Soybean Yields and Biomass Production of Winter Cover Crops in the Southwest of Paraná – Brazil
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Abstract

The use of winter cover crops is an important practice for the management and conservation of soil and water in southern Brazil. The objective of this work was to evaluate the accumulation of green mass and dry mass in the aerial part of winter cover crops in monocropping and intercropping in southwestern Paraná, in the years 2016 and 2017. Randomized blocks were used as experimental design with a subdivided plot scheme of 50 m² with five replications. The cover crops used in monocropping were black oats and wheat, while for the intercropping black oats + turnips + rye + white lupin (AP+NF+C+TB), black oats + turnips (AP+NF) and black oats + turnips + rye + vetches + white lupin + buckwheat (AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM) were used. The data were subjected to analysis of variance and the means were compared by the Tukey test at 5 % probability. The intercrop composed of black oats + turnips + rye + vetches + white lupin + buckwheat had a higher production of green mass, with an average value of 50.880 kg ha⁻¹. For the production of dry mass, the monocrop of black oats had higher yields, with an average value of 5.168 kg ha⁻¹. The highest yields were obtained in the coverage area with black oats, turnips, rye, vetches, white lupin and buckwheat, presenting a yield in 2017 of 4.487 kg ha⁻¹.
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1. Introduction

Cover crops are plants that have the purpose of protecting the soil against erosion and the leaching of nutrients. Their use is widespread since the introduction and consolidation of no-tillage systems (NTS). In addition to protecting the surface of the soil, these plants improve the physical, chemical and biological properties of the soil (Derpsch et al., 1985; Giacomini et al., 2000; Pérez-Bermúdez et al., 2016; Rosseto et al., 2017).

According to Calegari and Donizeti, (2014), in the south of Brazil the use of winter cover crops is facilitated by the climatic conditions, the cultivation systems and also by the fact that during this period of the year, less area is occupied by crops of economic interest. The authors further state that cover crops can be grown alone or by intercropping with several species, with the use of intercropping being recommended as a solution because of the benefits of growing several plants at the same time.

Compared to monocropping, the intercropping of plant species promotes the formation of a soil coverage that is closer to the ideal regarding the quantity and the quality of the residues, which will bring benefits to the following crops and the NTS (Calegari & Donizetti, 2014; Pierri et al., 2016).

Lima Filho et al. (2014) also emphasize that cover crops using the monocropping or intercropping system can
absorb nutrients in the deep layers of soil and accumulate them in the roots or in the aerial part; when these are deposited on the soil surface, they can release part of the nutrients contained in the tissue, altering the nutrient content in the most superficial layers along the cycle of the next crop.

Some of the most used winter cover crops in the south of Brazil include grasses, crucifers and legumes. The grasses produce large amounts of dry mass and have a high carbon/nitrogen ratio, which increases the persistence of their residues on the soil's surface. The crucifers have rapid growth and promote rapid soil cover (Okello et al., 2013). The legumes are important to fix atmospheric nitrogen in association with nitrogen-fixing bacteria, speeding up the contribution of this element in the system because of the faster decomposition of their residues when it is fragmented and deposited on the soil surface (Lima Filho et al., 2014).

It is noteworthy that when planning which plants to use as soil cover, it is of fundamental importance to know the species to be used, the history of the area under cultivation, the soil and climate conditions, the production system and the proposed objectives (Penha et al., 2017).

Souza and Guimarães (2014) mention that the biomass production of the species used as cover is a result of the edaphic, climatic and phytosanitary conditions, and especially of the in-depth root development, with these factors being important for the correct establishment of winter cover crops.

As such, it should be stressed that the biomass production by the winter cover crops used in the crop rotation systems is important for the maintenance and improvement of the physical, chemical and biological balance of the soil and it may be the best indicator for the production sector for the choice of the best alternatives as a function of the climatic conditions.

In this sense, the objective of this work was to evaluate the production of green mass and dry mass by different winter cover crops in monocrop and intercrop systems as well as the yields of the soybean crop cultivated in succession to the winter crops in an area located in the southwestern region of Paraná - Brazil.

