Hyers-Ulam Stability for Mackey-Glass and Lasota Differential Equations
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Abstract

This paper considers the stability of differential equation of Mackey-Glass type in the sense of Hyers and Ulam with initial condition. It also considers the Hyers-Ulam stability of Lasota equation with initial condition. Some illustrative examples are given.
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1. Introduction

Ulam (1940) proposed the stability problem of functional equations during a talk before a Mathematical Colloquium at the University of Wisconsin, Madison. Hyers in his study (1941) solved the problem for approximately additive mappings, on Banach spaces. Rassias (1978) has generalized the result obtained by Hyers.

Alsina and Ger (1998) were the first mathematicians who investigated the Hyers-Ulam stability of the differential equation $g' = g$. They proved that if a differentiable function $g : I \to R$ satisfies $|g' - y| \leq \varepsilon$ for all $t \in I$, then there exists a differentiable function $g : I \to R$ satisfying $g'(t) = g(t)$ for any $t \in I$ such that $|g - y| \leq 3\varepsilon$, for all $t \in I$. This result of Alsina and Ger has been generalized by Takahasi et al. (2002) to the case of the complex Banach space valued differential equation $y' = Ay$.

Furthermore, the results of Hyers-Ulam stability of differential equations of first order were also generalized by Miura et al. (2003), Jung (2005) and Wang et al. (2008). Li and Shen (2009) proved the stability of nonhomogeneous linear differential equation of second order in the sense of the Hyers and Ulam $y'' + p(x)y' + q(x)y + r(x) = 0$, while Gavruta et al. (2011) proved the Hyers-Ulam stability of the equation $y'' + \beta(x)y = 0$ with boundary and initial conditions. The author in his studies (2012a & b) established the Hyers-Ulam stability of nonlinear differential equations of second order with initial conditions.

In this paper we investigate the Hyers-Ulam stability of Mackey-Glass nonlinear differential equation of first order:

\[ x' + \gamma x = \frac{\beta x(t - \tau)}{1 + x^\rho(t - \tau)} \tag{1} \]

with the initial function $x(t) = \varphi(t), \forall t \leq 0$, and the initial condition

\[ x(0) = 0 \tag{2} \]

where $\varphi(t)$ is positive and continuous $\forall t < 0, x \in C^1(I), I = [a, b], 0 < a < b < \infty, \beta, \gamma, n, \tau > 0$. Moreover, we consider the Hyers-Ulam stability of Lasota nonlinear differential equation

\[ x' + \gamma x = \beta e^{-\gamma t - \tau} x^\rho(t - \tau), \beta, \gamma, n, \tau > 0 \tag{3} \]

with the initial function $x(t) = \varphi(t), \forall t \leq 0$, and the initial condition

\[ x(0) = 0 \tag{4} \]

where $\varphi(t)$ is positive and continuous $\forall t < 0, x \in C^1(I), I = [a, b], 0 < a < b < \infty, \beta, \gamma, n, \tau > 0$. 


Equation (1) was proposed by Mackey and Glass (1977) as a model of hematopoiesis (blood cell production), while Equation (3) was used by Lasota (1977).

It should be noted that in the present paper we apply a similar approach to that one used by the author in (2012a & b).

**Definition 1** We say that Equation (1) has the Hyers-Ulam stability with initial conditions (2) if there exists a positive constant $K > 0$ with the following property:

For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \in C^1[a, b]$, if

$$|x' + \gamma x - \frac{\beta x(t - \tau)}{1 + x^r(t - \tau)}| \leq \varepsilon$$

(5)

and $x(0) = 0$, then there exists some $w \in C^1[a, b]$ satisfying the Equation (1) and $w(0) = 0$, such that $|w(t) - x(t)| \leq K\varepsilon$.

**Definition 2** We say that Equation (3) has the Hyers-Ulam stability with initial conditions (4) if there exists a positive constant $K > 0$ with the following property: For every $\varepsilon > 0$, $x \in C^1(I)$, if

$$|x' + \gamma x - \beta e^{-\delta(t-\tau)}x^r(t - \tau)| \leq \varepsilon$$

(6)

and $x(0) = 0$, then there exists some solution $w \in C^1(I)$ of the Equation (3) and $w(0) = 0$, such that $|w(t) - x(t)| \leq K\varepsilon$.

