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Abstract

The Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), which were adopted in September 2015 represent a challenging worldwide action plan that aims to end poverty, achieve gender equality, in diverse dimensions, promote decent work among others. Global realization of the SDGs by 2030 is highly dependent on the localization and effective implementation of the goals, yet little is known about diverse perspective of SDG localization and challenges involved. It is in response to this that the study examines the magnitude to which SDGs have been integrated into local development planning using Adentan municipal as a case study. A qualitative method with an in-depth interview of 20 key informants was adopted. The study developed a conceptual framework which was used to examine Adentan municipal Assembly on SDG mainstreaming. The study also did a critical analysis of the medium-term development plan of the municipal assembly to identify how the Assembly has effectively mainstreamed the SDGs at the local level. The findings from the study revealed that the authorities are aware of the SDGs. Majority of the targets in SDGs (1,2,3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11,13,14,16 and 17) have been integrated into the local development plan of the Assembly. However, SDG 7 and 15 were of no interest to the municipal. The findings further indicated that financing, low awareness of the relevance of the SDGs among the citizens in the municipality and bureaucracy are the major challenges of SDG mainstreaming at the local level. The study proposed a framework which extends the theory of change on effective SDG mainstreaming and can be added to other existing framework on SDG mainstreaming at the local level to address the challenges and needs of SDG mainstreaming for development initiative and may inform future research in mainstreaming and planning.
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1. Introduction

Sustainable development has moved to the centre of global development agenda. The seventeen sustainable development goals were adopted in September 2015 (Muff et al, 2017) to combat urgent social, economic and environmental problems in the world. Ghana adopted the SDGs which came into effect in January 2016 to build upon the accomplishments of the Millennium Development Goals (MDGs). Globally, the SDGs are being mainstreamed by countries into national and local development plans. Lepuschitz (2015) identified national efforts for integrating SDGs in developed countries such as Belgium, Germany, Latvia, and Slovenia among others. The aim is to institutionalize the SDGs into national development processes. Despite the integration of SDGs at national level globally, the implementation of the SDGs cannot be fully felt unless local people at the grassroots are involved hence this calls for more studies on SDGs to be done at the local level.

Ghana’s adoption of the SDGs requires the Government to put in place strategies to mainstream them into sectoral and local development plans to ensure efficient and effective implementation. The starting point for localization and effective implementation is to integrate the SDGs into the medium-term development plans of the Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assemblies (MMDAs). The Medium-Term Development Plans (MTDPs) are meant to assist MMDAs in translating the national policies and strategies for implementation. The MTDP of the MMDAs is an important vehicle for the realization of SDGs at the local level by putting in place plans and strategies to make goals a normal thing in the development planning, budgeting, implementation, monitoring and evaluation.
processes (PAGE, 2017). The study therefore seeks to assess the level to which the SDGs have been mainstreamed into medium-term development plans of the MMDAs using Adentan Municipal Assembly in Ghana as Case Study. The study adds to literature on SDG mainstreaming challenges faced at the local level in developing countries.

2. Mainstreaming of SDGs

The term mainstreaming has been used extensively in development; it has been used since the 1990s to track development matters especially in developing countries (Oates Conway & Calow, 2011; Lebel et al., 2012). Ribeiro (2018) defined mainstreaming as “the integration of policies and measures into spatial planning and decision making processes”. Uittenbroek et al. (2012) gave more detailed definition of mainstreaming, according to authors, mainstreaming is about promoting efficient, effective and more sustainable use of resources than managing and designing policies separately from on-going activities. The study relates more with this definition because mainstreaming goes beyond integrating the SDGs into local development planning, it goes beyond managing and designing policies to further center on looking at the effectiveness of the plan goals; how effective are the planning stages of mainstreaming the goals, effective reporting systems, monitoring and evaluation, implementation to ensure that the mainstreamed goals have been achieved and many others.

