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#### Abstract

In this paper, we study partially the automorphisms groups of four-dimensional division algebra. We have proved that there is an equivalence between $\operatorname{Der}(A)=\operatorname{su}(2)$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=S O(3)$. For an unitary four-dimensional real division algebra, there is an equivalence between $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Der}(A))=1$ and $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=S O(2)$.
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## 1. Introduction

The finited-dimensional real division algebra A , an actuel problem, takes its origin with the quaternion's discovery $\mathbb{H}$, by Hamilton in 1843. One of the fundementals results of a $n$-dimensional real division algebra affirms that $n \in\{1,2,4,8\}$ (Bott \& Milnor, 1958; Kervaire, 1958). For $n \in\{1,2\}$, the real division algebra $A$ is known (Althoen \& Kugler, 1983; Hübner \& Peterson, 2004; Dieterich, 2005). However the problem persists for the others cases. One of the method of determining the algebra A is to know its derivations and/or its automorphisms. Benkart and Osborn have classified Lie algebra of derivations $\operatorname{Der}(A)$ (Benkart \& Osborn, 1981). It's well known that if $A$ is finite dimensional ,then the automorphism group $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ is a group of Lie, whose associated Lie algebra and Lie algebra $\operatorname{Der}(A)$ coincide. In dimension 1, the group $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ is trivial. In dimension 2, Dieterich has classified $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$, (Dieterich, 2005). However the problem persists for the others cases. This paper is a contribution to the advancement of the determination of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$. In the first part, we give some preliminaries results on the automorphism of an algebra A. In the second part, we characterize the 4-dimensional real division algebra $A$ whose $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=S O(3)$. Finally, we characterize also an unitary 4-dimensional real division algebra whose $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=S O(2)$.

## 2. Preliminary

An algebra is said to be mutation $\alpha$ of $A$ denoted $A^{\alpha}$, the vector space A which has as product: $x \bullet_{\alpha} y=\alpha x y+(1-\alpha) y x, x$, $y \in A$. If $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbb{R}$ we have $\left(A^{\lambda}\right)^{\mu}=A^{\alpha}$ with $\alpha=2 \lambda \mu-\lambda-\mu+1$. The product of $\mathbb{H}^{\lambda}$ in the basic $e=1, e_{1}=\frac{i}{2 \lambda-1}, e_{2}=\frac{j}{2 \lambda-1}$, $e_{3}=\frac{k}{2 \lambda-1}$, is given by: $e e_{n}=e_{n} e=e_{n} ; e_{n}^{2}=\frac{1}{(2 \lambda-1)^{2}} e ; e_{1} e_{2}=-e_{2} e_{1}=e_{3} ; e_{1} e_{3}=-e_{3} e_{1}=-e_{2} ; e_{2} e_{3}=-e_{3} e_{2}=e_{1}$. Where $\{1, i, j, k\}$ in the canonical basis of the quaternions algebra $\mathbb{H}$. We denote $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=\{f: A \longrightarrow A$, linear bijection: $f(x y)=f(x) f(y), \forall x y \in A\}$ the automorphism group of $A$. We denote $\operatorname{Der}(A)=\{\partial: A \longrightarrow A$, linear mapping: $\partial(x y)=\partial(x) y+x \partial(y), \forall x y \in A\}$ the Lie algebra of derivations of $A$. The algebra $A$ is called division if for all $x \in A-\{0\}$ the linears mapping $L_{x}$ and $R_{x}$ are bijective. Let $x, y \in A,[x, y]=x y-y x$ is the commutator of $x$ and $y$. We recall that $I(A)=\left\{x \in A: \quad x^{2}=x\right\}$. Let $\phi, \psi$ the linears bijections, we call isotopy of $A$ denoted $A_{\phi, \psi}$, the algebra whose product is: $x \odot y=\phi(x) \psi(y), x, y \in A$.
Example The mutation $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ of $\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C}^{\lambda}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{C}$. The mutation $\frac{1}{2}$ of $\mathbb{H}, \mathbb{H}^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is commutative and it's not of division, called the symtrization, one notes it $\mathbb{H}^{+}$

Lemma 1 Let A be a real algebra, then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$ and $[f, \varphi]=[f, \psi]=0$;
2. $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{\phi, \psi}\right)$ and $[f, \varphi]=[f, \psi]=0$.

