Rapid Prototyping Assisted Scaffold Fabrication for Bone Tissue Regeneration

Pranav S. Sapkal¹, Shraddha Jaiswal¹ & Abhaykumar M. Kuthe¹

¹ Department of Mechanical Engineering, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, India
Correspondence: Pranav S. Sapkal, Department of Mechanical Engineering, Visvesvaraya National Institute of Technology, Nagpur, India. E-mail: pranav_sapkal@rediffmail.com

Received: August 27, 2016     Accepted: September 26, 2016     Online Published: September 30, 2016
doi:10.5539/jmsr.v5n4p79     URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmsr.v5n4p79

Abstract
The review article focuses on Rapid Prototyped assisted scaffold fabrication for bone tissue regeneration, particularly in respect of its mechanical properties and cell culture abilities. The distinct feature of computer aided design and computer aided manufacturing (CAD & CAM), imaging technology and rapid prototyping (RP) technology has been used by different researchers to print porous scaffolds with requisite shape and interconnected channels for osseous tissue formation. This study concludes that the use of RP in scaffold manufacturing offers patient specific designed scaffolds with improved strength, in-vitro and in-vivo cell culture capability unlike traditional scaffold fabrication techniques. Tissue engineering using 3D Printing is a viable substitute for organ transplant, which requires willing donors to part with their organs. This study reviewed the benefits of RP/imaging/CAD-CAM to develop scaffolds for bone tissue regeneration and it serves those patients who could not be accurately treated by traditional means. The article is helpful to study the influence of RP in the field of organ transplant
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1. Introduction
Hard tissue structure comprises of cortical and cancellous bone. Cortical bone is closely packed sharing 80% of the whole bone mass while remaining 20% is shared by cancellous part. Remodeling and maintenance of bone is carried out by different cells. Osteoblast cell is responsible for bone generation, whereas the function of Osteoclast cell is bone resorption. The communication among different cells (Osteocyte, Osteoblast and Osteoclast) together is responsible for maintaining healthy bone (Bose, Vahabzadeh, & Bandyopadhyay, 2013). However body fails to completely cure large size, bone defects (Mourino & Boccaccini, 2010).

Existing medical solutions for functional substitution of these crucially injured or diseased bones are medical implants and organ transplantation (Yang et al., 2001). However, problems associated with these solutions like chronic infection, irritation and dislocation from the site compels us to find new alternatives. Tissue engineering an emerging field involves the generation of new tissues by using the principles and methods of engineering and cell culture. In this technology cells from the patient’s own body are expanded in-vitro and are then seeded on a scaffold which channelizes the growth of cells in predefined orientation to form three dimensional tissues (Sun & Lal, 2000; Sachlos & Czernuszka, 2003).

Traditional approaches to fabricate these scaffolds are gas foaming, particulate leaching, fiber bonding, phase separation, membrane lamination, melt molding, solvent casting and emulsion freeze drying (Zein et al., 2001). But these scaffold fabrication methods do not provide desired inner architecture that makes sure biological process and tissue establishment (Chen et al., 2005). Such intricate structures can be successfully fabricated by additive manufacturing (AM) techniques. Some major techniques involve, Three Dimensional Printing (3DP), Stereo lithography (SLA), Fused Deposition Modeling (FDM), Selective Laser Sintering (SLS), 3D Plotter, Phase-change Jet Printing and Low Temperature Deposition Manufacturing (LDM) that let fabricate difficult inner structures directly from CAD data (Landers et al., 2002).
The achievement of cell proliferation on scaffold depends on various parameters like its porosity, surface area to volume ratio, strut/wall thickness, anisotropy, cross sectional area, permeability and interconnected porosity. A perfect scaffold should have interconnected macro pores in the range of 100 to 350 µm for good release of oxygen and nutrients and micro pores on the scaffold surface in the range of 5 to 10 µm for initial cell adhesion (Kalita, Bose, Hosick, & Bandyopadhyay, 2003; Hutmacher, Sittinger, & Risbud, 2004).

Apart from cell performance, mechanical properties of scaffold play a primary role in load bearing sites. Several studies are based on optimizing the porosity value of scaffold with compressive modulus of the host tissue (Sun, Starly, Darling, & Gomez, 2004; Rainer et al., 2011). However, some studies say that there is no need to match the mechanical properties of scaffold with the host tissue since the implant is intended to get remodeled and would be replaced by bone (Fedorovich et al., 2011). The main aim of the paper is to provide various research activities carried out on rapid prototyping (RP) assisted fabrication of scaffold for the osseous tissue formation. The paper is divided into 5 sections. Section 2 talks about the CAD based approaches that are used for designing patient specific scaffold architecture and its further printing using rapid prototyping technology. Section 3 speaks about the increase in mechanical properties of the scaffolds fabricated using a rapid prototyping approach than that of traditional approaches. Cell culture abilities of 3D-printed scaffold and its comparison with that of conventional fabricated methods is discussed in section 4. Section 5 describes the animal studies that are carried out on 3D printed scaffolds for bone tissue regeneration.

