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Abstract
This paper investigates γ-alumina slurry preparation in a stirred media mill to develop protocols for the characterisation of slurries for coating onto monolithic catalyst supports. The relationship between the pH and the zeta potential of particles is determined. The γ–alumina particles are found to be optimally stabilised at a pH of 4 and zeta potential of +41 mV. The grinding behaviour of γ-alumina particles inside the mill is determined in terms of the number of stress events (SN), stress energy (SE) and the specific energy (E_m,p). The visualisation of the particles at different stages of milling is done by scanning electron microscope (SEM). The results show that finer particles are produced by increasing milling duration from 0 – 240 min due to an increase in SN and that the use of smaller grinding media inside the mill produced finer particles due to an efficient consumption of E_m,p.
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1. Introduction
The emergence of the first cars equipped with monolith catalysts began in 1975 and today there are several hundred million monolith catalysts fitted in motor vehicles worldwide (Twigg, 2007). Emission levels from exhaust systems of modern passenger cars equipped with emission control catalysts are 90% lower than their 1960s counterparts. In addition, demand for emission control technology is constantly increasing worldwide due to greater need for motorised transportation, new legislation for deployment in developing countries and tighter emission standards aimed at future zero emissions (Twigg, 2006). These factors will invariably increase the competition in the catalyst industry for the development of technologies to enhance automotive catalytic functions (Collins & Twigg, 2007; Jobson, 2004).

Manufacture of the monolith catalysts presents several challenges, the greatest of which is obtaining sufficient adhesion between the platinum group metal (PGM) inorganic slurry and the monolith support to prevent flaking or defects forming in the surface coating over the operating life of the component. The preparation path for the PGM inorganic slurry and coating of the monolith is shown in Figure 1. The inorganic slurry (commonly γ-alumina particles dispersed in water) is prepared in a stirred media mill to reach a desired particle size under pH controlled conditions to prevent aggregation. The resulting PGM slurry is then deposited onto the pre-oxidised monolith by a coating method (Cybulski & Moulijn, 2006) to generate a substrate/washcoat composite, which is subsequently dried and calcined (Jia et al., 2007). The γ-alumina particles present in the inorganic slurry need to be sufficiently stabilised with a dispersant, and then fragmented in a stirred bead mill before the commencement of coating. The stabilisation of γ-alumina particles is necessary to prevent the van der Waals forces causing particle aggregation which affects the adhesion between the coating and the monolith surface.

A considerable number of studies (e.g. Adegbite, 2010; Avila et al., 2005; Germani et al., 2007; Jia et al., 2007) have shown that good coating adhesion can be promoted by using finer γ-alumina particles for coating monoliths. Therefore, the milling process should be targeted at producing γ-alumina particles of the desired particle size distributions (psds). The milling of γ-alumina slurries to obtain these distributions has been done in previous studies using various mill types: stirred media mill (Fadhel & Frances, 2001); ball mill (Yang & Sigmund, 2002) and the jet mill (Omura et al., 2005). Stirred media mills are increasingly used in many applications (e.g. pharmaceuticals, coatings, paints) for microgrinding, dispersion and disintegration of particles.
in the liquid phase (Fadhel & Frances, 2001). Due to their increasing demand for producing ultra-fine particles making them advantageous compared to other mills, stirred bead mills have been the subject of a growing number of studies (Kwade, 2004; 2002; 1999).

The $\gamma$-particles are mixed and fragmented by the mechanical agitation produced inside these mills by the moving shafts and the grinding media. The grinding behaviour of $\gamma$-alumina particles in a stirred media mill is determined by 3 factors (Kwade, 2003; 2002; 1999):

(a) **Stress number (SN):** the average number of stress events on each product particle in a batch grinding process.

(b) **Stress energy (SE):** the energy at each stress event.

(c) **Specific energy ($E_{m,p}$):** the energy per unit mass consumed by the grinding media for comminution of product particles. Due to the various forms of energy dissipation, it is known that only a small fraction of the
energy consumed by the mill is used by the grinding media for the comminution of product particles. Figure 2 shows the different forms by which energy is lost in a stirred media mill.

