

The Practice Degree of Democratic Behaviors of Male and Female Principals at the Public Basic Schools in Their Tasks Performance in Bani Obeid District, from the Standpoints of Their Teachers

Mufadi Al- Momani¹ & Mahmoud Hasan Ajlouni²

¹ AL-Balqa Applied University, Jordan

² AL-Balqa Applied University, Jordan

Correspondence: Mufadi Al- Momani, AL-Balqa Applied University. Jordan.

Received: May 2, 2018 Accepted: May 16, 2018 Online Published: May 29, 2018

doi:10.5539/res.v10n3p12

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5539/res.v10n3p12>

Abstract

The study aimed to identify the practice degree of democratic behaviors of male and female principals at the public basic schools in their tasks performance at Bani Obeid district, from the standpoints of their teachers. A questionnaire prepared by Abu-Hayja' in (2005) was developed to achieve this goal, and it consist of (46) items in its final version. The study populations consist of all male and female teachers at the public basic schools in Bani Obeid district, and (560) of those were selected as the study sample, in order to reach the objectives. For data analysis, the arithmetic means and standard deviations of the responses were calculated about the practice degree of democratic behaviors by their teachers, where ANOVA and MANOVA were used, and then they were statistically processed using SPSS. The study results showed the existence of statistically significant differences at the significance level of ($\alpha=0.05$) between the subjects estimates of the practice degree of democratic behaviors of basic schools principals in their tasks performance that could be attributed to the teacher's sex and years of experience variables. The results also showed a non-existence of statistically significant differences at level ($\alpha=0.05$) between the arithmetic means related to the practice degree, as a whole that could be attributed to the variables of qualification, experience, and sex.

Keywords: democratic behaviors, male and female principals, public basic schools, Bani Obeid district, practice degree, tasks performance

1. Introduction

The school considers one of the most important humanitarian organizations on the earth surface, due to the very huge numbers of student who attend these schools, between the ages of 4 and 18, and the school as an educational institution carries out the task of preparing generations and make them ready for the future. The school is no longer a place for education only, but it become concerned about all of the intellectual, spiritual, and physical aspects of the learner or student, and this great importance of school, at no doubt reflect on the importance of school management (Al-Bohi & Bayoumy, 2012).

Democracy in the modern age has achieved a historical victory, over all other alternatives and regimes, where everyone today and from the various intellectual trends: patriots and nationalists, religious and secular, and centrist and leftist declare their favoritism of democracy, and they talk about the advantages of democratic governance, where democracy became, as a governance system, identical to the concept of legitimacy in the modern political life, with all decisions, laws, and policies are acceptable and justifiable, if it were taken in a democratic way (Bani Salameh, 2011).

The emergence of democratic education, impose on the systems new roles and responsibilities that make it necessary to care about it, where democratic education led to expansion in the educational services, and submit them to different group of students, more than ever, and therefore the task of education is no longer identification and selection of individuals who weren't provided with the educational opportunities, but its mission become to provide the conditions, that help everyone reach the desired fate, which their readiness permit, and this require teachers to prepare themselves and get ready to face that through getting familiar with the diverse cultural and social backgrounds, in order to define the students educational needs and help them adapt to the learning environments (Ibrahim, 2010).

There are no doubt, that education democracy became an issue that its roots hit in the depth of educational philosophies and educational policies in the developed countries, and its branches launch to deal with all the issues, perspectives, and elements that related to the education in general, and basic education in particular, in addition education democracy looks like an educational and learning issue externally, but cultural, political, and social issue in its essence, where democracy

forms in the educational system are in the vast majority of it, natural extension of democracy in the society (Abu Sharaa, 2008).

Unfortunately, lots of our schools are seen as traditional in its ways, methods, means, and ideas, where the employees in it must work according to specific, strict, and firm rules, that don't allow for creative and innovative spirit to appear, develop, and evolve with its members, and this will expose the educational process for deficiency and wrong doing, due to the management practices perform by some of the principals, which characterized by a lack of democratic spirit in it, therefore it became important to care and pay attention to the school administration and develop it, and train staffs in it on the modern management methods, in order to make from the principal an educational leader who own the ability to achieve the educational goals.

2. Study Problem & Questions

Many teachers and students complain about the non-democratic behaviors of their schools' principals, and the control and sway of those principals to make the school related decisions alone, at the same time as a lot of principals claim their behaviors characterize as a democracy. The study problem represents the practice degree of male and female principals' democratic behaviors in their tasks performance, from the standpoints of their teachers. The study will address the following questions, related to the problem:

1. What is the practice degree of democratic behaviors of public basic schools male and female principals at their tasks performance, from the standpoints of their teachers?
2. Is there any statistically significant differences at the level ($\alpha = 0.05$), between the study sample members' estimates, for the democratic behaviors practice degree of public basic schools male and female principals in their tasks performance, due to the teacher variables of sex, qualifications, and years of experience?

