# The Weight and Nonlinearity of 2-rotation Symmetric Cubic Boolean Function

Hongli Liu<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, China

Correspondence: Hongli Liu, Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics, 18 XueYuan Street, Xiasha Higher Education Zone, Hangzhou 310018, China. Tel: 86-137-5827-3108. E-mail: ooolhl@163.com

Received: March 25, 2015	Accepted: April 8, 2015	Online Published: May 23, 2015	
doi:10.5539/jmr.v7n2p187	URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v7n2p187		

The research is financed by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No:11302188), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. LY14F010015).

## Abstract

The conceptions of  $\chi$ -value and K-rotation symmetric Boolean functions are introduced by Cusick. K-rotation symmetric Boolean functions are a special rotation symmetric functions, which are invariant under the k - th power of  $\rho$ . In this paper, we discuss cubic 2-value 2-rotation symmetric Boolean function with 2n variables, which denoted by  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$ . We give the recursive formula of weight of  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$ , and prove that the weight of  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$  is the same as its nonlinearity.

**Keywords:** Rotation symmetric Boolean function, Nonlinearity, Weight,  $\chi$ -value

#### 1. Introduction

Boolean functions have many applications in coding theory and cryptography. Rotation symmetric Boolean functions (RSBF) as invariant Boolean functions under rotation transform have been widely studied. Higher nonlinearity is a very important character of Boolean functions which are widely used in coding theory and S-box design. Rotation symmetric Boolean functions as a subclass of K – rotation symmetric have not higher nonlinearity. So, K – rotation symmetric Boolean functions which are the generalization of notion of rotation symmetric function were proposed by Selçk Kavut. The applications of the k – rotation symmetric( $k \ge 2$ ) to coding theory and S-box design can be found in some papers. Cusick gave the definition of cubic 2 – rotation symmetric Boolean functions and used the notation  $\{2 - (1, r, s)_{2n} : 2n \ge s\}$  as the cubic monomial 2-rotation symmetric, and proved that the sequence of Hamming weights of  $\{2 - (1, r, s)_{2n} : 2n \ge s\}$  satisfies a linear recursion with integer coefficients. In this paper, we will give the recursion formula of Hamming weight of  $\{2 - (1, 2, 3)_{2n}(2n \ge 10)\}$  and prove that the nonlinearity of  $\{2 - (1, 2, 3)_{2n}(2n \ge 10)\}$  is the same as its weight.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $\mathbb{F}_2 = \{0, 1\}$  be the binary field,  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  be the *n*-dimensional vector space of over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . A Boolean function in *n* variables can be defined as a map from  $\mathbb{F}_2^n$  into  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , denoted by  $f^n(x^n)$ , or  $f^n$  in brief, where  $x^n = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ . Every Boolean function  $f^n$  has a unique polynomial representation (usually called the algebraic normal form (ANF)), and the *degree* of  $f^n$  is the degree of this polynomial(deg $(f^n)$  in brief). If every term in the algebraic normal form of  $f^n$  has the same degree, then the function is said to be *homogeneous*. A Boolean function  $f^n$  is called *af fine*, if  $def(f^n) = 1$ . If  $f^n$  is affine and homogeneous(i.e.the constant term is 0),  $f^n$  is said to be *linear*. The *truth table* of  $f^n$  is defined to be the binary sequence  $v_1, v_2, \dots, v_{2^n}$ , where the bits  $v_1 = f((0, 0, \dots, 0)), v_2 = f((0, 0, \dots, 1)), \dots, v_{2^n} = f((1, 1, \dots, 1))$ . The *Hamming weight* of a Boolean function  $f^n$  is defined as the number of nonzero coordinates in its truth table, denoted by  $wt(f^n)$ . The *Hamming distance*  $d(f^n, g^n)$  between two Boolean functions  $f^n$  and  $g^n$  is defined as the number of their different coordinates, which equals the Hamming weight of their sum f + g, where + denotes the addition on  $\mathbb{F}_2$ . Two Boolean functions  $f^n$  and  $g^n$  in n variables are said to be *af fine equivalent* if there exists an invertible matrix A with entries in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  and  $\mathbf{b} \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  such that  $f^n(\mathbf{x}) = g^n(A\mathbf{x} + \mathbf{b})$ .

