Effects of Climate Change in North America: An Overview
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Abstract
As forecast by many researchers, climate change can be expected to impact regions through direct effects (e.g., temperature shifts, changes in sea level, extreme weather events, and precipitation changes) and indirect effects (e.g., migrations of species and changes in ecosystems). Previous studies have reported how various regions will face challenges as to adaptations and vulnerabilities brought on by climate change differently according to their wealth. Interrelated impacts have been forecast to occur in North America stemming from variations due to climate change, including economic, ecological, environmental, and social impacts. This study overviews the effects (direct and indirect) of climate change on various sectors in North America. It concludes, along with the suggestions of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change’s (IPCC) Fourth Assessment Report (AR4), that future studies should focus on regional studies of climate change, impacts of extreme weather events, and in-depth integrated models for mitigation, adaptation, and impact based on future simulations of climate change.

Keywords: Temperature shifts, Sea level, Extreme weather events, Migrations of species

1. Introduction
As forecast by many researchers, climate change can be expected to impact regions through direct effects (e.g., temperature shifts, changes in sea level, extreme weather events, and precipitation changes) and indirect effects (e.g., migrations of species and changes in ecosystems). Previous studies (Parson, 2003; Smith et al., 2005; Thomson, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c; Füssel, 2009) have reported how various regions will face challenges as to adaptations and vulnerabilities brought on by climate change differently according to their wealth.

The increase in the frequency and intensity of extreme weather events over the past decades has been notable and well documented (Meehl & Tebaldi, 2004; Christensen et al., 2007; Meehl et al., 2007; Emanuel et al., 2008). The productivity of agriculture, forestry and tourism industries is sensitive to the climate. Public and private sectors related to waterfront properties (utilities, infrastructure, transportation, and resorts, etc.) are vulnerable during and after extreme weather events (Statistics Canada, 2006; Bureau of Transportation Statistics, 2006). Aging populations are also sensitive to climate change. Weather-related diseases cause an increase in urgent hospital admissions resulting in increased health care costs (Burleton, 2002). Extreme weather events have quite severe impacts on transportation systems (Lonergan et al., 1993), energy supplies, and other industries in North America. For example, major hurricanes in 2004 and 2005 in the US impacted oil and natural gas platforms and pipelines and caused high restoration costs in the billions of dollars for public utilities and transportation networks on the regional and national level (EEI, 2005).

Communities that rely on natural and water resources are sensitive to extreme weather events. Heavy rainfall, hurricanes, and ice storms affect quality of life and constrain economic activity. Community infrastructures are exposed to damage from tree insects, coastal erosion, rising sea levels, flooding, and storm waters. Fishing, hunting, whaling, travel, and various recreational activities have been affected by weather threats that have limited economic activities in these natural resource-dependent communities (NAST, 2001; CCME, 2003).

More frequent and intense extreme weather events have placed stresses on coastal communities, impacted ecosystems, increased coastal damage, and affected greater numbers of people during and after extreme weather events. For example, Alaskan villages, the Great Lakes area, the Gulf of St. Lawrence, and many other coastal communities that are within exposure range of tropical storms or winter storms have demonstrated their vulnerability and limited adaptive capacities (Clark et al., 1998; Parson et al., 2001; West et al., 2001; Scavia et al., 2002; Burkett et al., 2005).
Because of changes to ecosystems caused by climate change, commercial and recreational fisheries and plants must adjust to new spatial distribution of species. The uncertain productivity of forests will determine levels of carbon stock. The increase in length and scale of forest fires, loss of coastal and inland wetlands, and changes in high alpine areas and cold waters are all due to changes in forest and water ecosystems. For populations facing possible water availability issues in the western snowmelt-dominated region, some strategic adaptations may involve shifting seasonal runoff. Experts and climate-change-related centers have urged enhanced adaptation strategies, including more solid infrastructure plans (e.g., improving building codes and enhancing disaster preparedness), in order to prevent disasters that may be caused by extreme weather events associated with climate change.

More cities are forecast to experience extreme heat waves, increasing sea levels, increased numbers of dangerous storm surges, water shortages, droughts, and increased flooding. Stressors (severe heat waves, extreme weather events, and air pollution) generated by climate change may cause social disruption and increased human losses and injuries, as well as vector-borne and tick-borne diseases. This study overviews the effects (direct and indirect) of climate change on various sectors in North America.

2. Direct Effects

2.1 Changes in Temperature

Previous studies have thoroughly documented the increase in annual mean air temperature in North America over the past forty years (1969-2009). For example, night-time temperatures have increased more than day-time temperatures, while spring and winter have demonstrated more warming than other seasons (Karl et al., 1996; Brown & Hunt, 2007; Füssel, 2009; Pederson, et al., 2010). Geographically, Alaska and northwestern Canada have shown the most significant warming, followed by the continental interior, the southeastern US, and eastern Canada. Because of the combination of greenhouse gas effects and sulphate aerosols (Karoly et al., 2003; Stott, 2003; Zwiers & Zhang, 2003), spring warming has extended the growing season an average of 2 days per decade (Bonsal et al., 2001; Easterling, 2002; Bonsal & Prowse, 2003; Feng & Hu, 2004). Temperature-specific terms relevant to the selected articles as to temperature changes between seasons and geographical locations due to climate change, study methods, and suggestions for future studies are presented in Table 1.