2. Computer Assisted Scaffold Architectures for Bone Tissue Regeneration

A lot of development has taken place in scaffold architecture as far as bone tissue engineering is concerned. Since traditional methods of scaffold fabrication did not prove to be efficient in terms of its mechanical and cell culture abilities, CAD based scaffold design led researchers to fabricate scaffold with required shape and size. Chua et al. (2003) worked on the development of library with diverse polyhedral shapes to form the unit element of the scaffold structure. In Part1 of his paper the unit cells were chosen based on their complexity, mechanical integrity, gap filling properties and assembly of such unit cells was chosen to form a scaffold structure. Whereas in Part2 a program is made to automate the assembly of selected polyhedral unit cells to form the scaffold structure of required outline and dimension Figure 1 (Chua et al., 2003a,b). Another well-known approach to get patient specific scaffold is a Boolean intersection of scaffold unit cell and host tissue anatomic structure Figure 2 (Sun, Starly, & Darling, 2005). In another study wire frame estimation of basic polyhedral, the platonic solid and Archimedean was used. Each edge of the model is converted to a beam of desired length. By changing the diameter of the beam porosity of 80% is maintained, a value close to the porosity of trabecular bone. Assembly of such unit elements forms the scaffold structure for bone regeneration (Wettergreen et al., 2005).

Figure 1. (a) Reconstructed surface model; (b) Scaffold assembly ready for RP fabrication (Chua et al., 2003b)
CAD based scaffold design with various porosities obtained by changing FDM parameters like road width, slice thickness, angle between two successive layers and road gap, 3D honeycomb pattern is obtained, also novel architectures replicating human bone with a gradient in porosity levels for cortical and cancellous bone is reported by Kalita et al., 2003 Figure 3 (Kalita, Bose, Hosick, & Bandyopadhyay, 2003). Porous scaffold for bone tissue repair is reported by Liulan et al. (2006), in which firstly CAD model with essential interior microstructure is created and Boolean subtraction of the scaffold bounding box and the model is done to get the requisite negative model of casting mold. FDM an additive manufacturing technology, which uses the ABS as model material is used to print this mold. Ceramic slurry of β - tricalcium phosphate (β-TCP) is poured into the mold and subjected to necessary temperature conditions. By melting the ABS mold and sintering the β-tricalcium phosphate powder scaffold with required internal structure is obtained Figure 4 (Lin et al., 2006). In an additional study by the same researcher, scaffold fabrication by using SLS (Selective Laser Sintering) an additive manufacturing technology in which the unit element consists of microstructure of spheres. The intersection of the two spheres is in such a fashion, so as to achieve desired interconnected porosity. This unit microstructure is repeated in x, y, and z direction to get three dimensional pattern. The final scaffold structure is obtained by performing Boolean subtraction between solid cubic model and three dimensional microstructure patterns (Liulan, Qingxi, Xianxu, & Gaochun, 2007).
Using the finite element method, scaffold based on conformal all-hexahedral mesh refinement and shape function is investigated by (CAI and Xi, 2008; Hu, 2012). Scaffold exterior model, including the cortical bone and cancellous bone is created by taking the CT scan of the region of interest. Based on a grip mapping algorithm the scaffold model is meshed by eight-node hexahedral element since it enjoys easy control than twenty-node element. The mesh is further refined so as to obtain controlled pore size distribution at different location of the scaffold. By getting the node information of the hexahedral element, pore making element is modeled for all 3D hexahedral mesh. Boolean subtraction operation between the scaffold exterior model and pore model is performed to get the scaffold with defined pore size distribution Figure 5 (Cai & Xi, 2008; Li et al., 2012).

Different cell fate processes such as cell replication, cell differentiation, cell death, cell motion and cell adhesion predominantly depends upon scaffold material and architecture. Most of the studies are based on scaffold
fabrication with pore sizes ranging from 150-300 µm and 500-710 µm to facilitate osseous tissue formation (Li et al., 2006). However, there is no agreement among researchers in respect of best pore size for bone regeneration. Scaffold fabricated from Poly (propylene fumarate) a biodegradable photopolymer using microstereolithography showed an increase in pre-osteoblast cell proliferation with an increase in pore size for 100, 200 and 350 µm but exhibited discouraging results with 500 µm pore size because of lesser initial cell bond with the scaffold (Lee, Ahn, Kim, & Cho, 2010). Scaffold with same overall porosity and pore size but with different architecture also shows variation in cell proliferation. Not only pore size, but scaffold architecture and porosity also play an important role in enhancing the cell reaction in terms of seeding efficiency. Poly (ε-caprolactone) (PCL) scaffold with four different configurations of fibers (basic, basic-offset, crossed and crossed offset) each having mean porosities of about 60% showed more cell propagation and mesenchymal stem cell differentiation in offset structures when seeded with rat bone marrow (MSC) (Maleksaeedi et al., 2013). Scaffold fabricated by PPF using Microstereolithography (MSTL) an additive manufacturing technology showed 30% more cell proliferation for staggered structure than lattice type when seeded with pre-osteoblast cells (Lee, Ahn, Kim, & Cho, 2010).

