

# Convergence Theorems of Modified Proximal Algorithms for Asymptotical Quasi-nonexpansive Mappings in CAT(0) Spaces

Shengquan Weng<sup>1</sup>, Dingping Wu<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup> School of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, China

<sup>2</sup> School of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, China

Correspondence: Shenquan Weng, School of Applied Mathematics, Chengdu University of Information Technology, No.24 Block 1. Xuefu Road, Chengdu 61022, China. E-mail: Ssldstg@qq.com

Received: January 11, 2018 Accepted: January 26, 2018 Online Published: March 3, 2018

doi:10.5539/jmr.v10n2p66 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v10n2p66>

## Abstract

In this paper, a new modified proximal point algorithm involving fixed point iterates of a finite number of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in  $CAT(0)$  spaces is proposed and been proved for the existence of a sequence generated by our iterative process converging to a minimizer of a convex function and a common fixed point of a finite number of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings.

**Keywords:** convex minimization problem,  $CAT(0)$  spaces, resolvent identity, asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mapping, proximal point algorithm

## 1. Introduction

In recently years, many convergence results by the proximal point algorithm (shortly PPA) which was initiated by Martinet in 1970 for solving optimization problems have been extended from the classical linear spaces such as Euclidean spaces  $R^2$ , Hilbert spaces, and Banach spaces to the setting of some manifolds (for example, Riemannian manifolds, Hadamard manifolds).

A metric space  $(X, d)$  is called a  $CAT(0)$  space (Ambrosio et al., 2008), if it is geodesically connected and if every geodesic triangle in  $X$  is at least as 'thin' as its comparison triangle in the Euclidean plane. A complete  $CAT(0)$  space is also called a *Hadamard* space. Especially, every real Hilbert space  $H$  is a complete  $CAT(0)$  space. A subset  $K$  of a  $CAT(0)$  space  $X$  is convex, if for any  $x, y \in K$ , we have  $[x, y] \subset K$ , where  $[x, y] := \{\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$  is the unique geodesic joining  $x$  and  $y$ . Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed subset of  $CAT(0)$  space  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a mapping. The set of fixed point of  $T$  is denote by  $F(T)$ , that is, denote by  $F(T)$  the set of fixed point of  $T$ , that is,  $F(T) = \{x \in C : Tx = x\}$ . Recall that  $T$  is said to be asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive if there exists a sequence  $\{k_n\}$  in  $[1, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$  and  $p \in F(T)$  such that

$$d(T^n x, p) \leq k_n d(x, p), \forall x \in C, n \geq 1.$$

It is well known that a geodesic space  $(X, d)$  is a  $CAT(0)$  space, if and only if the inequality

$$d^2((1-t)x \oplus ty, z) \leq (1-t)d^2(x, z) + td^2(y, z) - t(1-t)d^2(x, y) \quad (1.1)$$

is satisfied for all  $x, y, z \in X$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ . In particular, if  $x, y, z$  are points in a  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$  and  $t \in [0, 1]$ , then

$$d((1-t)x \oplus ty, z) \leq (1-t)d(x, z) + td(y, z). \quad (1.2)$$

We call that a function  $f : C \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  defined on a convex subset  $C$  of a  $CAT(0)$  space is convex if, for any geodesic  $[x, y] := \{\gamma_{x,y}(\lambda) : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\} = \{\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y : 0 \leq \lambda \leq 1\}$  joining  $x, y \in C$ , the function  $f \circ \gamma$  is convex, i.e.  $f(\gamma_{x,y}(\lambda)) := f(\lambda x \oplus (1 - \lambda)y) \leq \lambda f(x) + (1 - \lambda)f(y)$ . For all  $\lambda \geq 0$ , the *Moreau – Yosida* resolvent of  $f$  is defined in a complete  $CAT(0)$  space  $X$  as follows:

$$J_\lambda(x) = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(y, x)].$$

Let  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty)$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. It was shown in (Agarwal, 2007) that the set  $F(J_\lambda)$  of the fixed point of the resolvent  $J_\lambda$  associated with  $f$  coincides with the set  $\operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} f(y)$  of minimizers of  $f$ . Also, for any  $\lambda \geq 0$ , the resolvent  $J_\lambda$  of  $f$  is nonexpansive (Jost, 1995). In 2013, Bačák (Bačák, 2013) introduced the

PPA in a  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$  as follows: for any  $x_1 \in X$  and

$$x_{n+1} = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)],$$

where  $\lambda_n > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}$ . It was shown that if  $f$  has a minimizer and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda_n = \infty$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to its minimizer (Ariza-Ruiz, 2014).