2.2 Rising Sea Levels

Aggressive coastal investment plans, increasing coastal populations (both local and non-local), extended urbanization, and rising property values are adding stress to the ecosystems of coastal regions and revealing vulnerabilities to rising sea levels and severe storms resulting from climate change (Kleinschmidt et al., 2007; Füssel, 2009). Sea levels are forecast to rise at an accelerating pace over time, especially in eastern North America and western Alaska (Zhang et al., 2000; Church et al., 2004; Meehl et al., 2007; Cooper et al., 2008; Wu, et al., 2009).

Many previous studies have documented the correlations between rising sea levels, coastal and inland erosion, and more frequent and intense storms in the US (Meehl et al., 2007; Travis, 2010). Economic damage, unstable coastlines, and affected populations during and after extreme weather events (hurricanes, storms, wind, waves, ice encroachment) have been forecast to increase continuously in the coming decade (Zhang et al., 2000; Scavia et al., 2002; Emanuel, 2005). Increasing sea levels along with strong windstorms have already been reported to cause increasing damage on the Gulf and Atlantic coasts. Additionally, Wu et al. (2009) estimated that nearly 510,000 people and 1,000 km of roads will be impacted by rising sea levels due to inundation in the coastal portion of the Mid and Upper Atlantic Regions of the US by 2100. Rising sea levels relevant to the assessment of impacts of climate change on various sectors along with the studied regions, research methods, brief findings, and suggestions for future study are shown in Table 2.

2.3 Extreme Weather Events

Storms. As mentioned above, the impacts of rising sea levels on the coastal regions of North America have been heavily documented (Travis, 2010). Many coastal communities and waterfront properties have been facing the dangers associated with storm surges and disruptive flooding over the years (Pielke et al., 2008; Kossin & Camargo 2009). For example, hurricanes Ivan in 2004 and Katrina, Rita and Wilma in 2005 had an enormous impact on infrastructure (Select Bipartisan Committee, 2006). In 2005 Hurricane Katrina flooded New Orleans, due to inadequate public evacuation plans and strategically sound emergency services, the impact of Hurricane Katrina in particular on the total affected population was disastrous (Balling & Cerveny, 2003). In 2006 storm
surges battered Delta, British Columbia, and winter storms caused severe flooding and coastal erosion in San Francisco and along the Pacific coast (Bromirski et al., 2003; Edmiston et al., 2008). Settlements with high population density along the coasts, aging infrastructures, outdated building codes, urbanization, and ineffective and untimely warning systems have amplified the damage caused by these extreme weather events (Easterling et al., 2000; Balling & Cerveny, 2003; Changnon, 2003, 2005). Extreme-weather-specific terms relevant to the impacts of extreme weather events and natural disasters on various social-economic sectors are presented in Table 3. It also summarizes studied regions, research methods, brief findings, and suggestions for future study.

**Economic damage.** Over the last 10 years (2000-2009), storms, floods, hurricanes, and wildfires, have caused most of the major economic damage (USAID, 2009). Approximately 95% of the affected populations were impacted by floods, storms, droughts, and wildfires, while floods, extreme temperatures, and storms caused 93% of human loss in North America in the past decade (BC Stats, 2003; USAID, 2009; Chen, 2011). Looking ahead, coastal areas and ski resorts have been forecast to receive significant negative impacts brought about by climate change. For example, because of increasing sea levels along the beaches in the state of Florida, billions of dollars will be spent for sand replenishment (Jones & Scott, 2006). Losses of ski zones at lower elevations are forecast to result in shortened snow seasons (7-10 weeks), decreased visitation, and diminished tourism receipts. Western North America is forecast to have its non-artificial-snow ski season shortened by 3 to 6 weeks (Hayhoe et al., 2004; Scott & Jones, 2005).

From 1998 to 2008, major storms cost an average of USD $50 million/storm, with the highest single storm (Katrina in 2005) having an impact of USD $2 billion (Business Week, 2005). Warmer weather triggered regional power outages resulting in millions in insured losses as well as total losses due to business interruptions in the billions (LaCommare & Eto, 2004; USAID, 2009).

**Diseases.** Extreme weather events (e.g., heat waves, air pollution, heavy precipitation, and droughts) endanger human health, having been documented to be correlated with increased urgent hospital admissions and mortality rates (Kolivras & Comrie, 2003; Patz et al., 2005; Thomas et al., 2006; Gosling, et al., 2009). For example, respiratory and cardiovascular illnesses are sensitive to air pollution and heat waves. Heavy precipitation has caused water-borne disease outbreaks, while heavy runoff can contaminate watersheds and increase bacterial counts. Statistics show that West Nile virus, vector-borne illness, and Lyme disease have been associated with warming temperatures in North America (McCabe & Bunnell, 2004). The impacts of extreme weather events on diseases are presented in Table 4.