Scaffold architecture also has to be designed keeping in mind the fluid flow inside it in a bioreactor. Diameter of scaffold strand, distance between the two strands and fluid flow through the scaffold affects the distribution of shear stress in a perfusion bioreactor. A study carried out by Yan et. Al., (2011) in which fluid flow in both perfusion and non-perfusion is modeled in computational fluid dynamics (CFD) environment and shear stresses at the surface of the scaffold were computed. It was seen that the shear stress value increased with the increase in the strand diameter in perfusion bioreactor and is very high as compared to non-perfusion and hence it detached the cells from the surface of the scaffold and cell growth is hampered (Yan, Chen, & Bergstrom, 2011). Distribution of cells after perfusion seeding in a tissue engineered scaffold is influenced by its pore architecture. In a study carried out by Melchels et al. (2011) in which two scaffold type one with homogeneous pore size (412 ± 13 µm) and porosity (62 ± 1%) and another with varying pore size (250-500 µm) and porosity of (35%-85%) were modeled. Computational fluid dynamics results revealed that their was unvarying flow of fluid velocities and wall shear rates of (15-24s⁻¹) for homogeneous structure, whereas for varying structure their was different in the fluid velocities and wall shear rates from 12 to 38s⁻¹. Greater cell densities were seen in scaffold with larger pores in gradient architecture since with a larger pore size, number of cells are passing through it in a unit time is more as compared to smaller pores (Melchels et al., 2011). Micro-scale scaffold based on actual CT images of patients is reported by Podshivalov et al. (2013). The scaffold manufactured by state-of-the-art 3D an additive manufacturing technology showed very alike structure as that of host tissue and hence good interaction with the surrounding tissue. By taking the µCT images of the host tissue, 3D model of region of interest is constructed. By converting the 3D volumetric model into 3D scaffold design, FEA analysis is done in order to find the weaker sections. Finally the model is converted into the .STL file format and directly sent to additive manufacturing. Scaffold geometry verification is done by taking the µCT again and is dispatched for implantation (Podshivalov et al., 201).


Mechanical properties of scaffold have a leading role when regenerating firm tissues like bones and cartilages (Chua et al., 2003a,b). It should provide enough mechanical strength for early injury contraction forces and later modeling of the tissue (Hutmacher, Sittinger, & Risbud, 2004). Degradation of scaffold material hampers its mechanical strength and hence the degradation rate should match the regeneration rate (Sun, Starly, Darling, & Gomez, 2004). Scaffold with high degradation rate should have low porosity level since fast degradation will lessen the structural strength. Whereas scaffold with low degradation rate and good mechanical properties can have high porosity values, since with larger pores cell interaction and multiplication will enhance (Karageorgiou & Kaplan, 2005).

Intricate CAD based scaffold architectures and its pre-deterministic mechanical simulation is not possible with traditional approaches. RP assisted scaffold fabrication has led researchers to fabricate scaffold with different architectures in order to enhance the mechanical properties of the same material with same porosity levels. Finite element analysis of unit polyhedral shapes modeled in CAD environment with same overall porosity of 80 %, bounded by same dimensions with difference in its architectural arrangements showed variation in its elastic modulus of 174 MPa for an element with (square hole) and 0.96 MPa for the element (curved connector) when the elements were subjected to 1% strain (Wettergreen et al., 2005). Another study by Sun et al. (2004) has reflected a FEM approach to optimize the design of the unit element of scaffold. Unit cubic elements with square holes from each side were modeled in CAD environment with varying porosity levels. By fixing one face of the cubic element and giving a displacement of 0.1 % of the length, reaction force at the fixed end is computed and elastic modulus for respective element is calculated. Three different materials viz. hydroxyapatite, PLLA and PLGA were analyzed. Since minimum porosity of 60 % is required for efficient nutrient transport, material element with 60% porosity is selected which satisfies the elastic modulus property of the host tissue (Sun, Starly, Darling, & Gomez, 2004).
FDM approach has been used by researchers to fabricate polymer, ceramic as well as polymer-ceramic composite scaffold. PP (polypropylene) – TCP scaffold with 3-D interconnected controlled porosity fabricated using FDM approach has been reported by Kalita et al. (2003). Porous structures were fabricated by processing the filament using a single screw extruder followed by its deposition in fused form. A scaffold structure with a pore size of 160 µm and total porosity of 36, 40 and 52 % were fabricated. Compression test results demonstrated that structure with 36% porosity level revealed the best compressive strength value of 12.7 MPa which is well in range for human trabecular bone (Kalita, Bose, Hosick, & Bandyopadhyay, 2003). Bioinspired approach for the fabrication of load adaptive scaffold architecture with same porosity level, nevertheless with different architecture is also stated by Rainer et al. (2011). PCL poly (e-caprolactone) a biodegradable polymer is used to print two different architectures, one designed with load adaptive scaffold architecturing (LASA) and another with the grid design (linear strut alternately oriented at 0 and 90°) Figure 6. Both the structures were designed with 61 % porosity levels. Samples were compressed with a rate of 1mm/min and load vs. displacement graph was plotted. The stiffness value of LASA structure obtained was 5.50 x 10^5 N/m whereas for GRID structure; it was 1.40 x 10^5 N/m (Rainer et al., 2011).