Many mathematical researchers have continued their directions of the research work. In 2017, Nuttapol Pakkaranang.etc (Nuttapol et al, 2017), they introduced the following algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ w_n = (1 - \alpha_n)z_n \oplus \alpha_n R^n z_n, \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n)w_n \oplus \beta_n S^n w_n, \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \gamma_n)y_n \oplus \gamma_n T^n y_n, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

where  $R, S, T$  are three asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. They proved some weakly convergence theorems of the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  for the proposed algorithm to common fixed points of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings and to minimizers of a convex function in  $CAT(0)$  spaces.

Stimulated and inspired by the work of the above mathematics researchers, in this paper, we come up with the following algorithm:

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n z_n, \quad m = 1, \quad n \geq 1, \\ x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n y_{1n}, \\ y_{1n} = (1 - \alpha_{2n})y_{2n} \oplus \alpha_{2n} T_2^n y_{2n}, \\ \dots \dots \\ y_{(m-2)n} = (1 - \alpha_{(m-1)n})y_{(m-1)n} \oplus \alpha_{(m-1)n} T_{(m-1)}^n y_{(m-1)n}, \\ y_{(m-1)n} = (1 - \alpha_{mn})z_n \oplus \alpha_{mn} T_{(m-1)}^n z_n, \quad m \geq 2, \quad n \geq 1, \end{cases} \quad (1)$$

where  $\lambda_n > 0, \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, T_i (i = 1, 2, \dots, m)$  is a finite number of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings. Research its convergence, the results that we obtained improve and extend the results of reference (Nuttapol et al., 2017).

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we will mention some basic concepts, and useful lemmas, which will be used in the next section.

**Definition 2.1 (Chang et al., 2012)** Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in a  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$ . For any  $x \in X$ , we put  $r(x, \{x_n\}) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup d(x, x_n)$ .

(1) The asymptotic radius of  $\{x_n\}$  is given by  $r(\{x_n\}) = \inf\{r(x, \{x_n\}) : x \in X\}$ ;

(2) The asymptotic center  $A(\{x_n\})$  of  $x_n$  is the set  $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x \in X : r(x, \{x_n\}) = r(\{x_n\})\}$ .

It is well known that, in a complete  $CAT(0)$  space,  $A(\{x_n\})$  consists of exactly one point (Kirk & Panyanak, 2008).

**Definition 2.2 (Chang, 2016)** A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in a  $CAT(0)$  space  $X$  is said to be  $\Delta$ -convergent to a point  $x \in X$  if  $x$  is the unique asymptotic center of  $\{u_n\}$  for every subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ . In this case, we write  $\Delta - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and denote

$$\varpi_{\Delta}(x_n) := \bigcup_{\{u_n\} \subset \{x_n\}} A(\{u_n\}) \subset \Omega,$$

where the union is sum over all subsequences  $\{u_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$ .

**Definition 2.3** Let  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of a  $CAT(0)$  space  $(X, d)$ . A family of mappings  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m, T_{m+1}\}$  is said to satisfy the condition  $(\omega^*)$  if there exists a non-decreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) \geq 0$  for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$d(x, T_1 x) \geq f(d(x, F))$$

or

$$d(x, T_2x) \geq f(d(x, F))$$

...

or

$$d(x, T_mx) \geq f(d(x, F))$$

or

$$d(x, T_{m+1}x) \geq f(d(x, F))$$

for all  $x \in X$ , where  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^{m+1} F(T_i)$ .