2. On Hyers-Ulam Stability of Equations

**Theorem 1** Suppose that $x : I \to \mathbb{R}$ is a twice continuously differentiable function. If $\beta be^{\tau T} < 1$, then the Equation (1) has the Hyers-Ulam stability with initial condition (2).

**Proof.** Suppose that $\varepsilon > 0$ and $x \in C^1(I)$ satisfies the inequality (5) and the initial condition $x(0) = 0$. We will show that there exists a function $w(t) \in C^1(I)$ satisfying the Equation (1) such that $|x(t) - w(t)| \leq K\varepsilon$ and $w(0) = 0$, where $K$ is a constant that never depends on $\varepsilon$ nor on $w(t)$. Substituting $x(t) = u(t)e^{-\gamma t}$ into the Equation (1), we get

$$u'(t)e^{-\gamma t} = \frac{\beta u(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}}{1 + u^r(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}}$$

(7)

From the Definition 1 and the Equation (7) we obtain

$$-\varepsilon \leq u'(t)e^{-\gamma t} - \frac{\beta u(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}}{1 + u^r(t - \tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}} \leq \varepsilon$$

(8)

Multiplying the inequality (8) by $e^{\gamma t}$, and then integrating with respect to $t$, we get

$$-\varepsilon \frac{e^{\gamma t} - 1}{\gamma} = u(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\beta u(s - \tau)e^{\gamma t}}{1 + u^r(s - \tau)e^{-\gamma(s-\tau)}} ds \leq \varepsilon \frac{e^{\gamma t} - 1}{\gamma}$$

(9)

Since $\varphi(t) > 0$, then from (7) $u'(t) > 0$, $\forall t > 0$, and hence the function $u(t) > 0$, and is increasing $\forall t > 0$. Now Let $M = \max_{u \leq b}[u(t) : t > 0]$. Thus, from (9) we have

$$u(t) - \beta be^{\gamma t} \int_0^t u(s)ds < u(t) - \int_0^t \frac{\beta u(s - \tau)e^{\gamma t}}{1 + u^r(s - \tau)e^{-\gamma(s-\tau)}} ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma t} - 1)$$

Hence

$$M(1 - \beta be^{\gamma t}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma}(e^{\gamma t} - 1)$$

Therefore $M < K\varepsilon$, where $K = \frac{(e^{rb} - 1)}{\gamma(1 - \beta be^{\gamma t})}, \beta be^{\gamma t} < 1$. So by virtue of the substitution $x(t) = u(t)e^{-\gamma t}$ we infer that

$$\max_{u \leq b}(x(t)) \leq K\varepsilon$$
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Obviously, \( w(t) \equiv 0 \) is a solution of the Equation (1) satisfying the initial condition (2) and such that \( |x(t) - w(t)| \leq k \varepsilon \), which completes the proof.

Now we give an example illustrating the Theorem 1.

**Example 1** Consider the equation

\[
  x' + 0.001x = \frac{0.009x(t - 9)}{1 + x^{20}(t - 9)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 100
\]

with the initial condition

\[
  x(0) = 0
\]

and the history function \( \varphi(t) = -t, \ t \in [-9, 0] \).

Substituting \( x(t) = u(t)e^{-t} \) into Equation (10), we get

\[
  u'(t)e^{-t} = \frac{0.009u(t - 9)e^{-(t-9)}}{1 + u^{20}(t - 9)e^{-(t-9)}}
\]

Multiplying the inequality

\[
  -\varepsilon \leq u'(t)e^{-t} - \frac{0.009u(t - 9)e^{-(t-9)}}{1 + u^{20}(t - 9)e^{-(t-9)}} \leq \varepsilon
\]

by \( e^t \) and integrating from zero to \( t \), we obtain

\[
  u(t) - \frac{9e^{0.009}}{10} \int_0^t u(s)ds < u(t) - \int_0^t \frac{0.009u(s - 9)e^{-(t-9)}}{1 + u^{20}(s - 9)e^{-(t-9)}} ds \leq \varepsilon(e^t - 1)
\]

