The Kernel of the Stochastic Cooperative Game

Hongxin Bai

Mathematics and Computer Department, Baoding University, Baoding 071000, China E-mail: baihongxin1@126.com

Shang Li

Baoding Municipal Experimental Primary School, Baoding 071000, China E-mail: lssy117@126.com

Zhenzhen He

Management Department, Baoding University, Baoding 071000, China E-mail: hellozhenzhen@126.com

Abstract

Based on the concept of the stochastic cooperative game, the concepts such as the kernel and the nucleolus of the countermeasure are extended in this article, and many concepts such as the maximum excess value, the kernel and the nucleolus of the stochastic cooperative countermeasure are defined, and some characters and properties, and the relationships among the kernel, the nucleolus and the minimum core of the stochastic cooperative game are discussed.

Keywords: Minimum core, Nucleolus, Kernel

1. Basic concepts and marks

The stochastic cooperative game introduced by Suijs et al (1995) is denoted by $\Gamma = (N, \{X_S\}_{S \subset N}, (\succ_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}})$, and N is the set of player, and X_S is the payment function of the alliance S, and each stochastic payment has limited anticipation, and $(\succ_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$ is the optimal relation about the player i.

The stochastic payment of the alliance S, X_s , is denoted by a binary pair $(d^s, r^s) \in R^s \times R^s$, and it satisfies $\sum_{i \in S} d_i \leq 0$, $\sum_{i \in s} r_i = 1, r_i \geq 0$, and $i \in S$. According to (d^s, r^s) , the stochastic payment equals to $d_i + r_i X_s$, and is denoted by $(d^s, r^s)_i$. $(d^s, r^s)_i$ is a stochastic variable, and the set of all payments of the alliance S is denoted by Z(S). The set of all individual reasonable payments of the alliance S is denoted by IR(S), i.e.

$$IR(S) = \left\{ \left(d^{S}, r^{S}\right) \in Z(S) \middle| \forall i \in S : d_{i} + r_{i}X_{S} \succ_{i} X_{\{i\}} \right\}$$

Suppose $(d^N, r^N), (\tilde{d}^N, \tilde{r}^N) \in Z(N)$ are two stochastic payments, and if a alliance S exists, for all $i \in S$, $\tilde{d}_i + \tilde{r}_i X_S \succ_i d_i + r_i X_S$, and $\sum_{i \in S} (d^N, r^N)_i \leq X_S$, so $(\tilde{d}^N, \tilde{r}^N)$ is the optimal one in (d^N, r^N) about S, i.e. $(\tilde{d}^N, \tilde{r}^N) \succ_S (d^N, r^N)$.

Define the set of all un-optimal payments of the stochastic cooperative game Γ as the core of Γ , and it is denoted by $core(\Gamma)$, i.e.

$$core(\Gamma) = \left\{ \left(d^{N}, r^{N}\right) \middle| \left(d^{N}, r^{N}\right) \in IR(N), \right\} = \left\{ \left(d^{N}, r^{N}\right) \middle| \left(d^{N}, r^{N}\right) \in IR(N), \text{ and } S \text{ and} \left(\tilde{d}^{N}, \tilde{r}^{N}\right) \in Z(N) \text{ don't exist to make } \left(\tilde{d}^{N}, \tilde{r}^{N}\right) \succ_{S} \left(d^{N}, r^{N}\right).$$

2. Kernel of the stochastic cooperative game

Definition 2.1: Suppose $\Gamma = (N, \{X_S\}_{S \subset N}, (\succ_i)_{i \in N})$ is the stochastic cooperative game $\forall S \subseteq N$ with n players, $(d^N, r^N) \in Z(N)$, $\forall (\tilde{d}, \tilde{r}) \in Z(S)$, so call $e[S, (d, r)] = \sum_{i \in S} (\tilde{d}^S, \tilde{r}^S)_i - \sum_{i \in S} (d^N, r^N)_i$ is the excess value of the alliance S about (d^N, r^N) .

This value reflects the attitude of the alliance S to the distribution (d^N, r^N) . e[S, (d, r)] is bigger, (d^N, r^N) is not welcomed by S. For the fixed $(d^N, r^N) \in Z(N)$, the excess value of 2^n subsets $S \subseteq N$ of N about (d^N, r^N) . And rank them from big to small, a 2^n dimensional vector can be obtained.

$$Q(d,r) = (Q_1(d,r), Q_2(d,r), \dots, Q_{2^n}(d,r))$$

Where, $Q_i(d,r) = e[S_i, (d,r)]$ $(i = 1, 2, \dots, 2^n)$, S_1, S_2, \dots, S_{2^n} is an array of all subsets of N (about (d^N, r^N)) and satisfies

$$e[S_1, (d, r)] \ge e[S_2, (d, r)] \ge \dots \ge e[S_{2^n}, (d, r)]$$

 \leq_L or \geq_L denotes the dictionary sequence in the nucleolus.

