

A Note on Two Theorems of C. Dong and J. Wang

Concerning Combinatorial Identities

Arnold R. Kräuter Department of Mathematics and Information Technology University of Leoben Franz-Josef-Strasse 18, A-8700 Leoben, Austria Tel: 43-3842-402-3803 E-mail: kraeuter@unileoben.ac.at

Abstract

In a recent paper C. Dong and J. Wang rederived three classical combinatorial identities by applying a special Vandermonde determinant. Two of their results, however, turn out to be incorrectly stated. This note presents counterexamples along with revised versions of the results mentioned.

Keywords: Vandermonde determinant, Identities involving binomial coefficients

1. Introduction

C. Dong and J. Wang applied a special Vandermonde determinant in order to establish a couple of well-known combinatorial identities in an elementary way (Dong & Wang, 2007). Unfortunately, two of these are incorrectly stated. In the following we present counterexamples as well as revised versions of the respective theorems in the cited paper.

Let V_n denote the *n*-square Vandermonde matrix (cf. Lancaster & Tismenetsky, 1985, p. 35, Exercise 6) of the integers 1, 2, ..., *n*,

	1	1	1		1
	1	2	3		n
$V_n =$	1	2 ²	3 ²		n^2 ,
	÷	:	÷	·	:
	1	2^{n-1}	3^{n-1}		n^{n-1}

and let $D_n = \det(V_n)$ be the determinant of V_n . Furthermore, let M_j be the minor of the entry in the *n*th row and *j*th column of V_n , and let S_j be the minor of the entry in the first row and *j*th column of V_n . Lemma 1 (Dong & Wang, 2007, p. 24, Eq. (1)).

$$M_{j} = \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n-2} m!\right) \binom{n-1}{n-j}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n.$$
(1)

Lemma 2 (Dong & Wang, 2007, p. 24, Eq. (2)).

$$S_{j} = \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n-1} m!\right) \binom{n}{j}, \quad j = 1, 2, ..., n.$$
(2)

Using (1) and (2) Dong and Wang obtained, among others, the following identities for positive integers n (Dong & Wang, 2007, p. 25, Eqs. (3) and (6), resp.):

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+j} j^{n-1} \binom{n}{j} = n!.$$
(3)

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^j (n-j)^j \binom{n}{j} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n,$$
(4)

2. Counterexamples to Eqs. (3) and (4)

In their current form, Eqs. (3) and (4) turn out to be incorrect. Example 1. Eq. (3) is false. For if, e. g., n = 4, (3) would imply

$$\sum_{j=1}^{4} (-1)^{4+j} j^{3} \binom{4}{j} = -4 + 48 - 108 + 64 = 0 \neq 4!.$$

Example 2. Eq. (4) is false in the case i = n. For if, e. g., i = n = 4, (4) would imply

$$\sum_{j=0}^{3} (-1)^{j} (4-j)^{4} \binom{4}{j} = 256 - 324 + 96 - 4 = 24 \neq 0.$$

3. Restatements of Eqs. (3) and (4) with proofs

The original Eqs. (3) and (4) have to be replaced by the following statements. *Theorem 1* (cf. Gould, 1972, p. 2, Eq. (1.13), first part). *For every nonnegative integer n we have*

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+j} j^{n} \binom{n}{j} = n!.$$
(5)

Proof. According to the original proof (Dong & Wang, 2007, p. 25, Theorem 1) the following holds:

$$D_n = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n+j} j^{n-1} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n-2} m!\right) \binom{n-1}{n-j} = \prod_{m=1}^{n-1} m!.$$

This gives

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+j} j^{n-1} \binom{n-1}{n-j} = (n-1)!$$

Using the identity (cf. Gould, 1972, p. iv)

$$\binom{n-1}{n-j} = \frac{j}{n} \binom{n}{j}, \quad 1 \le j \le n,$$
(6)

we eventually obtain (5).

Theorem 2 (cf. Gould, 1972, p. 2, Eq. (1.13), second part). For every nonnegative integer n we have

$$\sum_{j=0}^{n-1} (-1)^{j} (n-j)^{i} \binom{n}{j} = 0, \quad 1 \le i \le n-1.$$
(7)

Proof. Let $W_n^{(i)}$ denote the *n*-square matrix obtained from the Vandermonde matrix V_n by replacing the *n*th row by the *i*th row, $1 \le i \le n - 1$,

$$W_n^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & \cdots & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2^{n-2} & 3^{n-2} & \cdots & n^{n-2} \\ 1 & 2^{i-1} & 3^{i-1} & \cdots & n^{i-1} \end{bmatrix}$$

Since $W_n^{(i)}$ is singular by construction, expansion of $det(W_n^{(i)})$ by the *n*th row gives

$$0 = \det(W_n^{(i)}) = \sum_{j=1}^n (-1)^{n+j} j^{i-1} M_j.$$

Using Lemma 1 and Eq. (6) we get

$$0 = \sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+j} j^{i-1} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n-2} m!\right) \binom{n-1}{n-j} =$$

= $\sum_{j=1}^{n} (-1)^{n+j} j^{i} \left(\prod_{m=1}^{n-2} m!\right) \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{j}.$

Replacing *j* by n - j and by the symmetry of the binomial coefficients we eventually obtain (7). *Remark.* The arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2 still hold when $W_n^{(i)}$ is replaced by the matrix

$$X_{n}^{(i)} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2^{i-1} & 3^{i-1} & \cdots & n^{i-1} \\ 1 & 2 & 3 & \cdots & n \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1 & 2^{n-2} & 3^{n-2} & \cdots & n^{n-2} \\ 1 & 2^{n-1} & 3^{n-1} & \cdots & n^{n-1} \end{bmatrix}, \quad 2 \le i \le n,$$

followed by the expansion of $det(X_n^{(i)})$ by the first row (which involves the numbers S_j given in Lemma 2). **References**

Dong, Changzhou, & Wang, Junqing (2007). Application of Vandermonde determinant in combination mathematics. *Modern Applied Science*, 1 (2), 24 - 26.

Gould, Henry W. (1972). Combinatorial Identities. Revised Edition. Morgantown, WV: H. W. Gould.

Lancaster, Peter, & Tismenetsky, Miron (1985). *The Theory of Matrices*. Second Edition with Applications. Orlando, FL: Academic Press.