**2.4 Variations in Stream Flow**

Many factors (such as increased population; demand for water resources due to industrial, agricultural, and municipal needs; and changes in water quality and ecosystems) have affected the allocation of water resources and may have resulted in extended droughts in North America (Dupigny-Giroux, 2001; Wheaton et al., 2005; Kunkel & Pierce, 2010). For example, in the central Rocky Mountain region, stream flows have decreased nearly two percent per decade since 1910 (Rood et al., 2005) while there has been a 25% increase in stream flows in the eastern US over the past three decades (Groisman et al., 2005). According to Knowles et al. (2006), 74% of weather stations have documented an increase in precipitation (as rain not snow) in the western mountains of the US. On the other hand, because of regional warming, in the western mountains of North America, there has been a decrease in water from snow ranging from between 15 to 30% since the 60s (Groisman et al., 2005; Mote et al., 2005; Lemke et al., 2007), while Canada has experienced a decrease in both precipitation and snowfall in the west and Prairies (Vincent & Mekis, 2006). However, trends in the moisture index show various divisions in levels of wetness due to variations in precipitation and evapotranspiration. An increase in precipitation and a decrease in evapotranspiration (a reflection of higher air temperatures) would lead to a wetter outcome, for example, in the southern region of the US (Grundstein, 2009).

Underground and surface water resources are needed for agriculture and ecological systems. Climate change impacts the capacities of freshwater resources across regions, and exposes their vulnerability (Loukas et al., 2002; Branfireun & Macrae, 2009). Examples have included increased winter snow-melts and earlier spring flows and decreased flows in summer (Christensen et al., 2007; Merritt et al., 2006; Miller et al., 2003). Evaporation and precipitation rates are related to the warming weather. Warming temperatures impact the timing of snow-melting and water flows across seasons. Expanding dry seasons and water levels that vary from region to region impact the availability of watersheds and influence water quality (Lemmen & Warren, 2004).
Decreased or ceased water flows from springs, water shortages, and decreased ground water recharge are forecast for the southwestern US due to climate change (Loáiciga et al., 2000), while river stages are forecast to change in south-central British Columbia (Allen et al., 2004). Decreased agricultural productivity, shifted water allocations, and water reduction have caused millions of dollars in losses (Chen et al., 2001). Extended warming in the summer has contributed to higher temperatures (an increase of from 2 to 7°C) of streams, rivers, lakes, and reservoirs (Gooseff et al., 2005), causing lower oxygen levels and decreased flows (Fang & Stefan, 1999), and affecting fish allocations and growth rates (Morrison et al., 2002). Expanded erosion seasons have impacted the quality of soil and water resulting in changing agricultural yields and challenges to ecosystems (Füssel, 2009). Stream-flow-specific terms relevant to the assessment of inter-relationships between precipitation, snow water, and water resource allocations are presented in Table 5. The selected articles, study methods, findings, and suggestions for further study are also provided.

[insert Table 5 about here]

3. Indirect Effects

3.1 Ecosystems

Due to regional differences, trends of increasing temperatures and changing precipitation rates have been documented with no uniform ecosystem impacts across the continent of North America (Millett et al., 2009). Climate change has caused ecosystem disturbances resulting in losses in both native and exotic species (Sala et al., 2000). For example, warming temperatures and fluctuating water supplies have impacted sustainable productivity and rates of mortality among recreational inland fisheries and commercial fisheries, for salmonid species and walleye in North America (Babaluk et al., 2000; Chapin et al., 2000; Schindler, 2001; O’Neal, 2002; Chu et al., 2003; Gallagher & Wood, 2003; Baldocchi & Valentini, 2004; Lester et al., 2004; Chu et al., 2005; Reed & Czech, 2005; Rose, 2005). The warming climate has extended growing seasons and increased evaporation rates (Gerber et al., 2004; Woodward & Lomas, 2004; Pederson et al., 2010). Biological invasions (Zavaleta & Hulvey, 2004), wildfires (Smit et al., 2000), and climate change have resulted in changes in plant species, shifts in tree species (Morgan et al., 2001), changes in the production of amphibian eggs (Beebee, 1995), and shifts in breeding migrations. According to Thomas et al. (2004), one to twenty nine percent of animal and plant species globally will become extinct by the year 2050.

Biogeographical distribution, primary production, and phenology are the three main linking factors related to climate and terrestrial ecosystems. Competition, herbivores, disease, wildfire, hurricanes, droughts, and human activities have direct and indirect impacts on organisms. These effects may cause plant species to flower earlier, perhaps resulting in such things as earlier first flights of butterflies (Forister & Shapiro, 2003), or changes in the color of fall leaves (Beaubien & Freel and, 2000; Schwartz & Reiter, 2000; Cayan et al., 2001; Hicke & Lobell, 2004; Wolfe et al., 2005; Boisvenue & Running, 2006).