**Definition 1** The nonlinearity  $NL(f^n)$  of a Boolean function  $f^n(x^n)$  is defined as

$$VL(f^n) = Min\{d(f^n(x^n), c^n \cdot x^n) | c^n \in \mathbb{F}_2^n\},\$$

where  $\cdot$  is the vector dot product.

It is easy to see that if  $f^n$  and  $g^n$  are affine equivalent, then  $wt(f^n) = wt(g^n)$  and  $NL(f^n) = NL(g^n)$ . We say that the weight and nonlinearity are *af fine invariants*.

**Definition 2** For a Boolean function  $f^n(x^n)$ . The Fourier transform of  $f^n$  at  $c^n \in \mathbb{F}_2^n$  is defined as

$$\widehat{f^n}(c^n) = \sum_{x^n \in F_2^n} (-1)^{f^n(x^n) + c^n \cdot x^n}.$$

**Definition 3** A Boolean function  $f^n(x^n)$  is called rotation symmetric if

$$f^{n}(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) = f^{n}(\rho(x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n})), \text{ for all } (x_{1}, x_{2}, \dots, x_{n}) \in \mathbb{F}_{2}^{n},$$

where  $\rho(x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}, x_n) = (x_n, x_1, x_2, \dots, x_{n-1}).$ 

If a monomial  $x_1x_2x_3$  appears in a rotation symmetric Boolean function as a term then all monomials in the orbit of  $x_1x_2x_3$  should appear in the function as terms. A rotation symmetric function is said to be *monomial rotation symmetric*(*MRS*) if it is generated by applying powers of  $\rho$  to a single monomial. We use the notation  $(1, r, s)_n$ for the cubic MRS function in *n* variables generated by the monomial  $x_1x_rx_s$ . A Boolean function is said to be *k* – *rotation symmetric* if it is invariant under the *k* – *th* power of  $\rho$  but not under any smaller power. A Boolean function is said to be *monomial k* – *rotation symmetric* if it is generated by applying powers of  $\rho^k$  to a single monomial. For brevity, we refer to these functions as k - functions. In this paper, the cubic 2-functions shall be discussed. We use the notation  $2 - (1, r, s)_{2n}$  for the cubic 2-function in 2n variables generated by the monomial  $x_1x_rx_s$ . If we assume  $r < s \le 2n$  then the formula

$$2 - (1, r, s)_{2n} = x_1 x_r x_s + x_3 x_{r+2} x_{s+2} + \dots + x_{2n-1} x_{r-2} x_{s-2}.$$

is called a *standard form* of the above 2-function.

A monomial [a, b, c] in a cubic 2-function is said to be *pure form*, if a, b, c are all even or odd. A monomial that is not pure form is said to be *mixed form*. It is obvious that every monomial of  $2 - (1, r, s)_{2n}$  has the same form. A 2-function is said to be *mixed form* 2 - function if it's terms are mixed form. Otherwise, it is said to be *pure form* 2 - function.

**Definition 4**  $(\chi - value)$  Let  $2 - (1, r, s)_{2n}$  be a mixed form 2-function with monomial [a, b, c](a < b < c). Assume a is even(odd) and b, c are odd(even). Then the  $\chi$  - value for 2 - (1, r, s) is defined as  $\chi = c - b$ .

**Theorem 1** Two 2-functions  $2 - (1, r, s)_{2n}$  and  $2 - (1, p, q)_{2n}$  are affine equivalent by some permutation for all n if and only if their  $\chi$ -values are equal.

Theorem 1 tells us that all 2-values functions with 2n variables have the same weights and nonlinearity. So, in the following section, we will discuss the weight and nonlinearity of 2-values function  $2 - (1, 2, 3)_{2n}$ .

