

On the Product of the Non-linear of Diamond Operator and $\otimes^k$ Operator

Wanchak Satsanit & Amnuay Kananthai

Department of Mathematics, Chiangmai University

Chiangmai 50200, Thailand

E-mail: aunphue@live.com

Abstract

In this paper, we study the solution of nonlinear equation $\otimes^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x))$ where $\otimes^k \diamond_{c_1}^k$ is the product of the Otimes operator and Diamond operator where c_1 is positive constants, k is a positive integer, $p + q = n$, n is the dimension of the Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $u(x)$ is an unknown function and $f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x))$ is a given function. It was found that the existence of the solution $u(x)$ of such equation depending on the conditions of f and $\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x)$.

Keywords: The hyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz, Diamond operator, Schander's estimates

1. Introduction

The operator $\diamond^k$ has been first by A. Kananthai (1997) and is named as the Diamond operator iterated k times and is defined by

$$\diamond^k = \left(\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right)^k, \quad (1)$$

$p + q = n$, n is the dimension of the space $\mathbb{R}^n$, for $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and k is a nonnegative integer. The operator $\diamond^k$ can be expressed in the form $\diamond^k = \Delta^k \square^k = \square^k \Delta^k$ where Δ^k is the Laplacian operator iterated k times defined by

$$\Delta^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right)^k \quad (2)$$

and $\square^k$ is the ultra-hyperbolic operator iterated k times defined by

$$\square^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right)^k. \quad (3)$$

Next, W. Satsanit has been first introduced $\otimes^k$ operator and $\otimes^k$ is defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes^k &= \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^3 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^3 \right]^k \\ &= \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^k \left[\left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 + \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) \right. \\ &\quad \left. \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right]^k \\ &= \square^k \left(\Delta^2 - \frac{1}{4}(\Delta + \square)(\Delta - \square) \right)^k \\ &= \left(\frac{3}{4} \diamond \Delta + \frac{1}{4} \square^3 \right)^k \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

where $\diamond$, Δ and $\square$ are defined by (1), (2) and (3) with $k = 1$ respectively.

Consider the nonlinear equation

$$\otimes^k u(x) = f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k u(x)) \quad (5)$$

where $\otimes^k$ is the operator iterated k times is defined (4), and L^k is the operator iterated k times is defined by

$$L^k = \left(\frac{3}{4} \Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4} \square^2 \right)^k \quad (6)$$

and $\square^{k-1}$ is the ultra-hyperbolic operator iterated $k-1$ times defined by (3). Let f defined and have continuous first derivatives for all $x \in \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$, where Ω is an open subset of R^n and $\partial\Omega$ denotes the boundary of Ω and f be a bounded function, that is

$$|f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k u(x))| \leq N, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (7)$$

with the boundary condition

$$\square^{k-1} L^k u(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega. \quad (8)$$

Then, we obtain

$$u(x) = R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * (R_{4k}^H(x) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(x) * (S^{*k}(x))^{*-1} * W(x) \quad (9)$$

as a solution of (5) with the boundary condition

$$u(x) = (R_{4k}^H(x) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(x)) * (S^{*k}(x))^{*-1} * (R_{2(k-2)}^H(x))^{(m)} \quad (10)$$

for $x \in \partial\Omega$, $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension for $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$ and $W(x)$ is a continuous function for $x \in \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$. The function $R_{2(k-2)}^H(x)$ defined by (15) with $\alpha = 2(k-2)$ and $R_{4k}^e(x)$ is given by (20) with $\gamma = 4k$.

The purpose of this work to extend the $\diamond^k$ operator defined by (1) to be

$$\diamond_{c_1}^k = \left(\frac{1}{c_1^4} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right)^2 - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right)^2 \right)^k. \quad (11)$$

Where c_1 is positive constant and k is a non-negative integer

Now, we study the nonlinear equation of the form

$$\otimes^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x)) \quad (12)$$

with f defined and having continuous first derivative for all $x \in \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$ where Ω is an open subset of R^n and $\partial\Omega$ denoted the boundary of Ω , f is bounded on Ω that is $|f| \leq N$, N is constant, $\diamond_{c_1}^k$ defined by (11) and $\square^k$ defined by (3) and L^k defined by (6).