1. Study goals

The study aimed to identify the practice and implementation degree of basic governmental schools male and female principals, in Bani Obeid District, to the democracy principles and behaviors, from the standpoints of their teachers.

2. Study importance

The study of management styles in the educational administration represent a critical importance, where the leadership style of male and female principals impact employees' performance, productivity, and job satisfaction, and while management practices the democratic leadership style, it would lead to facilitate the work and encourage employees to do it, which leads school to achieve its desired objectives.

3. Previous studies

Al-Masaeid conducted a study (2012) that aimed to identify the democratic principles, and its level of implementation by the principals of public basic schools in the Northern Badiya District, from the teachers' perspective, and showed the differences in their perspectives, depending on experience and qualifications. The study found that most democratic principles were practiced by the schools principals, according to the perspective of teachers at the public basic school in the Northern Badiya District were related to the field of justice and equality, but the least practiced democratic area was the aspect of participating in the decision making process. The study also found a non-existence of statistically significant differences, due to the qualification, while there are statistically significance differences, due to years of experience and in favor of long expertise.

But the study of Al-Reemy (2011), entitled: "The practice level of College of Education faculty members to the democratic values", aimed to detect the practice level of faculty members at the College of Education, to the democratic values at Sana'a University, and reached the following results: the practice took positive indication for the values of equality and human relations, while it took negative indication for the values of participation and freedom, according to the perspective of students, and it also refer to a positive practice of the democratic values, from the point of view of faculty members, for all values and at high degree. In addition, the study arrived to results that suggest the existence of differences in the practice level, due to the sex variable on the human relations and participation, and in favor of male.

While the study of (Bahmueller, 2009) aimed to develop an international general framework for education in the democratic world, and at first the author wonders about the possibility of reaching an international agreement related to the meaning of democracy and education, in order to provide the democratic citizen, and the author says that for the purpose of answering this question, a collaborative project has been created and managed by the civic educational center in the city of Calabasas, California. The objectives of this project summarizes in developing the general framework of democracy, and the development means creating a renewed definition clearance for the meaning of democracy, where it enable educators; in any country to prepare their students to become an active citizens, and at responsible level in the democratic country.

But the study of (Alfano, 2008) entitled: "Teacher perceptions before the service, toward the meaning and the purpose of democratic education in the rural schools". The purpose of this study that conducted in America was to develop a new strategy for directing the individual attention and concern in the teacher training programs, to introduce the international educational innovations and restorations, and encourage teachers to get a scientific qualification or a degree during the service, to recognize the objectives of democratic education. The study results showed that training teachers, in the framework of principles and concepts related to the democratic education in an academic atmosphere, will contribute significantly to the employment of modern educational methods, such as exploration and dialogue, and the enhancement of teachers' democratic principles, which lead to an effective education.

3. Study Methodology

3.1 Procedural Definitions

Male and Female principals: they are the individuals who manage the basic schools in the educational directorate of Bani Obeid district.

3.2 Study Limitations

The study was limited to the female and male teachers of public basic schools, in the directorate of education of Bani Obeid district, for the academic year (2012/2013), with the exception of teachers who were hired on the supplemental education account.

3.3 Methods & Procedures

This description deal with an explanation for the study population, study sample and its selection method, its description of the study tool used, its implementation procedures, study variables, and the statistical analysis used to arrive to the results related to the study questions.

3.4 Study Population

The study population consist of all male and female teachers at the public basic schools in Bani Obeid District, who amounted to (560) male and female teachers for the school year (2012/2013), and the following table shows the distribution of study population members, according to the town and sex variables:

Table 1. Distribution of population members, according to the town and sex variables

Town	Male	Female
Assarih	75	80
Huson	77	81
Alnymh	50	47
Aidoun	45	40
Kitem	28	25
Shatana	6	6

3.5 Study Sample

The study sample consisted of all members of population, due to its small size, from the point view of researcher, and the easy access to all its members.

3.6 Study Tool

A questionnaire prepared by Abu Al-Hayja (2005) was used in this study, which was developed to measure the implementation level of democratic principles at the basic school principals in Irbid governorate, from the viewpoint of teachers. To ensure the validity of questionnaire to be implemented on the population of this study, the researcher developed it and revised it to include (46) paragraphs; in its final form and gave each paragraph of the tool listed weight, according to Likert fifth scale.

