11.35	5.03±0.12 <sub>b</sub>	6.79±0.16 <sub>a</sub>	3.18±0.08 <sub>a</sub>	0.17±0.04 <sub>b</sub>	17.72±0.15 <sub>d</sub>	19.34±0.42 <sub>c</sub>
Mean	11.79	4.64	6.77	2.95	0.12	5.44	6.66
CV %			2.2		5.3		3.2
s.e.d(lime)			0.02		0.03		0.05
s.e.d(sites)			0.01		0.02		0.09

‡= bicarbonate extractable P.

#### 4. Conclusion

The studied soils were strongly to extremely acid, had low base cations, CEC and available P with corresponding high Al levels and P sorptions. Soils of the highlands east of RV had higher P sorption and lime requirements compared to the west due to their higher exchangeable  $Al^{3+}$  and energies of bonding between the soil colloids and phosphate ions. The recommended P fertilizer rate of 26 kg P ha<sup>-1</sup> for maize production in Kenya seemed inadequate to replenish soil P due to its high sorption in these soils. Lime increased soil pH and available P due to reduction in Al levels and P sorption. Therefore, lime application is important for the management of P deficient acid soils found in the different maize growing areas of Kenya. Due to the differences in soil acidity, Al levels and P sorption capacities within and between highlands east and west of RV, blanket P fertilizer and lime recommendations may not serve all soils favourably.

#### Acknowledgement

We are grateful to The McKnight Foundation and Alliance for Green Revolution in Africa (AGRA) for funding the research work. We are also very thankful to the farmers for allowing us to take soil samples from their fields.

#### References

- Bado, B. V., Segedo, M. P., & Lompo, F. (2004). Long term effects of mineral fertilizers, phosphate rock, dolomite and manure on the characteristics on an ultisol and maize yield in Burkina Faso. In A. Bationo et al. (Eds.), *Managing nutrient cycles to sustain soil fertility in sub-Saharan Africa* (pp. 77-88). Netherlands: Springer.
- Bahl, G. S., & Singh, N. T. (1986). Phosphorus diffusion in soils in relation to some edaphic factors and its influence on P uptake by maize and wheat. *The Journal of Agricultural Science*, 107, 335-341. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S002185960008713X>
- Beckwith, R. S. (1965). Sorbed phosphate at standard supernatant concentration as an estimate of the phosphate needs of soils. *Australian Journal of Experimental Agriculture and Animal Husbandry*, 5, 52-58. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/EA9650052>.
- Buresh, R. J., Smithson, P. C., & Hellums, D. T. (1997). Building soil phosphorus capital in Africa. In P. J. Buresh et al. (Eds.), *Replenishing soil fertility in Africa* (pp. 111-149). Soil Science Society of America Special Publication No.51. USA: Madison Wisconsin.
- Cabreba, F., Madrid, L., & de Arambarri, P. (1977). Adsorption of phosphate by various oxides: Theoretication treatment of the adsorption envelope. *Journal of Soil Science*, 28, 306-313. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1977.tb02239.x>
- Chaudhary, E. H., Ranjha, M. A., Gill, M. A., & Mehdi, S. M. (2003). Phosphorus requirement of maize in relation to soil characteristics. *International Journal of Agriculture and Biology*, 5, 625-629.
- Cochrane, T. T., Salinas, J. G., & Sanchez, P. A. (1980). An equation for liming acid mineral soils to compensate crop aluminium tolerance. *Tropical Agriculture (Trinidad)*, 57, 33-40.
- Eswaran, H., Reich, P., & Beigroth, F. (1997). Global distribution of soils with acidity. In A. C. Moniz et al. (Eds.), *Soil Plant Interactions at Low pH* (pp. 159-164). Brazilian Soil Science Society Vicosa.
- Kenya Agricultural Research Institute. (1994). *Fertilizer use recommendations. Vol. 1-22. Fertilizer Use Recommendations Project*. Kenya Agricultural Research Institute, Nairobi Kenya.
- Fixen, P. E., & Grove, J. H. (1990). Testing soil for phosphorus. In R. L. Westerman (Ed.), *Soil Testing and Plant Analysis*, (3rd ed.). (pp. 141-180). Soil Science Society of America Book Number 3. USA: Madison Wisconsin.
- Fox, R. L., & Kamprath, E. J. (1970). Phosphate sorption isotherm for evaluating the phosphate requirement of soils. *Soil Science Society of America Proceedings*, 34, 902-907. <http://dx.doi.org/10.2136/sssaj1970.03615995003400060025x>
- GenStat. (2010). The GenStat Teaching Edition. GenStat Release 7.22 TE, Copyright 2008, VSN International Ltd.
- Giller, K. E., & Wilson, K. J. (1991). *Nitrogen fixation in Tropical cropping systems*. CAB international, Wallingford.
- Jaetzold, R., & Schmidt, H. (Eds.). (1983). *Farm management handbook of Kenya, Vol. IIA Western Kenya and Vol. II B (Central Kenya): Natural conditions and farm management information*. Ministry of

Agriculture/GAT Nairobi and GTZ/Eschborn.