Along the east coast of the US, 28 migrating bird species have shown earlier nesting behavior, while tree swallows and Mexican jays have been observed in earlier egg laying due to warmer springs (Brown et al., 1999; Butler, 2003). Species that have demonstrated earlier breeding include frogs (Gibbs & Breisch, 2001) and red squirrels in Canada (Reale et al., 2003), while some other species have experienced higher mortality rates (for example, fungal parasites) and changes of habitation northward (for example, red wolves) (Hersteinsson & Macdonald, 1992; Kiesecker et al., 2001; Pounds, 2001).

Forest fires. Commercial forestry is sensitive to wildfires, insects, diseases, and climate change (Flannigan et al., 2004; Gan, 2004; Woods et al., 2005). The increase in summer temperatures has been forecast to increase the risk of forest fires. The range of emissions scenarios (from low to high) has also been forecast to impact harvest volumes and revenues (Perez-Garcia et al., 2002; Sohngen & Sedjo, 2005). Various factors triggering wildfires include droughts, snowmelting, the warming climate, and dead trees from insect outbreaks and diseases (Volney & Fleming, 2000; Williams & Liebhold, 2002; Logan et al., 2003; Kunkel & Pierce, 2010). In North America, areas burned by wildfires increased from 2 to 6 times and the length of fire durations increased from 7 days to 40 days compared to previous decades, resulting in increased property and human losses (Schoennagel et al., 2004; Westerling et al., 2006; Running, 2006). Additionally, three correlated factors (drought, warming weather, and water shortages) have negatively impacted growth rates and yield performances for forests in North America (Barber et al., 2000; Caspersen et al., 2000; McKenzie et al., 2001; Joos et al., 2002; Peterson et al., 2002; Boisvenue & Running, 2006).

Agricultural yields. Crop yields are sensitive to weather, water resources, pest invasions, and sustainable land-use practices (Lobell & Asner, 2003). Studies have shown that various crops (corn, rice, sorghum, soybean, wheat, common forages, cotton, and some fruits) (Adams et al., 2003; Rosenberg et al., 2003; Tsvetsinskaya et
al., 2003; Antle et al., 2004; Thomson et al., 2005c), and irrigated grains (Thomson et al., 2005c) may benefit from the warming weather. The southeastern US and the corn-belt are more sensitive to weather changes than the Great Plains (Carbone et al., 2003; Mearns et al., 2003). Nevertheless, controversy as to how CO2 has impacted crop growth rates exists in the literature (Long et al., 2005; Durandeu, et al., 2010). Other weather-related factors (frost, an earlier spring, and disastrous winter thaws) and market competition have also impacted economic gains from crop yields (Bélanger et al., 2002; Mearns et al., 2003).

The vulnerability of the agricultural sector has increased because of its exposure to various severe weather events along with changes in market values (Tarnoczi & Berkes, 2010). Improved water conservation and crop diversity, changes to public policies, and strategic soil adaptations have been utilized to enhance the capacity of the agricultural sector to cope with challenges stemming from climate change (Smit & Skinner, 2002; Easterling et al., 2003; Senate of Canada, 2003; Wall & Smit, 2005; Wheaton et al., 2005). Over the past 20 years, heavy rainfall and climate fluctuations have had mildly positive impacts on the yields of corn and soybeans, and have been positive factors for the growth of walnuts and oranges, but have been negative for cotton and avocados (Lobell et al., 2007).

4. Climate Model Simulations

Changes in atmospheric circulation affect climate extremes in North America. To better understand potential changes in weather and climate extremes, more extensive access to high temporal resolution data (daily, hourly) from climate model simulations is needed. According to the most recent climate combined simulations, the year-round temperature increase is forecast to range between 1 to 3°C over the years 2010 to 2039 (Christensen et al., 2007). The greatest warming is forecast to occur in winter at high latitudes and in summer in the southwestern US, and the southwestern US is forecast to experience decreased mean precipitation annually while the rest of the US will experience an increase in annual mean precipitation. In the same period, annual mean precipitation is forecast to increase from between 20% to 30% in the winter in Canada. As measured by the Power Dissipation Index, there has been a strong statistical connection between tropical Atlantic sea surface temperatures and Atlantic hurricane activity over the past 50 years. However, the phenomenon is still under-documented in relation to accurate predictions of sea surface temperature in tropical cyclone formation regions (Meehl et al., 2007; Füssel, 2009).

Usages of energy. Climate change has impacts on the usage of energy, water power, and transportation systems. Several studies have concluded that summer electricity consumption will increase while heating degree days will decrease in the winter peak season (Morrison & Mendelsohn, 1999; Mendelsohn, 2001; Sailor & Pavlova, 2003; Scott et al., 2005; Hadley et al., 2006). According to the United States Global Change Research Program (2009), rising temperatures will likely increase energy demand for cooling and reduce energy demand for heating. In the United States, since cooling in buildings is provided by electricity while heating is provided by natural gas and fuel, there will be an increase in the use of electricity and a decrease in the use of gas/fuel. Because half of the nation’s electricity is generated from coal, the increase in demand for electricity will likely result in increased levels of carbon dioxide emissions.