We can find the solution $u(x)$ of (12) which unique under the boundary condition $\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \Omega$. By (R.Courant, 1996 p.369) there exists a unique solution $W(x)$ of the equation $\square W(x) = f(x, W(x))$ for all $x \in \Omega$ with the boundary condition $W(x) = 0$ for all $x \in \partial\Omega$ where $W(x) = \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x)$. Moreover, if we put $p = k = 1$ in $\square^k \diamond_{c_1}^k M(x) = W(x)$, we found that $M(x) = I_2^H(x) * N_2^H(x) * W(x)$ is solution of the inhomogeneous wave equation where $I_2^H(x)$ and $N_2^H(x)$ are defined by (18) and (19) with $\alpha = \beta = 2$ respectively.

Before going that points, the following definitions and some concepts are needed.

2. Preliminaries

Definition 2.1 Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of the n -dimensional Euclidean space $\mathbb{R}^n$. Denoted by

$$v = (x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2) - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2 \quad (13)$$

$$w = c_1^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2) - x_{p+1}^2 - x_{p+2}^2 - \dots - x_{p+q}^2 \quad (14)$$

where $p + q = n$. The interior of forward cone defined by

$\Gamma_+ = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : x_1 > 0, v > 0, w > 0\}$. For any complex number α , define the function

$$R_\alpha^H(v) = \begin{cases} \frac{v^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{K_n(\alpha)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \quad (15)$$

and

$$S_\beta^H(w) = \begin{cases} \frac{w^{\frac{\beta-n}{2}}}{K_n(\beta)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \quad (16)$$

where the constant $K_n(\alpha)$, $K_n(\beta)$ is given by the formula

$$K_n(\alpha) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n-1}{2}} \Gamma(\frac{2+\alpha-n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{1-\alpha}{2}) \Gamma(\alpha)}{\Gamma(\frac{2+\alpha-p}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{p-\alpha}{2})}. \quad (17)$$

The function $R_\alpha^H(u), S_\beta^H(w)$ is called the ultra-hyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz and was introduced by Y. Nozaki (1964, p.72). It is well known that $R_\alpha^H(u)$ and $S_\beta^H(w)$ is an ordinary function if $Re(\alpha) \geq n$ and $Re(\beta) \geq n$ and is a distribution if $Re(\alpha) < n$ and $Re(\beta) < n$. By putting $p = 1$ in (13), (14) and (17) using the Legendre's duplication of

$$\Gamma(2z) = 2^{2z-1} \pi^{-\frac{1}{2}} \Gamma(z) \Gamma(z + \frac{1}{2})$$

then (15) and (16) reduce to

$$I_\alpha^H(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{u^{\frac{\alpha-n}{2}}}{H_n(\alpha)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

and

$$N_\beta^H(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{w^{\frac{\beta-n}{2}}}{H_n(\beta)}, & \text{for } x \in \Gamma_+, \\ 0, & \text{for } x \notin \Gamma_+, \end{cases} \quad (19)$$

respectively, where

$$H_n(\alpha) = \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{\alpha-1} \Gamma(\frac{2+\alpha-n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\alpha}{2}).$$

$$u = x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - \dots - x_n^2$$

and

$$H_n(\beta) = \pi^{\frac{n-2}{2}} 2^{\beta-1} \Gamma(\frac{2+\beta-n}{2}) \Gamma(\frac{\beta}{2}).$$

$$w = x_1^2 x_1^2 - x_2^2 - x_3^2 - \dots - x_n^2.$$

The functions $I_\alpha^H(x)$ and $N_\beta^H(x)$ are precisely called the Hyperbolic kernel of Marcel Riesz.