According to Hug and Ayers (2008) mainstreaming may take 5 to 7 years and they came up with four steps in mainstreaming. The first step centers on ‘awareness raising and building scientific capacity, the second step is on targeted information, third; pilot activities and fourth; mainstreaming. Awareness raising and building scientific capacity involves sensitization thus building the awareness of the need for the mainstreaming, profiling and mapping, assessing the people vulnerability among others. Targeted information, the second step is about gaining, acquiring information for policy makers and key stakeholders to enhance their capability skills and knowledge for mainstreaming. The third step is about the implementation of pilot activities where the implementation of the policy actually takes place and the last step looks at the mainstreaming processes where the lesson from implementation process are reviewed, how it can fed-back into policy and planning among others (Atanga et. al, 2017) however, aside these factors other technical and more challenging factors can also be used to mainstream the goals hence the need for more research in this area.
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Figure 1. Four-Step Framework for mainstreaming adaptation

Source: Huq and Ayers (2008)
According to Alhassan & Hadwen (2017), measures which prevent the integration of climate change include inadequate information about the vulnerability of the municipal to climate change and its hazards and no institutional structures to mainstream into Assembly plans. For him, the following recommendation was suggested to mainstream climate change adaptation into development planning for the municipality: capacity building, institutionalizing and coordination of climate change, legislative support, instituting early cautionary systems and disasters risk readiness and integrating democratic approach to development planning. Hug and Ayers under capacity building indicated that mainstreaming should commence with building awareness and capabilities and recommended that local government organize sensitization programs and training workshops so that stakeholders will be educated on how to integrate climate change effectively. On institutionalizing and coordination of climate change, the author said that there are no structures to integrate climate change and suggested the Ministry of Local Government and Rural Development create offices for climate change at the district level. The issue gap identified was that aside climate change it is essential to also research on other goals of the SDGs.

According to Okafor-Yawood (2019), SDG 1 and 2, which are about ending hunger, ensuring sustainable agriculture, food security and improved health, can only be attained if SDG 14 is achieved. For instance, fish serves as a source of financial gain for lots of people in the communities (Ntona & Morgera, 2018) which allows them the chance to educate their children in school. The decline in fisheries will affect the achievement of SDG 4 – quality education for all. Also, fisheries which is a source of protein for millions of people would mean that illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing (IUU fishing) threatens the acquisition of SDG 3 – ensuring healthy lives. More so, the economic damage of IUU fishing perhaps can threaten the ability to attain SDG8 and as such, the author holds that achieving the preservation of the ocean, seas and marine resources (SDG 14) is crucial to realizing other SDGs, especially SDG 1, SDG 2, SDG 3, SDG 4 and SDG 8. It is equally relevant to also stress that all the SDGs impacts the other for instance eradicating poverty have an impact on ending hunger, quality education, health among others hence the need to research about them.

Lucci & Lally (2016) advised that secured political leadership which is a major factor in achieving the goals, institutions which Alhassan & Hadwen (2017) also emphasized and financing are important mechanism towards achieving SDGs. More so, high commitments from political officials are important for implementing SDG. Weymouth & Hartz-Karp (2018) also indicated the need for partnership between governments, private and public sectors, citizens and nations for effective SDG mainstreaming. Moreso, the authors emphasized that reform governance was necessary for the integration and they apply the governance principle to a model. The model involved first starting an inclusive and democratic process of localizing, set the local SDG agenda; plan for SDG implementation and supervise SDG progress.

3. Methodology

The study uses purely qualitative research methods. The study seeks to examine how the SDGs are mainstreamed into local development planning. Qualitative approach was adopted for the study with an in-depth interview guide and open-ended questionnaire for data collection. A total of twenty (20) respondents were sampled for the study. The target population included one (1) Metropolitan, Municipal and District Chief Executives (MMDCEs), three Planning Officers, two District Coordinating Directors, three Finance Officers, three budget officers of Metropolitan and eight (8) Assemblymen. The participants were selected using purposive sampling techniques. The technique was used because specific participants involvement in the mainstreaming and their specific knowledge in the SGDs. These are people responsible for decision making, planning, budgeting, mainstreaming, and implementation of SDGs in the Municipality. The data collected was transcribed and analyzed through thematic analysis. The development of themes and the analysis were based on the objectives of the study. The themes reflected the overall aim of the study. The emerging themes were organized and discussed according to the objectives of the study.