**Definition 2.4 (Nuttapol et al., 2017)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a metric space, and  $C$  is a nonempty subset of  $X$ . A mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is said to be *semi-compact* if any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  satisfying  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$  has a convergent subsequence, that is, it exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p \in C$ .

**Lemma2.5 (Chang, 2012)** If  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in a complete  $CAT(0)$  space with  $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $A(\{u_n\}) = \{u\}$  and the sequence  $d(x_n, u)$  converges, then  $x = u$ .

**Lemma2.6 (Kirk & Panyanak, 2008)** Every bounded sequence in a complete  $CAT(0)$  space  $X$  has a  $\Delta$ -convergent subsequence.

**Lemma2.7 (Ambrosio, 2008)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $CAT(0)$  space and  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous. Then, for all  $x, y \in X$  and  $\lambda \geq 0$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(J_\lambda x, y) - \frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(x, y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda} d^2(x, J_\lambda x) + f(J_\lambda x) \leq f(y), \quad (2.3)$$

where  $J_\lambda$  is a *Moreau – Yosida* resolvent of  $f$ .

**Lemma2.8 (Chang, 2012)** Assume that  $C$  is a closed convex subset of a complete  $CAT(0)$  space  $X$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be an asymptotically nonexpansive mapping. Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence in  $C$  such that  $\Delta\text{-}\lim x_n = p$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, Tx_n) = 0$ . Then  $Tp = p$ .

**Lemma2.9 (Xu, 2003)** Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the following conditions:

$$\alpha_{n+1} \leq (1 + b_n)\alpha_n,$$

where  $b_n \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$ , then the  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n$  exists.

**Lemma2.10 (Mayer, 1998)** Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $CAT(0)$  space and  $f : (-\infty, \infty] \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function. Then the following identity holds:

$$J_\lambda x = J_\mu \left( \frac{\lambda - \mu}{\lambda} J_\lambda x \oplus \frac{\mu}{\lambda} x \right), \forall x \in X, \lambda \geq \mu > 0, \quad (2.6)$$

where  $J_\lambda$  is a *Moreau – Yosida* resolvent of  $f$ .

### 3. Results

In this section, we prove our main results.

**Theorem 3.1** Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) Let  $(X, d)$  be a complete  $CAT(0)$  space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ ;
- (2) Let  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function;
- (3)  $T_i : C \rightarrow C, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are a finite number of  $\{k_n\}$ -asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with  $k_n \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$  such that

$$\Omega = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i) \cap \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} f(y) \neq \emptyset; \quad (3.1)$$

- (4)  $\{\alpha_{in}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m}$  be sequences in  $[0, 1]$  with  $0 < a \leq \alpha_{in} \leq c < 1$  for all  $n \in N$  and for some  $a, c$  are positive constants in  $(0, 1)$ ;

- (5)  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence with  $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and for some  $\lambda$ .

Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by the algorithm (1)  $\Delta$ -converges to a point  $x^* \in \Omega$ , which is a minimizer of  $f$  in  $C$  as well as a common fixed point of  $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ .

Proof: The proof will be completed in five steps.

Let  $p \in \Omega$ . Then  $p = T_1 p = T_2 p = \dots = T_m p$  and  $f(p) \leq f(y), \forall y \in C$ . Therefore, we have

$$f(p) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(p, p) \leq f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, p), \forall y \in C. \quad (3.2)$$

Hence,  $p = J_{\lambda} p, \forall n \geq 1$ .

The first step, we prove that the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists.

Since  $J_{\lambda_n}$  is nonexpansive and  $z_n = J_{\lambda_n} x_n$ , so we have

$$d(z_n, p) = d(J_{\lambda_n} x_n, J_{\lambda_n} p) \leq d(x_n, p). \quad (3.3)$$

While  $m = 1$ , we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n z_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d((1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(z_n, p) + \alpha_{1n} d(T_1^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq [1 + (k_n - 1)\alpha_{1n}]d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that there exists a  $Q_{1n} = (k_n - 1)\alpha_{1n}$  and  $Q_{1n} \geq 0$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{1n} < \infty$ , such that

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq (1 + Q_{1n})d(x_n, p).$$

By lemma 2.9, we obtain that the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists. So,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Thus,  $\{z_n\}$  and  $\{T_1^n z_n\}$  is bounded.