Definition 2.2 Let $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$ be a point of $\mathbb{R}^n$ and the function $R_\gamma^e(x)$ and $L_\rho^e(x)$ is defined by

$$R_\gamma^e(x) = \frac{X^{\frac{\gamma-n}{2}}}{P_n(\gamma)} \quad (20)$$

and

$$L_\rho^e(x) = \frac{Y^{\frac{\rho-n}{2}}}{P_n(\rho)} \quad (21)$$

where

$$X = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_n^2$$

and

$$Y = c_1^2(x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2) + (x_{p+1}^2 + x_{p+2}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2)$$

$$P_n(\gamma) = \frac{\pi^{\frac{n}{2}} 2^\gamma \Gamma(\frac{\gamma}{2})}{\Gamma(\frac{n-\gamma}{2})} \quad (22)$$

γ is a complex parameter and n is the dimension of $\mathbb{R}^n$.

Definition 2.3 Let c_1 be positive number, $p+q = n$ and k is a nonnegative integer. The ultra-hyperbolic operators iterated k times $\square_{c_1}^k$ and $\Delta_{c_1}^k$ are defined by

$$\square^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_p^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+1}^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+2}^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_{p+q}^2} \right)^k$$

and

$$\square_{c_1}^k = \left(\frac{1}{c_1^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) - \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right) \right)^k. \quad (23)$$

The Laplacian operators iterated k times Δ^k and $\Delta_{c_1}^k$ are defined by

$$\Delta^k = \left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} + \dots + \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right)^k$$

and

$$\Delta_{c_1}^k = \left(\frac{1}{c_1^2} \left(\sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \right) + \left(\sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \right) \right)^k. \quad (24)$$

Lemma 1 Given the equation

$$\square^k u(x) = \delta \quad (25)$$

and

$$\square_{c_1}^k v(x) = \delta. \quad (26)$$

Where $\square^k$ and $\square_{c_1}^k$ defined by (3) and (13) respectively, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and δ is the Dirac-delta distribution. Then we obtain

$$u(x) = R_{2k}^H(x) \quad , \quad v(x) = S_{2k}^H(x)$$

are an elementary solution of (15) and (16) respectively where $R_{2k}^H(x)$ and $S_{2k}^H(x)$ are defined by (15) and (16) with $\alpha = \beta = 2k$.

Proof. (S.E. Trione, 1987, p.11).

Lemma 2 Given the equation

$$\Delta^k u(x) = \delta \quad (27)$$

and

$$\Delta_{c_1}^k v(x) = \delta. \quad (28)$$

Where Δ^k and $\Delta_{c_1}^k$ defined by (2) and (24) respectively, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and δ is the Dirac-delta distribution. Then we obtain

$$u(x) = (-1)^k R_{2k}^e(x) \quad , \quad v(x) = (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x)$$

are an elementary solution of (17) and (18) respectively, $R_{2k}^e(x)$ and $L_{2k}^e(x)$ are defined by (19) and (20) with $\gamma = \rho = 2k$

Proof. (W.F. Donoghue, 1969, p.118).

Lemma 3 Let $S_\alpha(x)$ and $R_\beta(x)$ be the function defined by (13) and (14) respectively. Then

$$S_\alpha(x) * S_\beta(x) = S_{\alpha+\beta}(x)$$

and

$$R_\beta(x) * R_\alpha(x) = R_{\beta+\alpha}(x)$$

where α and β are a positive even number.

Proof. (Aguirre Manuel A., 2008, pp.171-190). □

Lemma 4 The function $R_{-2k}(x)$ and $(-1)^k S_{-2k}(x)$ are the inverse in the convolution algebra of $R_{2k}(x)$ and $(-1)^k S_{2k}(x)$, respectively. That is

$$R_{-2k}(x) * R_{2k}(x) = R_{-2k+2k}(x) = R_0(x) = \delta(x)$$

and

$$(-1)^k S_{-2k}(x) * (-1)^k S_{2k}(x) = (-1)^{2k} S_{-2k+2k}(x) = S_0(x) = \delta(x)$$

Proof. (S.E. Trione, 1987, p.123), (W.F. Donoghue, 1969, p.158), and (A. Kananthai, 2000, p.10).

Lemma 5 Given P is a hyper-function then

$$P\delta^k(p) + k\delta^{(k-1)}(p) = 0$$

where $\delta^{(k)}$ is the Dirac-delta distribution with k derivatives.