Proof. Let $f \in \operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{\phi, \psi}\right)$, for all $x$ and $y \in A$ we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(x \odot y) & =f(x) \odot f(y) \\
\Leftrightarrow f(\phi(x) \cdot \psi(y)) & =\varphi(f(x)) \cdot \psi(f(y)) \\
\Leftrightarrow f(\phi(x) \cdot \psi(y)) & =f(\phi(x)) \cdot f(\psi(y)) . \quad \text { Then } \quad f \in \operatorname{Aut}(A) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 2 Let $A$ be an algebra and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, so $\operatorname{Aut}(A) \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)$. Furthermore if $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$ then $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)$.
Proof. It's easy to show that $\operatorname{Aut}(A) \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)$. If $\lambda \neq \frac{1}{2}$, we have $\operatorname{Aut}\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right) \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\left(A^{(\lambda)}\right)^{\frac{\lambda}{2 \lambda-1}}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}(A)$
3. Characterization of Four-dimensional Real Division Algebra with $S O(3)$ as Its Automorphic Group

In (Benkart \& Osborn, $\left.(1981)_{2}\right)$, we have the following result:
Theorem $1 A$ is an four-dimensional real division algebra with su(2) as its derivation algebra if and only if $A$ has a basis $\left\{e, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ with multiplication given by (1.1) for some real numbers $\alpha, \beta$, $\gamma$ such that $\alpha \beta \gamma>0$.

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e^{2}=e, \quad e e_{i}=\alpha e_{i}, \quad e_{i} e=\beta e_{i} \quad e_{i}^{2}=-\gamma e \quad \text { for all } i \in\{1,2,3\} \\
e_{1} e_{2}=-e_{2} e_{1}=e_{3}, \quad e_{2} e_{3}=-e_{3} e_{2}=e_{1}, \quad e_{3} e_{1}=-e_{1} e_{3}=e_{2} \tag{1.1}
\end{array} .
$$

Remark 1 Let $x=\lambda_{0} e+\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3}, y=\lambda_{0}^{\prime} e+\lambda_{1}^{\prime} e_{1}+\lambda_{2}^{\prime} e_{2}+\lambda_{3}^{\prime} e_{3} \in A$, we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
x y & =\left(\lambda_{0} \lambda_{0}^{\prime}-\gamma \lambda_{1} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}-\gamma \lambda_{2} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}-\gamma \lambda_{3} \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right) e+\left(\alpha \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}+\beta \lambda_{1} \lambda_{0}^{\prime}+\lambda_{2} \lambda_{3}^{\prime}-\lambda_{3} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}\right) e_{1} \\
& +\left(\alpha \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}+\beta \lambda_{2} \lambda_{0}^{\prime}+\lambda_{3} \lambda_{1}^{\prime}-\lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}^{\prime}\right) e_{2}+\left(\alpha \lambda_{0} \lambda_{3}^{\prime}+\beta \lambda_{3} \lambda_{0}^{\prime}+\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}^{\prime}-\lambda_{2} \lambda_{1}^{\prime} .\right) e_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

We defined $\psi_{\alpha}: A \longrightarrow A ; \psi_{\alpha}(\lambda e+u)=\lambda e+\frac{1}{\alpha} u$ with $(\alpha, \lambda) \in \mathbb{R}^{*} \times \mathbb{R}$ and $u \in \operatorname{lin}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$.
Theorem 2 Let A be an 4-dimensional real division algebra with su(2) as its derivation algebra, then the isotope $A_{\psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\beta}}$ of $A$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}$ with $\mu=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha \beta \gamma}}+\frac{1}{2}$.
Proof. Let $A$ be an algebra of theorem 1. The multiplication of $A_{\psi_{\beta}, \psi_{\alpha}}$ in the basis $\left\{e, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ is given by (1.2)