While  $m = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n y_{1n} \\ y_{1n} = (1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n} T_2^n z_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By virtue of (1.2) and (3.3), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{1n}, p) &= d((1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n} T_2^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n})d(z_n, p) + \alpha_{2n} d(T_2^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n} k_n)d(x_n, p) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d((1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n y_{1n}, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(y_{1n}, p) + \alpha_{1n} d(T_1^n y_{1n}, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(y_{1n}, p) + \alpha_{1n} k_n d(y_{1n}, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n} k_n)(1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n} k_n)d(x_n, p) \\ &= [1 + (\alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{1n}\alpha_{2n}(k_n - 1))(k_n - 1)]d(x_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

So, there is a  $Q_{2n} = (\alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{1n}\alpha_{2n}(k_n - 1))$ , and  $Q_{2n} \geq 0$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{2n} < \infty$  such that

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq (1 + Q_{2n})d(x_n, p).$$

Therefore, by lemma 2.9, we obtain that the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists. Thus,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, and so  $\{z_n\}$ ,  $\{y_{1n}\}$ ,  $\{T_1^n y_{1n}\}$  and  $\{T_1^n z_n\}$  also are bounded.

This analogies, it implies that there is a  $Q_{in} \geq 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$ , and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} Q_{in} < \infty$  such that

$$d(x_{n+1}, p) \leq (1 + Q_{in})d(x_n, p).$$

Similarly, by lemma 2.9 we have that the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists.

Therefore,  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded, and so are  $\{z_n\}$ ,  $\{y_{in}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m-1}$ ,  $\{T_i^n y_{in}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m-1}$ , and  $\{T_m^n z_n\}$  are bounded.

The second step, we prove that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0$ . Let

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c \geq 0. \quad (3.4)$$

By lemma 2.7, we get

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(z_n, p) - \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(x_n, p) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(x_n, z_n) \leq f(p) - f(z_n).$$

That is,

$$\frac{1}{2\lambda_n} \{d^2(z_n, p) - d^2(x_n, p) + d^2(x_n, z_n)\} \leq f(p) - f(z_n).$$

Because of  $f(p) \leq f(z_n), \forall n \geq 1$ , we get

$$d^2(x_n, z_n) \leq d^2(x_n, p) - d^2(z_n, p). \quad (3.5)$$

While  $m = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n z_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d((1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(z_n, p) + \alpha_{1n} d(T_1^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n} k_n) d(z_n, p), \end{aligned}$$

which can be rewritten as

$$d(z_n, p) \geq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n} k_n} d(x_{n+1}, p).$$

Therefore, this combines the above with (3.4) implies that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n} k_n} d(x_{n+1}, p) \right\} = c.$$

On the other hand, by (3.3), we also get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c.$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) = c. \quad (3.6)$$

Also from (3.4), (3.5) and (3.6), we obtain that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0. \quad (3.7)$$

While  $m = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n} T_1^n y_{1n}, \\ y_{1n} = (1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n} T_2^n z_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By (1.2), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, p) &= d((1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n y_{1n}, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(y_{1n}, p) + \alpha_{1n}d(T_1^n y_{1n}, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n)d(y_{1n}, p). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying we have

$$d(y_{1n}, p) \geq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n} d(x_{n+1}, p).$$

So, we can get

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{1n}, p) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n} d(x_{n+1}, p) \right\} = c.$$

On the other hand, it shows that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{1n}, p) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \{(1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}k_n)d(x_n, p)\} = c.$$

Therefore, it implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{1n}, p) = c. \quad (3.8)$$

By (1.2) and (3.8), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{1n}, p) &= d((1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n}T_2^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n})d(z_n, p) + \alpha_{2n}d(T_2^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}k_n)d(z_n, p). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, simplifying we have

$$d(z_n, p) \geq \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}k_n} d(y_{1n}, p).$$

So, we obtain that

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) \geq \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}k_n} d(y_{1n}, p) \right\} = c.$$