# **3.** The Weight of 2-values Function $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$

In this section, we shall study the recursive formula for weight of  $2 - (1, 2, 3)_{2n}$ . Firstly, we give the standard form of 2-values function  $2 - (1, 2, 3)_{2n}$ , denoted by  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$  or  $F^{2n}$ .

$$F^{2n}(x^{2n}) = x_1 x_2 x_3 + x_3 x_4 x_5 + \dots + x_{2n-3} x_{2n-2} x_{2n-1} + x_{2n-1} x_{2n} x_1.$$

If *T* is a string , then  $\overline{T}$  denotes the complemented string with 0 and 1 interchanged. If *X* is a 4-bit block or a string of blocks, then  $(X)_s$  or  $X_s$  is the string obtained by concatenation of *s* copies of *X*. The concatenation of two strings *u*, *v* will be denoted by *uv* or u||v. Now we define two sets of 4-bit strings

$$T_1 = \{A = 0, 0, 1, 1; A = 1, 1, 0, 0; B = 0, 1, 0, 1; B = 1, 0, 1, 0; C = 0, 1, 1, 0; C = 1, 0, 0, 1; D = 0, 0, 0, 0; D = 1, 1, 1, 1\}$$
 and

 $T_2 = \{U = 1, 0, 0, 0; \bar{U} = 0, 1, 1, 1; V = 0, 0, 0, 1; \bar{V} = 1, 1, 1, 0; X = 0, 1, 0, 0; \bar{X} = 1, 0, 1, 1; Y = 0, 0, 1, 0; \bar{Y} = 1, 1, 0, 1\}.$ 

We give the following result about the truth tables of monomials for  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$ .

**Lemma 2** The truth table of any monomial for  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$  is  $x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2} = (D_{2^{2n-i-2}}(D_{2^{2n-i-3}}(D_{2^{2n-i-4}}\overline{D}_{2^{n-i-4}})))_{2^{i-1}} \quad 1 \le i \le 2n-5$ , and *i* is odd.  $x_{2n-3} x_{2n-2} x_{2n-1} = (DDDA)_{2^{2n-4}}$ .

 $x_{2n-1}x_{2n}x_1 = D_{2^{2n-3}}V_{2^{2n-3}}.$ 

From Lemma 2, we give the following algorithm as the output of truth table for  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$ . Algorithm 1

Step 5 :  $h_1^5 \leftarrow DDDADDDA, h_2^5 \leftarrow VVVYVVVY.$ 

Step  $s: h_i^s \leftarrow (h_i^{s-2} || \tilde{h}_i^{s-2})_2, i = 1, 2$ , for odd s.

*Output* :  $H_1 \leftarrow h_1^{2n-1}$ ,  $H_2 \leftarrow \tilde{h}_2^{2n-1}$ , where  $\tilde{h}_i^s$  is the string obtained from  $h_i^s$  by complementing its last  $2^{s-2}$  bits. Write  $F^{2n} = H_1 \parallel H_2$ .

From the above algorithm, we give the recursive relationship of weight for  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$ .

**Theorem 3** The weight of Boolean function  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$  satisfy

$$wt(F^{2n}) = 2wt(F^{2n-2}) + 4wt(F^{2n-4}) + 2^{2n-3}.$$

## Proof. Using Algorithm 1, we have

 $wt(F^{2n}(x^{2n})) = wt(H_1) + wt(H_2) = wt(h_1^{2n-1}) + wt(\tilde{h}_2^{2n-1})$ and
(1)

$$\begin{split} h_1^{2n-1} &= h_1^{2n-3} \tilde{h}_1^{2n-3} h_1^{2n-3} \tilde{h}_1^{2n-3} & \tilde{h}_1^{2n-1} &= h_1^{2n-3} \tilde{h}_1^{2n-3} h_1^{2n-3} \overline{\tilde{h}_1^{2n-3}} \\ h_1^{2n-3} &= h_1^{2n-5} \tilde{h}_1^{2n-5} h_1^{2n-5} \tilde{h}_1^{2n-5} & \tilde{h}_1^{2n-5} & \tilde{h}_1^{2n-5} \overline{\tilde{h}_1^{2n-5}} \\ \text{Therefore} \end{split}$$

