Control and Cancellation Singularities of Bilaplacian in a Cracked Domains

Gilbert Bayili¹, Cheikh Seck², Abdoulaye Sène³ & Mary Teuw Niane²

¹ LAME, Université de Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso

² LANI, UGB, Saint-Louis, Sénégal

³ UMI 209 UMMISCO, UCAD, Dakar, Sénégal

Correspondence: Cheikh, Seck, LANI, UGB, Saint-Louis, Sénégal. E-mail: cseck2003@yahoo.fr

Received: January 4, 2012 Accepted: January 19, 2012 Online Published: July 4, 2012 doi:10.5539/jmr.v4n4p35 URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v4n4p35

Abstract

We are interested in controlling and removing singularities of the Dirichlet problem involving the bilaplacian operator in a domain with corner. It's possible of making the solution to the bilaplacian operator regular, through acting on a small part of a cracked domain with corner. Then, the best singularity coefficients can be controlled by simultaneous actions of two controls on a small part of the boundary.

Keywords: Bilaplacian, singular functions, dual singular functions, cracks

1. Introduction and Statement of Problem

We consider the Dirichlet problem for the bilaplacian operator in a bounded polygonal domain Ω of \mathbb{R}^2 . Since the domain is polygonal, the solution of this problem does not only depend on the regularity of data, but also on the geometry of the domain (Grisvard, P., 1974; Grisvard, P., 1992; Kondratiev, V. A., 1967). This solution is singular in the neighbourhood of non-convex vertices of Ω (see Bayili, G., 2009; Seck, C., Bayili, G., Sène, A., & Niane, M. T., 2011). Niane et al. (2006) proved that it is possible by acting on a small part of the domain or on a small part of the borders, a regular solution of the Laplace equation can be obtained. Let m + 1 the number of non-convex angles of Ω and \overline{O} a non empty open bounded Ω . We will show that there are infinitely differentiable functions with support in \overline{O} and satisfying the following condition if $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, $(\lambda_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ are the coefficients of the singularities and $(g_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ the singularities of the problem

(Find
$$v \in H_0^2(\Omega)$$
 such that
 $-\Delta^2 v = f \text{ in } \Omega$
(1)

then the problem

$$\begin{cases} Find \ y \in H_0^2(\Omega) \ such that \\ -\Delta^2 y = f - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i g_i \ in \ \Omega \end{cases}$$
(2)

has an unique solution $y \in H^4(\Omega)$.

We will also prove the following result if Γ_1 and Γ_2 are two analytical open sets of Γ whose measure of the intersection is non zero, then there exist k functions $(h_i, g_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ of $D(\Gamma_1) \times D(\Gamma_2)$ with compact support contained in $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ such that

$$-\Delta^{2} y = f \text{ in } \Omega$$

$$\gamma y = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} h_{i} \text{ on } \Gamma_{1}$$

$$\frac{\partial y}{\partial y} = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \lambda_{i} g_{i} \text{ on } \Gamma_{2}$$
(3)

has an unique solution $y \in H^4(\Omega)$.

2. Bi-orthogonality Property of Biharmonic Functions

Let *H* be a Hilbert space with a scalar product $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{H}$.

Lemma (Density lemma) *Let H be a Hilbert space, D a dense subspace in H and* $\{e_0, ..., e_m\}$ *a subset of H. Then, there exist* $\{d_0, ..., d_m\}$ *in D such that* $\forall 1 \le i < j \le m$, $\langle e_i, d_j \rangle_H = \delta_{ij}$.