$$
\begin{array}{r}
e \odot e=e, \quad e \odot e_{i}=e_{i} \odot e=e_{i}, \quad e_{i} \odot e_{i}=-\frac{\gamma}{\alpha \beta} e \text { for all } i \in\{1,2,3\} \\
e_{1} \odot e_{2}=-e_{2} \odot e_{1}=\frac{1}{\alpha \beta} e_{3}, \quad e_{2} \odot e_{3}=-e_{3} \odot e_{2}=\frac{1}{\alpha \beta} e_{1}, \quad e_{3} \odot e_{1}=-e_{1} \odot e_{3}=\frac{1}{\alpha \beta} e_{2} \tag{1.2}
\end{array}
$$

Setting $e^{\prime}=e, e_{1}^{\prime}=\alpha \beta e_{1}, e_{2}^{\prime}=\alpha \beta e_{2}$ and $e_{3}^{\prime}=\alpha \beta e_{3}$, we obtain, an algebra isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}$ with $\mu=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha \beta \gamma}}+\frac{1}{2}$.
Corollary 1 Every four-dimensional real division algebra with su(2) as its derivation algebra is isotope to the algebra $\mathbb{H}^{1}$.
Lemma 3 Let A be an 4-dimensional real division algebra with su(2) as its derivation algebra. Then A has a basis $\left\{e, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ with multiplication given by (1.1). Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I(A)=\{e\} \cup\left\{\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} e+\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i} e_{i} ; \sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{2}=\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta)}{\gamma(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}\right\}, \text { if } \alpha+\beta \neq 0 \text { and } \frac{1-(\alpha+\beta)}{\gamma}>0, \\
& I(A)=\{e\}, \text { otherwise. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. Let $x=\lambda_{0} e+\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} \in A$, we have:

$$
x^{2}=x \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\lambda_{0}^{2}-\gamma\left(\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)=\lambda_{0} \\
\lambda_{i}\left((\alpha+\beta) \lambda_{0}-1\right)=0, \quad i \in\{1,2,3\}
\end{array}\right.
$$

We obtain $I(A)$ by resolving the system and discussing on $\alpha+\beta$ and $\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta)}{\gamma}$.

Corollary 2 Let A be an real algebra of theorem 1. Let $u$ and $v \in A$ linearly independent. Then the following assertions are equivalent:

1. $x \in I(A), u^{2}=v^{2}=-\gamma x, x u=\alpha u, u x=\beta u, x v=\alpha v$, and $v x=\beta v$
2. $x=e$ and $u, v \in\left\{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3}\right.$; with $\left.\lambda_{1}^{2}+\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}=1\right\}$.

Proof. (1) $\Longrightarrow(2)$ the proof will be reduce in the case $\alpha+\beta \neq 0$ and $\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta)}{\gamma}>0$.
Suppose that $x=\frac{1}{\alpha+\beta} e+\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} \in I(A)$ with $\sum_{i=1}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{2}=\frac{1-(\alpha+\beta)}{\gamma(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}$.
Let $u=\Sigma_{i=0}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{\prime} e_{i}$, and $v=\Sigma_{i=0}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{\prime \prime} e_{i} \in A$ satisfied the equations of (a). We have:
$u^{2}=v^{2}=-\gamma x \Longrightarrow \lambda_{i}=-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\gamma} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{0} \lambda^{\prime}{ }_{i}=-\frac{\alpha+\beta}{\gamma} \lambda^{\prime \prime}{ }_{0} \lambda^{\prime \prime}{ }_{i} \quad i \in\{1,2,3\} . \quad$ (E.1)
And $x u=\alpha u, \quad x v=\alpha v \Longrightarrow \lambda_{0}^{2}=\lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime 2}=\frac{\alpha \gamma(1-(\alpha+\beta))}{\beta(\alpha+\beta)^{2}}$. Consequently $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=\varepsilon \lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime}$ with $\varepsilon^{2}=1$. We have $u=\varepsilon v$ according to $(\mathbf{E} .1)$, which is adsurd since $u$ and $v$ are linearly independent, then $x=e$. It's easily shown that the equations $u^{2}=v^{2}=-\gamma e, e u=\alpha u, u e=\beta u, e v=\alpha v$, and $v e=\beta v$ gives $\lambda_{0}^{\prime}=\lambda_{0}^{\prime \prime}=0$ and $\Sigma_{i=0}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{\prime 2}=\Sigma_{i=0}^{3} \lambda_{i}^{\prime \prime 2}=1$.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(1)$ the proof is evident.
Proposition 1 Let A be a 4-dimensional real division algebra with su(2) as its derivation algebra and $f \in$ Aut (A), then $f(e)=e$ and $f\left(\operatorname{lin}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}\right) \subseteq \operatorname{lin}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. Moreover $\left[f, \psi_{\alpha}\right]=0$.
Proof. We notice that $f(e) \in I(A)$ and $f\left(e_{i}\right)$ for all $i \in\{1,2,3\}$, satisfy to (a) of corollary 1. Then $f(e)=e$ and $f\left(e_{i}\right) \in \operatorname{lin}\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$. It's easy to show that $\left[f, \psi_{\alpha}\right]=0$.
Theorem 3 Let A be a 4-dimensional real division algebra with su(2) as its derivation algebra, then the following propositions are equivalent:

