The Fundamental Matrix of the Simple Random Walk with Mixed Barriers

Yao Elikem Ayekple¹, Derrick Asamoah Owusu¹, Nana Kena Frempong¹ & Prince Kwaku Fefemwole¹

¹ Department Of Mathematics, KNUST, Ghana

Correspondence: Yao Elikem Ayekple, Department Of Mathematics, College of Science KNUST; Ghana. E-mail: je-gyaoo@gmail.com

Received: September 28, 2016	Accepted: November 3, 2016	Online Published: November 25, 2016			
doi:10.5539/jmr.v8n6p128	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v8n6p128				

Abstract

The simple random walk with mixed barriers at state 0 and state *n* defined on non-negative integers has transition matrix *P* with transition probabilities p_{ij} . Matrix *Q* is obtained from matrix *P* when rows and columns at state 0 and state *n* are deleted. The fundamental matrix *B* is the inverse of the matrix A = I - Q, where *I* is an identity matrix. The expected reflecting and absorbing time and reflecting and absorbing probabilities can be easily deduced once *B* is known. The fundamental matrix can thus be used to calculate the expected times and probabilities of NCD's.

Keywords: simple random walk, reflecting barriers, fundamental matrix, reflecting and absorbing times, reflecting and absorbing probabilities, No claims discounts, mixed barriers

This paper is as a result of motivation from the work of Gunther[1].Gunther's work is based on absorbing barriers of simple random walk rather than a general random walk as stated and this paper is based on mixed barriers of the simple random walk.

The process by which randomly-moving objects wander away from where they started is a random walk. It describes the movements or changes in an object which follows no discernible pattern. Random walk is used in many fields including psychology, physics, chemistry and actuarial science.

Simple random walks with mixed barriers can be used in insurance to compute probabilities and expected times of No-Claim Discounts (NCD's).

NCD refer to a reduction in the premiums of an insurance policy because no claims were made on it.

2. Simple Random Walk with Mixed Barriers

A mixed barrier is a value *d* such that $P(X_{n+1} = d|X_n = d) = \alpha$ and $P(X_{n+1} = d + 1|X_n = d) = 1 - \alpha$ where $\alpha \in [0, 1]$. In other words, once state *d* is reached, the random walk remains in this state with probability α or moves to the neighbouring state d + 1 with probability $1 - \alpha$ i.e. it is an absorbing barrier with probability α and a reflecting barrier with probability $1 - \alpha$

2.1 Notation

The simple random walk with mixed barriers at 0 and *n* defined on non-negative integers has transition probabilities $p_{oj} = \delta_{0j}, p_{nj-2} = \delta_{nj-2}, p_{i,i-1} = p_i, p_{i,i+1} = q_{i+1}$ and $p_{ii} = r_i$ where $p_i + q_{i+1} + r_i = 1, r_0 + q_1 = 1$ and $p_n + q_n = 1$ where $i = 0, 1, 2, \ldots, n$ and $j = 2, 3, \ldots, n$. If A is an $m \times n$ matrix, then $A(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h | \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k)$ indicate the $(m-h) \times (n-k)$ submatrix of A when rows $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h$ and columns β_1, \ldots, β_k of A are deleted whereas $A[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_h | \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_k]$ represents the $h \times k$ submatrix of A whose (i, j) entry is a_{α_i,β_j} . If $\alpha_i = \beta_i$ for $i = 1, \ldots, k$ then notations $A(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k)$ and $A[\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_k]$ will be used respectively. In general, A^{-1} is the inverse of a regular square matrix A with detA as its determinant and I as an identity matrix.