Proof. According to the hypothesis and by Gram-Schmidt orthogonalization, there exist $v_0, ..., v_m$, such that $\langle v_i, e_j \rangle_H = \delta_{ij}, \forall 1 \le i < j \le m$. As *D* is dense in *H*, there exist sequences $(v_i^{(n)})$ of elements in *D*, such that $v_i^{(n)} \longrightarrow v_i$ in *H* as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, for all $i \in \{0, ..., m\}$. This implies that $\langle v_i^{(n)}, e_j \rangle_H \longrightarrow \langle v_i, e_j \rangle_H = \delta_{ij}$ as $n \longrightarrow \infty$, and hence the matrix $\mathcal{K}_n = (\langle v_i^{(n)}, e_j \rangle_H)_{0 \le i < j \le m}$ is invertible for *n* large enough. Fixed this value of *n*, write $\mathcal{K}_n^{-1} = (c_{ij})_{0 \le i < j \le m}$. The requested elements are $d_i = \sum_{k=0}^m c_{ik} v_k^{(n)}$, since $\langle d_i, e_j \rangle_H = \sum_{k=0}^m c_{ik} \langle v_k^{(n)}, e_j \rangle_H = \delta_{ij}$.

Theorem Let Ω be an open set of \mathbb{R}^n and O a non-empty open set of Ω . If $(\omega_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ is a set of linearly independent of biharmonic functions of $L^2(\Omega)$, then there exists a family $(g_j)_{1 \le j \le k}$ of C^{∞} functions with compact support in \overline{O} , such that

$$\forall \ 0 \le j < i \le k, \ we \ have \ \int_{\Omega} \omega_i g_j dx = \delta_{ij} \tag{4}$$

Proof. Let $H = L^2(\overline{O})$. The family $(\omega_{i|\overline{O}})_{1 \le i \le k}$ is linearly independent ?

Effectively, assume that there exist real numbers $(\alpha_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ not all of them zero such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \omega_i = 0 \quad in \quad \bar{O} \tag{5}$$

We know that $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \omega_i$ is an analytical form, according to the unicity theorem of Holmgren's-Kovalevska in L. Hormander (1976), we have $\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \omega_i = 0$ on Ω , we can deduce by hypothesis that $\alpha_i = 0, \forall i \in \{1, ..., k\}$ and consequently $(\omega_{i|\bar{O}})_{1 \le i \le k}$ is linearly independent.

Since $D(\bar{O})$ is dense in $L^2(\bar{O})$, Niane et al. (2006) and Density Lemma imply that there exists a family $(g_j)_{1 \le j \le k}$ of functions of $D(\Omega)$ with support in \bar{O} such that:

$$\forall \ 0 \le j < i \le k, \ \int_{\Omega} \omega_i g_j dx = \delta_{ij} \tag{6}$$

Theorem Let Ω be a non-empty bounded open polygon with \mathbb{R}^n of boundary Γ . Let Γ_1 and Γ_2 be two non-empty analytic open sets of Γ such that $mes(\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2) \neq 0$. Let $(\omega_i)_{1 \leq i \leq k}$ be a linear independent family of biharmonic functions of $L^2(\Omega)$ verifying

$$\omega_i = \frac{\partial \omega_i}{\partial \nu} = 0 \quad on \quad \Gamma \quad and \left(\gamma \frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu} | \Gamma_1, \gamma \Delta \omega_i | \Gamma_2 \right) \in L^2(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_2), \tag{7}$$

then there exist k functions $(h_i, g_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ of $D(\Gamma_1) \times D(\Gamma_2)$ with compact support contained in $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ verifying

$$\forall \ 0 \le j < i \le k, \ \int_{\Gamma} \left(\Delta \omega_i g_j + \frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu} h_j \right) d\sigma = \delta_{ij}.$$

$$\tag{8}$$

Proof. Let the space $H = L^2(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_2)$ with the following scalar product

$$\forall (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in H, \langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle = \langle x_1, x_2 \rangle + \langle y_1, y_2 \rangle$$
(9)

With this product, *H* is a Hilbert space. Next we prove that the family $\left\{ \left(\frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu} |_{\Gamma_1}, \Delta \omega_i |_{\Gamma_2} \right)_{1 \le i \le k} \right\}$ is linearly independent.