Table 2. Distribution of the questionnaire paragraphs on the five areas of study

Field/ Areas	Paragraphs	Paragraphs total
Team spirit work principle	1-10	10
Participate in decision making	11-19	9
Justice & equality	20-28	9
Authorities and powers delegation	29-37	9
Freedom of opinion and expression	38-46	9

3.7 Tool Consistency and Sincerity

The researcher was satisfied with the consistency and sincerity procedures that were performed by Abu Al-Hayja, to verify the consistency and sincerity of the tool.

3.8 Study Procedures

- Permission was obtained from the officials of the Ministry of education to implement the tool.
- Researcher interviewed male and female principals and met with the concerned teachers, and also clarifies the study importance.

The researcher depends on the consistency and sincerity of the tool extracted by Abu Al-Hayja, and distributed the questionnaire by hand on the sample members of (560) male and female teachers, scattered on the educational locations and schools of Assarih, Huson, Alnymh, Aidoun, Kitem, and Shatana, and where retrieved in the same way, after explaining to the sample members how to fill the questionnaire, and after answering their questions, with emphasis on the importance of accuracy and objectivity, where this information will be used for research purposes only. The total number of recovered questionnaire were (336) with (60%) of the study population, which were analyzed and the results generated from it, by using the computer and SPSS program.

3.9 Statistical Treatment

1. The one-sided analysis of variance for the study tool (ANOVA).
2. Multivariate analysis of variance for the study tool (MANOVA).

4. Study Results Display, Discussion, and Recommendations

This section provide an overview of the study results, discuss it, and interpret it, and also display the recommendations and suggestions of the researcher, where the purpose of this study was to identify the practice degree of democratic behaviors of male and female principals at the public basic schools in their tasks performance at Bani Obeid District, from the standpoints of their teachers:

First: the results related to answering the question which stated: "What is the practice degree of democratic behaviors of male and female principals at the public basic schools in their tasks performance at Bani Obeid District, from the standpoint of their teachers?"

In view of the many results related to this question, and in order to simplify the presentation and display of its results, the researcher saw to divide it into two parts, as follow:

a) In relation to the study tool and its areas:

The arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies were calculated for the study tool and its areas, as in table (3):

Table 3. Arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies for the study tool and its areas

Area number	Area content	Area rank	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large	Arithmetic mean	STDEV	Practice degree
1	Team spirit work principle	1	11	20	48	87	64	3.750	0.76	Large
3	Justice & equality principle	2	14	24	55	80	57	3.612	0.86	
4	Authorities & powers delegation principle	3	17	31	58	74	50	3.469	0.84	Medium
5	Freedom of opinion & expression principle	4	20	34	65	71	41	3.343	0.94	
2	Participate in decision making principle	5	25	35	64	69	34	3.206	0.90	
The practice degree of democratic behaviors of male and female principals at the public basic schools in their tasks performance at Bani Obeid District, from the standpoint of their teachers.										Medium

It notices from table (3) that the results came within the following ranks:

1. The area of team spirit work principle came in the first place with an arithmetic mean of (3.750), within a large practice degree.
2. The area of justice & equality principle came in the second place with an arithmetic mean of (3.612), and also within a large practice degree.
3. The area of authorities & power delegation principle came in the third place with an arithmetic mean of (3.469), within a medium practice degree.
4. The area of freedom of opinion & expression principle came in the fourth place with an arithmetic mean of (3.343), within a medium practice degree.
5. Finally, the area of participation in the decision making principle came in the fifth and last place with an arithmetic mean of (3.206), and also within a medium practice degree.

Knowing that, the degree of overall practice, came within an arithmetic mean degree of (3.482).

b) In relation to the paragraphs of study tool areas:

To simplify the display of results related to this part, it has been divided into five items, as follows:

1. In regard to the paragraphs of team spirit work principle: the arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies were calculated for the paragraphs of team spirit work principle area, as in table (4)

Table 4. Arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies for the paragraphs of team spirit