Hence

\[
  M(1 - \frac{9e^{0.009}}{10}) < \varepsilon(e^{100} - 1)
\]

Therefore \( M < K \varepsilon \), where \( K = \frac{10(e^{100} - 1)}{10 - 9e^{0.009}} \). So according to the substitution \( x(t) = u(t)e^{-t} \) we find that

\[
  \max_{0 \leq t \leq 100} (x(t)) \leq K \varepsilon. \quad \text{Obviously, } w(t) \equiv 0 \text{ is a solution of the equation (10) satisfying the initial condition (11) and such that } |x(t) - w(t)| \leq k \varepsilon.
\]

![Figure 1. Solution of Equation (10) for \( \gamma = 0.001, \beta = 0.009, \tau = 9, n = 20 \)](image)

Hence, the problem (10-11) is stable in the sense of Hyers and Ulam (see Figure 1).

**Remark 1** It should be noted that the condition \( \beta \varepsilon e^{\tau} < 1 \) in the Theorem 1 is sufficient; but it is not necessary for stability of the problem (1-2). The following example illustrates this fact.

**Example 2** Consider the equation

\[
  x' + x = \frac{2x(t - 2)}{1 + x(t - 2)}, \quad 0 \leq t \leq 250
\]

and the inequality

\[
  \left| x' + x - \frac{2x(t - 2)}{1 + x(t - 2)} \right| \leq \varepsilon
\]
with the initial condition \( x(0) = 0 \), and the history function \( \varphi(t) = -t, \ t \in [-2,0] \).

First, we notice that the condition \( \beta be^r < 1 \) in the Theorem 1 is not satisfied, because \( 500e^2 > 1 \), but the Equation (12) is still Hyers-Ulam stable (see Figure 2).

![Figure 2. Solution of Equation (12) with \( \gamma = 1, \beta = 2, \tau = 2, n = 1 \)](image)

It is easy to check that for a given \( \varepsilon > 0 \), the function \( x(t) = \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} \right) (1 - e^{-t}) \) satisfies the inequality (13) and the zero initial condition \( x(0) = 0 \). Indeed,

\[
\begin{align*}
x' + x - \frac{2x(t-2)}{1 + x(t-2)} &= \frac{\varepsilon e^{-t}}{\varepsilon + 1} + \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{\varepsilon e^{-t}}{\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{2\varepsilon(1 - e^{-2})}{\varepsilon + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - e^{-2})} \\
&= \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{2\varepsilon(1 - e^{-2})}{\varepsilon + 1 + \varepsilon(1 - e^{-2})} \\
&\leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{2\varepsilon(1 - e^{-2})}{2\varepsilon + 1} < \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} < \varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

On the other hand

\[
\begin{align*}
x' + x - \frac{2x(t-2)}{1 + x(t-2)} > x' + x - 2x(t-2) > x' - x \\
&\quad = \frac{2\varepsilon e^{-t}}{\varepsilon + 1} - \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} > -\frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} > -\varepsilon
\end{align*}
\]

and \( M = \sup \left( \left( \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} \right) (1 - e^{-t}) : t > 0 \right) = \frac{\varepsilon}{\varepsilon + 1} \).

Obviously, \( w(t) \equiv 0 \) is a solution of the Equation (12) satisfying the initial condition \( w(0) = 0 \), and such that \( |x(t) - w(t)| \leq K\varepsilon \), with \( K = 1 \). Hence, the Equation (12) is stable in the sense of Hyers and Ulam.

**Theorem 2** If \( x : I \to \mathbb{R} \) is a twice continuously differentiable function and \( \beta be^{\gamma t}[n]! < 1 \), then the Equation (3) has the Hyers-Ulam stability with initial conditions (4).

**Proof.** Suppose that \( \varepsilon > 0 \) and \( x \in C^1(I) \) satisfies the in Equation (6) and the initial conditions (4).