Studies in different fabricating process of the scaffold and its effects on mechanical properties have also been reported. Scaffold fabricated using traditional approach like salt leaching and with that of microstereolithography (MSTL) using Poly (propylenefumarate) a biodegradable photopolymer has been compared for its mechanical and cell proliferation abilities. It was observed that scaffold fabricated using salt leaching method showed average values of ultimate strength and elastic modulus of 1.29 and 15.49 MPa, whereas there was significant increase in values i.e. 8.28 and 77.41 MPa for scaffold fabricated using MSTL approach (Lee, Ahn, Kim, & Cho, 2010).

Selective laser sintering technology is successful for the fabrication of scaffold with polymer, ceramic as well as metallic material. PCL a bioreabsorbable polymer has been used in scaffold fabrication as far as bone generation is concerned. In a study carried out by Williams et al. (2005) in which PCL scaffold fabricated by SLS technology for pig condyle showed compressive modulus of 52 to 67 MPa and yield strength of 2.0 to 3.2 MPa, which is very much close to the properties of human trabecular bone (Williams et al., 2005). Silicate/Hydroxyapatite (HA) hollow composite ceramic scaffold manufactured for bone tissue engineering using selective laser sintering is confirmed by Liu, F. (2012) in his study. Silica sol 23 weight % embedded with 40 weight % HA particles and water, was used to make ceramic slurry. The maximum bending strength of 4.7 MPa was obtained when the slurry was processed with 1.6 J/mm² laser energy to form the green body and then sintered at 1200°C for 1.5 hours (Liu, 2012). Liu et al. (2013) have again investigated the use of titanium and silica sol slurry for the fabrication of hollow bone scaffold using SLS and found that the compressive strength of 142 MPa was achieved after sintering the green part at 900°C for 2 hrs (Liu et al., 2013).

Patient specific scaffold produced by 3D –printing technology directly by using CT data is probed by many researchers. CT data of anonymous patient’s left hand were acquired and its 3-D model was created in a CAD environment. Region of interest, i.e. left hand's ring finger proximal phalange was used for the case study. The model was directly sent to the 3-D printer and binder was printed layer by layer on beta tri-calcium phosphate powder bed. The printed model was subjected to high temperature treatments so as to increase its mechanical strength. It was observed that the compressive strength values increased from 2.36 MPa for sintering temperature of 1250°C to 8.66 MPa when sintered at 1400°C (Santos et al., 2012). Superior mechanical properties of scaffold have also been achieved using 3-D printing technology. Titanium powder and PVA (Polyvinyl alcohol) a water soluble polymer was dry mixed in a ball mill using 20 mm zirconium balls for 10 hrs. The mixed powder was laid
on the bed which was controlled by a feed roller and deionized water which was used as a binder was dispersed by the printer head layer by layer according to the cross section of scaffold. Compressive modulus of 330 MPa was obtained for total scaffold porosity of 83%, which is well in range for human cancellous bone (Maleksaeedi et al., 2013). Table 1 summarizes the mechanical properties of scaffold fabricated by additive manufacturing technology for bone tissue engineering.

### Table 1. Mechanical testing of 3D printed scaffold for bone tissue regeneration

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Average Porosity %</th>
<th>Application</th>
<th>Compressive Modulus (MPa)</th>
<th>Compressive Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Compressive Yield Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>Stiffness (N/m)</th>
<th>Bending Strength (MPa)</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>PP-T CP</td>
<td>FDM</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>264 ± 28.6</td>
<td>10.4 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Kalita et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA</td>
<td>FEM</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Femoral head</td>
<td>673.4</td>
<td>909</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Sun et al., 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>Analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1380</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maleksaeedi et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>63 to 97%</td>
<td>Pig condyle</td>
<td>52 to 67</td>
<td>2.0 to 3.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Williams et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-T CP</td>
<td>FDM (Indirect method)</td>
<td>63.4</td>
<td>Cambium (Bone repair)</td>
<td>10.39</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Williams et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>3D-Plotter</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>77.41</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Hee et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF</td>
<td>MSTL</td>
<td>69.6</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>121.6 ± 140.9</td>
<td>10.3 ± 4.3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Melchels et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA</td>
<td>3D-printer</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>Human trabecular bone</td>
<td>216.6 ± 36.8</td>
<td>2.1 ± 1.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Saito et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA + T CP</td>
<td>LDM</td>
<td>87.5</td>
<td>Alveolar bone</td>
<td>67.87</td>
<td>1.37</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Li et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>FDM</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Femoral head</td>
<td>5.5 x 10³</td>
<td>2.2 – 4.77</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Rainer et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Silica + HA</td>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>27 – 30</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>57.90 ± 5.70</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Liu, 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL + HA</td>
<td>FDM</td>
<td>54.6 ± 1.2</td>
<td>Goat femoral head</td>
<td>57.90 ± 5.70</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Ding et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HA – 40%</td>
<td>3D-Plotter</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>18.5 ± 0.19</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Yilgor et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>β-T CP</td>
<td>3D Printing</td>
<td>63.19 ± 1.19</td>
<td>Left hand’s</td>
<td>23.6 ± 0.05</td>
<td>5.75 ± 0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Santos et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>Bioplotter</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>Ring finger proximal phalange</td>
<td>8.2 ± 0.01</td>
<td>8.66 ± 0.11</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Li et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glass-Ceramic</td>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>12.37 ± 1.25</td>
<td>20.33</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xiong et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLLA</td>
<td>PEM</td>
<td>60.3</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>194.96</td>
<td>8.32</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xiong et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>LDM</td>
<td>89.6</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>60.11</td>
<td>12.10</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Xiong et al., 2002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maleksaeedi et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titanium</td>
<td>3D-printing</td>
<td>Bone tissue regeneration</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td>2090</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Maleksaeedi et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. In-vitro Studies