4. Findings and Discussion

This section presents the findings and discussions on how the Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) are mainstreamed at the local level at the Adentan Municipal Assembly.

4.1 Mainstreaming of SDGs at the Local Level

The medium-term development plan of the assembly was reviewed and compared with the global goals and also with the National Development Planning Commission (NDPC) framework. The NDPC framework was given to all Metropolitan, Municipal and District Assemblies in Ghana as a guide in mainstreaming the SDGs. This was evident when a responded said:

“we get the guidelines from the NDPC and when we are doing our plans, we incorporate them into the plan”
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SDGs and Targets</th>
<th>Adentan Municipal</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Goal 1: End Poverty</td>
<td><strong>Mainstreamed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets not mainstreamed</strong></td>
<td>Create pro-poor and gender-sensitive development strategies to support poverty reduction. Reduce by half the proportion of people who are poor by national definition.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 2: End hunger</td>
<td><strong>Mainstreamed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets not mainstreamed</strong></td>
<td>Adopt measures to ensure the proper functioning of food commodity market. Prevent trade restrictions.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 3: Ensure healthy lives</td>
<td><strong>Mainstreamed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 4: Quality Education</td>
<td><strong>Mainstreamed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets not mainstreamed</strong></td>
<td>Expand the number of scholarships available for enrollment in higher education. Equal access to affordable and quality technical, vocational and tertiary education.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 5: Achieve Gender Equality</td>
<td><strong>Mainstreamed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Targets not mainstreamed</strong></td>
<td>Empower women in technology. Undertake reforms to give women equal right to economic resources. Ensure access to sexual and reproductive right. Ensure women’s full participation and equal opportunities for leadership at all levels of decision making.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 6: Sustainable management of water and sanitation</td>
<td><strong>Mainstreamed</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 7: Sustainable and Energy for all</td>
<td>Target not mainstreamed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---</td>
<td>---</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Group 8: Decent work and economic growth</td>
<td>Mainstreamed Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 9: Build infrastructure and foster innovation</td>
<td>Mainstreamed Targets</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 10: Reduce Inequality</td>
<td>Mainstreamed Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 11: Make human settlement safe and sustainable</td>
<td>Mainstreamed Target</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goal 12: Sustainable Consumption and Production Patterns</td>
<td>Mainstreamed Target</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
to adopt sustainable practices and to integrate sustainability information into their reporting, recycle etc.

Goal 13: Combat climate change and its impacts

Mainstreamed target: Integrate climate change measures into policies and planning. Improve education on climate change mitigation. Promote mechanism for raising capacity for effective climate change related planning etc

Targets not mainstreamed: Promote mechanisms for raising capacity for effective climate change-related planning and management. Strengthen resilience and adaptive capacity to climate-related hazards.

Goal 14: Conserve Oceans, seas and hygiene resources for sustainable development

Mainstreamed target: Regulate harvesting and end over fishing, illegal, unreported and unregulated fishing and destructive fishing practices. Prohibit certain forms of fisheries subsidies which contributes to overcapacity and overfishing. Provide access for small-scale artisanal fishers to marine resources and markets.

Target not mainstreamed: Prevent and reduce marine pollution of all lands. Sustainably manage and protect marine and coastal ecosystem. Minimize and address the impact of ocean acidification.

Goal 15: Protect, sustainable use of forest, halt land degradation and biodiversity loss

Mainstreamed target: Combat desertification, restore land and soil

Targets not mainstreamed: Ensure the conservation and sustainable use of fresh water ecosystem and their services. Promote the implementation of sustainable management of all types of forest. Conservation of mountain ecosystem. Reduce degradation of natural habitat etc

Goal 16: Promote Peace and institutions in all level

Mainstreamed target: Promote and enforce non-discriminatory laws and policies for sustainable development. Reduce all forms of violence. End abuse, exploitation, trafficking etc. Promote rule of law. Reduce corruption and bribery. Develop accountable and transparent institutions. Provide legal identity for all, including birth registration. Ensure public access to information and protect freedom.