1. $\operatorname{Aut}(A) \cong S O(3)$;
2. $\operatorname{Der}(A) \cong \operatorname{su}(2)$;
3. $A_{\psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\beta}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}$ with $\mu=\frac{1}{2 \sqrt{\alpha \beta \gamma}}+\frac{1}{2}$.

Proof. $(1) \Longrightarrow(2) \operatorname{Der}(A)=\operatorname{Lie}(A u t(A))=\operatorname{Lie}(S O(3)) \cong \operatorname{so}(3) \cong \operatorname{su}(2)$.
$(2) \Longrightarrow$ (3) See the Theorem 2.
(3) $\Longrightarrow$ (1) All automorphisms of $A$ commute with $\psi_{\alpha}$ and $\psi_{\beta}$ according to Proposition 1 and also all automorphisms of $A_{\psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\beta}}$ commute with $\psi_{\alpha}$ and $\psi_{\beta}$ according to theorem 2, then $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{\psi_{\alpha}, \psi_{\beta}}\right)$.
The Lemmas 1 and 2 give $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(A_{\psi_{\beta}, \psi_{\alpha}}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}\left(\mathbb{H}^{\mu}\right)=\operatorname{Aut}(\mathbb{H}) \cong S O(3)$.
4. Characterization Unitary 4-dimensional Real Division Algebra with $S O(2)$ as Its Automorphisms Groups

In (Diabang \& all, (2016) $)_{1}$, we have the following result:
Theorem 4 Let A be an unital 4-dimensional real division algebra having a non-trivial derivation $\partial$, then there exists a basis $\mathcal{B}_{1}=\left\{e, e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right\}$ of $A$ for which the multiplication is given by the table (1.3):

| $\odot$ | $e$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e$ | $e$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| $e_{1}$ | $e_{1}$ | $-e$ | $\alpha_{1} e_{2}+\alpha_{2} e_{3}$ | $-\alpha_{2} e_{2}+\alpha_{1} e_{3}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | $e_{2}$ | $\alpha_{3} e_{2}+\alpha_{4} e_{3}$ | $\alpha_{5} e+\alpha_{6} e_{1}$ | $\alpha_{7} e+\alpha_{8} e_{1}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | $e_{3}$ | $-\alpha_{4} e_{2}+\alpha_{3} e_{3}$ | $-\alpha_{7} e-\alpha_{8} e_{1}$ | $\alpha_{5} e+\alpha_{6} e_{1}$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |

for some real numbers $\alpha_{i}, i \in\{1, \ldots, 7\}$.
Corollary 3 Let A be anfour-dimensional real unital division algebra A having a non-trivial derivation, then the following propositions are equivalent:

1. $\alpha_{1}=\alpha_{3}=\alpha_{6}=\alpha_{7}=0, \alpha_{5}<0, \alpha_{2}=-\alpha_{4} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{8}=-\alpha_{2} \alpha_{5} \neq 0$;
2. A is quadratic and flexible;
3. $\operatorname{Der}(A)=s u(2)$;
4. $\operatorname{Aut}(A)=S O(3)$;
5. $A$ is isotope to $\mathbb{H}^{\mu}$.