Therefore,

$$wt(h_1^{2n-1}) = 2(wt(h_1^{2n-3}) + wt(\tilde{h}_1^{2n-3}))$$
  

$$= 2(4wt(h_1^{2n-5}) + 2wt(\tilde{h}_1^{2n-5}) + 2^{2n-5})$$
  

$$= 2(2wt(h_1^{2n-5}) + 2(wt(h_1^{2n-5}) + wt(\tilde{h}_1^{2n-5})) + 2^{2n-5})$$
  

$$= 2(2wt(h_1^{2n-5}) + wt(h_1^{2n-3}) + 2^{2n-5})$$
  

$$= 4wt(h_1^{2n-5}) + 2wt(h_1^{2n-3}) + 2^{2n-4}.$$
(2)

Similarly, we have

$$wt(\tilde{h}_1^{2n-1}) = 4wt(\tilde{h}_1^{2n-5}) + 2wt(\tilde{h}_1^{2n-3}) + 2^{2n-4}.$$
(3)

From (1), (2) and (3), we have

$$\begin{split} wt(F^{2n}(x^{2n})) &= wt(h_1^{2n-1}) + wt(\tilde{h}_2^{2n-1}) \\ &= 4wt(h_1^{2n-5}) + 2wt(h_1^{2n-3}) + 2^{2n-4} + 4wt(\tilde{h}_2^{2n-5}) + 2wt(\tilde{h}_2^{2n-3}) + 2^{2n-4} \\ &= 4wt(F^{2n-4}) + 2wt(F^{2n-2}) + 2^{2n-3}. \end{split}$$

# **4. The Nonliearity of** $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$

Cusick and Stănică conjectured that the nonlinearity of cubic 1-values function  $F^n(x^n)$  is the same as the weight, and Zhang et al. proved the conjecture. In this section, we shall prove the same result for  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$ , that is,

$$wt(F^{2n}) = NL(F^{2n}).$$
 (4)

By the definitions of Fourier transform and Hamming weight, we can easily deduce that

$$wt(F^{2n}(x^{2n})) = \frac{1}{2}(2^{2n} - \widehat{F^{2n}(0)}).$$

Therefore, we can restate (4) as

$$\widehat{F^{2n}(0)} = \operatorname{Max}\{|\widehat{F^{2n}(c^{2n})}||c^{2n} \in \mathbb{F}^{2n}\}.$$
(5)

On the other hand, the recursion formula of  $\widehat{F^{2n}(0)}$  can be obtained by applying the recursion formula of  $wt(F^{2n}(x^{2n}))$ .

$$\begin{split} \widehat{F}^{2n}(\widehat{0}) &= 2^{2n} - 2wt(F^{2n}) \\ &= 2^{2n} - 2 \cdot [2wt(F^{2n-2}) + 4wt(F^{2n-4}) + 2^{2n-3}] \\ &= 2[2^{2n-1} - 2wt(F^{2n-2}) - 4wt(F^{2n-4}) - 2^{2n-3}] \\ &= 2[2^{2n-2} - 2wt(F^{2n-2}) + 2 \cdot 2^{2n-4} - 4wt(F^{2n-4})] \\ &= 2[\widehat{F}^{2n-2}(\widehat{0}) + 2\widehat{F}^{2n-4}(\widehat{0})]. \end{split}$$

Before giving the proof of (5), we need some notation:

 $t_{2n-1} = \sum_{1 \le i \le 2n-3, i \text{ is odd }} x_i x_{i+1} x_{i+2},$   $f_1^{2n-1}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) = t_{2n-1},$   $f_2^{2n-1}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) = t_{2n-1} + x_1,$   $f_3^{2n-1}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) = t_{2n-1} + x_{2n-1},$   $f_4^{2n-1}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) = t_{2n-1} + x_{2n-1} + x_1,$   $f_5^{2n-1}(x_1, x_2, \cdots, x_{2n-1}) = t_{2n-1} + x_{2n-1} x_1.$ 

Firstly, we give the following recursive relations about  $\widehat{f_i^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})$ .