2.2 Stochastic Matrix

The simple random walk with mixed barriers is a time homogeneous Markov chain $(X_k : k \ge 0)$ with state space

 $\{0, 1, \ldots, n\}$ and transition matrix

	$(r_0$	q_1	0	0	0	0			0)
	p_1	r_1	q_2	0	0	0			0
	0	p_2	r_2	q_3	0	0			0
	0	0	p_3	r_3	q_4	0 0 0 0			~
P =	0	0	0	p_4	r_4	q_5			:
	:	·	·	·	۰.	$\cdot \cdot \cdot \cdot p_{n-2}$	·	·	:
	0					p_{n-2}	r_{n-2}	q_{n-1}	0
	0	•••		• • •	• • •	• • •	p_{n-1}	r_{n-1}	q_n
	0)	0	0	0	0	0	0	p_n	r_n)

such that $P{X_{k+1} = j | X_k = i} = p_{ij}$ for $i, j = 0, 1, \dots, n$. If Q = P(O, n) then Q results from P when rows 0 and n and columns 0 and n are deleted such that A = I - Q. $B = A^{-1}$ is now the fundamental matrix affiliated with P.

3. Determining The Fundamental Matrix

The inverse of a regular square matrix can be obtained using different methods. The adjugate of A = (I - Q) is used to determine its inverse in this paper.

If $A : d \times d$ is a regular square matrix, the adjugate of matrix A; adj(A) is the matrix whose (ij)th entry is $(-1)^{j+i}detA(j|i)$. With $B = A^{-1} = adj(A)/det(A)$, we obtain

$$b_{ij} = (-1)^{i+j} \frac{detA(j|i)}{detA}$$

for $i, j = 1, 2, \dots, d$. An elementary expression for the determinant of matrix A is needed in order to proceed.

Lemma *P* is the transition matrix of the simple random walk with mixed barriers at state 0 and state n. We let Q = P(0, n) and define $A_d = I - Q$ with d = n - 1. det A_d is given by

$$detA_d = \sum_{k=0}^d \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-k} p_i\right) \left(\prod_{j=d-k+2}^{d+1} q_j\right)$$

 $\forall d \geq 1$

Proof. If n = 2, then d = 1 with $Q = P(0, 2) = [r_1]$

$$A_1 = I - Q = 1 - [r_1] = p_1 + q_2$$
 and $detA_d = p_1 + q_2$

Since

$$detA_1 = \sum_{k=0}^{1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{1-k} p_i \right) \left(\prod_{j=3-k}^{2} q_j \right) = p_1 + q_2$$

This proposition thus holds for d = 1

$$If \ n = 3, d = 2. \qquad Q = P(0,3) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & q_2 \\ p_2 & r_2 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A_2 = I - Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & q_2 \\ p_2 & r_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r_1 & -q_2 \\ -p_2 & 1 - r_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + q_2 & -q_2 \\ -p_2 & p_2 + q_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$detA_2 = det \begin{pmatrix} p_1 + q_2 & -q_2 \\ -p_2 & p_2 + q_3 \end{pmatrix} = p_1p_2 + p_1q_3 + q_2q_3$$

Since

$$detA_2 = \sum_{k=0}^{2} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{2-k} p_i \right) \left(\prod_{j=4-k}^{3} q_j \right) = p_1 p_2 + p_1 q_3 + q_2 q_3$$

, the proposition also holds for d = 2 .

$$If n = 4, d = 3. \quad Q = P(0, 4) = \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & q_2 & 0 \\ p_2 & r_2 & q_3 \\ 0 & p_3 & r_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$A_3 = I - Q = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} - \begin{pmatrix} r_1 & q_2 & 0 \\ p_2 & r_2 & q_3 \\ 0 & p_3 & r_3 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r_1 & -q_2 & 0 \\ -p_2 & 1 - r_2 & -q_3 \\ 0 & -p_3 & 1 - r_3 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$detA_3 = det \begin{pmatrix} 1 - r_1 & -q_2 & 0 \\ -p_2 & 1 - r_2 & -q_3 \\ 0 & -p_3 & 1 - r_3 \end{pmatrix} = p_1 p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_2 q_4 + p_1 q_3 q_4 + q_2 q_3 q_4$$

Since

$$detA_3 = \sum_{k=0}^{3} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{3-k} p_i\right) \left(\prod_{j=5-k}^{4} q_j\right) = p_1 p_2 p_3 + p_1 p_2 q_4 + p_1 q_3 q_4 + q_2 q_3 q_4$$