Targets not mainstreamed: Strengthen the recovery and return of stolen assets. Broaden and strengthen the participation of developed countries in the institutions of global governance.

Goal 17: Partnerships for the goals


Targets not mainstreamed: Promote universal, rule-based, nondiscriminatory trading system etc

4.2 Mainstreaming Processes at the Local Level

The mainstreaming processes at the local level included stakeholder engagement, planning, budgeting, implementation, monitoring and evaluation.

4.2.1 Stakeholder Engagement

Stakeholders are essential in the formulation of the local development plan of municipals.
The assembly engage stakeholders in planning which confirmed what the respondents said:

“We engage our stakeholders and we organize workshops at the various electoral area. The residents in this place and other stakeholders were consulted, that is what we always do in preparing our medium-term development plan”

More so, from Partnership for Action and Green Economy (PAGE) 2017, the key stakeholders for mainstreaming the SDGs at the local level including youth, women, parliamentarians, local decision makers, traditional authorities, religious groups, civil society organization and farmers were confirmed from respondents’ responses and Medium Term Development Plan of the assembly.

The views and opinions of the stakeholders were solicited in preparing the local plan which were treated with much respect

“Stakeholders were involved in every situation in terms of presenting issues and bringing in their problems, they were also given the opportunity to air their views out during those meetings which aided in preparing the local development plan of the municipal”.

Afterward, the leaders of the assembly performed a needs assessment, prioritized based on available resources and went back to the stakeholders to explain their difficulties to them. This proposal was accepted as the Local Development Plan only after it was accepted by the stakeholders

“after collecting input we prioritize the needs which form bases for the planning process, then we arrange them based on NDPC planning project, it goes for approval by the assembly members, once they approve, its good for implementation”

It is important to add that though stakeholders were involved in preparing the local development plan, it was the leadership of the Municipal Assembly who mapped the local development plan with the SDGs and its targets.

4.2.2 Planning Processes

The planning process is very critical in mainstreaming the goals into local development plan. The National Development Planning Commission (NDPC) led the planning process by giving the Assembly a guideline on how the SDGs should be mainstreamed

“we get the guidelines from the NDPC and when we are doing our plans we incorporate them into the plan”

In planning the municipal did assessment and prioritization of activities based on resources available

“We do needs assessments, prioritize based on available resources, then we develop projects around to address the issue but because of limited resources we put them in timelines”.

Moreover, the medium term development plan of the Assembly revealed that they used their regular planning techniques in mainstreaming the SDGs which includes preparation of district profiles, district development priorities, goals, strategies and objectives, development of Program of Action and Annual Action Plan.

4.2.3 Budgeting

Proper budgeting determines the success of the SDG mainstreaming. Under budgeting the respondent only mentioned that the mainstreamed goals were captured in the budget

“we capture it in our budget and once the funds are available we put them into implementation”

“the mainstreamed goals are actually what it is in the budget”.

However, the findings did not reveal that the Municipal Assembly agreed on expected outputs that would lead to the achievement of the SDGs nor compared cost to available resources in order to reprioritize it to fit within the available resources which are essential in budgeting in achieving these goals.

4.2.4 Monitoring, Evaluation and Reporting

Strong monitoring and evaluation and reporting systems are essential in achieving the goals at the local level. The respondents demonstrated that there are effective monitoring assessments to track progress of the mainstream goals

“We have a monitoring team made up of planning unit, the works department and the Internal audit and when a department is implementing a particular thing, they go out and try to find out exactly what is going on vis a vis how it has been budgeted ...”

The municipal used their regular monitoring and evaluation plan in tracking progress of the goals

well the truth is that the assembly don’t necessarily have SDGs specific monitoring but we do have a monitoring framework of the assembly in monitoring the local development plan”
More so, the Municipal Assembly added the SDG indicators to their usual indicators in tracking progress of the goals.

