Correlations between Lymphocytes, Mid-Cell Fractions and Granulocytes with Human Blood Characteristics Using LowPower Carbon Dioxide Laser Radiation

Hend A. A. Houssein

UniversitiSains Malaysia, School of Physics, Medical physics, Penang 11800, Malaysia Department of Physics, Faculty of Science, University of Benghazi, Benghazi-Libya E-mail: h_saltani@yahoo.co.uk

Mohamad S. Jaafar

UniversitiSains Malaysia, School of Physics, Medical physics, Penang 11800, Malaysia

Zalila Ali & Adam Baharum UniversitiSains Malaysia, School of Mathematical Science, Penang 11800, Malaysia

Received: October 28, 2011	Accepted: January 29, 2012	Published: March 1, 2012
doi:10.5539/mas.v6n3p27	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5	539/mas.v6n3p27

Abstract

In this research, the subpopulations of human blood parameters including lymphocytes, monocytes, and granulocytes were determined by electronic sizing in the Health Centre of UniversitiSains Malaysia. These parameters have been correlated with human blood characteristics such as age, gender, ethnicity, blood types, body mass index, medical history, number of chronic diseases, and type of chronic diseases; before and after irradiation with 15 W carbon dioxide laser (λ =10,600 nm). The correlations were obtained by finding patterns in changes of blood parameters using paired non-parametric tests, and an independent non-parametric test using the SPSS version 17. Irradiation of blood samples with carbon dioxide laser showed significant changes in lymphocytes, monocytes, and granulocytes before and after irradiation. These analysis revealed that a significant increase in lymphocyte before and after irradiation among different body mass index (p-value = 0.031). There is significant increase in monocyte before and after irradiation between medical history (p-value = 0.052), and number of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.022). And there is significant decrease in granulocyte before and after irradiation, among different body mass index (p-value = 0.021), and number of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.018). The correlation between changes in human blood parameters and a patient's characteristics were very much correlated and can become a significant indicator for blood analyses. This study considered as a new finding for the increase in lymphocyte, monocyte, and the decrease in granulocyte by using low power Carbon dioxide laser radiation.

Keywords: Carbon dioxide laser radiation, Lymphocyte (LYM), Monocyte (MID), Granulocyte (GRAN)

1. Introduction

The Carbon dioxide laser is widely used by surgeons and is the most commonly used medical lasers, and different from other medical lasers the action of this laser on tissues is clearly seen when it is used (Judy, 1995). Carbon dioxide lasers can be used for a number of soft tissue applications (Research Science & Therapy Committee, 2002) including the following: soft-tissue incision and ablation; gingival troughing; esthetic contouring of gingiva; treatment of oral ulcers; frenectomy and gingivectomy; de-epithelialization of gingival tissue during periodontal regenerative procedures.

The Carbon dioxide laser offers a number of advantages for such applications. It provides excellent hemostasis, offering the dentist a clear operating field and allowing for instant visual feedback. In addition, the Carbon dioxide laser removes tissue efficiently and quickly and causes negligible concern about subsurface tissue damage, as the effect is on the surface only (Fisher, et al., 1983). Postoperative pain usually is minimal to none

(Pick & Colvard, 1993). This laser is absorbed strongly by water that formed > 80% of soft tissues (Oswal, et al., 1988; Apfelberg, et al., 1981a, 1984b; Kaplan & Giler, 1984; LoCicero, et al., 1985). Most of the irradiation energy of a Carbon dioxide laser is absorbed by the tissue surface, and little penetrates to cause damage in deeper tissues (Kumazawa, et al., 1992).

The study of the mechanism of interaction of a low – intensity laser radiation with living organism by various methods for the purpose of widening the field of its medical applications is undoubtedly a pressing problem. The solution of this problem will aid in further development of practical medicine. Although the fact that the response of blood to the action of a low – intensity laser radiation gives important information on the mechanism of interaction of laser radiation with a living organism (Zalesskaya & Sambor, 2005; Korolevich, et al., 1992; Khairullina & Oleinik, 1996) only a small number of works have been devoted to such investigation in living organisms.

Kujawa et al. (2002) have studied the transformations of RBC produced by low-intensity near IR (810 nm). The investigations revealed that low-intensity near IR laser radiation produced AchEase activity changes, reflecting the effect of light on the enzyme due to energy absorption. In humans, the Carbon dioxide laser is used most commonly in oral and maxillofacial soft tissue surgery due to its favorable interactions with oral soft tissue. While the mechanisms of the effects of Carbon dioxide laser on human blood cells are not yet understood, because of lack of the researches in this field. More research needs to be done to understand the respond of this parameter with low level laser radiation. The goals of the present study are to compare the blood parameters of LYM, MID and GRAN before and after irradiation of Carbon dioxide laser and to study correlations between LYM, MID and GRAN with human blood characteristics such as age, gender, ethnicity, blood types, body mass index, medical history, number of chronic diseases, and type of chronic diseases; before and after laser irradiation.