5. Conclusions and Suggestions

Interrelated impacts have been forecast to occur in North America stemming from variations due to climate change, including economic, ecological, environmental, and social impacts, as well as social and ecological changes. All the mentioned impacts will not exist in isolation at the regional, national, or international levels. For example, temperature changes will impact the quality of life of species, and may cause more frequent weather extremes, increased erosion, changes in biodiversity, increased numbers of invasive insects and diseases, changed moisture balances, and increased wildfires. Transformations of populations and urbanization are forecast to impact watershed resources and various kinds of power usage as well as emissions and air pollution. Types of recreation and tourism activities will be impacted because of the damage inflicted by severe natural disasters (Chen, 2011).

Reducing greenhouse gas emissions and adapting to the impacts of climate change have been the two major responses to the Kyoto Protocols. The IPCC’s Second Assessment Report (SAR) raised awareness of the use and production of energy and CO2, and enhanced understanding of carbon sinks, but paid little attention to adaptation and greenhouse gas emissions. The Third Assessment Report (TAR) pointed out the importance of both adaptation and mitigation as actions for direct and indirect prevention. However, it failed to provide integrated strategies for assessment and strategic levels. The IPCC’s Fourth Assessment Report (AR4) included many elements that emphasized the importance of conducting inter-relationship assessments for various sectors.
(Meehl et al., 2007). It concluded that research literature and findings lack guidance for integration as to adaptation and mitigation.

Suggestions regarding adaptive strategies from previous studies were based on past posted trends and experiences. Prevention and adaptation for future predictions are needed as a practical matter. The accountability of scientific research outcomes coupled with optimal practices regarding climate change will increase the efficiency of adaptive capacity (Meehl et al., 2007). This study concludes, along with the suggestions of the AR4, that future studies should focus on regional studies of climate change, impacts of extreme weather events, and in-depth integrated models for mitigation, adaptation, and impact based on future simulations of climate change. For example, future studies may consider exploring integrated relationships among mitigation, impacts, and adaptations as to private action, public arrangements, and inter/national policies at all levels. Providing more feasible implementation of impact preventions and risk controls will also ensure effective global governance in climate change policy. In order to accomplish the mission of the climate change policy and enhance the global social responsibility, an integrated system that can facilitate implementation of adaptation, mitigation, and sustainable development is needed in a timely manner.

Acknowledgements

The author would like to thank Dr. Joshua Fu, Dr. Don Worster and Dr. Robert O. Mendelsohn for contributing their valuable insights to this study. The author also would like to thank the Office of Research at the University of Tennessee for the SARIF fund.

References


Senate of Canada (2003). Climate Change: We are at Risk. Final Report, Standing Senate Committee on Agriculture and Forestry, Ottawa, Canada, 123 pp.


USAID (2009). Disaster assistance: OFDA Annual report for FY 2008: USAID.