4.1 In-vitro Studies Carried Out on Scaffold Fabricated via Additive Manufacturing Technology.

Many studies are reported for scaffold fabricated by 3D-printing technology using Mesenchymal stem cells for in-vitro evaluation. MSC’s which are undifferentiated cell from an embryo, fetus and adult with high proliferation and self-renewal ability that under certain conditions can differentiate into multiligne age specialized cells (Lian et
Embryonic Stem Cells demonstrate potential for application in the field of regenerative medicine (Marolta et al., 2012). They are derived from blastocyst–stage of the embryo. ESCs are prone to genetic alterations and can develop abnormal karyotype; therefore close check on karyotype is recommended (Colnot, 2011). NIH3T3 mouse fibroblast cell line extracted from embryo has been used to see the cell attachment and proliferation on PELA (poly Formosan die. HA/PELA scaffold sustained the attachment of live cells and were well dispersed along the layers using FDM approach. CCK-8 assay revealed that initial cell attachment was higher for HA/PELA scaffold than (D, L-lactic acid) - poly (ethylene glycol) - poly (D, L-lactic acid) and HA/PELA composite scaffold fabricated with PLA matrix were printed layer by layer using the nozzle deposition system. It was revealed by WST assay that there was no noteworthy difference in the absorbance value for both the compositions, when incubated for 4 hrs. However immunofluorescence studies demonstrated that there was a momentous difference in cell morphologies for both the scaffold. PLA/PGE scaffold showed the very sparse distribution of rMSC and the cells were mostly round in shape, whereas there was very well spread morphology of rMSC for scaffold with glass particle (Serra, Planell, & Navarro, 2013).

Compared with other sources adipose tissue has more population of MSCs and it is easy to harvest (Locke, Windsor, & Dunbar, 2008). About 1g of adipose tissue yields 5000 AT-MSCs (Levi & Longaker, 2011). They are expanded in vitro and evaluated in vivo for cartilage and bone formation which represents an attractive source of cells for bone Tissue Engineering (Aust et al., 2004). The effect of osteogenic differentiation of adipose derived mesenchymal stem cells in the 3-D printed polycaprolactone scaffold is studied by Caetano, G. F. (2015). To evaluate the ADSC's viability on PCL scaffold cultured in both (basic and osteogenic medium), the MTT colorimetric assay test was performed. Macroscopic images of scaffold showed that purple formazan crystals were formed because of the mitochondrial activity as a result of presence of viable cells. The purple crystals formed on the scaffold were dissolved in DMSO and optical density (OD) values of the solution were recorded using a spectrophotometer. It was observed that OD values for both the groups (basic and osteogenic) medium showed similar results (Caetano et al., 2015).

Bio-metal scaffold fabricated by selective laser sintering and its in-vitro cell culture studies using human osteosarcoma cells derived from bone are reported. Titanium powder mixed with silica sol in a ratio of 2:1 weight percent was used to fabricate hollow shell structures of bone scaffold. To analyze the scaffold biocompatibility sintered as well as a green part sample was tested using microculture tetrazolium test (MTT) assay. The optical density value, i.e. the number of live cells increased with cell culture time for sintered sample than the green part (Liu et al., 2013).
was lower i.e. 69.6% as that of salt leached approach with a porosity level of 76.6% (Lee, Ahn, Kim, & Cho, 2010). In another study cell response on scaffold fabricated by 3D plotter with that of salt leached scaffold is compared using PCL material. Both the scaffolds were fabricated with same size, i.e. (10 mm x 10 mm x 5 mm). A 3-D bioplotter PCL scaffold was fabricated with nozzle diameter of 330 µm and a strand distance of 700 µm. Chondrocytes extracted from articular cartilage of porcine were seeded on both the types of scaffolds. After 8 weeks of incubation it was observed that chondrocytes started filling up the interconnected pores and were fully spread on the 3D plotted scaffold surface. It was concluded that 3D plotted scaffold supports the speedy tissue formation with cell attachment in short time (Hee et al., 2010). Demonstration of different cell sources that are currently used in bone tissue regeneration is shown on Table 2.