Paragraph number	Team spirit work principle	Paragraph rank	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large	Arithmetic mean	STDEV	Practice degree
7	Teachers take a look at most incoming official speeches and books to the school	1	4	16	30	83	97	4.1	0.99	Large
1	Encourage teachers to develop the spirit of cooperation and teamwork	2	7	9	37	98	79	4.013	0.97	
2	Encourage teachers to develop social relations	3	8	11	42	78	91	4.013	1.04	
4	Consider and respect the social, humanitarian, and professional circumstances of teachers.	4	9	21	53	66	81	3.822	1.13	
5	Encourage and stimulate the spirit of entrepreneurship, innovation, and creativity upon teachers and students	5	8	15	50	98	59	3.804	1.01	
3	Fosters the spirit of communication between teachers and students	6	11	19	44	98	58	3.752	1.07	
6	Encourage group activities among the students	7	9	24	47	101	49	3.683	1.04	
8	Ensure the local community participation in school activities	8	10	28	56	94	42	3.565	1.06	
10	Play an active role in helping teachers to overcome the difficulties that face them	9	20	31	56	82	41	3.404	1.23	Medium
9	Discuss the exams' results with the teachers, in order to develop corrective plans for students with low academic achievement	10	25	28	63	71	43	3.34	1.23	

It notices from table (4) that paragraphs with the ranks (1-8), recorded an arithmetic means ranging from (3.656-4.100) respectively in descending order, with large practice degrees for each rank, and standard deviations ranging between (0.97-1.13), while the paragraphs (9&10) recorded an arithmetic means amounted to (3.404& 3.343) respectively, in descending order, within a medium practice degree and standard deviations of (1.18 & 1.23) respectively.

2. In regard to the paragraphs (participate in decision making principle): The arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies were calculated for the paragraphs (participate in decision making principle) area, as in table (5):

Table 5. Arithmetic means, STDEV, and observed frequencies for paragraphs of participation in the decision making

Paragraph number	Participation in the decision making principle area	Paragraph rank	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large	Arithmetic mean	STDEV	Practice degree
19	Teachers participate in holding regular meetings to assess work progress, and give advice and guidance	1	10	32	46	101	41	3.570	1.07	Large
18	Teachers participate in the plan of different activities at school level	2	15	22	58	93	42	3.543	1.10	
17	Teachers participate in making internal decisions when there's an emergency that concern school	3	17	44	57	67	45	3.296	1.20	Medium
11	Teachers participate in developing and implementing school plans	4	26	30	64	70	40	3.213	1.23	
14	Parents and teachers participate in improving the level of school students	5	24	33	77	62	29	3.170	1.18	
12	Teachers participate in work evaluation and implementation of educational decisions.	6	22	4	74	65	29	3.113	1.15	
15	Parents participate in solving school problems in general	7	40	47	73	59	29	3.004	1.16	
13	Student Council participate in the planning and managing of school activities	8	40	39	60	62	16	2.600	1.28	
16	Parents and teachers participate in evaluating curriculum and textbooks and filed it for stakeholders	9	53	58	63	40	16	3.004	1.21	

It notices from table (5) that paragraphs (18&19), recorded an arithmetic means of (3.570& 3.543) respectively in descending order, with large decision making principle for each of them, and standard deviations of (1.10& 1.07) respectively, while the paragraphs with the ranks (11-17) recorded an arithmetic means ranged between (2.60- 3.34), with a medium decision making principle and standard deviations of (1.15-1.28).

3. In regard to the paragraphs (justice & equality principle): the arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies were calculated for the paragraphs of (justice & equality principle) area, as in table (6):

Table 6. Arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies for the paragraphs of (justice & equality)

Paragraph number	(Justice & equality principle) area	Paragraph rank	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large	Arithmetic mean	STDEV	Practice degree
24	Implement the instructions of success and completion rates on all students according to the laws and regulations at the Ministry of education	1	10	19	51	83	67	3.774	1.09	Large
21	Equals between teachers when distributing the quotas in lesson table	2	18	17	53	77	65	3.670	1.19	
25	Apply the laws, regulations, and instructions on teachers and students objectively and neutrally	3	9	26	55	90	50	3.635	1.06	
22	Deal with students on the fairness basis and democracy, consider their views, and take the right ones	4	14	19	66	76	55	3.604	1.12	
23	Provides the advice and guidance for teachers and focuses on the positive trends in their area of work	5	9	29	57	84	51	3.604	1.08	
26	Give all teachers and students a sense of respect and equal treatment	6	18	23	53	78	58	3.587	1.19	
20	Evaluate teachers with the highest objectivity	7	15	23	57	83	52	3.583	1.14	
28	Work to fight the clannish between students and teachers	8	20	30	46	68	66	3.565	1.27	
27	Respond to students with special needs	9	15	30	61	77	47	3.483	1.15	Medium

It notices from table (6) that paragraphs with the ranks (20&26), in addition to paragraph (28) recorded an arithmetic means between (3.565-3.774) respectively in descending order, with large (justice & equality principle) for each of them, and standard deviations range between (1.06- 1.27) respectively, while the paragraph with the rank (27) recorded an arithmetic means of (3.483), with a medium (justice & equality principle) and standard deviation of (1.15).