Proof. $(1) \Longleftrightarrow(2) \Longleftrightarrow(3)$ results of Theorem 2 in (Diabang \& all, (2016) $)_{1}$ ).
$(3) \Longleftrightarrow(4) \Longleftrightarrow(5)$ results of Theorem 3 .
Lemma 4 Let A be an unital four-dimensional real division algebra having a non-trivial derivation $\partial$ such that A isn't quadratic or isn't flexible. If $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, then $f(e)=e$ and $f\left(e_{1}\right)=\varepsilon e_{1}$ with $\varepsilon^{2}=1$.
Proof. $f$ being bijective then for all $y \in A$ there is $x \in A$ such that $f(x)=y$. We have $f(e) y=f(e) f(x)=f(e x)=f(x)=y$ and $y f(e)=f(x) f(e)=f(x e)=f(x)=y$, then $f(e)$ is an unitary element of $A$, therefore $f(e)=e$. The subalgebra of A generated by $f\left(e_{1}\right)$, denoted $<f\left(e_{1}\right)>$, is isomorphic to $B_{0}=\operatorname{ker} \partial$. As $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Der}(A))=1$ then for all $x \in<f\left(e_{1}\right)>$, $\partial(x)=0$ consequently $f\left(e_{1}\right) \in B_{0}$. The equation $f\left(e_{1}\right)^{2}=-e$ gives $f\left(e_{1}\right)=\varepsilon e_{1}$.

Remark 2 Let A be an unital 4-dimensional real division algebra having a non-trivial derivation. Let $x=\lambda_{0} e+\lambda_{1} e_{1}+$ $\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} \in A$, we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
& x^{2}=-e \Longleftrightarrow \begin{cases}\lambda_{0}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}+\alpha_{5}\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)=-1 & \text { (E.2) } \\
2 \lambda_{0} \lambda_{1}+\alpha_{6}\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)=0, & \text { (E.3) } \\
2 \lambda_{0} \lambda_{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}-\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}=0, & \text { (E.4) } \\
2 \lambda_{0} \lambda_{3}+\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \lambda_{1} \lambda_{3}=0 . & \text { (E.5) }\end{cases} \\
& \lambda_{2} \mathbf{E} .4+\lambda_{3} \mathbf{E} .5 \Longrightarrow\left(2 \lambda_{0}+\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \lambda_{1}\right)\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)=0  \tag{E.6}\\
& \lambda_{3} \mathbf{E} .4+\lambda_{2} \mathbf{E} .5 \Longrightarrow\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right) \lambda_{1}\left(\lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}\right)=0 \tag{E.7}
\end{align*}
$$

There are four possible cases:
Cas 1. If $\alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}\right) \neq 0$, then $x^{2}=-e \Longleftrightarrow x=\varepsilon e_{1}$.
Cas 2. If $\alpha_{6}=0$ and $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4} \neq 0$, then

$$
x^{2}=-e \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \in\left\{\varepsilon e_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} ; \quad \lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}=-\frac{1}{\alpha_{5}}\right\}, \quad \text { If } \alpha_{5}<0 \\
x=\varepsilon e_{1} \quad \text { otherwise },
\end{array}\right.
$$

Cas 3. If $\alpha_{6}=\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}=0$, then

$$
x^{2}=-e \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \in\left\{\lambda_{1} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} ; \quad \lambda_{1}^{2}=1+\alpha_{5} \lambda_{2}^{2}+\alpha_{5} \lambda_{3}^{2}\right\}, \quad \text { If } \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}=0 \\
x \in\left\{\varepsilon e_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} ; \quad \lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}=-\frac{1}{\alpha_{5}}\right\}, \quad \text { If } \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3} \neq 0 \text { and } \alpha_{5}<0 \\
x=\varepsilon e_{1}, \quad \text { If } \alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3} \neq 0 \text { and } \alpha_{5} \geq 0
\end{array}\right.
$$