### Table 2. Cell currently used in bone tissue engineering

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cell Source</th>
<th>Cell Type</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stem Cells (Adult)</td>
<td>Bone marrow derived mesenchymal stem cells</td>
<td>Less immunogenic</td>
<td>Inadequate efficiency</td>
<td>Mafi et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Periosteal tissue derived mesenchymal cells</td>
<td>Differentiate into osteogenic lineage</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adipose tissue derived mesenchymal cells</td>
<td>Better mineralization and neovascularization</td>
<td>Less supply</td>
<td>Salgado, Olga, &amp; Rui, 2004</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Dental tissue derived progenitor cells (Ectomesenchymal cells)</td>
<td>Capable of differentiating into cartilage, bone and ligaments cells</td>
<td>Low efficiency</td>
<td>Zuck et al., 2001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blastoct derived stem cells</td>
<td>Pluripotent cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stem Cells (Embryonic)</td>
<td>Umbilical cord derived stem cells</td>
<td>Multipotent with high proliferative capability</td>
<td>Less supply</td>
<td>Seong et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cord blood derived stem cells</td>
<td>High potential, good efficiency</td>
<td>Autograft non-accessibility</td>
<td>Ribeiro et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Amniotic fluid derived stem cells</td>
<td>Differentiate into osteoblast.</td>
<td>Less supply</td>
<td>Ribeiro et al., 2013</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tissue specific</td>
<td>Osteoblastic cells</td>
<td>Formation of interconnected network for communication and transport between osteocyte</td>
<td>Less resource</td>
<td>Takahashi &amp; Yamanaka, 2006</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Genetically modified osteogenic cells</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Adenoviral BMP (In Vivo delivery, gene construct)</td>
<td>Bone formation in subcutaneous and intramuscular ectopic sites</td>
<td>Host immune and inflammation</td>
<td>Hutmacher &amp; Garcia, 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Retroviral-BMP transduced osteogenic cells (Ex Vivo gene delivery)</td>
<td>Demonstrate bone regeneration in ectopic sites.</td>
<td>Immunosuppressant therapy</td>
<td>Tsuchida et al., 2003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Induced pluripotent stem cells (iPS)</td>
<td>Generation of patient specific tissue</td>
<td>Low yield, Inefficient and costly</td>
<td>Takahashi &amp; Yamanaka, 2006</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 4.2. *in-vitro Culture Systems*

Static cell culture condition is widely used in the field of tissue engineering for preliminary studies. Use of dynamic cell culture is more comparable to in-vivo conditions as compared to the static cell culture system. Bioreactors provide the 3D dynamic and mechanical in vivo body environment. They are designed to provide all necessary nutrients and biological cues to the cells seeded deep within a scaffold for its survival, proliferation and differentiation to produce extracellular matrix (Sladkova & Maria de Pepp, 2014). It has been seen in a study that extracellular matrix (ECM) gets uniformly distributed in 3D scaffold by using flow perfusion bioreactor after the period of 16 days in comparison to static condition in the presence of osteogenic cells (Zhang et al., 2010). Some popular bioreactors used in the field of bone tissue engineering areas:

#### 4.2.1 Spinner Flask Bioreactor

It is a simple bioreactor, devised to provide convective flow so that hydrodynamic forces are produced to enhance mass transfer. A spinner flask director can accommodate large number of scaffolds, but the utility is limited for the reconstruction of flat bones or for bone patches (Rauh, Milan, Gunther, & Stiehler, 2011).
At the cross-section 1.5 mm thick cartilage layer was observed on the surface of the femoral head and firm bone tissue were filled in the macro channels of scaffold (Ding et al., 2013). After 10 weeks of in-vivo implantation the structure retained its shape with unbroken cartilage like surface. HA/PCL scaffold for the osseous part were implanted in dorsum of athymic nude mice subcutaneously for 10 weeks. After 10 days prior to implantation in the femoral condyle of nude mice shows increase bone formation when compared with static culture (Sladkova & Maria de Peppo, 2014). It is necessary to evaluate the performance of the construct which is comparable to the physiological response in humans. The success of preclinical studies depends upon the choice of animal model to access the viability of determining tissue engineering concept. The two most important properties to be considered when choosing the animal model is:

1) It must be comparable biologically and identifiable to human physiology.

2) The bone defect under study must fail if not treated with the TE approach under study (Goldstein, 2002).

If the aim of the study is to evaluate the porosity and permeability of fabricated tissue engineered scaffold for tissue growth and proliferation, then simple ectopic models can be considered very good (Pearce et al., 2007). Also for such studies subcutaneous models like rats can be considered where the scaffold is seeded with cells and growth factor supplemented with osteodifferentiation medium. Immunogenic response in such animals is a limitation which can be overcome by using athymic nude mice when the xenogeneic cell source is used (Viateau et al., 2008). Polylactic acid coated polyglycolic acid (PLA/PGA) scaffold and HA/PCL scaffold was fabricated to restore goat femoral head. The scaffolds were fabricated in two parts, i.e. Cartilage regeneration of femora condyle and bone regeneration of the femoral condyle. The bone defect under study must fail if not treated with the TE approach under study (Goldstein, 2002).