“the indicators of the mainstreamed goals are added to the assembly’s monitoring indicators to form part of our usual monitoring”

“the monitoring framework also takes inspirations from the indicators from the SDGs and the national framework”

In terms of reporting of the mainstreamed goals, the respondent had diverse and similar views on that.

“We report to our supervisory agencies eg the Regional Coordinating Council and the Ministry of Local Government and we will continue to report to them as such”

Reporting of the goals are also done internally and externally.

“the reporting is done from two angles, first we have internal reporting and we also have external reporting, we have quarterly progress reporting which we circulate with NDPC and the Regional Coordinating Council (RCC) and then we have the Annual progress report which is a consolidation of the various quarterly progress report”

4.2.5 Means of Implementation

The successful implementation of the goals depends on the availability of the financial resources of the Assembly. The SDGs acknowledge multi-stakeholder partnerships as essential means for mobilizing and sharing knowledge and financial resources to support the goals and even though the Municipal Assembly involved the stakeholders, they were not informed to assist financially.

Most of the respondents emphasized that implementation of the goals were based on the relevance of the goals to the community or the assembly:

“We look at the various thematic areas, we look at the ones we can implement that is the relevant ones,…then we mainstream”.

For effectiveness, implementation of the mainstreamed goals are in segregation, thus the goals are implemented at departmental level. For instance a goal which is health-related will be implemented by the health directorate of the Municipal Assembly.

“we implement them through our usual activities...., if the plan of action is on child abuse we have the social welfare department available to implement, so the implementation is segregated into department”

The respondents also revealed that because of limited resources, all the 17 SDGs goals cannot be implemented, therefore since the MTDP is for a period of (4) years, the Assembly budget for what can be implemented within that period.

“We do needs assessment, prioritize activities based on available resources then we put them in various timelines then we develop projects around to address the issues but because of limited resources, we put them in timelinesf. “we can't implement all the goals at the same time so depending on the guidelines that are given to us, if we are considering five (5) goals at a given period we make sure we have a budget line for them”

Respondents from the municipal mentioned the main sources of fund for implementing the local development plan.

“With the mobilization of funds in implementing the SDGs, we have the Internally Generated Funds, we have those funds from the Central Government and we have Donor fund.”

4.3 Effectiveness of the Mainstreamed Goals

The SDG indicators measures the criteria used in determining the effectiveness of the mainstreamed goals. The findings indicate that the Municipal Assembly have indicators for tracking progress on their local development plan and also added the SDG indicators to their existing indicators in tracking progress of the goals. The indicators have indicator type which looks at whether a particular indicator is an output or an outcome, it also has monitoring frequency and responsibilities. The monitoring frequency determine the number of times either quarterly or annually an indicator would be tracked, and the responsibilities are the units or agencies responsible for the monitoring. Using the indicators, it appears that the municipal has effectively mainstreamed the goals. However, to ensure that the goals have effectively been mainstreamed, it is laudable to also do a historical analysis on the budget of the Municipal Assembly to know whether they have been able to acquire funds in implementing local development plan. This is because resource is essential to successful implementation of the goals.
Table 2. Budgeting at the municipal level expenditure (Adentan-municipal)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2014</th>
<th>2015</th>
<th>2016</th>
<th>2017</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PLANNED</td>
<td>ACTUAL</td>
<td>VARIANCE</td>
<td>PLANNED</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOG</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td>105,454.03</td>
<td>95,454.03</td>
<td>30,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IGF</td>
<td>3,349,204.48</td>
<td>3,236,141.48</td>
<td>113,062.79</td>
<td>4,773,707.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DACF</td>
<td>1,186,104.75</td>
<td>566,798.07</td>
<td>619,306.68</td>
<td>2,210,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UDG</td>
<td>312,000.00</td>
<td>(312,000.00)</td>
<td>6,550.91</td>
<td>955,544.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PWD</td>
<td>23,722.09</td>
<td>17,171.18</td>
<td>6,550.91</td>
<td>53,221.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GAMA</td>
<td>400,000.00</td>
<td>393,395.41</td>
<td>6,604.59</td>
<td>600,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MSHAP</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
<td>487,254.50</td>
<td>262,745.50</td>
<td>750,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MPS CF</td>
<td>5,930.52</td>
<td>13,305.35</td>
<td>9,916.12</td>
<td>104,958.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SIF</td>
<td>150,000.00</td>
<td>280,000.00</td>
<td>175,041.72</td>
<td>104,958.28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU PROJECT</td>
<td>452,592.00</td>
<td>468,045.80</td>
<td>(15,453.80)</td>
<td>350,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CEN GOV</td>
<td>1,400,000.00</td>
<td>1,004,904.95</td>
<td>395,095.05</td>
<td>1,110,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,977,553.84</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,146,767.59</strong></td>
<td><strong>812,786.25</strong></td>
<td><strong>11,125,779.54</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In Adentan, Government of Ghana (GoG) actuals were higher than planned except 2016, 2017.