We will show that there exists a function \( w(t) \in C^1(I) \) satisfying the Equation (3) such that \( |x(t) - w(t)| \leq K\varepsilon \) and \( w(0) = 0 \), where \( K \) is a constant that never depends on \( \varepsilon \) nor on \( w(t) \).

Let

\[
x(t) = u(t)e^{-\gamma t}
\]

Setting (14) into Equation (3), we obtain

\[
u'(t)e^{-\gamma t} = \beta u(t - \tau)e^{-(t-\tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}} - \varepsilon^{\gamma(t-\tau)}
\]

Since \( \varphi(t) > 0 \), then from (15) \( u'(t) > 0, \forall t > 0 \), and hence the function \( u(t) > 0 \), and is increasing \( \forall t > 0 \).

From the inequality (6) and Equation (15) we obtain

\[
-\varepsilon \leq u'(t)e^{-\gamma t} - \beta u(t - \tau)e^{-(t-\tau)e^{-\gamma(t-\tau)}} - \varepsilon^{\gamma(t-\tau)} \leq \varepsilon
\]

(16)
Multiplying the inequality (16) by $e^{\gamma t}$, and then integrating with respect to $t$, we get

$$-rac{E}{\gamma} (e^{\gamma t} - 1) \leq u(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \beta u^b (t - \tau) e^{-\mu(t - \tau)} e^{-\gamma t} e^{\gamma t} d\tau \leq \frac{E}{\gamma} (e^{\gamma t} - 1)$$

It follows that

$$u(t) - \beta be^{\gamma t} \int_{0}^{t} \frac{u^a(s)}{e^{a(s)}} d\tau < u(t) - \int_{0}^{t} \beta u^b (t - \tau) e^{-\mu(t - \tau)} e^{-\gamma t} e^{\gamma t} d\tau \leq \frac{E}{\gamma} (e^{\gamma t} - 1)$$

Let $M = \max \{u(t) : t > 0\}$.

For $M$ we have two cases:

I) $M \leq 1$;

II) $M > 1$.

If $M \leq 1$ then

$$\frac{u^a(s)}{e^{a(s)}} \leq u \leq M \leq [n]! M$$

and clearly, we get that

$$M \leq \frac{e(e^{\gamma} - 1)}{\gamma (1 - \beta be^{\gamma t} [n]!)}$$

where $\beta be^{\gamma t} [n]! < 1$.

Assume that $\inf \left\{1, \frac{e(e^{\gamma} - 1)}{\gamma (1 - \beta be^{\gamma t} [n]!)} \right\} = m$, then $\max \{x(t)\} \leq m \leq \frac{e(e^{\gamma} - 1)}{\gamma (1 - \beta be^{\gamma t} [n]!)}$.

Now let $M > 1$. Then we obtain

$$\frac{u^a(s)}{e^{a(s)}} \leq \frac{u^b}{1 + u e^{\gamma t} + \frac{u^2 e^{2\gamma t}}{2!} + \cdots + \frac{u^n e^{n\gamma t}}{n!}} \leq \frac{n! u^{n+1}}{u^a [n]! e^{n\gamma t}} \leq [n]! M$$

Hence, setting the last inequality into (15) we get

$$M(1 - \beta be^{\gamma t} [n]!) \leq \frac{E}{\gamma} (e^{\gamma t} - 1)$$

Therefore $M < Ke$, where $K = \frac{(e^{\gamma} - 1)}{\gamma (1 - \beta be^{\gamma t} [n]!)}$, where $[n]$ is the greatest integer function, and $\beta be^{\gamma t} [n]! < 1$.

So by virtue of the substitution $x(t) = u(t)e^{-\gamma t}$ we infer that

$$\max \{x(t)\} \leq Ke$$

(17)

Clearly $w(x) \equiv 0$, is a trivial solution of Equation (3) that satisfies the initial condition $w(0) = 0$ and $|x(t) - w(t)| \leq Ke$, which completes the proof.