However, the effect of milling duration on the psds of ground γ–alumina particles have not been properly investigated in the literature. Even more so there is no clarity as to how the use of grinding media of different diameters influences the psds of ground particles. A good understanding of how these parameters influence the psds of ground particles is useful for the preparation of slurries of the desired specifications at the minimum energy input. Therefore, the work in this paper is aimed at determining: (i) the relationship between the slurry pH and the zeta potential of γ–alumina particles; and (ii) how the slurry and milling characteristics affect the psds of the ground particles by considering the basic comminution factors.

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Slurry Preparation and Characterisation

The γ–alumina (PURALOX SCFa-140, Sasol UK) was supplied as a 98% purity white powder with a specific surface area of 142 m²g⁻¹. A summary of the properties of the alumina particles is shown in Table 1. The slurries were prepared by mixing γ–alumina powder in double-distilled water, with the pH adjusted to a starting value of 4 using acetic acid solution (1M; Fischer Scientific, UK). The slurry pH was measured using a pH meter (Mettler-Toledo, UK). The slurry particles were then comminuted at 40 wt% solids concentration inside a stirred bead mill of volume 1.2 litres (Union Process, USA). Spherical zirconia grinding media of 1 or 5 mm diameter were added at 40% vol and the milling time was set to 10 – 240 minutes at 500 rpm. The temperature was maintained between 20-22°C using a cooling jacket. The particle size distributions (psds) of the slurries obtained were determined using a laser diffraction instrument (Malvern Mastersizer, 2000). A schematic representation of the particle diameters measured by the Mastersizer 2000 is given in Figure 3, where d₀.₁, d₀.₅ and d₀.₉ refer to the 10%, 50% and 90% percentile volume diameters respectively.

![Figure 2. Energy loss in a stirred bead mill (Kwade, 2003)](image-url)
Table 1. Properties of $\gamma$–alumina powder

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typical properties</th>
<th>Values</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Appearance</td>
<td>White powder</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Type</td>
<td>Calcined alumina</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Purity (%)</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Odour</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Thermal modifiers (e.g. La$^{2+}$)</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Designated symbol</td>
<td>PURALOX SCFa-140</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pore volume (cm$^3$g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diameter: $d_{0.1}$; $d_{0.5}$; $d_{0.9}$ (µm)</td>
<td>4.6; 20.7; 43.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose bulk density (kgm$^{-3}$)</td>
<td>800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surface area 24h/1100°C (m$^2$g$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 3. Schematic representation of diameters $d_{0.1}$, $d_{0.5}$ and $d_{0.9}$

2.2 Zeta Potential Measurements by Acoustosizer and Zetamaster

The relationship between the zeta potential of $\gamma$–alumina and slurry pH was determined by electroacoustics and electrophoresis using the Acoustosizer (Colloidal dynamics, USA) and Zetamaster (Malvern Instruments, UK) respectively. The aqueous solutions of HCl (1M; Fischer Scientific, UK) and NaOH (1M; Merck Chemicals, UK) were used to alter the slurry pH. The background electrolyte used for the Acoustosizer measurements was KCl solution (10$^{-3}$ M; Fischer Scientific, UK). The Acoustosizer measurements were recorded for every 1 ml of added HCl or NaOH (Greenwood & Kendall, 2000). As electrophoresis only functions for dilute systems, the slurry was initially diluted to one-twelfths its initial concentration to prevent clogging of the equipment pipes. All the water used was double-distilled. The slurry was allowed to equilibrate at 25°C before measurements were taken.