Definition 2.2: Suppose $\Gamma = (N, \{X_S\}_{S \subset N}, (\succ_i)_{i \in N})$ is the stochastic cooperative game with n players, $\varepsilon \in R$, $C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) = \{(d^N, r^N) \in Z(N) | e[S, (d, r)] \le \varepsilon, S \subset N, S \ne \emptyset, N\}$, so $C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ is the strong ε core of $C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$. When $\varepsilon = 0$, $\varepsilon = 0$, i.e. the core $C(\Gamma)$ of Γ is the 0 nucleolus, and when ε is small enough, $C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) = \phi$; and when ε is big enough, $C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) \ne \phi$. Suppose $\varepsilon_0 = \inf \{\varepsilon | C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) \ne \phi\}$, so $C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$ is the minimum core of Γ , i.e. $LC(\Gamma)$.

Note M_{ij} is the alliance of the alliances containing i not j in the stochastic cooperative game Γ , i.e.

$$\mathbf{M}_{ij} = \{S \subset 2^n \, \middle| i \in S, j \notin S\}$$

Definition 2.3: For $\forall (d^N, r^N) \in Z(N)$,

$$s_{ij} = \max_{S \in \mathcal{M}_{ij}} e[S, (d, r)]$$

is the maximum excess value of the player i exceeding j at the position of (d^N, r^N) .

Definition 2.4: Suppose $\Gamma = (N, \{X_s\}_{s \subset N}, (\succ_i)_{i \in N})$ is the stochastic cooperative game with n players, and the stochastic payment $(d^N, r^N) \in Z(N)$. And if $i, j \in N$ exists, and satisfies

$$\begin{cases} s_{ij}(d,r) > s_{ji}(d,r) \\ (d^{N},r^{N})_{j} > (\tilde{d}^{\{j\}},\tilde{r}^{\{j\}}) \end{cases}$$

, so at the position of (d^N, r^N) , i outweights j.

Definition 2.5: At the position of (d^N, r^N) , if i doesn't outweight j, and j doesn't outweight i, so i and j are equilibrium at the position of (d^N, r^N) , i.e.

$$\begin{cases} (s_{ij}(d,r) - s_{ji}(d,r))((d^{N}, r^{N})_{j} - (\tilde{d}^{\{j\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{j\}})) \leq 0\\ (s_{ji}(d,r) - s_{ij}(d,r))((d^{N}, r^{N})_{j} - (\tilde{d}^{\{j\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{j\}})) \leq 0 \end{cases}$$

Definition 2.6: The kernel $K(\Gamma)$ of the stochastic cooperative game is the collectivity of all these distributions, and for the distribution (d^N, r^N) , any two players are in equilibrium, i.e.

$$K(\Gamma) = \left\{ (d^{N}, r^{N}) \in Z(N) \middle| \begin{array}{l} (s_{ij}(d, r) - s_{ji}(d, r))((d^{N}, r^{N})_{j} - (\tilde{d}^{\{j\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{j\}})) \leq 0 \\ \forall i, j \in N, i \neq j \end{array} \right\}$$

Definition 2.7: The nucleolus $Nu(\Gamma)$ of the stochastic cooperative game is the collectivity of those distributions which minimize Q(d,r) according to the dictionary sequence, i.e.

$$Nu(\Gamma) = \{(d,r) \in Z(N) | Q(d,r) \leq_L Q(\tilde{d},\tilde{r}), \forall (\tilde{d},\tilde{r}) \in Z(N) \}.$$

3. Main conclusions

Theorem 3.1: Suppose Γ is the stochastic cooperative game, so

 $Nu(\Gamma) \subseteq K(\Gamma)$

Prove: Suppose $Nu(\Gamma) = \{(d, r)\}$, prove $(d, r) \in K(\Gamma)$ by the proof method of contradiction.