2. Methodology

2.1 Blood Parameter Measurement

Fresh human blood parameter such as LYM, MID, and GRAN before and after laser exposure in each blood sample were analyzed by using automated hematology analyzer sysmex, KX-21N. The analyser measured the blood parameters, in particular the LYM, MID, and GRAN. These fresh human blood samples were collected from the Health Centre of UniversitiSains Malaysia. A total of 240 blood samples from 120 males and 120 females were used in this study. Blood samples were obtained from patients with ages ranging from 10 to 80 years old and were labeled with the coding systems according to age, gender, ethnicity, blood types, body mass index, medical history, number of chronic diseases, and type of chronic diseases.

2.2 Laser Irradiation

The subpopulations of human blood parameters such as white blood cell WBC included LYM, MID, and GRAN for laser irradiation. Every blood sample was divided into two equal parts: laser irradiated and control sample. The sample was irradiated for one second using the 10,600 nm infrared light laser beam from a Carbon dioxide laser (Synrad model j48-1, USA) as a power source. Readings of blood parameter, was recorded before and after irradiation. Experiments were repeated for all blood groups under the same experimental conditions; laser beam power, beam source-sample distance, sample detector distance, beam exposure time, room temperature and humidity.

2.3 Statistical Analysis

After irradiation was performed, non- irradiated and irradiated samples of the blood parameter, LYM, MID, and GRAN, were compared. The paired test was used to determine the differences between the controlled samples and irradiated samples. An Independent test was further conducted to examine the differences in the samples according to the age, gender, ethnicity, blood types, body mass index, medical history, number of chronic diseases, and type of chronic diseases of patients. The SPSS software version 17 was utilized to perform statistical calculations and analyses of the data.

3. Results and Discussion

In this study, the measurements using automated hematology analyzer sysmex, KX-21N showed significant differences between control and irradiated blood samples using Carbon dioxide laser (Table 1). The analyses of white blood cell WBC parameter include: lymphocyte (LYM), monocyte (MID), and granulocyte (GRAN) parameters showed a significant increase in lymphocyte (p-value = 0.000) and in monocyte (p-value = 0.000) whereas a significant decrease in granulocyte (p-value = 0.000).

The difference in blood parameter readings using Carbon dioxide laser was investigated according to respondent's characteristic: age, gender, ethnicity, blood group, body mass index, medical history, number of chronic diseases, and type of chronic diseases. There is significant increase in mean lymphocyte before and after Carbon dioxide laser irradiation among different body mass index (p-value = 0.031), patients with underweight show the highest increase in mean lymphocyte (Table 2). There is no significant increase in mean lymphocyte before and after irradiation using Carbon dioxide laser for age groups (p-value = 0.482), between gender (p-value = 0.581), ethnicity (p-value = 0.117), blood groups (p-value = 0.831), medical history (p-value = 0.517), number of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.504), and type of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.855).

There is significant increase in mean monocyte before and after irradiation using Carbon dioxide laser between medical history (p-value = 0.052), and number of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.022) (Table 3), the mean increase of monocyte is higher for patients with chronic diseases than patients without chronic diseases and for patients with one chronic disease than patients with more than one chronic disease. There is no significant increase in mean monocyte before and after irradiation using Carbon dioxide laser for age groups (p-value = 0.608), between gender (p-value = 0.978), ethnicity (p-value = 0.456), blood groups (p-value = 0.876), body mass index (p-value = 0.157), and type of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.178).

There is significant decrease in mean granulocyte before and after irradiation using Carbon dioxide laser among different body mass index (p-value = 0.021), and number of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.018) (Table 4), the mean decrease of granulocyte is higher for patients with normal weight than patients with underweight and for patients with more than one chronic disease than patients with one chronic disease. There is no significant decrease in mean granulocyte before and after irradiation using Carbon dioxide laser for age groups (p-value = 0.701), between gender (p-value = 0.627), ethnicity (p-value = 0.891), blood groups (p-value = 0.562), medical history (p-value = 0.153), and type of chronic diseases (p-value = 0.520).

Physically, the increase in mean lymphocyte, and monocyte that mean the proliferation and production increase of these cells. These are may be due to the fission of mononuclear cells owing to the effects of low energy Carbon dioxide laser irradiation. While the decreases in mean granulocyte, may be due to dead of this parameter after the low energy Carbon dioxide laser irradiation.