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Zeta Potential Measurements

The relationship between the zeta potential of $\gamma$–alumina particles and the slurry pH as measured by the Acoustosizer and Zetamaster is shown in Figure 4, while the particles electrokinetic sonic amplitude (ESA) diameter as a function of pH taken by the Acoustosizer is shown in Figure 5. The ESA technique is such that when an alternating electrical potential was applied to the slurry, it caused the particles to vibrate in a manner that depends on their diameter and on the zeta potential at the frequency of the applied field. When there is a density difference between the particles and the aqueous suspension, this motion would generate an alternating acoustic wave of the same frequency as the applied field. This phenomenon is called the ESA effect and the particle diameter measured is termed the ESA diameter (Sarraf & Herbig, 2008). These results clearly show the strong dependence of the zeta potential and the ESA diameter of particles on slurry pH. The particles become charged when dispersed in the aqueous slurry, which results in electrostatic repulsive forces between the particles and counter-ions due to overlap of similarly charged electric double layers. The electrostatic forces, quantified by
zeta potential, act opposite to the attractive long-range van der Waals forces. The particles experience the overall effect of the electrostatic and van der Waals forces (Binner & McDermott, 2006; Kasprzyk-Hordern, 2004).

Figure 4. Zeta potential of γ–alumina particles as a function of slurry pH

By convention slurries having zeta potential greater than $|30|$ mV are considered as sufficiently stabilised (Greenwood, 2003). It is shown in Figure 4 that the iep (i.e. pH at which zeta potential equals zero) of the particles is 7.7. This is the pH at which the particles were furthest from stabilisation as the slurry was comprised of aggregates. The natural pH of γ–alumina particles was found to be at 9.1; the zeta potential at this point is -23 mV. This iep and the natural pH values are in good agreement with previous measurements in the literature which were 7.7 – 7.9 and 9.0 – 9.2 respectively (Binner & McDermott, 2006; Agrafiotis & Tsetsekou, 2000; Nowack et al., 1996; Tijburg et al., 1991). As the slurry pH gets close to the iep, the van der Waals attraction forces between particles become dominant, leading to particle aggregation and less stabilisation. At extreme pH of less than 4 however, there exists flocculation in the slurry due to overlap of double layers which causes reduction in the zeta-potential of particles. The ESA measurements in Figure 5 followed the same trend showing an increase in particle diameter due to particle aggregation as the slurry pH nears the iep. However, the ESA measurements close to the iep should be treated with caution based on the minimal stabilisation in the slurry (Greenwood, 2003). The optimal stabilisation of particles was achieved at pH of 4 and this is in accordance with the values in the literature in the range of 3.8 – 4.5 (Binner & McDermott, 2006; Agrafiotis & Tsetsekou, 2000; Nowack et al., 1996; Tijburg et al., 1991). This pH value corresponds to a zeta potential of +41 mV. Both the Acoustosizer and the Zetamaster measurements showed strong correlation throughout the pH interval investigated, confirming the reliability of the data from the two sets of equipment.
3.2 Effect of Milling Duration on Particle Size Distribution

The diameters $d_{0.1}$, $d_{0.5}$ and $d_{0.9}$ of the slurries at 40 wt% solids concentration after milling for 0 – 240 min are shown in Table 2, while their psds are shown in Figure 6. These results show a general decrease in the particle diameters with the increase in milling time.

Table 2. Particle diameters for slurries at 40 wt.% concentration after milling for 0 – 240 min

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milling time (min)</th>
<th>$d_{0.1}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$d_{0.5}$ (µm)</th>
<th>$d_{0.9}$ (µm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>20.70</td>
<td>43.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>15.16</td>
<td>33.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>9.56</td>
<td>23.02</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>16.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>12.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.76</td>
<td>7.82</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

This behaviour can be explained by considering the relationship between the stress number ($SN$) and the bead milling time ($t_m$) in Equation 1

$$SN \propto \frac{\varphi_{GM}(1-\varepsilon)}{[1-\varphi_{GM}(1-\varepsilon)]} \frac{Nt_m \rho_{al}}{d_{GM}^2 \rho_{slurry} \varphi_{al}}$$

where

$SN$ = number of stress events (–)

$\varepsilon$ = porosity of the bulk of the media (–)