By (3.3) we have

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p) = c.$$

So we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) = c.$$

This together with the above with (3.5) shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0.$$

And it can be pushed that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, p) = c, \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{in}, p) = c; i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1.$$

By (3.8) we also have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, z_n) = 0.$$

The third steps, we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_m x_n) = 0.$$

While  $m = 1$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n z_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n+1}, p) &= d^2((1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d^2(z_n, p) + \alpha_{1n}d^2(T_1^n z_n, p) - \alpha_{1n}(1 - \alpha_{1n})d^2(z_n, T_1^n z_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n^2)d^2(z_n, p) - \alpha_{1n}(1 - \alpha_{1n})d^2(z_n, T_1^n z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying above the inequality, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(z_n, T_1^n z_n) &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1n}(1 - \alpha_{1n})} \{(1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n^2)d^2(z_n, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a(1 - c)} \{(1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n^2)d^2(z_n, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_1^n x_n) &\leq d(T_1^n x_n, T_1^n z_n) + d(T_1^n z_n, z_n) + d(x_n, z_n) \\ &\leq k_n d(x_n, z_n) + d(T_1^n z_n, z_n) + d(x_n, z_n) \\ &= (1 - k_n)d(x_n, z_n) + d(T_1^n z_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

It shows that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d((1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(z_n, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}d(T_1^n z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(z_n, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}\{d(T_1^n z_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

So, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + d(T_1^{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_n) + d(T_1^{n+1} x_n, T_1 x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + k_{n+1}d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + k_1 d(T_1^n x_n, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(z_n, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}\{d(T_1^n z_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = 0.$$

While  $m = 2$ , we have

$$\begin{cases} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} d^2(y, x_n)], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n y_{1n}, \\ y_{1n} = (1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n}T_2^n z_n, \quad n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

By (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(y_{1n}, p) &= d^2((1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n}T_2^n z_n, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n})d^2(z_n, p) + \alpha_{2n}d^2(T_2^n z_n, p) - \alpha_{2n}(1 - \alpha_{2n})d^2(z_n, T_2^n z_n). \end{aligned}$$

Simplifying the above and we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(z_n, T_2^n z_n) &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{2n}(1 - \alpha_{2n})} \{(1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}k_n^2)d^2(z_n, p) - d^2(y_{1n}, p)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a(1 - c)} \{(1 - \alpha_{2n} + \alpha_{2n}k_n^2)d^2(z_n, p) - d^2(y_{1n}, p)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_2^n x_n) &\leq d(T_2^n x_n, T_2^n z_n) + d(T_2^n z_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq k_n d(x_n, z_n) + d(T_2^n z_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This together with (1.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(y_{1n}, x_n) &= d((1 - \alpha_{2n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{2n}T_2^n z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n})d(z_n, x_n) + \alpha_{2n}d(T_2^n z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{2n})d(z_n, x_n) + \alpha_{2n}\{d(T_2^n z_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n)\} \\ &= d(z_n, x_n) + \alpha_{2n}d(T_2^n z_n, z_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(x_{n+1}, p) &= d^2((1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n y_{1n}, p) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d^2(y_{1n}, p) + \alpha_{1n}d^2(T_1^n y_{1n}, p) - \alpha_{1n}(1 - \alpha_{1n})d^2(y_{1n}, T_1^n y_{1n}). \end{aligned}$$

Rearranging the above inequality, it implies that

$$\begin{aligned} d^2(y_{1n}, T_1^n y_{1n}) &\leq \frac{1}{\alpha_{1n}(1 - \alpha_{1n})} \{(1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n^2)d^2(y_{1n}, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p)\} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{a(1 - c)} \{(1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n^2)d^2(y_{1n}, p) - d^2(x_{n+1}, p)\} \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Thus, we obtain that

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_1^n x_n) &\leq d(T_1^n x_n, T_1^n y_{1n}) + d(T_1^n y_{1n}, y_{1n}) + d(y_{1n}, x_n) \\ &\leq k_n d(x_n, y_{1n}) + d(T_1^n y_{1n}, y_{1n}) + d(y_{1n}, x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