Assume the existence of real numbers (α_i) such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \left(\frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu} |_{\Gamma_1}, \Delta \omega_i |_{\Gamma_2} \right)_{1 \le i \le k} = 0$$

This implies that

$$\begin{cases} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu} |_{\Gamma_1} = 0\\ \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i (\Delta \omega_i |_{\Gamma_2}) = 0 \end{cases}$$

Since $\psi = \sum_{i=1}^{k} \alpha_i \omega_i$ is an analytical form in virtue of the Holmgren and the Cauchy-Kovalevska theorem (see Hormander, L., 1976). Hence, we can deduce under our hypothesis that

$$\Delta^{2}\psi = 0 \quad in \ \Omega$$

$$\psi = \frac{\partial\psi}{\partial\nu} = 0 \quad on \ \Gamma$$

$$\frac{\partial\Delta\psi}{\partial\nu} = 0 \quad on \ \Gamma_{1}$$

$$\Delta\psi = 0 \quad on \ \Gamma_{2}$$
(10)

According to the Cauchy-Kowalevska Theorem, there exists a non-empty open neighbourhood $O \subset \Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ such that $\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \omega_i = 0$ in O. By Holmgren Theorem (Hormander, L., 1976), we obtain:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \omega_i = 0 \ in \ O$$

Consequently, we have:

$$\sum_{i=1}^k \alpha_i \omega_i = 0 \ in \ \Omega$$

So we can deduce that $\alpha_i = 0$, $\forall i$ and the family

$$\left(\frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu}|_{\Gamma_1}, \Delta \omega_i|_{\Gamma_2}\right)_{1 \le i \le k}$$

is linearly independent.

Since $D(\Gamma_1) \times D(\Gamma_2)$ is dense in $L^2(\Gamma_1) \times L^2(\Gamma_2)$, Niane et al. (2006) proved the existence of a family $(h_i, g_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ of compact support contained in $\Gamma_1 \cap \Gamma_2$ such that

$$\forall \ 0 \le j < i \le k, \ \int_{\Gamma} \left(\Delta \omega_i g_j + \frac{\partial \Delta \omega_i}{\partial \nu} h_j \right) d\sigma = \delta_{ij}$$
(11)

3. Cancellation of Singularities

3.1 Preliminary Results on Dual Singular Functions

We show that, in a cracked domain, we can obtain a regular solution of the biharmonic problem by acting two simultaneous controls on two small parts of the boundary of intersection not empty and not reduce to a point on the small part O of Ω not intercepting any vertices.

Lemma (P. Grisvard, 1985) If $f \in L^2(\Omega)$, the solution u of Problem (1) related to the crack O_i is written as $u = u_R + \sum_{i=1}^4 \lambda_i S_i$ where $u_R \in H^4(\Omega)$ and $\lambda_i \in \mathbb{R}$ for $i \in \{1, ..., 4\}$. This singular part is described below by its polar coordinates

$$S_i(r,\theta) = r^{\alpha_i} \sin(\alpha_i \theta) \eta_i(r) \tag{12}$$

where $\alpha_i = \frac{\pi}{\omega_i}$ is the singularity exponent related to the crack O_i and η_i is cut-off function equal to 1 on the neighbourhood of vertex of the open O_i .

By Grisvard Lemma, in each non-convex vertices, we have finite number of dual singular solutions associated with the domain Ω .

Pose $\omega_i^* = r^{-j}S_i(r,\theta) = r^{\alpha_i - j}sin(\alpha_i\theta)\eta_i(r)$ for $1 \le i \le k$ and $j \in \{1, 3\}$. According to Grisvard (1985; 1989) and Timouyas (2003), $(\omega_i^*)_{1 \le i \le k}$ is the family of dual singular solutions associated to *m* angles of non-convex vertex of domain Ω . This family is linearly independent and verifies

$$\forall i \in \{1, ..., k\}, \omega_i^* \in L^2(\Omega) \cap V_i^c \text{ and } \begin{cases} \Delta^2 \omega_i^* = 0 \text{ in } \Omega\\ \gamma \frac{\partial \omega_i^*}{\partial \nu} = \gamma \omega_i^* = 0 \text{ in } \Gamma \end{cases}$$
(13)

with V_i the i^{th} open neighbourhood of vertex of O_i of the domain Ω . The singularity coefficients $(\lambda_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ associeted with problem