2. Materials and Methods

The trial was conducted in the agricultural years of 2016 and 2017 in an experimental area located in the municipality of São Jorge do Oeste – Paraná, Brazil. The area is located at latitude 25º44’ South, longitude 52º53’ West, 461 meters above sea level. According to the Köppen classification, the local climate is characterized as Cfa, a humid subtropical mesothermic climate with hot summers, rare spells of frost, an average temperature in the coldest month below 18°C and an average temperature in the warmest month above 22°C. The soil of the experiment location was described as an eutroferric red latosol (Santos et al., 2013; Embrapa, 2019) and Ferralsols/ Oxisols, (WRB/FAO, 2019). Table 1 shows the chemical characteristics of the soil of the experimental area at a depth of 0.00-0.20 m.

Table 1. Chemical characterization of the soil in the layer of 0.00-0.20 m

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>CaCl₂</th>
<th>M.O</th>
<th>P</th>
<th>K</th>
<th>Ca</th>
<th>Mg</th>
<th>H+Al</th>
<th>SB</th>
<th>CTC</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>g dm⁻³</td>
<td>mg dm⁻³</td>
<td>cmol dm⁻³</td>
<td>cmol dm⁻³</td>
<td>%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>4.6</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>5.60</td>
<td>2.20</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>8.3</td>
<td>11.90</td>
<td>69.70</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Randomized blocks were used as experimental design with a subdivided plot scheme of 50 m² with five replications. The cover crops used and their respective seeding densities are described in Table 2.

The experiment was conducted in an area used for the cultivation of soybean (Glycine max L.) during the summer. Before the planting and management of the cover plants, a chemical control of weeds was carried out through the application of the glyphosate herbicide (1.5 L ha⁻¹ of the commercial product) in the project area. The cover crops were sown at the beginning of the month of May in the years of 2016 and 2017; they were evenly distributed with the aid of a manual seed distributor and incorporated into the soil with the aid of a lightweight and closed leveling rack.
Table 2. Winter cover crops used and seeding density

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover crops</th>
<th>Seeding density (kg ha⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+TB</td>
<td>40 + 6 + 15 + 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF</td>
<td>40 + 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+TB+TM</td>
<td>40 + 6 + 15 + 15 + 20 + 40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


After 120 days of plant development, samples of the aerial part of the cover plants were collected to determine green mass and dry mass. They were collected manually close to the ground with the aid of a knife to determine the green mass, in an area of 0.25 m², after which they were weighed on a digital scale with the value obtained being extrapolated to kg per hectare. To determine the dry mass of the cover plants, the samples of green mass were dried in a closed circulation air oven at 65°C until reaching constant weight, after which they were weighed with the value obtained being extrapolated to kg per hectare.

The assessment of the soybean yield behavior in the areas under study was performed in the same areas in two consecutive years, the summer harvests of 2016 and 2017. To this end, the soybean crop was sown mechanically after desiccation and management of the cover plant residues, using a drill seeder with rod-type furrowing mechanism (machete) for the NTS in the same experimental design, taking advantage of the coverage with the remaining dry matter of the plants.

The sowing of the soybean crop was performed using a spacing of 0.45 m, with 26 seeds m⁻¹, using the cultivar Monsoy 7908 RR. 250 kg ha⁻¹ of the formulation 8-28-16 (20 kg ha⁻¹ of N, 70 kg ha⁻¹ of P₂O₅ and 40 kg ha⁻¹ of K₂O, respectively) was applied as sowing fertilization in accordance with the soil fertility evaluation and following the recommendations by Mascarenhas et al. (1997). Moments before sowing, an inoculation of the soybean seeds was carried out with *Bradyrhizobium japonicum* peat (6 x 10⁶ viable seed cells ·⁻¹).

During the growth of the crop, all required phytosanitary treatments for the proper development of the soybean were carried out. As such, three applications of the insecticide 50 g L⁻¹ Lambda-cyhalothrim were carried out at a dose of 150 mL ha⁻¹ at 30, 45 and 65 days after soybean emergence to control the soybean caterpillar (*Anticarsia gemmatalis* L.) and southern green stink bug (*Nezara viridula* L.).

The manual harvest of the soybean crop was performed for all plants contained in the 4 central lines of the experimental plots, disregarding 1 m at each end. To estimate the yield, the grain contained in the useful area of each plot was measured by weighing, expressed in tons per hectare, adjusted to 13 % water content.

The collected data was submitted to analysis of variance with the F test to check the differences between the treatments. The comparison between the means was done with the Tukey test at a 5 % significance level, using the statistical Assistat software, version 7.7 beta (Silva & Azevedo, 2016).