4.2.2 Rotating Wall Vessels
It consists of rotating concentric cylinders filled with culture medium where oxygen in media is provided via a coaxial tubular silicon membrane. Botchwey et al. (2004) on culturing SaOS-2 bone cells onto porous, three-dimensional degradable poly (lactic-co-glycolic acid) scaffold in a rotating wall vessel bioreactor demonstrated that cells maintained an osteoblastic phenotype and showed a significant increase in alkaline phosphatase (ALP) activity and matrix mineralization compared to cells cultured under static conditions (Botchwey, Levine, Pollack, & Laurencin, 2004). However, its application is limited to small scaffold size as they fail to conduct optimal mass transport to the core (Rauh, Milan, Gunther, & Stiehler, 2011). When compared with spinner flask, on culturing rat MSCs, low secretion of osteocalcin than a spinner flask is observed. Though it solves a few of the limitation of static culture, but results from expression of osteoblastic markers are not adequate (Gasper, Gomide, & Monteiro, 2012).

4.2.3 Perfusion Flask Bioreactor
Perfusion systems are complex since they can perfuse fluid directly through the scaffold to ensure uniform mixing of media, which results in better mass transport inside the large constructs, and up regulate expression of osteoblastic markers. It consists of pump, culture media reservoir, tubing circuit and columns to hold the scaffold. Several studies reveal that flow perfusion in comparison with static culture shows increase in ALP activity as well as Osteopontin which are expressed after differentiation of MSCs (Cartmell et al., 2003). Zhang et al. (2010) demonstrated differentiation of cells, leading to increase in ALP activity and calcium mineralization when human fetal MSCs seeded onto PCL/ β-Tricalcium phosphate block cultured using perfusion flask. Both in vitro and in vivo results showed proliferation and ectopic bone calcification respectively in mice (Zhang et al., 2010). Also, BM-MSCs seeded on poly (lactic -co-glycolic acid) /PCL cylindrical scaffold cultured in perfusion flask for 10 days prior to implantation in the femoral condyle of nude mice shows increase bone formation when compared with static culture (Sladkova & Maria de Peppo, 2014).

5. Animal Studies (in-vivo)
It is of prime importance to evaluate the performance of engineered tissue construct on various preclinical approaches before implantation in humans. The first phase includes preclinical trials on smaller animals in order to assess the given concept. After the acquisition of positive results, studies are extended to trials on larger animals (Salgado, Olga, & Rui, 2004). It is necessary to evaluate the performance of the construct which is comparable to the physiological response in humans. The success of preclinical studies depends upon the choice of animal model to access the viability of determining tissue engineering concept. The two most important properties to be considered when choosing the animal model is:

1) It must be comparable biologically and identifiable to human physiology.

If the aim of the study is to evaluate the porosity and permeability of fabricated tissue engineered scaffold for tissue growth and proliferation, then simple ectopic models can be considered very good (Pearce et al., 2007). Also for such studies subcutaneous models like rats can be considered where the scaffold is implanted into the back of the animal or other ectopic sites like peritoneal cavity. This approach can be considered to study osteoconductivity and bone formation when the scaffold is seeded with cells and growth factor supplemented with osteodifferentiation medium. Immunogenic response in such animals is a limitation which can be overcome by using athymic nude mice when the xenogeneic cell source is used (Viateau et al., 2008). Polylactic acid coated polyglycolic acid (PLA/PGA) scaffold and HA/PCL scaffold was fabricated to restore goat femoral head. The scaffolds were fabricated in two parts, i.e. Cartilage regeneration of femora condyle and bone regeneration of the femoral condyle. The surface morphology of femoral head of the goat, with and without cartilage was acquired by laser scanning to get the 3D model of articular cartilage. Resin model of PLA/PGA scaffold with 10 % PLA content was fabricated using 3D printing. The scaffold was molded to define the anatomical profile of the articular surface. Scaffold for bone generation of femoral condyle was fabricated using HA/PCL. 40 weight % HA powder was mixed with 60 weight % PCL pellets in slurry at 120° c and the scaffold was fabricated using FDM technology. Chondrocytes extracted from the cartilage samples of the femoral compartment of the knee joint were seeded on the PLA / PEG scaffold for cartilage regeneration. Similarly BMSCs extracted from the tibial condyle were seeded on the HA / PCL scaffold for femoral condyle bone regeneration. Together, PLA/PGA scaffold for upper cartilaginous part and HA/PCL scaffold for the osseous part were implanted in dorsum of athymic nude mice subcutaneously for 10 weeks. After 10 weeks of in-vivo implantation the structure retained its shape with unbroken cartilage like surface. At the cross-section 1.5 mm thick cartilage layer was observed on the surface of the femoral head and firm bone tissue were filled in the macro channels of scaffold (Ding et al., 2013).
The popularly used animal to study bone defects are rabbits, dogs, rats and sheep. The tissue engineered construct under a load bearing condition can be studied on the femur bone of rabbits (Salgado, Olga, & Rui, 2004). However pig and sheep models are used scarcely because of high cost. Histological staining methodologies are popular to observe the bone union at the two osteotomies like callus formation, new bone formation, resorption of the bone graft, marrow changes and cortex remodeling in in-vivo assays (Muschler et al., 2010). Computerized image and radiographic analysis make possible to observe penetration of bone tissue, thickness of non-mineralized bone tissue, surfaces covered by osteoblast, area of trabeculae, area of vascular tissue, and void space (Viateau et al., 2008). PLLA/TCP scaffold fabricated using (LDM) low temperature deposition manufacturing was used to heal 20 mm segmental defect in canine radiuses of 20 skeletally mature beagle dogs. The approximate weights of dogs were 10 to 14 kg. The scaffold was fabricated layer by layer in X and Y direction to make hollow cylindrical structure with external and internal diameter of 10 and 5mm respectively. Bovine bone morphogenic protein (bBMP) extracted from bovine diaphyseal bone were loaded on the scaffold and was implanted in the defect site. X-ray images revealed that there was a complete bone formation after 24 weeks of implantation. Also, no chronic lymphocytic infiltrates or giant cell formation was observed in any part, which concluded that implanted scaffold is biocompatible (Xiong et al., 2002).