Proof. (I.M. Gelfand, 1964, p.233).

Lemma 6 Given the equation

$$\square^k u(x) = 0. \quad (29)$$

Where $\square^k$ is defined by (3) and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then $u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(v))^{(m)}$ is a solution of (29) where $(R_{2(k-1)}^H(v))^{(m)}$ is defined by (15) with m - derivatives and $\alpha = 2(k-1)$, $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension.

Proof. We first to show that the generalized function $\delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2)$ where $r^2 = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + \dots + x_p^2$ and $s^2 = x_{p+1}^2 + x_{p+2}^2 + \dots + x_{p+q}^2$, $p + q = n$ is a solution of the equation

$$\square u(x) = 0. \quad (30)$$

Where $\square$ is defined by (3) with $k = 1$ and $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= 2x_i \delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= 2\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4x_i^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \square \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= 2p\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4r^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= 2p\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4(r^2 - s^2)\delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &\quad + 4s^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= 2p\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) - 4(m+2)\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &\quad + 4s^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2p - 4(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4s^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2). \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 1 with $P = r^2 - s^2$. Similarly,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= (-2q + 4(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &\quad + 4r^2 \delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2). \end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \square \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) &= \sum_{i=1}^p \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_i^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) - \sum_{j=p+1}^{p+q} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_j^2} \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2(p+q) - 8(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) - 4(r^2 - s^2)\delta^{(m+2)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2n - 8(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) + 4(m+2)\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ &= (2n - 4(m+2))\delta^{(m+1)}(r^2 - s^2). \end{aligned}$$

If $2n - 4(m+2) = 0$, we have $\square \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) = 0$. That is $u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2)$ is a solution of (29) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension. We write

$$\square^k u(x) = \square(\square^{k-1} u(x)) = 0$$

From the above proof we have $\square^{k-1} u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2)$ with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension. Convolving the above equation by $R_{2(k-1)}^H(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * \square^{k-1} u(x) &= R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * \delta^{(m)}(r^2 - s^2) \\ \square^{k-1} (R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * u(x)) &= (R_{2(k-1)}^H(v))^{(m)}, \text{ where } v = (r^2 - s^2) \\ \delta * u(x) = u(x) &= (R_{2(k-1)}^H(v))^{(m)}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus $u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(v))^{(m)}$ is a solution of (29) with $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension.

Lemma 7 Given the equation

$$\square_{c_1}^k u(x) = 0 \quad (31)$$

where $\square_{c_1}^k$ is defined by (23). Then we obtain $u(x) = (S_{2(k-1)}^H(x))^{(m)}$ as a solution of (31) where $(S_{2(k-1)}^H(x))^{(m)}$ is defined by (16) with m derivative and $\beta = 2(k-1)$, $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension.

Proof. The proof of Lemma 7 is similar to the proof of Lemma 6.

Lemma 8 Given the equation

$$L^k G(x) = \delta(x) \quad (32)$$

where $L^k = (\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2)^k$, Δ and $\square$ is defined by (2) and (3) with $k = 1$ respectively. Then we obtain $G(x)$ is an elementary solution of (32) where

$$G(x) = (R_{4k}^H(x) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1} \quad (33)$$

and

$$C(x) = \frac{3}{4}R_4^H(x) + \frac{1}{4}(-1)^2 R_4^e(x). \quad (34)$$

$C^{*k}(x)$ denotes the convolution of S it self k -times, $(C^{*k}(x))^{*-1}$ denotes the inverse of $C^{*k}(x)$ in the convolution algebra. Moreover $G(x)$ is a tempered distribution.

