

Generalization of \mathcal{U} -Generator and M -Subgenerator Related to Category $\sigma[M]$

Fitriani^{1,2}, Indah Emilia Wijayanti¹ & Budi Surodjo¹

¹ Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia

² Department of Mathematics, Universitas Lampung, Bandar Lampung, Indonesia

Correspondence: Fitriani, Department of Mathematics, Universitas Gadjah Mada, Yogyakarta, Indonesia.

Received: April 24, 2018 Accepted: May 9, 2018 Online Published: June 28, 2018

doi:10.5539/jmr.v10n4p101 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v10n4p101>

Abstract

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. R -module N is generated by \mathcal{U} if there is an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to N , where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. R -module M is a subgenerator for N if N is isomorphic to a submodule of an M -generated module. In this paper, we introduce a \mathcal{U}_V -generator, where V be a submodule of $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, as a generalization of \mathcal{U} -generator by using the concept of V -coexact sequence. We also provide a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerator motivated by the concept of M -subgenerator. Furthermore, we give some properties of \mathcal{U}_V -generated and \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules related to category $\sigma[M]$. We also investigate the existence of pullback and pushout of a pair of morphisms of \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules. We prove that the collection of \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules is closed under submodules and factor modules.

Keywords: \mathcal{U} -generator, \mathcal{U}_V -generator, V -coexact sequences, M -subgenerator, \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerator

1. Introduction

The concept of exact sequences of R -modules and R -module homomorphisms is a useful tool in the study of modules. A sequence $A \rightarrow B \rightarrow C$ is exact if $\text{Im}f = \text{Ker}g (= g^{-1}(0))$. Davvaz and Parnian-Garamaleky (1999) provide the generalization of exact sequences, i.e. quasi-exact sequences. They substitute the submodule $\{0\}$ to any submodule U of C .

Then Anvariye dan Davvaz (2005) investigate further results about quasi-exact sequences. They also introduce the generalization of Schanuel's Lemma. Furthermore, Davvaz and ShabaniSolt (2002) give a generalization of some notions in homological algebra. In 2002, Anvariye and Davvaz provide U -split sequences. They also establish several connections between U -split sequences and projective modules.

Motivated by the definition of U -exact and V -coexact sequence, Fitriani et al. (2016) provide an X -sub exact sequence, which is a generalization of exact sequence. In 2017, they introduce X -sublinearly independent module by using the concept of X -sub exact sequence.

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. An R -module N is generated by \mathcal{U} if there is an epimorphism from $\bigoplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to N , where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The trace of \mathcal{U} is defined by $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}, M) = \sum \{\text{Im}h | h : U \rightarrow M, \text{ for some } U \in \mathcal{U}\}$. If $\mathcal{U} = \{U\}$ is a singleton, then $\text{Tr}(U, M) = \sum \{\text{Im}h | h \in \text{Hom}_R(U, M)\}$. $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}, M)$ is the unique largest submodule L of M generated by \mathcal{U} (Wisbauer, 1991). Clearly, $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}, M) = M$ if and only if \mathcal{U} generates M (Anderson & Fuller, 1992). For an indexed set $(M_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ of modules and class of modules \mathcal{U} , the direct sum of the traces $\text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}, M)$ is contained in $\bigoplus_A M_{\alpha}$. The trace of M in an R -module N is the sum of all M -generated submodules of N (Clark et al., 2006).

Proposition 1 (Wisbauer, 1991) *If $(M_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ is an indexed set of modules, then for each module M*

$$\text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}, \bigoplus_A M_{\alpha}) = \bigoplus_A \text{Tr}(\mathcal{U}, M_{\alpha}).$$

Furthermore, an M -subgenerated module is defined as follows.

Definition 2 (Wisbauer, 1991) Let M be an R -module. We say that an R -module N is subgenerated by M , or that M is a subgenerator for N , if N is isomorphic to a submodule of an M -generated module.