4. Conclusions

The findings from this study indicate that the 10600 nm low levels Carbon dioxide laser irradiation cause: a significant increase in lymphocyte, monocyte, and a significant decrease in granulocyte. Generally the increasing effect on the proliferation and production of lymphocyte, and monocyte may be due to the fission of mononuclear cells owing to the effects of low energy Carbon dioxide laser irradiation. However the decreases in proliferation in granulocyte may be due to dead of this parameter after the low energy Carbon dioxide laser irradiation. These can become a significant indicator for blood analysis.

Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the research grant provided by the UniversitiSains Malaysia (USM), Penang, Malaysia that has resulted in this article. We would also like to thank the staff of the Diagnostic laboratory of USM Wellness Centre for their assistance and support of this research.

References

Apfelberg, D. B., Maser, M. R., & Lash, H. (1981). Investigation of carcinogenic effects of in vitro laser exposure of the fibroblasts. In: Atsumi K. (ed.) Laser-Tokyo'81. The 4th Congress of the International Society for Laser Surgery. Tokyo, Intergroup.

Apfelberg, D. B., Mittelman, H., & Chadi, B. (1984). Investigation of carcinogenic effects of in vitro argon and CO₂ laser exposure of fibroblasts. *Lasers Surg. Med.*, 4, 173-179. http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/lsm.1900040209

Fisher, S. E., Frame, J. W., Browne, R. M., & Tranter, R. M. (1983). A comparative Histological Study of Wound Healing Following CO₂ Laser and Conventional Surgical Excision of Canine Buccal Mucosa. *Arch Oral Biol.*, 28, 287-291. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0003-9969(83)90069-9

Judy, M. M. (1995). Biomedical Lasers, CRC Handbook, Editor in Cheief, Joseph D. Bronzino, Biomedical Engineering, CRC Press, Inc.

Korolevich, A. N., Oleinik, T. V., & Khairullina, A. Ya. (1992). Zh. Prikl.Spektrosk., 57, 152-156.

Khairullina, A. Ya., & Oleinik, T. V. (1996). Zh. Prikl. Spektrosk., 63, 316-322.

Kumazawa, M., Fujiwara, H., Matsuda, T., Zennyu, K., Kumazaki, M., & Toyoda, K. (1992). Excision of dental caries. *J Jap Soc Laser Den*, 3, 23-27. http://dx.doi.org/10.5984/jjpnsoclaserdent.3.23

Kujawa, J., Zavodnik, L., Zavodnik, I., & Bryszewska, M. (2002). Low-Intensity near-infrared laser radiation-induced changes of acetylcholinesterase activity of human erythrocytes. *J. Clin. Laser Med. Surg.*, 20(2), 71-75.

Kaplan, I., & Giler, S. (1984). CO₂ Laser Surgery, Springer-Verlag Berlin Heidelberg, Printed in Germany. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-82180-6

LoCicero, J., Frederiksen, J. W., Hartz, R. S., Kaufman, M. W., & Michaelis, L. L. (1985). Experimental Air Leaks in Lung Sealed by Low-Energy Carbon Dioxide Laser Irradiation. *Chestjournal*, 87, 820–822.

Oswal, V. H., Kashima, H. K., & Flood, L. M. (1988). The CO₂ Laser in Otolaryngologyand Head & Neck Surgery. Wright, Butterworth & Co. (Publishers) Ltd.

Pick, R. M., & Colvard, M. D. (1993). Current Status of Lasers in Soft Tissue Dental Surgery. J. Periodontol, 64, 589-602.

Research, Science and Therapy Committee of the American Academy of Periodontology (2002). Lasers in Periodontics. J. Periodontol, 73, 1231-1239.

Zalesskaya, G. A., & Sambor, E. G. (2005). *J. Appl. Spectrosc.* 72(2), 242-248. http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10812-005-0062-0

Table 1. Blood parameters for controlled and irradiated samples by using CO₂ laser

Blood parameters	LYM	MID	GRAN
Controlled	34.50±.642	$10.15 \pm .262$	55.33±.676
Irradiation	37.02±.564	11.76±.302	51.44± .637
Difference	2.51±.233	$1.61 \pm .240$	$-3.88 \pm .390$
p-value	0.000***	0.000***	0.000***

Blood parameters (mean ± standard error) for 240 irradiated and 240 controlled samples.