- Jorge, R. A., & Arrunda, P. (1997). Aluminium induced organic acids exudation by roots of aluminium tolerant maize. *Phytochemistry*, 45(4), 675-681. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422\(97\)00044-7](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0031-9422(97)00044-7)
- Kamprath, E. J. (1984). Crop response to lime in the tropics. In F. Adams (Ed.), *Soil acidity and liming* (2nd ed.). (pp. 349-368). Agronomy Monograph 12. Agronomy and Soil Science Society of America, USA: Madison Wisconsin.
- Kanyanjua, S. M., Ileri, L., Wambua, S., & Nandwa, S. M. (2002). Acid soils in Kenya: Constraints and remedial options. *KARI Technical Note No.11*.
- Kifuko, M. N., Othieno, C. O., Okalebo, J. R., Kimenye, L. N., Ndungu, K. W., & Kipkoech, A. K. (2007). Effect of combining organic residues with Minjingu phosphate rock on sorption and availability of phosphorus and maize production in acid soils of western Kenya. *Experimental Agriculture*, 43, 51-66. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0014479706004121>
- Kinraide, T. B. (1991). Identification of rhizotoxic aluminum species. *Plant Soil*, 134, 167-178.
- Kochian, L.V. (1995). Cellular mechanism of aluminium toxicity and resistance in plants. *Annual Review of Plant Physiology*, 46, 237-260. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1146/annurev.pp.46.060195.001321>
- Landon, J. R. (1984). Booker Tropical Soils Manual: *A Handbook for Soil Survey and Agricultural Land Evaluation in the Tropics and Subtropics*. New York: John Wiley & Sons.
- Ligeyo, D. O. (2007). *Genetic analysis of maize (Zea mays L.) tolerance to aluminium toxicity and low phosphorus stress and development of synthetics for use in acid soils of western Kenya*. (Ph.D. thesis) Moi University, Kenya.
- Moody, P. W., Aitken, R., & Dickson, T. (1998). Field amelioration of acid soils in south-east Queensland III. Relationships of maize yield response to lime amended soil properties. *Australian Journal of Agricultural Research*, 49, 649-656. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1071/A97047>
- Muhammad, L., & Underwood, E. (2004). The maize agricultural context in Kenya. In D. A. Andow & A. Hilbeck (Eds.). *Risk assessment of genetically modified organisms: A case study of Bt maize Kenya*. (pp. 21-56). UK: CABI Publishing, Wallingford. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1079/9780851998619.0021>
- Obura, P. A. (2008). *Effects of soil properties on bioavailability of aluminium and phosphorus in selected Kenyan and Brazilian soils*. Ph. D Thesis, Purdue University, USA
- Okalebo, J. R., Gathua, K. W., & Woome, P. L. (2002). Laboratory methods of soil analysis: A working manual (2nd ed.). TSBR-CIAT and SACRED Africa, Nairobi, Kenya.
- Opala, P. A. (2009). *Effect of organic and inorganic phosphorus sources on selected soil chemical properties and maize (Zea mays) yields in acid soil in western Kenya*. (Ph.D. thesis, Moi University, Kenya).
- Ryden, J. C., & Syers, J. K. (1975). Desorption and isotopic exchange relationships of phosphates sorbed by soils and hydrous ferric-oxide gel. *Journal of Soil Science*, 28, 72-92. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2389.1977.tb02297.x>
- Sanchez, P. A. (1976). *Properties and management of soils in the tropics*. New York, NY: John Wiley and Sons.
- Schulze, D., & Santana, D. (2003). A report on Laboratory Analysis of Soils from acid soils-maize growing areas of central and western Kenya, McKnight Foundation, USA, Project. First Year Progress Report. p. 107. (EMBRAPA, Purdue and Cornell Universities (USA) and Moi University (Kenya))
- Smith, M. J. (1981). *Soil Mechanics* (4th ed.). England: Longman Scientific and Technical. UK.
- Sombroek, W. G., Braun, H. M. H., & van de Pouw. (1982). Exploratory soil map and agro-climatic zone map of Kenya. Scale 1:1000,000. Exploratory soil survey report No. E1. Kenya Soil Survey.
- The, C., Calba, H., Zonkeng, C., Ngonkeu, E. L. M., & Adetimirin V. O. (2006). Response of maize grain yield to changes in acid soil characteristics after soil amendment. *Plant Soil*, 284, 45-57. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s11104-006-0029-9>
- Tisdale, S. L., Nelson, W. L., & Beaton. J. D. (1990). *Soil Fertility and Fertilizers*. New York: Macmillan.
- Uehabra, G., & Gillman, G. (1981). *The mineralogy, chemistry and physics of Tropical soils with variable charge clays* (p. 170). Series No. 4 Westview Press, Boulder CO.
- Van Straaten, P. (2007). *Agrogeology: the use of rocks for crops*. Enviroquest Limited, 352 River Road,

Cambridge, Ontario N3C 2B7 Canada, p. 440.

Von Uexkull, H. R., & Mutert, E. (1995). Global extent, development and economic impact of acid soils. *Plant and Soil*, 171, 1-15. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00009558>

Warren, G. (1992). *Fertilizer phosphorus sorption and in tropical African soils. Nairobi Bulletin Number 37*, England: National Research Institute Chatham.