A subcategory C of $R\text{-MOD}$ is said to be subgenerated by M , or M is a subgenerator for C , if every object in C is subgenerated by M . Category $\sigma[M]$ is the full subcategory of $R\text{-MOD}$ whose objects are all R -modules subgenerated by M . This category is a category closely connected to M and hence reflecting properties of M .

The properties of $\sigma[M]$ given by the following proposition:

Proposition 3 (Wisbauer, 1991) *For an R -module M we have:*

1. *For N in $\sigma[M]$, all factor modules and submodules of N belong to $\sigma[M]$, i.e. $\sigma[M]$ has kernels and cokernels.*
2. *The direct sum of a family of modules in $\sigma[M]$ belong to $\sigma[M]$ and is equal to the coproduct of these modules in $\sigma[M]$.*
3. *Pullback and pushout of morphisms in $\sigma[M]$ belong to $\sigma[M]$.*

As a generalization of exact sequence of R -modules, Anvanriyeh and Davvaz (1999) defined U -exact sequences as follows: A sequence of R -modules $A \xrightarrow{f} B \xrightarrow{g} C$ if there exists a submodule U of C such that $Im f = g^{-1}(U)$. In this case, the sequence is said to be U -exact (at B). If $f(V) = Ker g$, where V is a submodule of A , then the sequence is said to be V -coexact.

Let \mathcal{U} be a family of R -modules and V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. The aim of this paper is to generalize the concept of \mathcal{U} -generator to a \mathcal{U}_V -generator, where V is a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. Furthermore, we provide a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerator as a generalization of M -subgenerator. We also investigate the properties of \mathcal{U}_V -generated modules and \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules related to the properties of the category $\sigma[M]$.

2. Results

2.1 \mathcal{U}_V -Generated Modules

Let \mathcal{U} be a family of R -modules. It is possible that an R -module M is not a \mathcal{U} -generated module, i.e. there no epimorphism from $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to M , but we can define an epimorphism from a submodule $V \subseteq \oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to M . Therefore we can generalize the concept of a \mathcal{U} -generated module to a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module by using the definition of V -coexact sequence.

Definition 4 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules, V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, where $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. We say that an R -module N is generated by \mathcal{U}_V if there exists an epimorphism $V \rightarrow N \rightarrow 0$.

A set $\{U_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ is called \mathcal{U}_V -generator for N . Furthermore, the set $\{U_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ is called minimal \mathcal{U}_V -generator for N if

$$\Lambda = \min\{\Lambda_V | N \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_V\text{-generated, } V \subseteq \oplus_{\Lambda_V} U_{\lambda}\}.$$

If we take $V = \oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, then a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module is a \mathcal{U} -generated module. Clearly, every \mathcal{U} -generated module is \mathcal{U}_V -generated. But, a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module need not be a \mathcal{U} -generated. For example, if we take $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$, then \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is a $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ -generated module. But, we can not define an epimorphism from \mathbb{Q} to \mathbb{Z} and hence \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is not a \mathcal{U} -generated module.

Now, we give some examples of \mathcal{U}_V -generated modules. *Example 1*

1. Let \mathcal{U} be the set of all free R -modules and P be projective R -module. Since P is projective, P is a direct summand of a free module F . Hence P is \mathcal{U}_F -generated module.
2. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_p | p \text{ prime}\}$, a family of \mathbb{Z} -modules. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_6 is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus \mathbb{Z}_3$. In general, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{pq} is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q$, p and q are relative prime.
3. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Q}\}$. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_n , $n \geq 2$, is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}$.
4. Let R be a commutative ring with unit and $\mathcal{U} = \{U_{\lambda}\}_{\Lambda}$ be a family of R -modules, where $U_{\lambda} = Hom_R(R, M_{\lambda})$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.
Based on Adkins & Weintraub (1992), we can define

$$\phi : Hom_R(R, M) \rightarrow M,$$

where $\phi(f) := f(1)$. Then M_{λ} is $\mathcal{U}_{U_{\lambda}}$ -generated.

5. Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_n | n \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ be a family of \mathbb{Z} -modules. Let $M = \mathbb{Z}_4^{(\mathbb{N})}$ and $N = \mathbb{Z}_2 \oplus M$ be \mathbb{Z} -modules. Then M is \mathcal{U}_N -generated and N is \mathcal{U}_M -generated.

If there exists a finite index set $E \subseteq \Lambda$ such that M is \mathcal{U}_V -generated and V is a submodule of $\oplus_E U_e$, then we define a finitely \mathcal{U}_V -generated module as follows:

Definition 5 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and N be an R -module. If there exists a finite index set $E \subseteq \Lambda$ such that $V \subseteq \oplus_E U_e$ and M is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then R -module N is said to be finitely \mathcal{U}_V -generated.

Example 2 Let $\mathcal{U} = \{\mathbb{Z}_p | p \text{ prime}\}$ be a family of \mathbb{Z} -modules. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z}_{pq} is a finitely \mathcal{U}_V -generated, where $V = \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathbb{Z}_q$, p and q are relative prime.

Then, we will give some basic properties of \mathcal{U}_V -generated modules. Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and N be an R -module. We define:

$$\mathcal{U}(N) = \{V \subseteq \oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}, U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U} | N \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_V\text{-generated}\}.$$

In this set, we collect all submodules V of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ such that N is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module. In the following proposition, we prove that if $V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(N_{\lambda})$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\oplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(\oplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda})$.

Proposition 6 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules, V_{λ} be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, where $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If N_{λ} is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\lambda}}$ -generated, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\oplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda}}$ -generated.

Proof. Since N_{λ} is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\lambda}}$ -generated, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, the sequences $V_{\lambda} \rightarrow N_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$ is exact for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. Therefore, the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda} \rightarrow \oplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda} \rightarrow 0$$

is exact. Hence, $\oplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda}$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda}}$ -generated. So, we can say that if $V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(N_{\lambda})$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\oplus_{\Lambda} V_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}(\oplus_{\Lambda} N_{\lambda})$.

As a corollary of Proposition 6, we obtain:

Corollary 7 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If R -module N_i is \mathcal{U}_{V_i} -generated for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then $\oplus_{i=1}^n X_i$ is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{i=1}^n V_i}$ -generated, where V_i be submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$, for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ and $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

In the following proposition, we will show that if $V \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, for an R -module N , then V is in $\mathcal{U}(N')$, for every homomorphic image N' of N .

Proposition 8 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then N' is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, for every homomorphic image N' of N .

Proof. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{f} N \rightarrow 0$$

is V -coexact. Let N' be homomorphic image of N , then there is an epimorphism $p : N \rightarrow N'$. Hence, $g = p \circ f$ is a homomorphism from V to N' . Since f and p are epimorphisms, then g is an epimorphism. So, N' is \mathcal{U}_V -generated.

In the next proposition, we will prove that $\mathcal{U}_V(N)$ is closed under direct sum, i.e. if V_{λ} is in $\mathcal{U}(N)$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$, then $\oplus_{\lambda \in \Lambda} V_{\lambda}$ is in $\mathcal{U}(N)$.

Proposition 9 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and V_{α} be submodules of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$ for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$. If R -module M is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\alpha}}$ -generated, for every $\alpha \in A$, then M is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}}$ -generated.

Proof. Since R -module M is $\mathcal{U}_{V_{\alpha}}$ -generated for every $\alpha \in A$, there is an epimorphism f_{α} such that the sequence: $V_{\alpha} \xrightarrow{f_{\alpha}} M \rightarrow 0$ is exact for every $\alpha \in A$. We can define $f : \oplus_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha} \rightarrow M$, where $f((v_{\alpha})_A) = f_{\alpha}(v_{\alpha_i})$, $\alpha_i \in A$. From this, we have f is an epimorphism from $\oplus_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}$ to M . Hence, M is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{\alpha \in A} V_{\alpha}}$ -generated.