Statistical significance:* p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01

		CO ₂ laser	
Characteristic	Category	Mean \pm Std error	p-value
	10-24	2.589±.928	
Age (years)	25 - 40	2.966±.789	0.482
	>40	2.257±.562	
	Male	$2.632 \pm .584$	0.501
Gender	Female	$2.379 \pm .624$	0.581
	Malay	2.687±.610	
Ethnicity	Chinese	2.736±.897	
	Indian	1.666 ± 1.029	0.117
	Others	2.570±.956	
	Α	2.344±.918	
Blood Group	В	2.388±.714	
	AB	$2.780 \pm .987$	0.831
	0	$2.649 \pm .877$	
	Underweight : <18.5	5.793±1.760 ^a	
Body mass index(kg/m ²)	Normal weight: 18.5-24.9	$2.169 \pm .625^{b}$	
Body mass mdex(kg/m)	Overweight: 25-29.9	$2.198 \pm .727^{b}$	0.031**
	Obesity: ≥ 30	3.070 ± 1.146^{b}	
Madiaal history	with chronic disease	2.563±.621	
Medical history	without chronic disease	$2.473 \pm .566$	0.517
Number of changes	one chronic disease	2.681±.755	
Number of chronic diseases	more than one chronic disease	2.314±1.097	0.504
	without chronic disease	$2.473 \pm .567$	
	Cardiovascular	2.469±.937	
Type of chronic diseases	metabolic	2.502±1.026	
	other chronic disease	2.895±1.417	0.855
	without chronic disease	$2.473 \pm .567$	

Table 2. Compari	son of lymphocyte a	according to character	istic of respondents

Statistical significance:* p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01&a, b significant difference between the groups.

		CO ₂ laser	
Characteristic	Category	Mean ± Std error	p-value
	10 - 24	$0.970 \pm .775$	
Age(years)	25 - 40	$1.617 \pm .659$	0.608
	>40	$1.844 \pm .470$	
Caralan	Male	1.512±.491	0.079
Gender	Female	$1.725 \pm .525$	0.978
	Malay	1.312±.515	
Ethnicity	Chinese	2.288±.757	
	Indian	$1.987 \pm .869$	0.456
	Others	$1.252 \pm .807$	
	Α	$1.985 \pm .770$	
Blood Group	В	$1.537 \pm .599$	0.876
_	AB	$1.633 \pm .828$	
	0	$1.365 \pm .736$	
	Underweight : <18.5	3.536±1.481	
Body mass	Normal weight: 18.5-24.9	$1.436 \pm .526$	0.157
index(kg/m ²)	Overweight: 25-29.9	$1.726 \pm .612$	
	Obesity: ≥ 30	$1.100 \pm .964$	
Madical history	with chronic disease	$2.023 \pm .518^{a}$	
Medical history	without chronic disease	$1.269 \pm .473^{b}$	0.052*
	one chronic disease	$2.472 \pm .629^{a}$	
Number of chronic	more than one chronic	$1.074 \pm .915^{b}$	0.022**
diseases	disease	1.269±.473 ^{a,b}	
	without chronic disease		
	Cardiovascular	$2.283 \pm .784$	
Type of chronic	metabolic	$1.835 \pm .859$	0.178
diseases	other chronic disease	1.786±1.185	
	without chronic disease	$1.269 \pm .475$	

Table 3. Comparison of monocyte according to characteristic of respondents

 $Statistical \ significance: * \ p<0.10, \ ** \ p<0.05, \ *** \ p<0.01\& \ a, \ b \ significant \ difference \ between \ the \ groups.$

		CO ₂ laser	
Characteristic	Category	Mean \pm Std error	p-value
	10 - 24	-3.766 ± 1.020	
Age (years)	25 - 40	$-4.662 \pm .867$	0.701
	>40	$-3.535 \pm .618$	
Gender	Male	$-3.824 \pm .635$	0.627
Gender	Female	$-3.955 \pm .679$	0.627
	Malay	-3.651±.691	
Ethnicity	Chinese	-4.680±1.015	
-	Indian	-3.653±1.165	0.891
	Others	-3.759±1.082	
	Α	-3.596±.976	
Blood Group	В	-4.126±.759	
-	AB	-4.413±1.049	0.562
	0	$-3.370 \pm .932$	
	Underweight : <18.5	-9.329±1.900 ^b	
Body mass	Normal weight: 18.5-24.9	$-3.295 \pm .675^{a}$	
index(kg/m ²)	Overweight: 25-29.9	-3.700±.785 ^{a,b}	0.021**
	Obesity: ≥ 30	-4.024±1.237 ^{a,b}	
M. diss 1 h interne	with chronic disease	-4.034±.676	
Medical history	without chronic disease	$-3.762 \pm .616$	0.153
	one chronic disease	$-4.603 \pm .820^{b}$	
Number of chronic	more than one chronic disease	-2.831±1.193 ^a	
diseases	without chronic disease	$-3.762 \pm .617^{a,b}$	0.018**
	Cardiovascular	-3.473±1.021	
Type of chronic	metabolic	-4.547±1.118	
diseases	other chronic disease	-4.338±1.543	0.520
	without chronic disease	$-3.762 \pm .618$	

Table 4. Comparison of granulocyte according to characteristic of respondents

Statistical significance:* p<0.10, ** p<0.05, *** p<0.01& a, b significant difference between the groups.