3. Results and Discussion

The green mass yields of the different cover crops in the year of 2016 and 2017, as well as their means, are described in Table 3. It should be noted that for the green mass yields of the different species of winter cover crops, there was only a statistically significant difference for the yield means, with no statistically significant difference between the different years of cultivation.

Table 3 shows that the green mass yields of the intercropping plant cover composed by AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM had a higher mean value of 50.880 kg ha⁻¹, with this being the statistically superior treatment. The treatment with AP+NF had a mean value of 42.030 kg ha⁻¹, which was the second largest mean among the treatments. The intercropping composed of AP+NF+C+TB had the third highest mean with a yield of 35.562±4.650 kg ha⁻¹.
Table 3. Green mass yield in the aerial part of different winter cover crops in the southwest of Paraná. Data regarding the means of five repetitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover crops</th>
<th>Green mass (kg ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>2016*</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>Mean*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+TB</td>
<td></td>
<td>35.856±4.122Ca</td>
<td>35.268±5.178Ca</td>
<td>35.562±4.650Ca</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF</td>
<td></td>
<td>42.848±3.564Ba</td>
<td>41.212±3.876Ba</td>
<td>42.030±3.720Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM</td>
<td></td>
<td>50.488±5.312Aa</td>
<td>51.272±4.867Aa</td>
<td>50.880±5.089Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td></td>
<td>27.736±2.189Da</td>
<td>25.344±1.895Da</td>
<td>26.540±2.042Da</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


*Means followed by the same uppercase letter in the row and lowercase letter in the column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at a probability of 5 %.

The treatments formed by the monocrops of black oats and wheat had green mass yield values of 26.740±3.317 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 26.540±2.042 kg ha\(^{-1}\) respectively, with their means being statistically equal among themselves, but statistically lower than the other treatments.

Balbinot et al. (2004), in a study carried out in Canoinhas - SC on winter cover crops with black oats, perennial ryegrass, rye, turnips, common vetch, in mono- and intercropped crops, observed that the intercropped cover crops had higher values of green mass than the monocrops. These data are similar to those found in this study.

Vieira (1999) emphasizes that intercropping systems between species with different architectures and distinct growth patterns of the root system improve the use of environmental resources, providing greater accumulation of mass per area at a given time.

The data regarding the yields of dry mass of the different winter cover crops are presented in Table 4, with the values obtained during the years of 2016 and 2017 and the mean yield for this period. For the dry mass yields of the different species of winter cover crops, there was a statistically significant difference only for the yield means, with no statistically significant difference between the different years of cultivation.

Table 4. Dry mass yield in the aerial part of different winter cover crops in the southwest of Paraná. Data regarding the five repetitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover crops</th>
<th>Dry mass (kg.ha(^{-1}))</th>
<th>2016*</th>
<th>2017*</th>
<th>Mean*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td></td>
<td>5.160±545Aa</td>
<td>5.176±238Aa</td>
<td>5.168±291Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+TB</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.784±267Ba</td>
<td>3.692±276Ba</td>
<td>3.738±271Ba</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.040±356BCa</td>
<td>3.132±472BCa</td>
<td>3.086±414BCa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM</td>
<td></td>
<td>2.952±179C</td>
<td>2.984±211C</td>
<td>2.968±195C</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.176±412BCa</td>
<td>3.084±254BCa</td>
<td>3.130±222BCa</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same uppercase letter in the row and lowercase letter in the column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at a probability of 5 %.


According to Table 4, the treatment containing black oats had a higher mean yield of dry mass, with the value of 5.168±291 kg ha\(^{-1}\), which was statistically superior to the others. The treatment containing AP+NF+C+TB had a dry mass yield of 3.738±271 kg ha\(^{-1}\), which was the second highest mean among the treatments.
The treatments containing AP+NF and wheat, on the other hand, had dry mass yields corresponding to 3.086±414 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 3.130±222 kg ha\(^{-1}\) respectively, which were statistically equal to the treatment with AP+NF+C+TB, which had the second best mean and also statistically equal to the treatment containing AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM, which had the lowest mean dry mass yield, with a value of 2.968±195 kg ha\(^{-1}\).

Ziech et al. (2015), in a study conducted in the municipality of Dois Vizinhos - PR, found dry mass yield values in the monocrop cultivation of black oats and intercropping of black oats + vetches + turnips corresponding to 4.073 kg ha\(^{-1}\) and 2.600 kg ha\(^{-1}\), similar results to those found in this study.

