

Optimal Couplings of Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_p -distance

Yinfang Shen (Corresponding author)

Institute of Mathematics and Statistics, Zhejiang University of Finance and Economics
PO box 310018, Hangzhou, China
Tel: 86-158-8889-6193 E-mail: fsilver@163.com

Received: May 24, 2011 Accepted: June 9, 2011 Published: November 1, 2011

doi:10.5539/jmr.v3n4p3 URL: <http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v3n4p3>

The research is supported by Zhejiang Provincial Education Department Research Projects (Y201016421)

Abstract

We achieve that the optimal solutions according to Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_p -distance ($p > 2$) (abbreviation: KRW L_p -distance) in a bounded region of Euclidean plane satisfy a partial differential equation. We can also obtain the similar results about Monge-Kantorovich problem with more general convex cost functions.

Keywords: Monge-Kantorovich Problem, KRW L_p -distance, Optimal coupling, Partial differential equation

1. Introduction

The classical mass transportation problem of Monge and its version of Kantorovich has found a lot of recent interest because of its applications in lots of fields. Given two probability distributions P and \tilde{P} on R^2 . A 4-dimensional random vector (X, Y) with P and \tilde{P} as the marginal distributions is called a coupling of this pair (P, \tilde{P}) . The minimum of the coupling distance $\|X - Y\|_{L_p}$ ($p \geq 1$) among all such possible couplings is called KRW L_p -distance between P and \tilde{P} , whose coupling is called optimal coupling. This problem has been only completely solved in one dimensional case. In R^1 , KRW L_p -distance is just given by

$$\left\{ \int_0^1 |F^{-1}(u) - \tilde{F}^{-1}(u)|^p du \right\}^{\frac{1}{p}}, \quad (1)$$

where F and \tilde{F} are distribution functions of P and \tilde{P} respectively, $F^{-1}(u)$ and $\tilde{F}^{-1}(u)$ ($0 \leq u \leq 1$) are their right inverses.

In our recent paper (Yinfang & Weian, 2010), we have transformed the Monge-Kantorovich problem as $p = 2$ into Dirichlet boundary problems, we have also obtained the corresponding partial differential equations group in (Yinfang, 2011), and we have achieved an explicit formula of Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_p -distance ($p > 2$) in (Yinfang, 2011). Now we draw a conclusion that the optimal couplings according to KRW L_p -distance ($p > 2$) in a bounded region of Euclidean plane satisfies a partial differential equation. The proofs are based on variational method from probability point of view. We can also get the similar results about Monge-Kantorovich problem with more general convex cost functions.

2. Main results

Without losing generality, we may consider two probability measures P and \tilde{P} on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$. Let X and Y be two random vectors defined on a same probability space with P and \tilde{P} as their individual laws, and $p \geq 2$. Then

$$E|X - Y|^p = E(|X_1 - Y_1|^2 + |X_2 - Y_2|^2)^{\frac{p}{2}}. \quad (2)$$

We assume further their density functions $f(x, y)$ and $\tilde{f}(x, y)$ are smooth and strictly positive on their domains. Denote the marginal densities

$$f_1(x) = \int_0^1 f(x, y) dy, f_2(y) = \int_0^1 f(x, y) dx,$$

and

$$\tilde{f}_1(x) = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(x, y) dy, \tilde{f}_2(y) = \int_0^1 \tilde{f}(x, y) dx.$$

Furthermore, denote the conditional distributions

$$F_{1|2}(x|y) = \frac{1}{f_2(y)} \int_0^x f(u,y)du, F_{2|1}(y|x) = \frac{1}{f_1(x)} \int_0^y f(x,u)du,$$

and

$$\tilde{F}_{1|2}(x|y) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_2(y)} \int_0^x \tilde{f}(u,y)du, \tilde{F}_{2|1}(y|x) = \frac{1}{\tilde{f}_1(x)} \int_0^y \tilde{f}(x,u)du,$$

which are strictly increasing with respect to their first argument so their inverse functions with respect to their first arguments exist.

Now Denote by \mathcal{G} the set of all density functions $g(x,y)$ on $[0, 1] \times [0, 1]$ such that $f_1(x) = \int_0^1 g(x,y)dy$ and $\tilde{f}_1(y) = \int_0^1 g(x,y)dx$. Then we have

Lemma 1. (Yinfang, 2011) Suppose that X, Y are the optimal coupling, hence

$$\begin{aligned} E|X - Y|^p &= \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \\ &\quad g(x,y)g(x,t) \frac{1}{f_1(x)} dt dx dy. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

So we just need to look for a density function $g(x,y) \in \mathcal{G}$ minimizes (3). Actually, we have

Theorem 1. When $p > 2$, $g \in \mathcal{G}$ minimize (3), then

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} \left\{ \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{g(x,t)}{f_1(x)} dt \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \int_0^1 (x-t)^p \frac{g(x,y)}{f_1(x)} dt \right\} = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proof: For $0 < a_1 < a_2 < 1$ and $0 < b_1 < b_2 < 1$ when ϵ is small enough, s.t. $a_1 + \epsilon < a_2 < a_2 + \epsilon < 1, b_1 + \epsilon < b_2 < b_2 + \epsilon < 1$. Define

$$\xi(s,t) = I_{([a_1, a_1+\epsilon] \times [b_1, b_1+\epsilon]) \cup ([a_2, a_2+\epsilon] \times [b_2, b_2+\epsilon])} (s,t) - I_{([a_1, a_1+\epsilon] \times [b_2, b_2+\epsilon]) \cup ([a_2, a_2+\epsilon] \times [b_1, b_1+\epsilon])} (s,t). \quad (5)$$

and then $g(s,t) + \delta\xi(s,t) \in \mathcal{G}$ when both ϵ, δ are small. Since g is the minimum,