Proof. From (32), we have

$$L^k = \left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right)^k G(x) = \delta(x)$$

or we can write

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right) \left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x).$$

Convolving both sides of the above equation by $R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)$,

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right) * (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) \left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x) * R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)$$

or

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) + \frac{1}{4}\square^2 (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x))\right) * \left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x) * R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x).$$

By properties of convolution, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 ((-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) * R_4^H(x) + \frac{1}{4}\square^2 (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x))\right) * \left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = \delta(x) * R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x).$$

By Lemma 1 and Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\left(\frac{3}{4}R_4^H(x) + \frac{1}{4}(-1)^2 R_4^e(x)\right) * \left(\frac{3}{4}\Delta^2 + \frac{1}{4}\square^2\right)^{k-1} G(x) = R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)$$

keeping on convolving both sides of the above equation by $R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)$ up to $k - 1$ times, we obtain

$$C^{*k}(x) * G(x) = (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x))^{*k}$$

the symbol $*k$ denotes the convolution of itself k -times. By properties of $R_\alpha(x)$, we have

$$(R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x))^{*k} = R_{4k}^H(x) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(x).$$

$$C^{*k}(x) * G(x) = (R_{4k}^H(x) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(x))$$

$$G(x) = (R_{4k}^H(x) * (-1)^{2k} R_{4k}^e(x)) * (C^{*k}(x))^{*-1}$$

is an elementary solution of (32) where $R_{4k}^H(x)$ and $R_{4k}^e(x)$ are defined by (15), (20) with $\alpha = \gamma = 4k$ respectively.

Lemma 9 Given the equation

$$\square u(x) = f(x, u(x)) \quad (35)$$

where f is defined and has continuous first derivatives for all $x \in \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$, Ω is an open subset of R^n and $\partial\Omega$ is the boundary of Ω . Assume that f is bounded, that is $|f(x, u)| \leq N$ and the boundary condition $u(x) = 0$ for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then we obtain $u(x)$ as a unique solution of (35).

Proof. We can prove the existence of the solution $u(x)$ of (35) by the method of iterations and the Schuder's estimates. The details of the proof are given by Courant and Hilbert, (R.Courant, 1966, pp.369-372).

3. Main Results

Theorem

Consider the nonlinear equation

$$\otimes^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x)) \quad (36)$$

Where $\square^k, \diamond_{c_1}^k$ are defined by (3), (11) respectively and the operator L^k is defined by (6). Let f be defined and having continuous first derivatives for all $x \in \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$, Ω is an open subset of R^n and $\partial\Omega$ denotes the boundary of Ω and n is even with $n \geq 4$. Suppose f is bounded function, that is

$$|f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x))| \leq N \quad (37)$$

for all $x \in \Omega$ and the boundary condition

$$\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = 0 \quad (38)$$

for all $x \in \partial\Omega$. Then we obtain

$$u(x) = R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x) * W(x) \quad (39)$$

as a solution of (36) with the boundary condition

$$u(x) = G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x) * (R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)} \quad (40)$$

for all $x \in \partial\Omega$, $m = (n-4)/2$, $W(x)$ is a continuous function for $x \in \Omega \cup \partial\Omega$, and $G(x)$ defined by (33). The function $L_{2k}^e(x), S_{2k}^H(x)$ are defined by (22), (16) with $\rho = 2k, \beta = 2k$ respectively and $(R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)}$ is defined by (15) with $\alpha = 2(k-2)$. Moreover, for $k = 1$ we obtain

$$M(x) = (R_{-2}^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_{-4}^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x)) * (-1)^k S_{-2}(x) * u(x)$$

as a solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$\square \square_{c_1} M(x) = W(x).$$

Where $\square$ and $\square_{c_1}$ are defined by (3), (23) with $k = 1$ respectively and $u(x)$ is obtained from (48). Furthermore, if we put $p = k = 1$ then the operator $\square^k$ and $\square_{c_1}^k$ reduces to

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \text{ and } \frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

respectively and the solution $M(x) = I_2^H(x) * N_2^H(x) * W(x)$ which is the inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \dots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right) M(x) = W(x).$$

Where $I_2^H(x)$ is defined by (18) with $\alpha = 2$ and $N_2^H(x)$ is defined by (19) with $\beta = 2$. **Proof.** We have

$$\begin{aligned} \otimes^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) &= \square \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) \\ &= f(x, \square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x)). \end{aligned} \quad (41)$$

Since $u(x)$ has continuous derivative up to order $6k$ for $k = 1, 2, 3, \dots$ and $\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x)$ exists as the generalized function. Thus we can assume

$$\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = W(x), \quad \forall x \in \Omega. \quad (42)$$