In studies carried out by Giacomini et al. (2003) and Doneda, (2010) the intercropping of black oats + vetches resulted in dry mass yields equivalent to that of the monocrop oats, but higher than the result of monocrop vetches. Ceretta et al. (2002), however, observed that the cultivation of vetches intercropped with oats didn't generate increases in dry mass yields when compared to monocrops. However, according to these authors, the intercropping increased the contribution of nitrogen in the soil.

It is should be noted that phytomass yield variations for the species of coverage are common, since this characteristic is dependent on the edaphic, climatic and phytosanitary conditions (Wolschick, 2014).

Table 5 presents the data on soybean yields in the cultivation areas obtained for the years 2016 and 2017.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cover crops</th>
<th>Soybean yield (kg.ha(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2016</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP</td>
<td>3.669±213Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+TB</td>
<td>3.668±321Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF</td>
<td>3.619±145Aa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM</td>
<td>3.768±278Ab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TM</td>
<td>3.410±543Bb</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Means followed by the same uppercase letter in the row and lowercase letter in the column do not differ significantly by the Tukey test at a probability of 5%.


When analyzing the data in Table 5, one can see that there are significant differences at the 5 % level of significance regarding the soybean yields obtained in the years 2016 and 2017. In the area covered with black oats (AP), the productivity in 2016 was 3.669±213 kg ha\(^{-1}\), but in 2017 the same area yielded 4.239±346 kg ha\(^{-1}\). The other areas showed this same behavior, with the exception of the area with black oats plus turnips (AP + NF), where there was no significant difference at a 5 % level of significance between the two periods under study, since 3.619±145 kg ha\(^{-1}\) was produced in 2016, which is similar to the yield of 2017: 3.919±521 kg ha\(^{-1}\).

The largest yields in the years of 2016 and 2017 were obtained in the area with plant covers containing black oats, turnips, rye, vetches, white lupin and buckwheat (AP+NF+C+E+TB+TM), with yields of 3.768±278 and 4.487±374 kg ha\(^{-1}\). One can see that these yields differ at the level of 5 % of significance.

These data show that there was an increase of about 19.1 % in yields. The values obtained are significant because they represent a productivity increase of 719 kg ha\(^{-1}\) compared to 2016. This also reveals that the best winter plant cover intercropping should be done with black oats, turnips, rye, vetches, white lupin and buckwheat.

In possession of the data that reported the production components of the plant, similar soybean grain production yields between the areas under study were already expected. In the evaluation of the productive components and yields when deployed in a no-tillage system under different vegetation covers (Muraishi et al., 2005; Souza & Guimarães, 2013).

With the increased soybean yields in the areas under study, it is suggested that the increase of organic matter, released to the soil through green manuring, favors its aggregation, maintaining a stable and suitable structure for a good development of the crops (Cunha et al., 2011). Kitamura et al. (2008) tested different cover crops on
Cerrado soil and obtained results that corroborated the improvements in the chemical, physical and biological properties (macrofauna) of the soil after the use of these plants.

In a similar study, Nicoloso et al. (2008) attributed the higher soybean yields in relation to the control to the greater soil coverage with black oats and turnip cover crops in an intercropping system, which corroborates the findings in this study. Debiasi et al. (2010), however, found no differences in soybean yields following the cover plants when compared to the fallow system.

According to Cruz Portela et al. (2010), a significant improvement in the quality of the physical soil attributes could be found with the continuous contribution of plant material to the surface, but especially through the roots of the crops and lack of soil inversion. The lower aggregation of the soil under conventional cultivation is related to a lower accumulation of organic matter on the surface (Vezzani & Mielniczuk, 2011).

The use of cover crops, therefore, provides structural advantages that reflect on the yields of succeeding crops, ensuring the sustainability of agricultural systems over time (Llanillo et al., 2006).

4. Conclusion

The intercrop composed of black oats + turnips + rye + vetches + white lupin + buckwheat had a higher production of green mass.

For the dry mass, the monocrop of black oats had higher yields.

Finally, it should be noted that the grasses have a higher yield of dry mass due to their higher C/N ratio and lower water content.

The highest soybean yields were obtained in the coverage area with black oats, turnips, rye, vetches, white lupin and buckwheat, in 2018.

References


WRB/FAO - Embrapa – Empresa Brasileira de Pesquisas Agropecuárias. Sistema Brasileiro de Classificação de Solos. Disponível em:


**Copyrights**

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal. This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).