$\rho_{al}$ = density of $\gamma$–alumina particles (kg m$^{-3}$)

$I_m$ = milling time (s)

$\rho_{slurry}$ = slurry density (kg m$^{-3}$)

$C_{al}$ = $\gamma$–alumina solids concentration (wt%)

$N$ = shaft speed (rpm)

$d_{GM}$ = diameter of grinding media (µm)

$\varphi_{GM}$ = media load (%)
It is clear that, with all other parameters constant, stress number $SN$ is directly proportional to the milling time $tm$, thus meaning that there was an increase in the number of stress events with the increase in milling. As a result of this, there is a systematic shift of the psd curves towards the left as smaller particles were being produced. This is highlighted by the presence of bimodal distributions after milling for 10 and 20 min, but these distributions subsequently became unimodal at milling times more than 40 min. Furthermore, it is shown from Table 2 and Figure 6 that all the slurries milled for at least 60 min conformed to a set target of $d_{0.9} < 15 \mu m$ and $d_{0.1} < 2 \mu m$. The $\gamma$-alumina particles milled for 60 min (shown in Figure 7b) appeared finer and irregularly shaped, while the as-received $\gamma$-alumina particles (shown in Figure 7a) appeared large, roundly shaped and not fractured.

A further understanding of the fragmentation behaviour can be obtained by taking account of the rate of decrease in the particle diameters with the increase in milling time (Figure 8). It is shown that large particles have a higher rate of size reduction compared to small particles. This fact is evidenced by the steep slope of $d_{0.9}$ curve compared to those of $d_{0.5}$ and $d_{0.1}$. Similarly, the slope of $d_{0.5}$ curve is steeper than that of $d_{0.1}$. The reason for this development is that large particles were fragmented into smaller ones without regeneration. On the contrary, the smaller particles were being continuously regenerated from the fragments of large particles.
3.3 Effect of Grinding Media Diameter on Particle Size Distribution

The slurry psds at the solids concentration of 40 wt% after milling separately for 0 – 240 min using 1 and 5 mm media diameters are shown in Figures 9 and 10 respectively. The systematic shift of the psds curves in Figures 9 and 10 towards the left is a confirmation that smaller particles were being produced in the stirred mill. The bimodal distributions obtained in Figure 9 at milling times of 10 and 20 min which later became unimodal after further milling shows the transition to formation of finer particles. The particle diameters obtained from both media diameters are jointly presented in Table 3. It is shown that the use of a 1 mm media diameter resulted in finer particles at equal milling time compared to using a 5 mm media diameter. The use of 1 mm media diameter enabled the production of slurry which conform to a set target of $d_{0.9} < 15 \mu m$ and $d_{0.1} < 2 \mu m$ at a reduced time of 40 min, in comparison to the 60 min taken by the 5 mm media.

Table 3. Particle diameters for slurries at 40 wt.% concentration after milling for 0 – 240 min using different grinding media diameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Milling time (min)</th>
<th>5 mm diameter</th>
<th>1 mm diameter</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>$d_{0.1}$ (µm)</td>
<td>$d_{0.5}$ (µm)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>4.63</td>
<td>20.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>2.64</td>
<td>15.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>1.90</td>
<td>9.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1.71</td>
<td>6.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td>1.34</td>
<td>4.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>240</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>2.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The effect of grinding media diameter on the ground product can be thoroughly investigated by considering the changes to the key comminution parameters: stress number (SN), stress energy (SE) and specific energy ($E_{m,p}$) during the milling process. Given that all other operating parameters are constant except the grinding media diameter $d_{GM}$, then Equation (1) is reduced to Equation (2):

$$SN \propto \frac{t_m}{d_{GM}^2}$$

(2)
The stress energy SE is given by Equations (3) and (4):

\[
SE \propto SE_{GM} = d_{GM}^3 \rho_{GM} v_t^2
\]  

(3)

\[
SE \propto SE_{GM} \propto d_{GM}^3
\]  