4. In regard to the paragraphs (Authorities & powers delegation principle)area : the arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies were calculated for the paragraphs of (Authorities & powers delegation principle)area, as in table (7):

Table 7. Arithmetic means, STDEV, and observed frequencies for paragraphs of (Authorities & powers delegation) area

Paragraph number	(Authorities & powers delegation principle)area	Paragraph rank	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large	Arithmetic mean	STDEV	Practice degree
36	Assign to second-in-command or assistant the powers to manage school and run its affairs in their absence	1	4	14	27	73	112	4.196	0.99	Large
34	Authorize teachers the competence to supervise building maintenance and cleanliness, and school facilities	2	15	29	49	86	51	3.561	1.16	
32	Engages student council in following up students daily absence, and informing school management about it	3	20	28	61	70	51	3.452	1.21	Medium
29	Delegate part of their powers to some teachers who are able to solve the sudden daily problems in school, to develop their leadership spirits	4	21	24	64	75	46	3.439	1.19	
37	Develop joint plans for the joint teacher per subject, to improve their performance and raise students level of achievement	5	17	30	68	71	44	3.413	1.16	
35	Authorize teachers and students the power to oversee, develop, and beautify the school garden	6	20	35	57	71	47	3.391	1.22	
30	Delegate to students the management of some school activities to develop their leadership spirit	7	17	41	53	76	43	3.378	1.19	
31	Engages student council in managing some school activities	8	20	40	64	71	35	3.265	1.17	
33	Involve teachers and parents in school activities planning and sponsoring it	9	22	40	77	70	21	3.122	1.1	

It notices from table (7) that paragraphs (34&36), recorded an arithmetic means of (3.561& 4.196) respectively in a descending order, with large (authorities & powers delegation principle)area for each of them, and standard deviations of (1.16&0.99) respectively, while the paragraphs with the ranks (29, 30, 31, 32, 33, 35, 36) recorded an arithmetic means ranged between (3.122-3.452), with a medium (authorities & powers delegation principle)area and standard deviation ranged between (1.10-1.22).

5. In regard to the paragraphs (freedom of opinion & expression principle) area: the arithmetic means, standard deviations, and observed frequencies were calculated for the paragraphs of (freedom of opinion & expression principle)area, as in table (8):

Table 8. Arithmetic means, STDEV, and observed frequencies for paragraphs of (freedom of opinion & expression) area

Paragraph number	(Freedom of opinion & expression principle)area	Paragraph rank	Very small	Small	Medium	Large	Very large	Arithmetic mean	STDEV	Practice degree
43	Accepts teacher's opinions and suggestions during the official meetings	1	17	20	54	68	71	3.678	1.21	Large
38	Gives students the opportunity to express their opinions freely and openly, within educational disciplines	2	15	22	52	86	55	3.626	1.14	
40	Listen to parents opinions about the school level and its effectiveness	3	10	18	67	90	45	3.617	1.02	
42	Recognize teachers opinions and the mechanisms to implement it in the area of raising students scientific level	4	10	29	61	92	38	3.517	1.05	
41	Show concern about the suggestions provided from teachers to develop the training programs during service	5	17	28	72	80	33	3.365	1.1	Medium
39	Encourage open meetings between students and teachers to listen to their opinions about their problems.	6	31	35	67	63	34	3.148	1.24	
46	Encourage the educational development council to provide suggestions about improving the education process	7	31	46	61	58	34	3.078	1.26	
44	Encourage the open regular meetings between students and teachers to see their opinions about teaching methods	8	21	53	73	89	24	3.052	1.13	
45	Encourage students to write the reports that carry their opinions and treatment of their daily problems	9	26	56	74	39	35	3.004	1.22	

It notices from table (8) that paragraphs (38, 40, 42, 43), recorded an arithmetic means ranged between (3.517- 3.678) respectively in descending order, with large (freedom of opinion & expression principle)area for each of them, and standard deviations that ranged between (1.02-1.21) respectively, while the paragraphs with the ranks (39, 41, 44, 45, 46) recorded an arithmetic means ranged between (3.004-3.365), with a medium (freedom of opinion & expression principle)area and standard deviation ranged between (1.10-1.26).

Second: the results related to answering the question which stated: "Is there any statistically significant differences at the level ($\alpha = 0.05$), between the study sample members' estimates, for the democratic behaviors practice degree of public basic schools male and female principals in their tasks performance at Bani Obeid District, from the standpoints of their teachers due to the study variables of sex, qualifications, and years of experience?"