As a corollary of Proposition 9, we obtain:

Proposition 10 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If R -module M is \mathcal{U}_{V_i} -generated for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, then M is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{i=1}^n V_i}$ -generated, where V_i be submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ for every $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

If $V_2 \in \mathcal{U}(N)$ and $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}(V_2)$ i.e. N is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated and V_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, with modules V_1 and V_2 are submodules of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, then we will show that $V_1 \in \mathcal{U}(N)$, i.e. N is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated module.

Proposition 11 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -generated and V_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, then N is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, where V_1, V_2 be submodules of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since N is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -generated and V_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated, there exists epimorphisms $\alpha : V_2 \rightarrow N$ and $\beta : V_1 \rightarrow V_2$. So, we can define $g = \alpha \circ \beta : V_1 \rightarrow N$. Since α and β are epimorphisms, g is an epimorphism. Finally, N is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -generated.

As a corollary we obtain:

Corollary 12 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated and V is \mathcal{U} -generated, then N is \mathcal{U} -generated, where V be submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \Lambda$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

Proof. Since R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated and V is \mathcal{U} -generated, by Proposition 11, we have N is $\mathcal{U}_{\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}}$ -generated. In other words, N is \mathcal{U} -generated.

Corollary 12 *Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and $V \subset \oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, with modules $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$. If R -module M is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated and V is a \mathcal{U} -generated module, then the sequence*

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

is V -coexact.

Proof. Since R -module M is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, there is an epimorphism $\alpha : V \rightarrow M$. By assumption, V is a \mathcal{U} -generated module. So, there is an epimorphism $\pi : \oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \rightarrow V$. Hence, $g = \alpha \circ \pi$ is an epimorphism from $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ to M such that $g|_V = \alpha$. We have the sequence

$$\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda} \xrightarrow{g} M \rightarrow 0$$

is V -coexact.

Corollary 13 *Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of semisimple R -modules. If R -module M is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then M is \mathcal{U} -generated, where V is a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$.*

Proof. We assume that R -module M is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated. Since every submodule of semisimple module $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ is a direct summand, M is \mathcal{U} -generated by using Proposition 11.

2.2 \mathcal{U}_V -Subgenerated Modules

We already know that an M -subgenerated module is a generalization of a \mathcal{U} -generated module. In the similar way, we can obtain a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module as a generalization of \mathcal{U} -generated module.

Definition 14 *Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules, V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. We say that an R -module N is subgenerated by \mathcal{U}_V if N is isomorphic to a submodule of a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module.*

M -subgenerated module is a special case of \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules by taking $\mathcal{U} = \{M\}$ and $V = M^{(\Lambda)}$. By Definition 14, every \mathcal{U}_V -generated module is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module. But the converse need not be true. For example, let \mathcal{U} the set of all \mathbb{Z} -modules. \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -subgenerated. But, \mathbb{Z} -module \mathbb{Z} is not $\mathcal{U}_{\mathbb{Q}}$ -generated.

Proposition 15 *Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated and N is a direct summand of a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module, then N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated module.*

Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and N be an R -module. In $\sigma[M]$, Wisbauer (1991) collect all R -modules subgenerated by M . In the similar way, we will collect all R -modules subgenerated by \mathcal{U}_V , we denote it by $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$:

$$\sigma_V(\mathcal{U}) = \{N | N \text{ is } \mathcal{U}_V\text{-subgenerated}\}.$$

The full subcategory $\sigma[M]$ of $R\text{-MOD}$ is a special case of $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ by taking $\mathcal{U} = \{M\}$ and $V = M^{(\Lambda)}$. Next, we will show that $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under submodules and factor modules.

Proposition 16 *Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then N' is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module, for every submodule N' of N .*

Proof. Since N is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then N is isomorphic to a submodule of a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module. So, there is an epimorphism:

$$V \xrightarrow{f} K \rightarrow 0$$

and N is isomorphic to a submodule of K . Let N' be a submodule of N . We have N' is isomorphic to a submodule of K and N' is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module.