By (1.2), this together with the above some inequalities, we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) &= d((1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n y_{1n}, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(y_{1n}, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}d(T_1^n y_{1n}, x_n) \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(y_{1n}, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}\{d(T_1^n y_{1n}, T_1^n x_n) + d(T_1^n x_n, x_n)\} \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_{1n})d(y_{1n}, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}\{k_n d(y_{1n}, x_n) + d(T_1^n x_n, x_n)\} \\ &= (1 - \alpha_{1n} + \alpha_{1n}k_n)d(y_{1n}, x_n) + \alpha_{1n}d(T_1^n x_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + d(T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_n) + d(T_1^{n+1} x_n, T_1 x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + d(T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_n) + d(T_1^{n+1} x_n, T_1 x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_1^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + k_n d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + k_1 d(T_1^n x_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = 0.$$

Similarly, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_2^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + d(T_2^{n+1} x_{n+1}, T_2^{n+1} x_n) + d(T_2^{n+1} x_n, T_2 x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, x_{n+1}) + d(x_{n+1}, T_2^{n+1} x_{n+1}) + k_n d(x_{n+1}, x_n) + d(T_2^{n+1} x_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$



Therefore, we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = 0.$$

Thus, we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = 0.$$

This analogies, we obtain that

$$\begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(z_n, T_m^n z_n) = 0; m = 1, 2, \dots; m \geq 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{in}, x_n) = 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1; m \geq 2, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_{in}, T_i^n y_{in}) = 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1; m \geq 2, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_i^n x_n) = 0; i = 1, 2, \dots, m-1; m \geq 1, \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_{n+1}, x_n) = 0; \forall n \geq 1. \end{cases}$$

It implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_m x_n) = 0. \quad (3.9)$$

The fourth steps, we prove that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J_\lambda x_n, x_n) = 0, \lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0.$$

Because of  $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$ , by lemma 2.10 and (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned} d(J_\lambda x_n, x_n) &\leq d(J_\lambda x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \\ &= d(J_\lambda x_n, J_{\lambda_n} x_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq d(J_\lambda x_n, J_\lambda \left( \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} J_{\lambda_n} x_n \oplus \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} x_n \right)) + d(z_n, x_n) \\ &\leq d(x_n, \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} J_{\lambda_n} x_n \oplus \frac{\lambda}{\lambda_n} x_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} J_{\lambda_n} d(x_n, J_{\lambda_n} x_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \\ &= \frac{\lambda_n - \lambda}{\lambda_n} J_{\lambda_n} d(x_n, z_n) + d(z_n, x_n) \rightarrow 0 (n \rightarrow \infty). \end{aligned}$$

This shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J_\lambda x_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.10)$$

By the first step, It follows that the limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, p)$  exists. By (3.9) and (3.10), we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_m x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J_\lambda x_n, x_n) = 0. \quad (3.11)$$

The fifth steps, we prove that

$$\varpi_\Delta(x_n) := \bigcup_{\{u_n\} \subset \{x_n\}} A(\{u_n\}) \subset \Omega,$$

where  $A(\{u_n\})$  is the asymptotic center of  $\{u_n\}$ .

Let  $u \in \varpi_\Delta(x_n)$ . Then, by lemma 2.6, there exists a subsequence  $\{u_n\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $A(\{u_n\}) = \{u\}$ .

Therefore, there exists a subsequence  $\{v_n\}$  of  $\{u_n\}$  such that  $\Delta - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} v_n = v$  for some  $v \in C$ .

This together with (3.11) and it shows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(v_n, T_i v_n) = 0, i = 1, 2, \dots, m; \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(J_\lambda v_n, v_n) = 0.$$

By lemma 2.8, it shows that  $v \in \Omega$ . So, by lemma 2.5 we obtain that  $u = v$ . This implies that  $\varpi_\Delta(x_n) \subset \Omega$ .

Finally, we will prove that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$   $\Delta$ -converges to a point  $x^* \in \Omega$ .

It will suffice to prove that  $\varpi_\Delta(x_n)$  consists of exactly one point in the end.