(4)

where

- \(SE\) = stress energy (J)
- \(SE_{GM}\) = stress energy of grinding media (J)
- \(v_t\) = circumferential speed of the shaft (m s\(^{-1}\))
- \(\rho_{GM}\) = density of grinding media
Figure 11. Effect of media diameter on SN

Figure 11 represents the relationship between particle diameter of the ground products and SN according to Equation (2). Similarly, stress energies $SE_1$ and $SE_5$ for 1 mm and 5 mm media have this relationship:

$$\frac{SE_5}{SE_1} = \frac{d_{GM5}^3}{d_{GM1}^3} = \frac{5^3}{1^3} = 125 \text{ times}$$

Where

$SE_1$ and $d_{GM1}$ represent the stress energy (J) and diameter (mm) for 1 mm media

$SE_5$ and $d_{GM5}$ represent the stress energy (J) and diameter (mm) for the 5 mm media

It is shown in Figure 11 that the stress number SN increased with the decrease in media diameter, while on the other hand Equation (5) shows that the stress energy $SE$ increased with the increase in media diameter. Since the use of 1 mm media diameter resulted in smaller particle diameters compared to the 5 mm media, there is strong evidence to show that the SN effect appeared dominant on the SE effect in this case. But the specific energy $E_{m,p}$ is a measure of both SN and SE as indicated in Equation (6):

$$E_{m,p} = \frac{SNM \overline{SE}}{m_{al}} = \nu_E E_{m,M}$$

where

$SN_M$ = total number of stress events (-)

$\overline{SE}$ = mean stress energy (J)

$E_{m,M}$ = total specific energy consumption by the mill (J kg$^{-1}$)

$m_{al}$ = mass of $\gamma$–alumina particles (kg)

$\nu_E$ = energy transfer factor (-) which account for the energy loss

Also $E_{m,p}$ is also given by (Fadhel and Frances, 2001):

$$E_{m,p} = \frac{\nu_E N^3 \rho_{al} \rho_{sh} D^5 t_w Po}{m_{al}}$$

Where

$Po$ = power number (-)
$D =$ shaft diameter (m)

Given that all other operation parameters are constant except the media diameter, therefore a ratio of the specific energy to the power number is given by (Fadhel & Frances, 2001):

$$\frac{E_{m,p}}{Po} \propto N^3 t_m$$  \hspace{1cm} (8)

This very useful relationship in Equation (8) can be used as a basis for describing how efficiently the energy consumed by the rotating shaft was translated into specific energy $E_{m,p}$ for both media diameters (Fadhel & Frances, 2001). Figure 12 shows clearly that energy was consumed more efficiently in the mill for particle comminution when the 1 mm media diameter was used because smaller particle diameters were produced at the same $E_{m,p}/Po$ input throughout the milling process compared to the 5 mm media. This is a confirmation that 1 mm media had an overall favourable effect on the comminution than the 5 mm media.

![Figure 12. Particle diameter $d_{0.9}$ as a function of $N^3 t$](image)

4. Conclusions

The effect of pH on $\gamma$-alumina stabilisation had been determined by zeta potential measurements. The iep point and the natural pH of $\gamma$-alumina were found at 7.7 and 9.1 respectively. The pH which produced optimal stabilisation (i.e. at $\zeta = +41$ mV) was determined as 4. The slurries achieved stabilisation through the electrostatic repulsion of the charged particles. The stress number $SN$, stress energy $SE$ and specific energy $E_{m,p}$ are revealed as the comminution factors which govern the grinding behaviour of $\gamma$-alumina particles in a stirred media mill. The ground particles showed a decrease in particle diameters as a milling duration increased from 0 – 240 min due to the increase in the stress number $SN$. The use of 1 mm media diameter resulted in the production of finer particles due to efficient consumption of specific energy $E_{m,p}$ for particle comminution compared to the 5 mm media. The differences in SEM images of the particles before and after milling confirmed the grinding behaviour as the as-received particles changed from round to finer and irregularly shaped fragments.
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