Lemma 4 For every 
$$c^{2n-1} = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}^{2n-1}$$
, we have  

$$\widehat{f_i^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) = (1 + (-1)^{c_{2n-1}} + (-1)^{c_{2n-2}})\widehat{f_i^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-2}+c_{2n-1}}\widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}), \quad i = 1, 2.$$

$$\widehat{f_i^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) = (1 - (-1)^{c_{2n-1}} + (-1)^{c_{2n-2}})\widehat{f_i^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) - (-1)^{c_{2n-2}+c_{2n-1}}\widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}), \quad i = 3, 4.$$

$$\widehat{f_5^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) = (1 + (-1)^{c_{2n-2}})\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-1}}\widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-2}+c_{2n-1}}\widehat{f_4^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}), \quad i = 3, 4.$$

where  $c^{2n-2}$  and  $c^{2n-3}$  are the first 2n - 2 and 2n - 3 bits of  $c^{2n-1}$ .

*proof* We prove the relation for i = 1, since the proof of the others are similar.

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f_{1}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) &= \sum_{x^{2n-1}:x_{2n-2}=0,x_{2n-1}=0} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-1}(x^{2n-1})+c^{2n-1}\cdot x^{2n-1}} + \sum_{x^{2n-1}:x_{2n-2}=0,x_{2n-1}=1} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-1}(x^{2n-1})+c^{2n-1}\cdot x^{2n-1}} \\ &+ \sum_{x^{2n-1}:x_{2n-2}=1,x_{2n-1}=0} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-1}(x^{2n-1})+c^{2n-1}\cdot x^{2n-1}} + \sum_{x^{2n-1}:x_{2n-2}=1,x_{2n-1}=1} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-1}(x^{2n-1})+c^{2n-1}\cdot x^{2n-1}} \\ &= \sum_{x^{2n-3}} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-3}(x^{2n-3})+c^{2n-3}\cdot x^{2n-3}} + \sum_{x^{2n-3}} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-3}(x^{2n-3})+c^{2n-3}\cdot x^{2n-3}+c_{2n-1}} \\ &+ \sum_{x^{2n-3}} (-1)^{f_{1}^{2n-3}(x^{2n-3})+c^{2n-3}\cdot x^{2n-3}+c_{2n-2}} + \sum_{x^{2n-3}} (-1)^{f_{3}^{2n-3}(x^{2n-3})+c^{2n-3}\cdot x^{2n-3}+c_{2n-2}} \\ &= \widehat{f_{1}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-3}\cdot x^{2n-3}+c_{2n-2}} + \sum_{x^{2n-3}} (-1)^{f_{3}^{2n-3}(x^{2n-3})+c^{2n-3}\cdot x^{2n-3}+c_{2n-2}} \\ &= \widehat{f_{1}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-1}}\widehat{f_{1}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-2}}\widehat{f_{1}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-1}+c_{2n-2}}\widehat{f_{3}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) \\ &= (1+(-1)^{c_{2n-1}}+(-1)^{c_{2n-2}})\widehat{f_{1}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + (-1)^{c_{2n-1}+c_{2n-2}}\widehat{f_{3}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}). \end{split}$$

From lemma 4, we can easily deduce the following corollary.

**Corolary 5** For every 
$$c^{2n-1} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-1}$$
, we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= 3\widehat{f_{i}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= \widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} - \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 3, 4 \end{split} if c_{2n-2} = 0, c_{2n-1} = 0; \\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= \widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} - \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= 3\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= 3\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= \widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} - \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= -\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= -\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= -\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 1, 2\\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= -\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} + \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 3, 4 \end{aligned} if c_{2n-2} = 1, c_{2n-1} = 0; \\ \widehat{f_{i}^{2n-1}(c^{2n-1})} &= -\widehat{f_{i-2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} - \widehat{f_{i+2}^{2n-3}(c^{2n-3})} & i = 3, 4 \end{aligned}$$

Table 1. The values of  $NL(F^{2n})$ .