In view of the many results related to this question, and in order to simplify the presentation and display of its results, the researcher saw to divide it into two parts, as follow:

a. In relation to the general indicator (Study tool):

The Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated for the study tool according to the different categories and levels of each study variables, as in table (9):

Table 9. Arithmetic means and STDEV for the overall practice degree of behaviors, according to the study variables

Independent variable	Categories	Arithmetic means	STDEV
Qualifications	Less than Bachelor	3.664	0.781
	Bachelor and higher	3.461	0.76
Years of experience	Less than 2 yrs	3.628	0.5
	2 yrs and more	3.443	0.82
Sex	Male	3.567	0.83
	Female	3.39	0.68

It notices from table (9) the existence of virtual differences between the arithmetic means related to the overall practice degree, according to the different categories and levels of each study variables, and in order to verify the substantial variances or virtual differences, the single variance analysis of factorial design (2; 2; 2) was performed for the main effects, as in table (10):

Table 10. Results of single variance analysis for the overall practice degree of democratic behaviors

Variance source	SS	DF	MS	F-value	Statistical Sig	Scientific Sig
Qualification	0.547	1	0.547	0.955	0.329	0.4%
experience	1.645	1	1.645	2.872	0.092	1.3%
Sex	2.113	1	2.113	3.690	0.056	1.6%
Error	129.437	226	0.573			
Overall	133.593	229				

It shows from table (10) the non-existence of statistically significant differences at level ($\alpha = 0.05$) between the arithmetic means related to overall practice degree, due to any of the study variables (qualification, experience, and sex).

b. In relation to the special indicator (Study tool areas):

The Arithmetic means and standard deviations were calculated for the study tool areas, according to the different categories and levels of each study variables, as in table (11):

Table 11. Arithmetic means and STDEV for the secondary practice degree of democratic behaviors, for each one of the study tool principle, separately according to the study variables

Independent variable	Category	Statistical	Team spirit	Participate	in Justice	& Authorities	and Freedom of opinion
			work	decision	making	powers	and expression
			principle	principle	principle	principle	principle
Qualification	Less than Bachelor	Mean	3.958	3.417	3.787	3.583	3.542
	Bachelor	STDEV	0.78	0.91	0.83	0.88	0.89
	Bachelor and higher	Mean	3.726	3.181	3.591	3.455	3.32
		STDEV	0.75	0.90	0.87	0.84	0.94
Yrs. of experience	Less than 2 yrs.	Mean	3.773	3.324	3.808	3.653	3.565
		STDEV	0.59	0.64	0.52	0.73	0.71
	2 yrs. and higher	Mean	3.744	3.175	3.560	3.420	3.284
		STDEV	0.80	0.96	0.93	0.87	0.98
Sex	Male	Mean	3.850	3.335	3.772	3.413	3.434
		STDEV	0.80	0.97	0.90	0.91	1.00
	Female	Mean	3.643	3.067	3.439	3.529	3.245

STDEV	0.70	0.81	0.80	0.77	0.85
-------	------	------	------	------	------

It notices from table (11) the existence of virtual differences between the arithmetic means related to the secondary practice degree, for each area of the study tool according to the different categories and levels of each study variables, and in order to verify the substantial variances or virtual differences, it's a must to use either the single variance analysis or the multiple variance analysis, and in order to determine which one of them should be used, the correlation relationships were calculated between the areas, as in table (12):

Table 12. Virtual correlation relationship of the study tool principles

	Team spirit work principle	Participate in decision making principle	Justice & equality principle	Authorities and powers delegation
Participate in decision making principle	0.77*			
Justice & equality principle	0.74*	0.79*		
Authorities and powers delegation principle	0.60*	0.73*	0.70*	
Freedom of opinion and expression principle	0.73*	0.77*	0.79*	0.76*

* Significant at error probability of ≥ 0.05

It notices from table (12) that correlation relationships between the study tool areas were statistically significant at level ($\alpha=0.05$), in addition to its significant value and according to that multiple variance analysis of factorial design (2; 2; 2) was performed for the main effects, as in table (13):

Table 13. Results of multiple variance analysis for the secondary practice degree of democratic behaviors

The effect	Multiple test	Multiple test value	Calc. overall F-value	Theory DF	Error DF	Statistical Sig	Scientific Sig
Qualification	Hotelling's	0.008	0.339	5	222	0.889	0.8%
	Trace						
Experience	Hotelling's	0.043	1.902	5	222	0.095	4.1%
	Trace						
Sex	Hotelling's	0.161	7.169*	5	222	0.000	13.9%
	Trace						