Proposition 17 *Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$. If R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then N/L is \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module, for every factor module N/L of N .*

Proof. Since N is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, there is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module K and an epimorphism:

$$V \xrightarrow{f} K \rightarrow 0$$

and N is isomorphic to a submodule of K . Let L be a submodule of N . We have L is isomorphic to a submodule of K and hence N/L is isomorphic to a submodule of K/L' , where $L \cong L'$. Since K/L' is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module, we get N/L is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module.

As a corollary of Proposition 16 and 17, we obtain:

Corollary 18 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules, V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$ and

$$0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow L \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

be an exact sequence of R -modules. If L is a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module, then K and M are \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules.

If R -module N_1 and N_2 are \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated, then we have two exact sequences: $V \rightarrow M_1 \rightarrow 0$ and $V \rightarrow M_2 \rightarrow 0$. Furthermore, N_1 and N_2 are isomorphic to submodules of M_1 and M_2 , respectively. Hence $Tr(V, M_1) = M_1$ and $Tr(V, M_2) = M_2$. By Proposition 1, we have $Tr(V, M_1 \oplus M_2) = Tr(V, M_1) \oplus Tr(V, M_2) = M_1 \oplus M_2$. But, $N_1 \oplus N_2$ need not be a \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated module. By Proposition 6, we have $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module.

In the following proposition, we will show the existence of pullback and pushout of a pair of morphisms of \mathcal{U}_V -subgenerated modules.

Proposition 19 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, then pullback of $f_1 : N_1 \rightarrow N$ and $f_2 : N_2 \rightarrow N$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module, where V_1, V_2 are submodules of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Since N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, N_1 and N_2 are $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated. Let $f_1 : N_1 \rightarrow M$, $f_2 : N_2 \rightarrow M$ be a pair of morphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated modules. We have $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module. Based on Wisbauer (1991), pullback of (f_1, f_2) is a submodule of $N_1 \oplus N_2$. Since every submodule of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated, the pullback of (f_1, f_2) is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module.

Proposition 20 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules. If N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, then pushout of $g_1 : X \rightarrow N_1$ and $g_2 : X \rightarrow N_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module, where V_1, V_2 are submodules of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$.

Proof. Since N_1 is \mathcal{U}_{V_1} -subgenerated and N_2 is \mathcal{U}_{V_2} -subgenerated, N_1 and N_2 are $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated. Let $g_1 : X \rightarrow N_1$, $g_2 : X \rightarrow N_2$ be a pair of morphisms of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module. We have $N_1 \oplus N_2$ is $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated modules. Based on Wisbauer (1991), pushout of (g_1, g_2) is a factor module of $N_1 \oplus N_2$. Since every factor module of $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated, the pushout of (g_1, g_2) is a $\mathcal{U}_{V_1 \oplus V_2}$ -subgenerated module.

A submodule N of R -module M is called fully invariant if $f(N)$ is contained in N for every R -endomorphism f of M . M is called a duo module provided every submodule of M is fully invariant (Özcan et al., 2006).

The following theorem shows that the properties of R -modules in $\sigma_V \mathcal{U}$ are reflecting the properties of V .

Theorem 21 Let \mathcal{U} be a non-empty set of R -modules and V be a submodule of $\oplus_{\Lambda} U_{\lambda}$, $U_{\lambda} \in \mathcal{U}$, for every $\lambda \in \Lambda$.

1. If R -module U is V -injective (V -projective), then U is N -injective (N -projective), for every $N \in \sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.
2. If V is semisimple, then every module in $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ is semisimple.
3. If V is Noetherian (Artinian), then N is Noetherian (Artinian), for every $N \in \sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.
4. If V is a duo module, quasi-injective and quasi-projective, then N is a duo module, V -projective and V -injective, for every $N \in \sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.

Proof.