Then (41) can be written in the form

$$\otimes^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = \square W(x) = f(x, W(x)) \quad (43)$$

by (37)

$$|f(x, W(x))| \leq N, \quad x \in \Omega \quad (44)$$

and by (38), $W(x) = 0, \quad x \in \partial\Omega$ or

$$\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1}^k u(x) = 0, \quad \forall x \in \partial\Omega. \quad (45)$$

We obtain a unique solution of (43) which satisfies (37) by Lemma 9. Since

$R_{2(k-1)}^H(x)$, $(-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x)$ and $S_{2k}^H(x)$ are the elementary solution of the operators $\square^{k-1}$, $\Delta_{c_1}^k$ and $\square_{c_1}^k$ respectively, and by Lemma 8, we have $G(x)$ is an elementary of the operator L^k where $G(x)$ defined by (33), i.e.

$$\square^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) = \delta, \quad \Delta_{c_1}^k (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) = \delta \quad (46)$$

and

$$\square_{c_1}^{k-1} S_{2k}^H(x) = \delta, \quad L^k G(x) = \delta \quad (47)$$

From (42)

$$\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1} u(x) = W(x).$$

Convolving the above equation by $R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)$, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1} u(x)) * (R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) \\ &= (R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) * W(x). \end{aligned}$$

By the properties of convolution, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & (\square^{k-1} R_{2(k-1)}^H(x)) * (L^k G(x)) (\diamond_{c_1}^k * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e * S_{2k}^H) * u(x) \\ &= (R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) * W(x) \end{aligned}$$

or

$$\delta * \delta * \delta * u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) * W(x)$$

Thus

$$u(x) = (R_{2(k-1)}^H(x) * G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) * W(x) \quad (48)$$

as a solution of (36).

Next, consider the condition (45). From

$$\square^{k-1} L^k \diamond_{c_1} u(x) = 0. \quad (49)$$

By Lemma 6, we have

$$L^k \diamond_{c_1} u(x) = (R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)}. \quad (50)$$

Where $m = \frac{n-4}{2}$, $n \geq 4$ and n is even dimension. Convolving both sides of (50) by $G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)$. We obtain

$$(G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) * L^k \diamond_{c_1} u(x) = G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x) * (R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)}$$

By the properties of convolution, we obtain

$$(L^k G(x)) * (\diamond_{c_1}^k (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x)) * u(x) = G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x) * (R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)}$$

By Lemma 8 and Lemma 1, Lemma 2, we obtain

$$\delta * \delta * u(x) = (G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x) * (R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)})$$

Thus for $x \in \partial\Omega$ and $k = 2, 3, 4, 5, \dots$

$$u(x) = G(x) * (-1)^k L_{2k}^e(x) * S_{2k}^H(x) * (R_{2(k-2)}^H(v))^{(m)} \quad (51)$$

as required. Now, for $k = 1$ in (48), we have

$$u(x) = \delta(x) * G(x) * (-1)^1 L_2^e(x) * S_2^H(x) * W(x). \quad (52)$$

By Lemma 8, we have

$$G(x) = (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x))^{*-1}.$$

Taking into account (52), we obtain

$$u(x) = (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x))^{*-1} * (-1)^1 L_2^e(x) * S_2^H(x) * W(x) \quad (53)$$

as a solution of (36) for $k = 1$.

Convolving both sides of (53) by

$$(R_{-2}^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_{-4}^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x)) * (-1)^1 L_{-2}^e(x).$$

By Lemma 4, we obtain

$$(R_{-2}^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_{-4}^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x)) * (-1)L_{-2}^e(x) * u(x) = R_2^H(x) * S_2^H(x) * W(x).$$