Let  $\{u_n\}$  be a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  with  $A(\{u_n\}) = \{u\}$  and let  $A(\{x_n\}) = \{x\}$ .

Since  $u \in \varpi_{\Delta}(x_n) \subset \Omega$  and  $\{d(x_n, \mu)\}$  converges, so, by virtue of lemma 2.5, we obtain that  $x = u$ . Thus,  $\varpi_{\Delta}(x_n) = \{x^*\}$ .

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Corollary 3.2** Suppose that the following conditions are satisfied:

- (1) Let  $(X, d)$  be a real Hilbert space and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ ;
- (2) Let  $f : X \rightarrow (-\infty, \infty]$  be a proper convex and lower semi-continuous function;
- (3)  $T_i : C \rightarrow C, i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  are a finite number of  $\{k_n\}$ -asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings with  $k_n \in [1, \infty)$ ,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 1$ ,  $\sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (k_n - 1) < \infty$  such that

$$\Omega = \bigcap_{i=1}^m F(T_i) \cap \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} f(y) \neq \emptyset;$$

- (4)  $\{\alpha_{in}\}_{i=1,2,\dots,m}$  be sequences in  $[0, 1]$  with  $0 < a \leq \alpha_{in} \leq c < 1$  for all  $n \in N$  and for some  $a, c$  are positive constants in  $(0, 1)$ ;

- (5)  $\{\lambda_n\}$  be a sequence with  $\lambda_n \geq \lambda > 0$  for all  $n \geq 1$  and for some  $\lambda$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence generated by the following manner:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} \|(y, x_n)\|^2], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})z_n \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n z_n, m = 1, n \geq 1, \\ x_1 \in C \text{ chosen arbitrarily,} \\ z_n = \operatorname{argmin}_{y \in C} [f(y) + \frac{1}{2\lambda_n} \|(y, x_n)\|^2], \\ x_{n+1} = (1 - \alpha_{1n})y_{1n} \oplus \alpha_{1n}T_1^n y_{1n}, \\ y_{1n} = (1 - \alpha_{2n})y_{2n} \oplus \alpha_{2n}T_2^n y_{2n}, \\ \dots \dots \\ y_{((m-2)n)} = (1 - \alpha_{(m-1)n})y_{(m-1)n} \oplus \alpha_{(m-1)n}T_{(m-1)}^n y_{(m-1)n}, \\ y_{((m-1)n)} = (1 - \alpha_{mn})z_n \oplus \alpha_{mn}T_{(m-1)}^n z_n, m \geq 2, \forall n \geq 1, \end{array} \right.$$

for each  $n \in N$ , then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  weakly converges to a common element  $x^* \in \Omega$ .

**Theorem 3.3** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, suppose that the family of the mappings  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m, J_{\lambda}\}$  satisfies the condition  $(\omega^*)$ . Then, the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (1) strongly converges to a common element  $x^* \in \Omega$ .

Proof. From the first step of theorem 3.1, we get that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, x^*)$  exists for  $x^* \in \Omega$ . Also, it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \Omega)$  exists. On the other hand, because a finite number of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_m, J_{\lambda}\}$  satisfied the conditions  $\omega^*$ , we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d(x_n, \Omega)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d(x_n, \Omega)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = 0$$

...

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d(x_n, \Omega)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_m x_n) = 0$$

or

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d(x_n, \Omega)) \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, J_{\lambda} x_n) = 0.$$

Thus, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d(x_n, \Omega)) = 0$ . By using the property of  $f$ , we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, \Omega) = 0$ . Thus, following the proof of Theorem 3.3 of the reference (Pakkaranangetal., 2017), which implies that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X$  and so  $\{x_n\}$  converges to a point  $x^* \in X$  and hence  $d(x^*, \Omega) = 0$ . Since  $\Omega$  is closed, we have  $x^* \in \Omega$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.4** Under the hypothesis of Theorem 3.1, suppose that  $T_1$  or  $T_2$  or  $\dots$  or  $T_m$  or  $T_{\lambda}$  is semi-compact. Then the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (3.1) strongly converges to a common element  $p \in \Omega$ .