1. Let $N \in \sigma_V \mathcal{U}$. Then N is isomorphic to a submodule of \mathcal{U}_V -generated module, say M . We have the following exact sequence:

$$0 \rightarrow Ker f \rightarrow V \xrightarrow{f} M \rightarrow 0.$$

Based on Wisbauer (1991), if U is V -injective, then U is M -injective. Therefore by Wisbauer (1991) 16.3, U is N -injective.

2 and 3 can be shown in a similar way to 1.

- 4 Based on Özcan et. al. (2006), if V is a duo module and quasi-injective, then every submodule of V is a duo module. Furthermore, if V is a duo module and quasi-projective, then every homomorphic image of V is a duo module. From 1, we have N is V -projective and V -injective, for every N in $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$.

3. Conclusions

A \mathcal{U}_V -generator is a generalization of \mathcal{U} -generator. If an R -module N is \mathcal{U}_V -generated, then every homomorphic image of N is also \mathcal{U}_V -generated. Furthermore, direct sums of \mathcal{U}_V -generated R -modules are $\mathcal{U}_{V'}$ -generated, for some submodules V' of $\bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}$. In the set $\mathcal{U}(N)$, we collect all submodules V of $\bigoplus_{\lambda} U_{\lambda}$ such that N is a \mathcal{U}_V -generated module and we have $\mathcal{U}(N)$ is closed under direct sums.

In the set $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$, we collect all R -modules subgenerated by \mathcal{U}_V . The full subcategory $\sigma[M]$ of $R - MOD$ is a special case of $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ by taking $\mathcal{U} = \{M\}$ and $V = M^{(\Lambda)}$. The set $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ is closed under submodules and factor modules. Furthermore, the properties of R -modules in $\sigma_V(\mathcal{U})$ are reflecting the properties of V .

Acknowledgements

The authors thank the Ministry of Research, Technology and the Higher Education Republic of Indonesia, due to the funding of this work through the scheme of Research of Doctoral Dissertation with contract number 385/UN26.21/PN/2018. The authors also thank the referees for useful comments and suggestions.

References

- Adkins, W. A., & Weintraub S. H. (1992). *Algebra "An Approach via Module Theory"*. New York : Springer-Verlag.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-0923-2>
- Anderson, F. W., & Fuller, K. R. (1992). *Rings and categories of Modules*. New York: Springer-Verlag.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-4418-9>
- Anvariye, S. M., & Davvaz, B. (2005). On Quasi-Exact Sequences. *Bull. Korean Math. Soc.*, 42(1), 149-155.
<https://doi.org/10.4134/BKMS.2005.42.1.149>
- Anvariye, S. M., & Davvaz, B. (2002). U-Split-Exact Sequences. *Far East J. Math. Sci.(FJMS)*, 4(2), 209-219.
- Clark, J., Lomp, C., Vanaja, N., & Wisbauer, R. (2006). *Lifting Modules*. Switzerland: Birkhauser Verlag.
<https://doi.org/10.1007/3-7643-7573-6>
- Davvaz, B., & Parnian-Garamaleky, Y. A. (1999). A Note on Exact Sequences, *Bull.Malays. Math. Sci. Soc.* 22(1), 53-56.
- Davvaz, B., & Shabani-Solt, H. A. (2002). Generalization of Homological Algebra. *J. Korean Math. Soc.* 39(6), 881-898.
- Fitriani, Surodjo, B., & Wijayanti, I. E. (2016). On X-sub-exact Sequences. *Far East J. Math. Sci.(FJMS)* 100(7), 1055-1065. <http://dx.doi.org/10.17654/MS100071055>
- Fitriani, Surodjo, B., & Wijayanti, I. E. (2017). On X-sub-linearly Independent Modules. *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.* 893, 012008. <https://doi.org/10.1088/1742-6596/893/1/012008>
- Özcan, A. Ç., Harmanci, A., & Smith, P. F. (2006). Duo Modules. *Glasgow Math. J.*, 48, 533-545.
<https://doi.org/10.1017/S0017089506003260>
- Wisbauer, R. (1991). *Foundation of Module and Ring Theory*. Philadelphia: Gordon and Breach.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).