By Lemma 1, we obtain

$$M(x) = (R_{-2}^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_{-4}^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x)) * (-1)L_{-2}^e(x) * u(x) \quad (54)$$

as a solution of the inhomogeneous equation

$$\square \square_{c_1} M(x) = W(x). \quad (55)$$

Now, consider the boundary condition for $k = 1$ in (38), we have

$$L \diamond_{c_1} u(x) = 0 \text{ or } \square_{c_1} \Delta_{c_1} Lu(x) = 0$$

for $x \in \partial\Omega$. Thus by Lemma 6, for $k = 1$ we obtain

$$L \Delta_{c_1} u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(x) \quad (56)$$

for $x \in \partial\Omega$ where $\delta^{(m)}(x) = S_0^H(x)$. We convolved the above equation by $G(x) * (-1)L_2^e(x)$ where $G(x)$ is defined by (33) with $k = 1$ and $(-1)L_2^e(x)$ is defined by (22) with $\rho = 2$, we obtain

$$G(x) * (-1)L_2^e(x) * (L \Delta_{c_1} u(x)) = \delta^{(m)}(x) * G(x) * (-1)L_2^e(x).$$

By properties of convolution

$$LG(x) * \Delta_B(-1)L_2^e(x) * u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(x) * G(x) * (-1)L_2^e(x).$$

By Lemma 8 and Lemma 2, we obtain,

$$\delta(x) * \delta(x) * u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(x) * G(x) * (-1)L_2^e(x).$$

It follows that

$$u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(x) * G(x) * (-1)L_2^e(x). \quad (57)$$

By (33) with $k = 1$, we have

$$G(x) = (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x))^{*-1}.$$

Taking into account (57), we obtain

$$u(x) = \delta^{(m)}(x) * (R_4^H(x) * (-1)^2 R_4^e(x)) * (C^{*1}(x))^{*-1} * (-1)L_2^e(x) \text{ for } x \in \partial\Omega. \quad (58)$$

Now consider the case $k = 1$, $p = 1$ and $q = n - 1$ that is from (56), $R_2^H(x)$ reduced to $I_2^H(x)$ where $I_2^H(x)$ is defined by (18) with $\alpha = 2$ and $S_2^H(x)$ reduced to $N_2^H(x)$ where $N_2^H(x)$ is defined by (19) with $\beta = 2$ and then the operator $\square$ defined by (3) reduces to the wave operator

$$\square^* = \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \cdots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

and $\square_{c_1}$ defined by (26) reduces to the wave operator

$$\square_{c_1}^* = \frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \cdots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2}$$

and then the solution $M(x)$ reduced to

$$M(x) = I_2^H(x) * N_2^H(x) * W(x)$$

which is the solution of inhomogeneous wave equation

$$\square^* \square_{c_1}^* M(x) = W(x).$$

or

$$\left(\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \cdots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right) \cdot \left(\frac{1}{c_1^2} \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_1^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_2^2} - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_3^2} - \cdots - \frac{\partial^2}{\partial x_n^2} \right) M(x) = W(x).$$

Where c_1 is a positive constant. □

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank The Thailand Research Fund and Graduate School, Chiang Mai University, Thailand for financial support.

References

- Aguirre Manuel A. (2008). Some properties of Bessel Elliptic kernel and Bessel ultra-hyperbolic kernel. *Thai Journal of Mathematics*, Volume 6, Number 1, p.171-190.
- A. Kananthai. (2000). On the convolution of the Diamond kernel of Marcel Riesz. *Applied Mathematics and Computational*. Elsevier Science Inc. New York, pp 95-101.
- A. Kananthai. (1997). On the Solution of the n-Dimensional Diamond Operator. *Applied Mathematics and Computational*. Elsevier Science Inc. New York, pp 27-37.
- I. M. Gelfand, G. E. Shilov. (1964). *Generalized Functions*, Academic Press New York.
- R. Courant, D. Hilbert. (1966). *Methods of Mathematical Physics*, vol.2, Interscience Publishers, New York.
- S. E. Trione. (1987). On Marcel Riesz *On the Ultra-hyperbolic kernel*. *Trabajos de Mathematica*. 116 preprint.
- W. F. Donoghue. (1969). *Distributions and Fourier Transform*, Academic Press, New York.
- Y. Nozaki. (1964). On Riemann-Liouville integral of Ultra-hyperbolic type. *Kodai Math. Sem. Rep.* 6(2), 69-87.