$$\begin{cases} Find \ u \ \in H_0^2(\Omega), such that\\ \forall v \in H_0^2(\Omega) : \int_{\Omega} \Delta u \Delta v dx = \int_{\Omega} f v dx \end{cases}$$
(14)

are obtained as

$$\lambda_i = \int_{\Omega} f \omega_i^* dx \tag{15}$$

3.2 Cancellations of Singularities

Theorem It exist k infinitely differentiable functions with compact support contained in \overline{O} such that if $f \in L^2(\Omega)$ and $(\lambda_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ the singularity coefficients corresponding to the problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 u = f \text{ in } \Omega\\ \gamma u = \frac{\partial u}{\partial v} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$
(16)

then the solution of problem

$$\begin{cases} \Delta^2 \varphi = f - \sum_{i=1}^k \lambda_i g_i \text{ in } \Omega\\ \gamma \varphi = \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \nu} = 0 \text{ on } \Gamma \end{cases}$$
(17)

verifies $\varphi \in H^4(\Omega) \cap H^2_0(\Omega)$.

Proof. The dual singular solutions of (16) verifies hypotheses of Theorem 2.2. Hence it exist a family $(g_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ of functions with compact support contained in \overline{O} such that

$$\forall \ 0 \le i < j \le k, \int_{\Omega} \omega_i g_j dx = \delta_{ij}$$
⁽¹⁸⁾

Let $(\lambda_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ the singularity coefficients associeted with (15) and $(\zeta_i)_{1 \le i \le k}$ the singularity coefficients of (16). So we have:

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta_i &= \int_{\Omega} \omega_i^* \Delta^2 \varphi dx = \int_{\Omega} \omega_i^* (f - \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda_l g_l) dx \\ &= \int_{\Omega} \omega_i^* f dx - \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda_l \int_{\Omega} \omega_i^* g_l dx = \lambda_i - \sum_{l=1}^k \lambda_l \delta_{il} = \lambda_i - \lambda_i = 0 \end{aligned}$$

 $\zeta_i = 0$. Consequently and the solution is $\varphi \in H^4(\Omega) \cap H^2_0(\Omega)$

Acknowledgment

The authors would like to thank the Referee for his comments and suggestions.



Figure 1. Non-convex cracked domain

References

- Bayili, G. (2009). Contrôle des coefficients de singularités et contrôlabilité exacte dans un domaine polygonal avec fissure. Thèse unique, Université de Ouagadougou, Burkina Faso.
- Grisvard, P. (1974). Problème de dirichlet dans un domaine non régulier. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris., 278, Série A, 1615-1617.
- Grisvard, P. (1985). *Elliptic problems in nonsmooth domains*. Vol. 24 of Monographs and Studies in Mathematics. Pitman (Advanced Publishing Program), Boston, MA.
- Grisvard, P. (1989). Contrôlabilité exacte des solutions de l'équation des ondes en présence de singularités. *J. Maths. Pures et Appl.*, 215-259.
- Grisvard, P. (1992). *Singularities in boundary value problems*. Research Notes in Applied Mathematics. Series Edition: P. G. Ciarlet and J.-L. Lions. Springer-Verlag.
- Hormander, L. (1976). Linear partial differential operateurs. Springer-Verlag.
- Kondratiev, V. A. (1967). Boundary value problems for elliptic equation in domain with conical or angular points. *Transactions Moscow Mat. Soc.*, 227-313.
- Mary Teuw Niane, Gilbert Bayili, Abdoulaye Sène, Abdou Sène, & Mamadou Sy. (2006). Is it possible to cancel singularities in a domain with corners and cracks? *C. R., Math., Acad. Sci. Paris, 343*(2), 115-118. http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.crma.2006.05.003
- Seck, C., Bayili, G., Séne, A., & Niane, M. T. (2011). Contrôlabilité exacte de l'équation des ondes dans des espaces de sobolev non réguliers pour un ouvert poygonal. *Afrika Matematika*, 21(11).
- Timouyas, H. (2003). Analyse et analyse numérique des singularités en électromagnétisme. Ph. D. thesis, Ecole Centrale de Lyon.