2n = 8	2n = 10	2 <i>n</i> = 12	2n = 14	2n = 16	2 <i>n</i> = 18
72	336	1472	6336	26752	111616

Table 2. The values of  $\widehat{F^{2n}(0)}$ .

2n = 8	2n = 10	2 <i>n</i> = 12	2n = 14	2 <i>n</i> = 16	2 <i>n</i> = 18
112	352	1152	3712	12032	38912

The following lemma give the properties of  $\widehat{F^{2n}}(0)$ .

**Lemma 6**  $\widehat{F^{2n}}(0)$  satisfies the relationship:  $\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) > 0$  and  $2\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) < \widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0)$ .

*proof* We prove it by math induction. From Table 2, we can see the two results are true for 2n = 6, 8, 10, 12, 14, 16, 18. Assume that, for an arbitrary 2n, the result is also true. Let's derive the correctness of conclusion for 2n + 2 from this assumption.

From (6) and the assumption of induction, we have

$$2\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) = 2(2\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) + 4\widehat{F^{2n-2}}(0)) < 2\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) + 4\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) = \widehat{F^{2n+4}}(0)$$
  
and  
$$\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) = 2\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) + 4\widehat{F^{2n-2}}(0) > 0.$$

Which exactly means that the result holds for 2n + 2.

**Lemma 7** Let  $c^{2n-1} = (c_1, c_2, \cdots, c_{2n-1}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n-1}$ . If  $c_1 = 1$ , then

$$|\widehat{f_i^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| < \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{2n}}(0), (i=1,5), \ |\widehat{f_i^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| < \frac{1}{4}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0), (i=2,3,4).$$

$$|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| < \frac{1}{10}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0), \ |\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| < \frac{3}{40}\widehat{F^{2n+4}}(0).$$

proof

When  $c_{2n-2} = 0$ ,  $c_{2n-1} = 0$ , we have

$$\begin{split} \widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) &= 3\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + \widehat{f_3^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) \\ \widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) &= 3\widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + \widehat{f_4^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) \\ \widehat{f_3^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) &= \widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) - \widehat{f_3^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) \\ \widehat{f_4^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) &= \widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + \widehat{f_4^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) \\ \widehat{f_5^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) &= 2\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + \widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}) + \widehat{f_4^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3}). \end{split}$$

We prove it by math induction. The maximum values of  $|\widehat{f_i^{11}}(c^{11})|(i = 1, \dots, 5)$  can be obtained with the help of Matlab soft, which are 352, 672, 672, 672, 352. From Talbe 2, we can see  $\widehat{f_i^{11}}(c^{11})(i = 1, 5) < \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{12}}(0), \widehat{f_i^{11}}(c^{11})(i = 2, 2, 4) + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{12}}(0), \widehat{f_i^{11}}(c^{11})(i = 3, 5) < \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{12}}(0)$ 

$$2,3,4) < \frac{1}{4}\widehat{F^{14}}(0), \widehat{f_1^{11}}(c^{11}) < \frac{1}{10}\widehat{F^{14}}(0), \text{ and } \widehat{f_2^{11}}(c^{11}) < \frac{3}{40}\widehat{F^{16}}(0)$$

Suppose the results are true for  $2n - 1 (n \ge 6)$ , we prove that it is true for 2n + 1.

$$\begin{split} |\widehat{f_1^{2n+1}}(c^{2n+1})| &= |3\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_3^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_3^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &< \frac{1}{2}(2\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) + 4\widehat{F^{2n-2}}(0))(<\frac{1}{10}(2\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) + 4\widehat{F^{2n}}(0))) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0)(=\frac{1}{10}\widehat{F^{2n+4}}(0)). \\ &\widehat{f_2^{2n+1}}(c^{2n+1})| = |3\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_4^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + 4\widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_2^{2n+1}}(c^{2n+1})| = |\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - \widehat{f_3^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_2^{2n+1}}(c^{2n-1}) - (\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_1^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_2^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| = |\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - \widehat{f_4^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| = |\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| - \widehat{f_4^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| - (\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + \widehat{f_4^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| - (\widehat{f_2^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + \widehat{f_4^{2n-1}}(c^$$