Octacalcium phosphate (OCP) scaffold fabricated using 3D printing to repair designed cranial bone defect on 5 rabbits were investigated by Komlev et al., 2015. Scaffold with 20 mm diameter with 16 holes of 1 mm diameter was printed using custom designed 3D printer. The printed scaffold was placed in the center of the calvaria from the occipital to the frontal bone to mark the defect edges. Defects were made and scaffolds were implanted and the injuries were closed by intermittent sutures. All the rabbits were sacrificed after 6.5 months and calvaria from each bone defect area was removed. Computed tomography scans showed that the circumferential area of implanted material was fully incorporated with surrounding tissue (Komlev et al., 2015). Table 3 shows the in-vitro and in-vivo studies of 3D printed scaffolds.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Biomaterial</th>
<th>Technique</th>
<th>Architecture</th>
<th>Cells source</th>
<th>In Vitro</th>
<th>Animals</th>
<th>In Vivo</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hydroxyapatite (HA)</td>
<td>3D Printing</td>
<td>Channel structures with inclined layers of arch</td>
<td>MC3T3-E1 fibroblasts</td>
<td>The cells showed good attachment and proliferation into the HA matrices.</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Leakers et al., 2005</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti6A14V</td>
<td>3D Bioplotter</td>
<td>Cylinder with central hole (intramuscular implantation)</td>
<td>BMSCs from iliac crest</td>
<td>Dutch milk goats</td>
<td>The bone formation started before third week of Implantation.</td>
<td>Li et al., 2007a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ti6A14V</td>
<td>3D Bioplotter</td>
<td>Block with inner hole (orthotopic implantation)</td>
<td>BMSCs from iliac crest</td>
<td>Dutch milk goats</td>
<td>The bone formation started before third week of Implantation.</td>
<td>Li et al., 2007b</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>3D Bioplotter</td>
<td>Basic, basic-off set, crossed and crossed offset</td>
<td>BMSCs from male Sprague Dawley rats.</td>
<td>Higher cell proliferation after 7, 14 &amp; 21 days</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Yilgor et al., 2008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PPF</td>
<td>MSTL</td>
<td>Block with different pores</td>
<td>MC3T3-E1</td>
<td>Best cell proliferation were seen in 350µm and worst in 500µm</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Lee et al., 2010</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PLGA/TCP</td>
<td>LDM</td>
<td>Patient specific alveolar bone architecture obtained by Computed tomography</td>
<td>Human BMSCs</td>
<td>Attachment and proliferation of cell confirmed after 7-8 days</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>Li et al., 2011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCL</td>
<td>SLS</td>
<td>Cylinder for Tibial defect</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>Mountain sheep</td>
<td>X-rays showed</td>
<td></td>
<td>Lohfeld et al., 2012</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
6. Future Scope

Demand for processes such as 3DP will increase in the coming years due to their ability to make custom medical devices that can be tailored for patient specific and defect specific clinical needs. The most critical issue that needs consideration is the mechanical properties of porous scaffolds. Raising the porosity will decline the strength of the scaffolds. Low strength makes these scaffolds brittle and difficult to handle. Use of biodegradable polymer infiltration to enhance strength and toughness in these scaffolds is one way to minimize this problem. Various challenges still remain with the design of scaffold for specific cell types as needed for guided tissue regeneration. A new generation of scaffolds is also needed, with appropriate porosity, degradation rates, and mechanical properties. Also printing live cells or adding growth factors/drugs is another important area for research. Another approach can be surface modification, such as the coating of the scaffolds. Liu and Ma. (2004) have shown that by doing so it is possible to direct cells to a more osteogenic phenotype (Liu & Ma, 2004). However, most of the challenges are restricted to the viability of the cells, growth factors and drugs after printing. Although an existing technique is to build structures with parallel composition to that of tissue, still we are a long way from complete printing functioning tissue. Optimization of process & property, in vitro and in vivo research are desirable to make any of those approaches useful toward bone tissue engineering.
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