* Significant at error probability of ≥ 0.05

It notices from table (13) the existence of statistically significant effect at level ($\alpha=0.05$), in the democratic behaviors secondary practice degree of the study tool principles due to the independent study variable (Sex), while the existence of statistically significant effect for the rest of independent study variables weren't approve, and in order to verify any of the study tool areas had the effect of sex variable, the single variance analysis of factorial design (2; 2; 2) was performed for the main effects, as in table (14):

Table 14. Results of single variance analysis for secondary practice degree of democratic behaviors, for each separately

Variance source	Dependent variable	SS	DF	MS	F-value	Statistical Sig	Scientific Sig
Qualification	Team spirit work	0.875	1	0.875	1.545	0.215	0.7%
	Participate in decision making	0.718	1	0.718	0.902	0.343	0.4%

	Justice & equality	0.325	1	0.325	0.457	0.5	0.2%
	Authorities and powers delegation	0.307	1	0.307	0.434	0.51	0.2%
	Freedom of opinion and expression	0.591	1	0.591	0.688	0.408	0.3%
	Team spirit work	0.112	1	0.112	0.197	0.658	0.1%
	Participate in decision making	1.342	1	1.342	1.688	0.195	0.7%
Experience	Justice & equality	3.48	1	3.48	4.886	0.028	2.1%
	Authorities and powers delegation	1.614	1	1.614	2.282	0.132	1.0%
	Freedom of opinion and expression	3.568	1	3.568	4.159	0.043	1.8%
	Team spirit work	2.316	1	2.316	4.088*	0.044	1.8%
	Participate in decision making	4.455	1	4.455	5.602*	0.019	2.4%
Sex	Justice & equality	7.381	1	7.381	10.363*	0.001	4.4%
	Authorities and powers delegation	0.518	1	0.518	0.732	0.393	0.3%
	Freedom of opinion and expression	2.662	1	2.662	3.103	0.80	1.4%
	Team spirit work	128.065	226	0.567			
	Participate in decision making	179.722	226	0.795			
Error	Justice & equality	160.964	226	0.712			
	Authorities and powers delegation	159.909	226	0.708			
	Freedom of opinion and expression	193.898	226	0.858			
	Team spirit work	131.555	229				
	Participate in decision making	186.086	229				
Overall	Justice & equality	171.327	229				
	Authorities and powers delegation	162.73	229				
	Freedom of opinion and expression	200.374	229				

* Significant at error probability of ≥ 0.05

It notices from table (14) the existence of statistically significant effect at level ($\alpha=0.05$), for the secondary practice degree due to the independent study variable (Sex) on the secondary areas, Team spirit work principle, Participate in decision making principle, and Justice & equality principle, while it didn't show an effect for appointing the study variables.

Third: Discuss the results related to first question

The results related to first question, which states: "What is the practice degree of democratic behaviors of public basic schools male and female principals at their tasks performance, from the standpoints of their teachers?" showed that "team spirit work principle" ranked first with an arithmetic mean of (3.75), followed by the "principle of Justice and equality", and then came the "principle of authorities and powers delegation", followed by the "freedom of opinion and expression principle", and finally the "principle of participation in decision making" ranked last.

Researcher sees that rank of "team spirit work principle" first, considers good thing in the area of educational management, which is an evidence on the maturity of school managing departments, while the rank of "principle of participation in decision making" in the last place, with medium practice degree considers risky or dangerous matter in the educational management field, and its according to the researcher opinion a reflection of the dictatorship principle in decision making,

which the school principal was raised on as a student, teacher, and then as a principal and stayed with him, and didn't benefit much from the modern management principles and trends that principal studied during the preparation and qualification period for the school management. These results are consistent with the study results of (Al-Swalmah, 2000 & Al-Masaeid, 2001).

The researcher also found in regard to the paragraphs of "team spirit work principle" area, that paragraph "Teachers take a look at most incoming official speeches and books to the school" ranked first in terms of arithmetic mean, and the large practice degree, which is expected by the researcher, due to the managerial responsibility presented upon the school principal, to inform the teachers about the official books, but in relation to the last paragraph in this area, "Ensure the local community participation in school activities", it came last, but with large practice degree, and the researcher attributes the reason for that to the principal need of local community to the moral and material cooperation with it, in order to support and promote the school, where the paragraph "Play an active role in helping teachers to overcome the difficulties that face them" got a medium practice degree, but it had higher arithmetic mean than the paragraph "Discuss the exams' results with the teachers, in order to develop a corrective plan for students with low academic achievement", and the researcher explains the reason for that to the unfriendly relationship between the principal and teachers, or due to the principal's interest in the topics that present him (her) as a principal, in terms of non-methodological activities, and strengthen his (her) role in front of officials and authorities.