If $(d,r) \notin K(\Gamma)$, so $i, j \in N, i \neq j$ exists to make

$$s_{ij}(d,r) > s_{ji}(d,r)$$

(d^N, r^N)_j > ($\tilde{d}^{\{j\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{j\}}$) (\tilde{d}, \tilde{r}) $\in Z(\{i\})$

Take

$$0 < \delta < \left\{ \frac{1}{2} (s_{ij}(d,r) - s_{ji}(d,r)), (d^N, r^N)_j - (\tilde{d}^{\{j\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{j\}}) \right\}$$

And $(\overline{d},\overline{r})$

$$(\overline{d},\overline{r})_{k} = \begin{cases} (d^{N},r^{N})_{i} + \delta, & k = i \\ (d^{N},r^{N})_{j} - \delta, & k = j \\ (d^{N},r^{N})_{k}, & k \neq i,j \end{cases}$$

, so $(\overline{d},\overline{r}) \in IR(N)$. Rank Q(d,r) and $Q(\overline{d},\overline{r})$ according to the dictionary sequence, and because $\forall S \subseteq N$, so

- (1) When $S \subseteq M_{ii}$, $e[S, (\overline{d}, \overline{r})] = e[S, (d, r)] \delta$
- (2) When $S \subseteq M_{ii}$, $e[S, (\overline{d}, \overline{r})] = e[S, (d, r)] + \delta$
- (3) When $S \notin M_{ii} \bigcup M_{ii}$, $e[S, (\overline{d}, \overline{r})] = e[S, (d, r)]$

So, different components of Q(d,r) and $Q(\overline{d},\overline{r})$ certainly are the excess values in M_{ij} or M_{ji} . And because $M_{ij}(d,r) > M_{ji}(d,r)$, and

$$s_{ij}(d,\overline{r}) = \max_{S \in M_{ij}} e[S,(d,r)] - \delta = s_{ij}(d,r) - \delta >$$

$$s_{ji}(d,r) + \delta =$$

$$\max_{S \in M_{ji}} e[S,(d,r)] + \delta =$$

$$\max_{S \in M_{ji}} e[S,(\overline{d},\overline{r})] = s_{ji}(\overline{d},\overline{r})$$

Therefore, when comparing Q(d,r) with $Q(\overline{d},\overline{r})$, the different maximum components of Q(d,r) and $Q(\overline{d},\overline{r})$ certainly are the excess values in M_{ii} , and

$$s_{ij}(\overline{d},\overline{r}) = e[S_0,(\overline{d},\overline{r})] =$$

$$e[S_0,(d,r)] - \delta =$$

$$s_{ij}(d,r) - \delta <$$

$$s_{ij}(d,r)$$

So,
$$Q(\overline{d},\overline{r}) \leq_L Q(d,r)$$

It is contrary with $Nu(\Gamma) = \{(d, r)\}$, so $Nu(\Gamma) \subseteq K(\Gamma)$. End.

Theorem 3.2: The nucleolus of the stochastic cooperative game is the subset of the intersection set of the kernel and the minimum core, i.e.

$$Nu(\Gamma) \subseteq K(\Gamma) \cap LC(\Gamma)$$
.

Prove: Suppose $(d,r) \in Nu(\Gamma)$, and $(\tilde{d}, \tilde{r}) \in C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$, for any one alliance S,

$$e[S,(\tilde{d},\tilde{r})] \le \varepsilon$$

So,
$$\max_{S \subseteq N} e[S,(d,r)] \le Q_1(d,r) \le Q_1(\tilde{d},\tilde{r}) \le \max_{S \subseteq N} [S,(\tilde{d},\tilde{r})] \le \varepsilon$$

, and $(d,r) \in C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma)$. From the definition 2.5, $Nu(\Gamma) \subseteq K(\Gamma)$, so $(d,r) \in K(\Gamma)$, and

$$(d,r) \in C_{\varepsilon}(\Gamma) \cap K(\Gamma)$$

i.e.
$$Nu(\Gamma) \subseteq K(\Gamma) \cap LC(\Gamma)$$

, end.

Theorem 3.3: Suppose Γ is the stochastic cooperative game, so when $(d,r) \in K(\Gamma)$ and only when $(d,r) \in Z(N)$,

$$s_{ij}(d,r) = s_{ji}(d,r), \quad \forall i, j \in N, i \neq j$$
(2-5)

Prove: From the super-additivity of Γ , for $\forall S \subseteq N, \forall T \subseteq N$,

$$d_i^{S \cup T} + r_i^{S \cup T} X_{S \cup T} \ge_i d_i^S + r_i^S X_S \qquad (\forall i \in S)$$

$$d_i^{S \cup T} + r_i^{S \cup T} X_{S \cup T} \ge_i d_i^T + r_i^T X_T \qquad (\forall i \in T)$$

$$(2-7)$$

First, from the definition of $K(\Gamma)$, $(d,r) \in IR(N)$ satisfying the formula (2-5) certainly belongs to $K(\Gamma)$. So only need to prove $\forall (d,r) \in K(\Gamma)$, and (d,r) certainly satisfies the formula (2-6) and the formula (2-7). Note

$$\alpha = \max\{s_{ij}(d,r) \mid i, j \in N, i \neq j\}$$

 $\Omega = \{S \mid S \neq \emptyset, S \neq N, e[S, (d, r)] = \alpha\}$ $M = \bigcap_{S \in \Omega} S$

So, $M \neq N$.