The proposition suffices for d = 1, d = 2 & d = 3. But assuming the hypothesis is true for d - 1 and $d \ge 2$, det A_{d+1} can be formulated in terms of A_{d-1} and A_d such that:

$$detA_{d+1} = det \begin{pmatrix} A_d & -q_{d+1} \\ -p_{d+1} & p_{d+1} + q_{d+2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= det \begin{pmatrix} A_{d-1} & -q_d \\ -p_d & p_d + q_{d+1} & -q_{d+1} \\ -p_{d+1} & p_{d+1} + q_{d+2} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (p_{d+1} + q_{d+2})detA_d + p_{d+1}det \begin{pmatrix} A_{d-1} & \dots & 0 \\ & \vdots \\ -p_d & -q_{d+1} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= (p_{d+1} + q_{d+2})detA_d - p_{d+1}q_{d+1}detA_{d-1}$$

$$= q_{d+2}detA_d + p_{d+1}(detA_d - q_{d+1}detA_{d-1})$$
(1)

Thus

$$detA_{d+1} = q_{d+2}detA_d + p_{d+1}(detA_d - q_{d+1}detA_{d-1})$$
(2)

By hypothesis,

$$q_{d+2}detA_d = q_{d+2} \sum_{k=0}^d \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-k} p_i\right) \left(\prod_{j=d-k+2}^{d+1} q_j\right) = \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{(d+1)-k} p_i\right) \left(\prod_{j=(d+1)-k+2}^{d+2} q_j\right)$$
(3)

$$q_{d+1}detA_{d-1} = \sum_{k=1}^{d} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-k} p_i\right) \left(\prod_{j=d-k+2}^{d+1} q_j\right)$$
(4)

$$p_{d+1}(detA_d - q_{d+1}detA_{d-1}) = p_{d+1} \left[\sum_{k=0}^d \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-k} p_i \right) \left(\prod_{j=d-k+2}^{d+1} q_j \right) - \sum_{k=1}^d \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d-k} p_i \right) \left(\prod_{j=d-k+2}^{d+1} q_j \right) \right]$$

$$= p_{d+1} \prod_{i=1}^d p_i = \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} p_i$$
 (5)

Substituting (3), (4) and (5) into (2)

$$detA_{d+1} = \sum_{k=1}^{d+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{(d+1)-k} p_i \right) \left(\prod_{j=(d+1)-k+2}^{d+2} q_j \right) + \prod_{i=1}^{d+1} p_i$$

$$= \sum_{k=0}^{d+1} \left(\prod_{i=1}^{d+1-k} p_i \right) \left(\prod_{j=(d+1)-k+2}^{d+2} q_j \right)$$
(6)

which is the result wanted.

4. Elementary Expressions For A(j|i)

An elementary expression is needed for the determinant of A(j|i). Submatrix A(j|i) results after deleting row j and column i from the tridiagonal matrix A. If i < j, we obtain a submatrix in the form of a lower triangular block, upper triangular block form when i > j and diagonal block form when i = j. Every block is a square submatrix of A and the determinant of the block matrices is the product of the diagonal blocks. The following expressions is derived when determining determinants of these block matrices.

$$i)detA(j|i) = det(A[1,...,i-1])det(A[i,...,j-1|i+1,...,j])det(A[j+1,...,d])$$

if $1 \le i < j \le d = n - 1$,

$$ii)detA(j|i) = det(A[1, ..., j-1])det(A[j+1, ..., i|j, ..., i-1])det(A[i+1, ..., d])$$

if $1 \le j < i \le d$ and

$$iii)detA(j|i) = det(A[1,...,i-1])det(A[j+1,...,d])$$

if $1 \le i = j \le d$. As a convention, if u > v, then det(A[u, ..., v]) = 1

4.1 Determinants of Block Matrices

Given a matrix of form $A[1, \ldots, \ell]$, elementary expressions can be obtained from the Lemma. The Lemma can also be used to derive elementary expressions for the determinants of matrices of type $A[\ell + 1, \ldots, d]$ and $A[1, \ldots, d-\ell]$. We must also consider that the indices have the offset ℓ . We obtain

$$A[\ell + 1, \dots, d] = \sum_{k=0}^{d-\ell} \left(\prod_{u=\ell+1}^{d+1-k} p_u \right) \left(\prod_{j=d-k+2}^{d+1} q_v \right)$$