In relation to the (participate in decision making principle) area that took the last place, in terms of arithmetic mean and medium practice degree, the two paragraphs: "Teachers participate in holding regular meetings to assess work progress, and give advice and guidance" and "Teachers participate in the plan of different activities at the school level" and clarify this issue by the need to conduct periodic meetings to study the letters and textbooks sent by the general directorates of schools. In addition to the need for principal and teachers to meet regularly to examine the issues and matters related to the school, where teachers consider the key element for implementing the educational and school activities, which makes it necessary for the school principal to involve teachers in planning for it.

Fourth: Discuss the results related to second question

In relation to the second question, which states: "Is there any statistically significant differences at the level ($\alpha = 0.05$), between the study sample members' estimates, for the democratic behaviors practice degree of public basic schools male and female principals in their tasks performance, due to the teacher variables of sex, qualifications, and years of experience?" the results of general indicator (study tool) showed the non-existence of significant differences at ($\alpha = 0.05$) between the arithmetic means related to the overall practice degree, due to any of the variables (qualification, experience, sex), and the researcher explains this matter by the concept of democracy which grows with humans since they are young age, and during the age of twenty this concept would have matured in a good way. In addition, the teaching and working in the education field would help to understand and assimilate this concept much better.

In terms of experience, the researcher sees that results came opposite to what had been assumed and also opposite to the personal belief of the researcher, where the researcher assumes that experience helps to develop the concept of democracy, and therefore increase the sensitivity of paragraphs to detect the practice degree of school principals to the democratic principles.

In terms of sex, the researcher didn't expect an effect of sex, where democracy is a concept that human races live through, regardless of sex. These results are consistent with the study of (Al-Hashyan, 2000 & Al-Swalmah, 2000), in terms of the non-existence of differences due to sex, the study of (Al-Hashyan, 2000), in terms of the non-existence of statistically significant differences due to experience, and the study of (Masaeid, 2001) in terms of the non-existence of statistically significant differences due to qualification.

In relation to the correlation relationships between the study tool areas, it was statistically significant at ($\alpha = 0.05$), and these correlations range between (0.60-0.79), and somewhat reflect the good relationships between each one of them, which confirms that paragraphs somewhat based on measuring the same characteristic.

The study results indicated a statistically significant effect for the secondary practice degree, which attributes to sex in the following areas: The team spirit work principle, the participation in decision making principle, and the principle of Justice and equality, and in favor of males. The researcher attributes this matter to the degree of freedom and power that males enjoy, and to the patriarchal education in society that gave them power at statistically significant differences more than females.

In general, the researcher sees some bright aspects in the field of democracy, but there are some sections and areas that study results revealed a moderate or weak practices in it, which makes the researcher to recommend more attention and concern by the Ministry of education to the area of decision making participation, and the area of authority delegation to male and female principals.

References

- Abu Alhija, Rahman (2005). Democratic principles and its implementation at basic public school principals of Irbid governorate, from the perspective of teachers. Unpublished master thesis. Yarmouk University. Irbid-Jordan.
- Alfano, Micmhael (2011). Pre-service teacher" perceptions and the meaning and purpose of democratic education in urban schools: A case study. CRE IC, DAI – A 62/09.p.3016.mar 2002.
- Abu Sharah, Khalid & Gapari, Thair (2008). Future education indications and ambition. Amman. Edition 1. Arab Society library for publication and distribution
- Bahmueller, C. (2009). Developing on international framework for Education in democracy. Office of Educational Research and improvement (ED). Washington DC. (E00036). U.S. Indiana
- Bani Salameh, Mohamed (2011). Democratic transformation process in the Sultanate of Oman. Al-Manara. Vol. 13. No. 7.
- Bohi, Farouk & Bayoumy, Mohamed (2012). School elementary management. Cairo. Dar Al-kitab
- Ibrahim, M. (2010). Democracy and education, toward a future vision in the democracy and education in the Arab world. Arabic Unity Studies Center. P.O. box 521
- Masaeid, Mufadi (2012). The Democratic principles and its implementation level at the managers of public secondary schools in Northern Badiya Brigade from the viewpoint of teachers. Unpublished master thesis. Yarmouk University. Irbid-Jordan
- Powers, Stephen (2000). Human right is orientation of prospective of social stoolies teachers in Nigeria Social Studies. V48. No.6. pp276

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).