Prove $M = \emptyset$, and if it is not true, suppose $k \in M$, take any $l \in N \setminus M$, from the definition of M, $S_0 \in \Omega$ exists to make $S_0 \in M_{kl}$, and

$$\Omega \cap M_{\mu} = \emptyset$$

So,

$$s_{kl}(d,r) = e[S_0,(d,r)] = \alpha > e[S_0,(d,r)], \forall S \in M_{lk}$$

, and

 $s_{kl}(d,r) > s_{lk}(d,r)$

From $(d,r) \in K(\Gamma)$, $(d,r)_l = (d^{\{l\}}, r^{\{l\}})$ and $(\forall l \in N \setminus M)$. So from the formula (2-6) and the formula (2-7),

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha &= e[S_0, (d, r)] = \\ &\sum_{i \in S_0} (\tilde{d}^{S_0}, \tilde{r}^{S_0})_i - \sum_{i \in S_0} (d^N, r^N)_i = \\ &\sum_{i \in S_0} (\tilde{d}^{S_0}, \tilde{r}^{S_0})_i - \sum_{i \in N} (d^N, r^N)_i + \sum_{i \in N \setminus S_0} (d^{\{i\}}, r^{\{i\}})_i \le \\ &X_N - \sum_{i \in N} (d^N, r^N)_i = 0 \\ &(\tilde{d}^{\{l\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{l\}}) \in Z(\{l\}) \text{ exists to make} \end{aligned}$$

$$e[\{l\}, (d, r)] = \sum_{i \in \{l\}} (\tilde{d}^{\{l\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{l\}})_i - \sum_{i \in \{l\}} (d^N, r^N)_i = 0 \ge \alpha$$

, so $\{l\} \in \Omega$.

It is contrary with $\Omega \bigcap M_{kl} = \emptyset$. So $M = \emptyset$.

Second, prove (d, r) satisfies the formula (2-5). If $i, j \in N$ exists to make

$$s_{ij}(d,r) > s_{ji}(d,r)$$
 (2-8)

, so $(d^N, r^N)_l = (\tilde{d}^{\{l\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{l\}}).$

From $M = \emptyset$, S1 without i in Ω certainly exists, and according to the formula (2-5) and the formula (2-6),

$$e[S_{1} \cup \{l\}, (d, r)] = \sum_{i \in S_{1} \cup \{l\}} (\tilde{d}^{S_{1} \cup \{l\}}, \tilde{r}^{S_{1} \cup \{l\}})_{i} - \sum_{i \in S_{1}} (d^{N}, r^{N})_{i} - (\tilde{d}^{\{j\}}, \tilde{r}^{\{j\}})_{i} \ge \sum_{i \in S_{1}} (\tilde{d}^{S_{1}}, \tilde{r}^{S_{1}})_{i} - \sum_{i \in S_{1}} (d^{N}, r^{N})_{i} = e[S_{1}, (d, r)]$$

, so $s_{ji}(d,r) \ge e[S_1 \cup \{j\}, (d,r)] \ge e[S_1, (d,r)] = \alpha \ge s_{ij}(d,r)$.

It is contrary with the formula (2-8). End.

References

Gao, Zuofeng, & Bai, Hongxin. (2008). The ε-Core of a n-person Stochastic Cooperative Game. *Modern Applied Science*. No.2(2). P.43-46.

Gao, Zuofeng, Wang, You & Wang, Guocheng. (2006). Countermeasure Theory and Economic Management

Decision-making. Beijing: China Forestry Press.

Suijs J, Borm P, De Waegenaere A, et al. (1999). Cooperative game with stochastic payoffs. *European Journal of Operational Research*. No.113. P.193-205.

Suijs J & Borm P. (1999). Stochastic cooperative game: superadditivity, convexity, and certainty equivalents. *Game and Economic Behavior*. No.27. P.331-345.

Suijs J, De Waegenaere A & Borm P. (1998). Stochastic cooperative game in insurance. *Insurance: Mathematics & Economics*. No.22. P.209-228.

Xie, Zheng. (2004). *Theory of Countermeasure*. Changsha: National University of Defense Technology Press. P.156-180.

Zuofeng Gao, Hongxin Bai & Suting Zhang. (2008). The ε -core of n-person Stochastic Cooperative Game. Modern Applied Science. No.2(2). P.71-73.