**Example 3** Consider Lasota equation for the parameters $\beta = 0.004, \gamma = 1, \tau = 1, n = \ln 10$

$$x' + x = 0.004 e^{-x(t - 1)} [x(t - 1)]^{\ln 10}, \quad t \in [0, 10]$$

(18)

with the initial function $\varphi(t) = 0.5, \quad t \in [-1, 0]$ and the zero initial condition

$$x(0) = 0$$

(19)

Applying the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2 for this case, we obtain that $\max \{x(t)\} \leq Ke$, where

$$K = \frac{(e^{10} - 1)}{(1 - 0.004 \cdot [\ln 10]! \cdot 10^{e^{\ln 10}})} = 5(e^{10} - 1)$$

such that $0.004 \cdot 20^{e^{\ln 10}} = \frac{1}{2} < 1$. It is clear that $w(x) \equiv 0$, is
a trivial solution of the Equation (18) with the initial condition \( w(0) = 0 \), and \( |x(t) - w(t)| \leq K \varepsilon \). Therefore, the problem (18-19) is stable in the sense of Hyers and Ulam.

**Remark 2** It should be noted that the condition \( \beta e^{\gamma \tau} |n| < 1 \) in the Theorem 2 is sufficient; but it is not necessary for stability of the problem (3-4).

To prove this assumption, consider \( x' + x = e^{-\gamma(t-1)}x(t - 1) \), with \( x(0) = 0 \). Applying the same argument used in Example 2, we can show that the function \( x(t) = \frac{\xi}{2}(1 - e^{-\gamma}) \) satisfies the inequality

\[
|x' + x - e^{-\gamma(t-1)}x(t - 1)| \leq \varepsilon
\]

and \( M = \sup \left\{ \frac{\varepsilon}{2} (1 - e^{-\gamma}) : t > 0 \right\} = \frac{\varepsilon}{2} < \varepsilon \). Obviously, \( w(t) \equiv 0 \) is a solution of the given equation satisfying the initial condition \( x(0) = 0 \) and such that \( |x(t) - w(t)| \leq \varepsilon \), the proof is complete.

3. Nicholson’s Blowflies Equation

Consider Nicholson’s Blowflies Equation which is a special case (when \( n = 1 \)) of Lasota differential equation

\[
x' + \gamma x = \beta e^{-\gamma(t-1)}x(t - \tau), \quad \beta, \gamma, \tau > 0
\]  

(20)

with the initial function \( x(t) = \varphi(t) \), where \( \varphi(t) > 0 \), \( \forall t < 0 \), and the initial condition

\[
x(0) = 0
\]  

(21)

**Theorem 1** Suppose that \( x : I \rightarrow \mathbb{R} \) is a twice continuously differentiable function. If \( \beta e^{\gamma \tau} < 1 \), then the Equation (20) has the Hyers-Ulam stability with the initial conditions (21).

**Proof.** Applying the same arguments used in the proof of Theorem 2 to the case when \( n = 1 \), we obtain

\[
\int_0^t \frac{u(s)}{e^{\gamma(s)}} ds < u(t) - \beta \int_0^t u(t - \tau) e^{-\beta e^{\gamma \tau} - \gamma (t - \tau) e^{\gamma \tau}} ds \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{\gamma} (e^{\gamma \tau} - 1)
\]

Hence

\[
M \leq \frac{\varepsilon (e^{\gamma \beta} - 1)}{\gamma (1 - \beta e^{\gamma \tau})}
\]

Since \( \beta e^{\gamma \tau} < 1 \) then by virtue of the substitution \( x(t) = u(t)e^{-\gamma \tau} \) we infer that

\[
\max_{\tau \leq s \leq b} x(s) \leq K \varepsilon
\]  

(22)

It is obvious that \( w(x) \equiv 0 \), is a trivial solution of Equation (20) that satisfies (21) and \( |x(t) - w(t)| \leq K \varepsilon \). Hence, the problem (20-21) is stable in the sense Hyers and Ulam.

4. Conclusion

Here we have established the Hyers-Ulam stability of Mackey-Glass and Lasota delay differential equations with initial conditions. The results are achieved by integrating the differential equations and then estimating the maximum of solutions. The plots produced by Mathematica have verified our theoretical results.
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