Proof. Suppose that  $T_1$  is semi-compact. By the third step of Theorem 3.1, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_1 x_n) = 0$ . Thus, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_k} \rightarrow p \in X$ . Since

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_2 x_n) = \dots = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, T_m x_n) = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, J_\lambda x_n) = 0.$$

We have  $d(p, T_2 p) = \dots = d(p, T_m p) = 0$  and  $d(p, J_\lambda p) = 0$ , which shows that  $p \in \Omega$ . For other mappings, we also prove that the sequence  $\{x_n\}$  strongly converges to a common element of  $\Omega$ . This completes the proof.  $\square$

### Acknowledgment

We acknowledge Foundation item support by the Project Supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (NO.11171046).

### References

- Agarwal, R. P., O'Regan, D., & Sahu, D. R. (2007). Iterative construction of fixed points of nearly asymptotically nonexpansive mappings. *J. Nonlinear Convex Anal.*, 8, 61-79.
- Ariza-Ruiz, D. Leustean, L. Lopez, G. (2014). Firmly nonexpansive mappings in classes of geodesic spaces. *Trans, Am, Math. Soc.*, 366, 4299-4322. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9947-2014-05968-0>
- Ambrosio, L., Gigli, N., & Savare, G. (2008). Gradient Flows in Metric Spaces and in the Spaces of Probability Measures. 2dn. *Lectures in Mathematics ETH Zurich. Birkhauser Verlag, Basel.*
- Bridson, M., & Haefliger, A. (1999). *Metric Spaces of Non-positive Curvature. Springer, Berlin.* <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-662-12494-9>
- Bačák, M. (2013). The proximal point algorithm in metrics. *Isr, J, Math.*, (194, 689-701).
- Chang, S. S., Wang, L., Lee, H. W. L., Chan, C. K., & Yang, L. (2012). Demi-closed principle and convergence theorems for total asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in  $CAT(0)$  spaces. *Appl. Math, Comput.* 219, 2611-2617. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.amc.2012.08.095>
- Chang, Shih-sen., Yao, Jen-Chih., Wang, Lin., & Qin, Li-Juan. (2016). Some convergence theorems involving proximal point and common fixed points for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in  $CAT(0)$  spaces. *Fixed Theory Appl.* <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13663-016-0559-7>.
- Dhompongsa, S, & Panyanak, B. (2008). On convergence theorems in  $CAT(0)$  sapces. *Comput.Math Appl*, 56, 2572-2579. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.camwa.2008.05.036>
- Kirk, W. A., & Panyanak, B. (2008). A concept of convergence in geodesic spaces. *Nonliear Anal.*, 68, 3698-3696. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.na.2007.04.011>
- Jost, J. (1995). Convex functionals and generalized harmonic maps into spaces of Nonpositive curvature. *Commen-t.Math.Helv.*, 70, 659-673. <https://doi.org/10.1007/BF02566027>
- Martinet, B. (1970). *Réularisation d'inéquation variationnelles par apprximations successives.* *Rev. Fr. inform, Rech Oper.*, 4, 154-158.
- Mayer, U. F. (1998). Gradient flows on nonpositively curved metric spaces and harmonic maps. *Commun. Anal. Geom.*, 6, 199-253). <https://doi.org/10.4310/CAG.1998.v6.n2.a1>
- Nuttapol, Pakkaranang., Poom, Kumam., & Yeol Je Cho.(2017). *Proximal point algorithms for solving convex minimization problem and common fixed points problem of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings in CAT(0) spaces with convergence analysis.* *Numer Algor* <https://doi.org/10.1007/s11075-017-0402-1.9>.
- Pakkaranang, N., Sa Ngiamusunthorn, P., Kuman, P., & Cho, Y. J. (2017). Convergence theorems of the modified S-type iterative method for  $(\alpha, \beta)$ -generalized hybrid mapping in  $CAT(0)$  Spaces. *J. Math. Anal.*, 103-112.
- Xu, H. K. (2003). An iterative approach to quadratic optimization. *J. Optim, Theory Appl.*, 116, 659-678. <https://doi.org/10.1023/A:1023073621589>

### Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).