**Note**

Correspondence concerning this article should be addressed to Dr. Rachel J.C. Chen, Director of Center for Sustainable Business and Tourism, 311 Conference Center Building, the University of Tennessee, Knoxville, Tennessee 37996-4134; Phone: 1-865-974-0505; Email: rehen@utk.edu; Web: http://csbt.tennessee.edu/
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied Region</th>
<th>Variables / Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusions / Suggestions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>the U.S.</td>
<td>Climate Extremes Index (CEI); U.S. Greenhouse Climate Response Index (GCRI); ARMA models</td>
<td>Increase in temperature across the U.S. is slightly smaller than the global increase of temperature. Both indices increased rather abruptly during the 1970s.</td>
<td>Future studies may consider adding new indicators (e.g., winds, hail, tornadoes, etc.) and sulfate aerosols.</td>
<td>Karl et al. (1996)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>1900–98 (southern Canada); 1950–98 (the entire country); daily and extreme temperature related variables</td>
<td>Significant increases to the low and high percentiles over the west, and decreases over the east.</td>
<td>Adding analyses to the mean, extremes, and variance of Canadian daily temperature</td>
<td>Bonsal et al. (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the U.S.</td>
<td>The Historical Climatology Network Daily Dataset and comprise daily maximum and minimum temperatures</td>
<td>A decrease in the number of frost days, an earlier date of the last-spring freeze, a later date of the first-fall frost, and a lengthening of the frost-free season for the 1948–99 period.</td>
<td>Future studies may have maintained a constant observing time throughout the analysis period that might affect the results presented in this study.</td>
<td>Easterling (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>20th century trends and variability in spring and autumn 0 °C isotherm dates; standard t-test</td>
<td>Large-scale oscillations representing atmospheric/oceanic variations in the north Pacific and north Atlantic were significantly relate to isotherm dates.</td>
<td>Future studies may consider adding more detailed research at regional scales.</td>
<td>Bonsal &amp; Prowse (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Six Continents</td>
<td>HADCM3, CRUTEM2(v) dataset of near-surface air temperatures over land; December 1, 1899 to November 30, 1999</td>
<td>Significant anthropogenic warming trends in the studied continents. Greenhouse gases were estimated to have caused generally increasing warming.</td>
<td>Future studies may consider using different climate models.</td>
<td>Stott (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the North American region</td>
<td>Indices of large-scale surface temperature variation; comparing observed temperature changes</td>
<td>There has been a significant human influence on the observed North American warming in the second half of the 20th century.</td>
<td>Adding other anthropogenic forcing, such as changes in land cover or the role of carbon black and other non-sulfate aerosols at regional scales</td>
<td>Karoly et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From the globe to Eurasia and North America</td>
<td>monthly values of land near-surface air temperature anomalies and sea surface temperature anomalies</td>
<td>The effect of anthropogenic forcing is detectable in the global domain in the early and late halves of the twentieth century is in agreement with earlier detection studies.</td>
<td>Investigating other models to quantify the impact of signal uncertainty &amp; extensions to consider multiple signals</td>
<td>Zwiers et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the U.S.</td>
<td>daily precipitation dataset at the U.S. National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration; daily maximum and minimum surface air temperatures (1950 to 2000)</td>
<td>Great Plains to the east coasts at a rate of 20 Growing Degree Days per 10 years; trend of decreasing annual number of frost days at a rate of 3 days per decade and a trend of lengthening growing season by 4 days per decade in the western United States.</td>
<td>Examining how the climate change would cause alternation of the agro-environment for growth and yield.</td>
<td>Feng &amp; Hu (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ontario, Canada</td>
<td>Literature reviews (peer-reviewed journals, books, government and non-governmental organization publications, and websites); expert opinions</td>
<td>Climate change: have a net positive effect on nature-based tourism and outdoor recreation activities; participation in snow and ice-based activities will decrease; negative effects on traditional forest products producers; changes in long-term demand for Ontario’s forests.</td>
<td>Needs of more in-depth and quantitative analysis; More analysis of the potential impacts to the forest and tourism industries</td>
<td>Brosn &amp; Hunt (2007)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Table 2. Rising Sea Levels

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied Region</th>
<th>Variables / Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Conclusions / Suggestions</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The U.S. East Coast</td>
<td>Storm surge data (hourly tide gauge records); measurement of storm counts, duration and intensity; Harmonic analysis</td>
<td>A considerable inter-decadal variation but no discernible long-term trend in the number and intensity of moderate and severe coastal storms during this century.</td>
<td>The intensive coastal development becomes more subject to flood damage from coastal storms.</td>
<td>Zhanges et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the U.S.</td>
<td>Literature reviews of potential impacts on shorelines, estuaries, coastal wetlands, coral reefs, and ocean margin ecosystems</td>
<td>Increasing rates of sea-level rise and intensity and frequency of coastal storms and hurricanes over the next decades will increase threats to shorelines, wetlands, and coastal development.</td>
<td>Future studies may include other ecosystem stresses such as pollution, harvesting, habitat destruction, invasive species, land and resource use, and extreme natural events.</td>
<td>Scavia et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Global</td>
<td>TOPEX/Poseidon satellite altimeter data; historical tide gauge data;</td>
<td>A greater rate of sea level rise on the eastern North American coast compared with the United Kingdom and the Scandinavian peninsula is found.</td>
<td>Need more adequate information on various geophysical signatures in the tide gauge data.</td>
<td>Church et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the North Atlantic and western North Pacific</td>
<td>the US Navy’s Joint Typhoon Warning Center &amp; the National Oceanographic &amp; Atmospheric Administration’s National Hurricane Center; defined an index of the potential destructiveness of hurricanes</td>
<td>Global warming may result in an increase in the circulation and an increase in oceanic enthalpy transport from the tropics to higher latitudes was estimated.</td>
<td>Only part of the observed increase in tropical cyclone power dissipation is directly due to increased SSTs; the rest can only be explained by changes in other factors known to influence hurricane intensity, such as vertical wind shear.</td>
<td>Emanuel (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Virginia, USA</td>
<td>Used output from the SLOSH (Sea, Lake, and Overland Surges from Hurricanes) model of the National Hurricane Center; A case of storm-surge flooding</td>
<td>The low-lying eastern portion of the study area is most at risk to storm surges from hurricanes of all categories.</td>
<td>Future studies may include uncertainty in rates of population growth and in future population distribution patterns.</td>
<td>Kleinosky et al. (2007)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Jersey, USA</td>
<td>Digital elevation grids for New Jersey’s nine coastal watersheds</td>
<td>Coastal storms would temporarily flood low-lying areas up to 20 times more frequently.</td>
<td>Need to employ higher resolution elevation data and more accurate mapping within models with more detailed analyses.</td>
<td>Cooper et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Mid- and Upper-Atlantic Region, USA</td>
<td>The output of five global climate models (GCMs); two greenhouse gas scenarios; tide gauge observations; projection of sea-level increases</td>
<td>The projections of scenario-mean sea-level rise by 2100 in the region vary from 400 to 700 mm.</td>
<td>Future studies may include elevated storm surges, loss of coastal wetland, and salt water intrusion.</td>
<td>Wu et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Extreme Weather Events