$$\begin{split} &= |2\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - 4\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &< \frac{1}{4}(2\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) + 4\widehat{F^{2n}}(0)) \\ &= \frac{1}{4}\widehat{F^{2n+4}}(0). \\ |\widehat{f_{5}^{2n+1}}(c^{2n+1})| &= |2\widehat{f_{1}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_{2}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_{4}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &= |2\widehat{f_{1}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &\leq 2|\widehat{f_{1}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + 4|\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-3}}(c^{2n-3})| \\ &< \frac{1}{5}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) + \frac{3}{10}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0). \\ \\ \text{When } c_{2n-2} &= 1, c_{2n-1} = 0, \text{ we have} \\ &|\widehat{f_{5}^{2n+1}}(c^{2n-1})| + |\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) - \widehat{f_{4}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &\leq |\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + |\widehat{f_{4}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &\leq |\widehat{f_{2}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + |\widehat{f_{4}^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \\ &< \frac{1}{4}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) + \frac{1}{4}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0) \\ &= \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{2n+2}}(0). \end{split}$$

The others cases are similar.

From definition 3,  $\widehat{F^{2n}}(c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n}) = \widehat{F^{2n}}(c_{2n}, c_1, \dots, c_{2n-1})$ , for any  $c^{2n} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n}) \in \mathbb{F}_2^{2n}$ . Without lost of generality, if  $c^{2n} \neq 0$ , we can assume that  $c_1 \neq 0$ . Using lemma 4, we have the following theorem.

**Theorem 8** For all  $c^{2n} = (c_1, c_2, \dots, c_{2n}) \neq 0$  and  $n \ge 6$ , we have

$$|\widehat{F^{2n}}(c^{2n})| < \widehat{F^{2n}}(0).$$

*proof* We factor  $\widehat{F^{2n}}(c^{2n})$  into two sub-functions.

$$|\widehat{F^{2n}}(c^{2n})| = |\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1}) + \widehat{f_5^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| \le |\widehat{f_1^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| + |\widehat{f_5^{2n-1}}(c^{2n-1})| < \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) + \frac{1}{2}\widehat{F^{2n}}(0) = \widehat{F^{2n}}(0).$$

Theorem 8 tells us that the nonlinearity of  $F^{2n}(x^{2n})$  is the same as its weight.

## 4. Conclusion

This paper gives the recursive formula of weight about 2-values cubic Boolean functions with 2n variables, and proves that the weight of  $F^{2n}$  is the same as its nonlinearity. The recursive formula of weight about 2t-values(t=2,4,...) cubic Boolean functions can be discussed and the relationship of weight between 2-value and 2t-value functions can also be studied.

## Acknowledgements

The work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China(Grant No:11302188), Zhejiang Provincial Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. LY14F010015).

# References

- Cusick, T. W., & Johns, B. (2014). *Theory of 2-rotation symmetric cubic Boolean functions: Des. Codes Cryptogr.* http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s10623-014-9964-2
- Cusick, T. W., & Padgett, D. (2012). A recursive formula for weights of Boolean rotation symmetric functions: Discrete Applied Mathematics 160, 391-397. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.dam.2011.11.006

Cusick, T. W., & Stanica, P. (2009). Cryptographic Boolean functions: Academic Press. San Didgo.

- Kavut, S. (2012). Results on rotation-symmetric S-boxes. *Information Sciences*, 201, 93-113. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ins.2012.02.030
- Kavut, S., & Ycel, M. D. (2010). 9-variable Boolean functions with nonlinearity 242 in the generalized rotation symmetric class. *Information Sciences*, 208(4), 341-350. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ic.2009.12.002
- Kim, H., Park, S. M., & Hahn, S. G. (2009). On the weight and nonlinearity of homogeneous rotation symmetric Boolean functions of degree 2. *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, 157, 428-432. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016 /j.dam.2008.06.022

# Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/3.0/).