Cas 4. If $\alpha_{6} \neq 0$ and $\alpha_{2}+\alpha_{4}=0$, then

$$
x^{2}=-e \Longleftrightarrow\left\{\begin{array}{l}
x \in\left\{\varepsilon e_{1}\right\} \cup\left\{k_{o} e+\varepsilon \sqrt{k_{1}} e_{1}+\lambda_{2} e_{2}+\lambda_{3} e_{3} ; \quad \lambda_{2}^{2}+\lambda_{3}^{2}=\frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{6}} k_{1}\right\}, \quad \text { If } \frac{\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}}{\alpha_{6}}>0, k_{1}>0 \\
x=\varepsilon e_{1}, \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $k_{1}=\frac{4 \alpha_{6}}{4 \alpha_{6}-4 \alpha_{5}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)-\alpha_{6}\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right)^{2}}, k_{0}=-\frac{\varepsilon\left(\alpha_{1}+\alpha_{3}\right) \sqrt{k_{1}}}{2}$ and $\varepsilon \in\{-1,1\}$.
Proposition 2 Let A be an unital four-dimensional real division algebra having a non-trivial derivation $\partial$ such that A isn't quadratic or isn't flexible. If $f \in \operatorname{Aut}(A)$, then

$$
M\left(f, \mathcal{B}_{1}\right)=\left(\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \cos (\theta) & -\sin (\theta) \\
0 & 0 & \sin (\theta) & \cos (\theta)
\end{array}\right)
$$

with $\theta \in \mathbb{R}$ so $\operatorname{Aut}(A) \cong S O(2)$.
Proof. The lemma 4, gives $f(e)=e$ and $f\left(e_{1}\right)=\varepsilon e_{1}$. By the definition of the automorphism $f$ and the equations (E.2), $\ldots$ (E.7), we obtains the result.

Definition 1 (Unit-duplication process) Let $B$ be an real algebra having an unit element e and let $\rho, \sigma, \phi, \psi: B \longrightarrow B$ be linear mappings such that $\phi(e)=\psi(e)=e$. We define on the space $B \times B$ the produit:

$$
(x, y) \odot\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=\left(x x^{\prime}+\rho\left(\sigma\left(y^{\prime}\right) y\right) ; y \phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)+y^{\prime} \psi(x)\right)
$$

The algebra resulting has an unit element $(e, 0)$ and contains $B \times\{0\}$ as sub-algebra. It is said to be obtained from $B$ and $\varrho$, by unit-duplication process and is denoted by $U^{\operatorname{UD}} P_{B}(\rho, \sigma, \phi, \psi)$. This generalizes the classical Cayley-Dickson process as-well as the process given.

Theorem 5 Let A be an unital 4-dimensional real division algebra having a non-trivial derivation such that A isn't quadratic or isn't flexible, then the following propositions are equivalent:

1. $\operatorname{Aut}(A) \cong S O(2)$;
2. $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Der}(A))=1$;
3. A is obtained from the unital real algebra $\mathbb{C}$ by unit-duplication process.
$\operatorname{Proof} .(1) \Longrightarrow(2) \operatorname{Der}(A)=\operatorname{Lie}(\operatorname{Aut}(A))=\operatorname{Lie}(S O(2))=\operatorname{so}(2)$, so $\operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Der}(A))=1$.
$(2) \Longrightarrow(3)$ See Corollary 1 in (Diabang \& all, (2016) $)_{1}$ ).
$(3) \Longrightarrow(1) A$ admits a nonzero derivation, then $A$ satisfies the hypotheses of the Theoreml 4. The proposition 2 completes the proof.
Remark 3 Let A be a finite-dimensional real division algebra, whose Lie algebra of derivations is trivial, then the group Aut $(A)$ is finite.
Problem 1 Let A be an four-dimensional real division algebra, whose group $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ is finite. Is there an upper limit to the order of the group $\operatorname{Aut}(A)$ ?.
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