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied Region</th>
<th>Variables / Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Suggestion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Global and the U.S.</td>
<td>Literature reviews: past changes; climate extremes through climate modeling; the potential impacts of climate extremes on society</td>
<td>Model output showed that there are increases in extreme high temperatures, decreases in extreme low temperatures, and increases in intense precipitation events for future climate.</td>
<td>Need consensus on the definition of extreme events; needs of suitable homogeneous data for many parts of the world</td>
<td>Easterling et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S.</td>
<td>Literature reviews</td>
<td>Despite the numerical simulation results, empiricists have been unable to identify significant increases in overall severe storm activity as measured in the magnitude and/or frequency.</td>
<td>Damage from severe weather has increased over this period, but this upward trend disappears when inflation, population growth, population redistribution, and wealth are taken into account.</td>
<td>Balling &amp; Cerveny (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California Coast, USA</td>
<td>Hourly tide gauge data from the National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration National Ocean Service Center for Operational Oceanographic Products and Services</td>
<td>Atmospheric sea level pressure anomalies take the form of a distinct, large-scale atmospheric circulation pattern, with intense storminess associated with a broad, southeasterly displaced, deep Aleutian low that directs storm tracks toward the California coast.</td>
<td>Need to examine if the observed historical pattern of inter-decadal, quasi-cyclic winter storminess holds true.</td>
<td>Bromirski et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S.</td>
<td>Literature reviews; adjusted raw loss data for seven major weather extremes during the 1950–1997 period.</td>
<td>Annual national losses during 1950–1997 from the three major extremes (hurricanes, floods, and storms), plus hail, tornadoes, winter storms, and wind storms, with an average annual loss of $10.3 billion.</td>
<td>The U.S. needs a continuing program to adequately measure losses from weather extremes.</td>
<td>Changnon (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S.</td>
<td>Literature reviews that included impacts of climate extremes, impacts of extremes and the nation’s economy, potential future economic impacts from a changed climate</td>
<td>The losses and gains defined for 1950–2000, were used to develop a list of average annual national losses and gains for various sectors of the economy - the changes are extremely small.</td>
<td>Need to assure the reliability of the use of economic models for future economic impacts.</td>
<td>Changnon (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Florida, USA</td>
<td>the effects of tropical storms and hurricanes on the natural resources; various long-term monitoring programs in the Apalachicola Bay area</td>
<td>Long-term impacts include changes to the structure of the beach, dunes, and bayside areas on a barrier island and loss of or changes in submerged aquatic vegetation distribution.</td>
<td>Need to have long-term monitoring, coupled with short-term studies and proper management of natural resources.</td>
<td>Edmiston et al. (2008)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 4. Diseases vs. Extreme Weather

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied Region</th>
<th>Variables / Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Suggestion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arizona, USA</td>
<td>Monthly valley fever incidence data for Pima County (1948–1998)</td>
<td>There were relationships between valley fever incidence and climate conditions and variability. Soaking rains may provide the moisture needed for the fungus to grow within the soil.</td>
<td>Needs of better time series data: future modeling could be combined with spatial variables including soil type, disturbance regime, and proximity to a riparian zone.</td>
<td>Kolivras &amp; Comrie (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northeastern USA</td>
<td>Human case reports for the Northeast and Mid-Atlantic regions of the United States (1992–2002)</td>
<td>When late spring/early summer precipitation was greater than average, the occurrence of Lyme disease was above average.</td>
<td>Future studies need to fully identify and quantify links between climate and the occurrence of Lyme disease.</td>
<td>McCabe &amp; Bunnell (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the Global</td>
<td>literature reviews</td>
<td>The effect of heat waves is exacerbated in large cities owing to the urban heat island effect.</td>
<td>Future projections of land-use change may be considered.</td>
<td>Patz et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>extreme rainfall and spring snowmelt in association with 92 Canadian waterborne disease outbreaks</td>
<td>Warmer temperatures and extreme rainfall are contributing factors to waterborne disease outbreaks.</td>
<td>Future studies may include variables of the microbiological causes, source water characteristics and characteristics of water treatment.</td>
<td>Thomas et al. (2006)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Global</td>
<td>Numerous methods have been identified in the literature for calculating the expected mortality, dependent upon the chosen baseline.</td>
<td>The elderly and those with existing diseases such as ischemic heart disease, respiratory disease, cardiovascular disease, and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease are most susceptible to extreme temperatures.</td>
<td>Observations are used not only in the development of the temperature–mortality relationships but also to constrain future climate predictions.</td>
<td>Gosling et al. (2009)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 5. Water Resources vs. Climate Change