If $1 \le i < j \le d$ then det(A[i, ..., j - 1|i + 1, ..., j]) dwindles to a lower triangular matrix and when $1 \le j < i \le d$ then det(A[j + 1, ..., i|j, ..., i - 1]) is an upper triangular matrix. We can further say

$$det(A[i, ..., j-1|i+1, ..., j]) = (-1)^{j-i} \prod_{k=i+1}^{j} q_k \qquad (1 \le i < j \le d)$$

and

$$det(A[j+1,...,i|j,...,i-1]) = (-1)^{i-j} \prod_{k=j+1}^{k} p_k \qquad (1 \le j < i \le d)$$

4.2 The Fundamental Matrix B

Theorem 1 For the simple random walk with mixed barriers at state 0 and state n, $B : (n-1) \times (n-1)$ is the fundamental matrix . b_{ij} are the entries of the matrix B and are obtained by

$$b_{ij} = \frac{\left[\sum_{k=0}^{i-1} \left(\prod_{u=1}^{i-k-1} p_u\right) \left(\prod_{v=i-k+1}^{i} q_v\right)\right] \times \left[\prod_{k=i+1}^{j} q_k\right] \times \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-j-1} \left(\prod_{u=j+1}^{n-k-1} p_u\right) \left(\prod_{v=n-k+1}^{n} q_v\right)\right]}{\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{u=1}^{n-k-1} p_u\right) \left(\prod_{v=n-k+1}^{n} q_v\right)\right]}$$

for $1 \le i \le j \le d = n - 1$.

$$b_{ij} = \frac{\left[\sum_{k=0}^{j-1} \left(\prod_{u=1}^{j-k-1} p_u\right) \left(\prod_{v=j-k+1}^{j} q_v\right)\right] \times \left[\prod_{k=i}^{j+1} p_k\right] \times \left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-i-1} \left(\prod_{u=i+1}^{n-k-1} p_u\right) \left(\prod_{v=n-k+1}^{n} q_v\right)\right]}{\left[\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \left(\prod_{u=1}^{n-k-1} p_u\right) \left(\prod_{v=n-k+1}^{n} q_v\right)\right]}$$

for $d \ge i > j \le 1$

From the Theorem , we can obtain the reflecting and absorbing time and probability through

$$E[T|X_0 = i] = \sum_{j=1}^{n-1} b_{ij}$$
 and $P\{X_T = n|X_0 = i\} = b_{i,n-1}.q_{n-1}$

respectively with $i = 1, \ldots, n-1$.

5. Conclusion

The elementary matrix theory is used to determine the closed form expressions for the expected time and probabilities of a simple random walk with mixed barriers. If only few entries of the fundamental matrix must be determined when the reflecting and absorbing time and reflecting and absorbing probabilities for a specified initial state is of interest, this method will be very useful. It can thus be used to estimate the expected times and probabilities of the lowest and highest claims on NCDs.

References

- Feller, W. (1950). An Introduction to Probability Theory a nd its Applications, Third Edition. John Wiley and Sons, New York, USA, 1953.
- Lawler, F. G., & Limic, V. (2010). *Random Walk: A Modern Introduction*.Cambridge University Press New York, NY,USA.
- Revesz, P. (2013). *Random Walk in Random and Non-Random Environments*. Third Edition. World Scientific Pub. Co. ISBN 978-981-4447-50-8. http://dx.doi.org/10.1142/8678
- Rudolph, G. (1999). *The Fundamental Matrix Of The General Random Walk With Absorbing Boundaries*, Technical Report. Collaborative Research Center 'Computational Intelligence' C1-75, University of Dortmund.
- Woess, W. (2000). Random Walks on Infinite Graphs and Groups. Cambridge tracts in mathematics 138, Cambridge University Press. ISBN 0-521-55292-3. http://dx.doi.org/10.1017/cbo9780511470967

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).