With District Assembly Common Fund (DACF), Adentan Municipal Assembly sometimes receive half of planned amount and other times received almost the amount planned for the year. In 2014, the Assembly planned 1,186,104.75 but received almost half of the planned amount, 566,798.07 and in 2017, the planned 3,712,225.00 exceeded the actual of 540.00 received. But then, in 2015, the actual received of 2,101,482.22 were closer to the amount planned of 2,210,000.00 which was also evident in 2016.

With Urban Development Grant (UDG), it is very difficult to make predictions of the future in Adentan, because in 2015, the Municipal Assembly planned 955,544.82 for UDG and interestingly, the actual received was an amount of 9,555,444.82, a magnificent increase from the planned budget. However, in 2016, the Municipal Assembly planned 1,719,400.00 but had a decline of 793,040.00 on the actuals received, this means when the budgeted amount by the Assembly was high, it received lower actuals and vice versa making it difficult to make future predictions on UDG in Adentan municipal. From a detailed perspective, it is evident that Adentan Municipal Assembly has more financial sources than the three main financial sources mentioned by the respondents.

An increased population would necessitate an increase in finances to eradicate poverty, reduce hunger, enhance health systems, ensure quality education, decent jobs among others. For the Municipal Assembly to achieve its targeted mainstreamed goals, more efforts is needed to increase financing at the local level. Municipal Chief Executive can lead the change in exploring opportunities in the municipality to increase the internally generated funds, encourage public private partnerships, internally and externally searching for avenues to support programs and projects of the Assembly among others.
It is evident that Adentan municipal has effectively mainstreamed the SDGs which is to say that Adentan Municipal Assembly can effectively implement their local development plan.

4.4 Mainstreaming Challenges at the Local Level

From the literature, financing of the goals was the main challenge of the SDG mainstreaming. The findings show an evidence of funding being a major challenge. Aside funding, limited awareness of the relevance of the SDGs, bureaucracy, unreliable data and information from stakeholders, lack of commitment and competent human resource, difficulty in allocating an activity to an SDG were mentioned by the assembly as challenges in mainstreaming the SDGs.

Table 3. SDG mainstreaming challenges at the local level

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Challenges faced by Adentan municipal in mainstreaming SDGs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>• Unreliable data and information from Stakeholders</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Limited awareness of the relevance of the SDG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Lack of commitment and competent human resource</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Bureaucracy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Inadequate funding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>• Difficulty in allocating an activity to an SDG</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Conclusion

Generally the SDGs have been mainstreamed into the local development plan of Adentan Municipal Assembly. The number of targets mainstreamed are appreciable and even beyond the expectations of the Ghana Baseline Report, 2018. SDG 1, 2, 3,4,5,6,8,9,10,11, 13,14,16 and 17 have positively been mainstreamed into the local development plan of the municipal. However, the municipal shown low interest in SDG 7 and 15. The major challenges on the mainstreaming of the goals were resources especially finances, low awareness of the relevance of the SDGs and bureaucracy which, if attention is not given would affect massively the implementation of the goals leading to the impossibility of achieving the goals by 2030. The study provided feedback on policies on SDG mainstreaming precisely at the local level, which was critical in ensuring the accomplishment of goals by 2030. These contributions to policy and practice would become relevant to the development of more effective SDG mainstreaming at the local level.