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Studied Region</th>
<th>Variables / Method</th>
<th>Findings</th>
<th>Suggestion</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Texas, USA</td>
<td>combined various pumping scenarios with the 2 X CO2 climate scenario; assessment of the sensitivity of water resources impacts</td>
<td>Climatic conditions are likely to exacerbate negative impacts and water shortages in the Edwards BFZ aquifer unless ground water withdrawal is carefully adjusted.</td>
<td>Under drought conditions there is no pumping strategy that could prevent discharge shortages at San Marcos and Comal springs.</td>
<td>Loaiciga et al. (2000)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vermont, USA</td>
<td>Data were from: Northeast Regional Climate Center, National Climatic Data Center, Northeast River Forecast Center, and National Weather Service</td>
<td>From a number of precipitation statistics and drought indices, fine spatial scales (county or better) were found to best capture the character of drought impacts.</td>
<td>Drought planning efforts should be focused at the county level or smaller to eliminate potential biases.</td>
<td>Dupigny-Giroux (2001)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td>the UBC watershed model; two British Columbia watersheds. Data were from three meteorological stations</td>
<td>The flood magnitude and frequency of occurrence in the Upper Campbell watershed would increase. The number and the magnitude of the flood flows would decrease under the future climatic conditions.</td>
<td>Future studies may consider integrating the outcomes of this study with suitable future forest management practices.</td>
<td>Loukas et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The Raser River, Canada</td>
<td>the Canadian Centre for Climate Modeling: Analysis model CGCM1</td>
<td>For the period 2070-2099, the flow model predicted a modest 5% average flow increase but a decrease in the average peak flow of about 18%.</td>
<td>Future studies may run the temperature model with predictions from more than just the one GCM.</td>
<td>Morrison et al. (2002)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>California, USA</td>
<td>the Sacramento Soil Moisture Accounting Model and Anderson Snow Model</td>
<td>The hydrologic response varies for each scenario. The late winter snow accumulation decreases by 50 percent toward the end of this century.</td>
<td>GCMs should continue to improve in accuracy with further studies to evaluate their results and reduce model bias.</td>
<td>Miller et al. (2003)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td>Modeled the sensitivity of an aquifer to changes in recharge and river stage with projected climate-change scenarios</td>
<td>Models under steady-state conditions, have a much smaller impact on the groundwater system than changes in river-stage elevation</td>
<td>Future studies need to re-evaluate this model to address issues related to sustainability of groundwater resources.</td>
<td>Allen et al. (2004)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>the U.S.</td>
<td>6000 stations with daily records of precipitation, minimum and maximum temperatures, snowfall, snow depth, pan evaporation; linear trend estimates; least squares regression</td>
<td>Soil wetness has increased over the northern and eastern regions, but in the southwestern quadrant of the country soil dryness has increased, making the region more susceptible to forest fires.</td>
<td>Parameterization of these changes by assigning them to the macro-circulation variables was beyond the scope of this study.</td>
<td>Groisman et al. (2005)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region</td>
<td>Data/Models/Methods</td>
<td>Findings</td>
<td>References</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western North America</td>
<td>Snow course data, climate data; the Variable Infiltration Capacity hydrologic model; simple multiple linear regressions</td>
<td>Cold, high elevation areas and those with very large increases in precipitation (the Southwest) showed positive trends in SWE from 1950 to 1997.</td>
<td>Mote et al. (2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North American hydrographic apex, the Canada–United States</td>
<td>Mean annual discharge from 31 river reaches; hydrologic records (in the 1910s and extending to about 2002); Spearman r and Kendall t non-parametric correlations; linear regressions</td>
<td>The historic decline of total annual flow of the rivers draining relatively pristine Rocky Mountain watersheds near the hydrographic apex of North America.</td>
<td>Rood et al (2005)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Western United States</td>
<td>A regional trend toward smaller ratios of winter-total snowfall water equivalent (SFE) to winter-total precipitation ($P$) (1949–2004)</td>
<td>Extending the analysis back to 1920 shows that the trends presented here may be partially attributable to inter-decadal climate variability associated with the Pacific decadal oscillation.</td>
<td>Knowles et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>British Columbia, Canada</td>
<td>Three global climate models (GCMs); high (A2) and low (B2) emission scenarios</td>
<td>All scenarios consistently predicted an early onset of the spring snowmelt, a tendency towards a more rainfall dominated hydrograph and considerable reductions in the annual and spring flow volumes in the 2050s and 2080s.</td>
<td>Merritt et al. (2006)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The U.S.</td>
<td>Thornthwaite’s moisture index; 344 climate divisions across the United States (1895–2006); Linear trends</td>
<td>Much of the United States is becoming wetter, despite the pattern of increasing temperatures.</td>
<td>Grundstein, (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Canada</td>
<td>Literature reviews: recent progress in research in Canada over the past four years (2003-2007)</td>
<td>The coupling of hydrologic change with biogeochemical processes is essential to understand the impacts of a changing climate on water quality.</td>
<td>Branfireun &amp; Macrae, (2009)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>