The study adds to literature on SDG mainstreaming at the local level. The findings from the study revealed a lot of factors that influence SDG mainstreaming at the local level such as government support, intervention and supervision, high commitment, constant exploration of avenues for resources, efficient and effective resource management, awareness building, political leadership, institutional structures, robust coordination, technical support, financing, budgeting, stakeholder engagement, planning, implementation, monitoring and evaluation among others.

5.1 Framework for Effective Mainstreaming of SGDs in Local Development Plans

The framework provided below represents the major contribution of the study to literature. It combines literature reviewed and recommendation provided in the study. This framework is believed to be a comprehensive framework for an effective SDG mainstreaming at the local level which could also be added to other existing framework on SDG mainstreaming at the local level.
5.2 Recommendations

There is the need for sensitization to popularize the relevance of the SDGs to all citizens especially the stakeholders who would be involved in the SDG planning. The sensitization would invariably increase commitment for mainstreaming the goals by not only stakeholders but employers and employees at the various department of the Municipal Assembly. The awareness of the relevance of the goals would automatically affect planning positively at the initial stage of the preparation of the local development plan which would impact budgeting and influence implementation of the goals. High commitment from political leaders is also very necessary in achieving the goals. This is because in Ghana for instance, the local authority is not fully autonomous and takes inspirations from decisions of the central government on how operations at the local level ought to be. Effective and efficient resource management, institutional structures, robust coordination and technical support affect all aspects of the SDG mainstreaming process. For instance, coordination is needed to bring all the institutional structures involved including the various departments with their technical support, effective and efficient resource management is required to ensure that the limited resources available have been used to achieve the highest level of significance than it would otherwise have been used. On budgeting, the Municipal Assembly use the Medium-Term Expenditure Plan (MTEP) but it appears that the Public Expenditure and Financial Accountability (PEFA) is broader and essential in managing public finances than the MTEP used in the Municipal Assembly. The PEFA Framework uses seven (7) key pillars and 31 sub-indicators to account for Public Financial Management outturns in countries. The seven pillars includes: policy-based fiscal strategy and budgeting which ensures that the budget
Predictability and control in budget also ensure that the implementation of the budget is done in an orderly and inevitable manner with stewardship existing in the usage of public funds. Budget credibility looks at whether the budget is realistic and can be carried out. Accounting and reporting ensures that enough records and information are developed including reporting systems as well. External scrutiny and audits ensure that external arrangement operates for the examination of public finances and follow ups are done by the executive. Transparency of public finances looks at how budget information becomes accessible to the public and whether the budget and its risks involved are comprehensive. Donor support ensures that resources granted are guided by rules of the donor. These are essential for effective budgeting.

Financing is an important mechanism towards achieving the SDGs. This requires the need to increase resources towards achieving the goals. In Ghana, the major sources of funding at the local level are the District Assembly Common Fund, Internally Generated Fund and Donor support. The ability to get the donor support is not certain and is based on a lot of factors from the preferences of the donors. To increase DACF and funds from Government of Ghana (GoG), there should be a critical review of Government spending by an independent entity whose appointment is based strictly on meritocracy than by a political leader. The independent body would ensure transparency and accountability of all spending in government. Moreover, the review would reveal persons whose salaries and allowances are overly paid and other unaccounted spending. This can possibly increase funds given to the Municipal Assembly at the local level in achieving local development plan.

On Internally Generated Fund (IGF), the Municipal Assembly must constantly explore avenues for resources and explore avenues for funds from international bodies. There should be partnership between the Municipal Assembly and other business entities to increase funds internally. More so, the Municipal Assembly can engage in more businesses per opportunities available.

The municipality have serious water problems. Here the Municipal Assembly can establish a water supply system amidst the limited resources available, residents would pay less and get access to quality water than buying from private vendors which are very expensive and of low quality. Profit from this would increase funds of the Assembly, create employment (SDG 8), and aid in achieving SDG 6 on access to quality water and many more.
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