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left\{ \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u) + \delta\xi(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u) + \delta\xi(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \right. \\ &\quad (g(x,y) + \delta\xi(x,y))(g(x,t) + \delta\xi(x,t)) \frac{1}{f_1(x)} dt dx dy \\ &\quad \left. - \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x,u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} g(x,y)g(x,t) \frac{1}{f_1(x)} dt dx dy \right\} \end{aligned}$$

Denote $\int_0^t \frac{g(x,v)}{f_1(x)} dv = \phi(x,t)$, letting $\epsilon \rightarrow 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &\leq \int_0^1 \int_{b_1}^{b_2} ((a_1 - y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1,u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1,u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\ &\quad (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1,u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1,u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y)) \\ &\quad (\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(a_1,t)} F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1,u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(a_1,t)} \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1,u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y)) p \delta g(a_1,y) g(a_1,t) \frac{1}{f_1^2(a_1)} dt dy \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
& - \int_0^1 \int_{b_1}^{b_2} ((a_2 - y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\
& (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y)) \\
& (\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(a_2, t)} F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(a_2, t)} \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y)) p \delta g(a_2, y) g(a_2, t) \frac{1}{f_1^2(a_2)} dt dy \\
& + \int_0^1 ((a_1 - b_1)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_1, t) \frac{1}{f_1(a_1)} dt \\
& - \int_0^1 ((a_2 - b_1)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_2, t) \frac{1}{f_1(a_2)} dt \\
& - \int_0^1 ((a_1 - b_2)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_1, t) \frac{1}{f_1(a_1)} dt \\
& + \int_0^1 ((a_2 - b_2)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_2, t) \frac{1}{f_1(a_2)} dt \\
& + \int_0^1 ((a_1 - y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_1} \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_1} \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_1, y) \frac{1}{f_1(a_1)} dt \\
& - \int_0^1 ((a_1 - y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_2} \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_2} \frac{g(a_1, u)}{f_1(a_1)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_1, y) \frac{1}{f_1(a_1)} dt \\
& - \int_0^1 ((a_2 - y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_1} \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_1} \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_2, y) \frac{1}{f_1(a_2)} dt \\
& + \int_0^1 ((a_2 - y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_2} \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^{b_2} \frac{g(a_2, u)}{f_1(a_2)} du|y))^2)^{\frac{p}{2}} \delta g(a_2, y) \frac{1}{f_1(a_2)} dt
\end{aligned}$$

Consequently we can say

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} N(x, y) \geq 0, \quad (6)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}
N(x, y) &= - \int_0^1 \int_0^y [(x-t)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|t))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}-1} \\
&\quad (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|t)) \frac{g(x, t) g(x, w)}{f_1^2(x)} \\
&\quad (\frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(x, w)} F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \frac{\partial}{\partial \phi(x, w)} \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|t)) dw dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{g(x, t)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 [(x-t)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^y \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^y \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|t))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{g(x, y)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
&= - \int_0^1 [(x-t)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^w \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|t))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \Big|_{w=0}^y \frac{g(x, y)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{g(x, t)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 [(x-t)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^y \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^y \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|t))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{g(x, y)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
&= \int_0^1 [(x-y)^2 + (F_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|x) - \tilde{F}_{2|1}^{-1}(\int_0^t \frac{g(x, u)}{f_1(x)} du|y))^2]^{\frac{p}{2}} \frac{g(x, t)}{f_1(x)} dt \\
&\quad + \int_0^1 (x-t)^p \frac{g(x, y)}{f_1(x)} dt.
\end{aligned}$$

On the other hand, if one replace $g + \delta\xi$ by $g - \delta\xi$, the same computation leads

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} N(x, y) \leq 0. \quad (7)$$

Thus we deduce that

$$\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x \partial y} N(x, y) = 0, \quad \forall 0 < x, y < 1. \quad (8)$$

References

- Ambrosio, L. (2002). Optimal transport maps in Monge-Kantorovich problem, *Proceedings of ICM Beijing*, Vol. iii, 131-140.
- Brenier, Y. (1987). Décomposition polaire et réarrangement monotone des champs de vecteurs, *C.R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Sér I Math.*, 305, 805-808.
- Brenier, Y. (1991). Polar factorization and monotone rearrangement of vector-valued functions, *Comm. Pure Appl. Math.* 44, 375-417.
- Caffarelli, L. (2002). Non linear elliptic theory and the Monge-Ampere equation, *Proceedings of the ICM Beijing*, vol. i, 179-187.
- Durrett, R. (2005). *Probability, theory and examples*, Thomson, Brooks/Cole.
- Evans, L. C. & Gangbo, W. (1999). Differential equations methods for the Monge-Kantorovich mass transfer problem, *Mem. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137, no. 653.
- Givens, C. R. & Short, R. M. (1984). A Class of Wasserstein Metrics for Probability Distributions, *J Michigan Math.*, 31, 231-140. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1029003026>
- Kantorovich, L. V. (1942). On the transfer of masses, *Dokl. Akad. Nauk. SSSR*, 37, 227-229.
- Knott, M. & Smith, C. S. (1987). Note on the optimal transportation of distributions, *J Optim. Theory Appl.*, 52, 323-329. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00941290>
- Monge, G. (1781). Memoire sur la Theorie des Dblais et des Remblais, *Histoire de l'Acad. des Sciences de Paris*.
- Mufa, C. (1992). *From Markov Chains to Non-Equilibrium Particle Systems*, World Scientific.
- Rachev, S.T. (1985). The Monge-Kantorovich mass transference problem and its stochastic applications, *Theory of Probability and Its Applications*, 29, 647C676.
- Rachev, S.T. & Rüschorf, L. (1990). A characterization of random variables with minimum L_2 distance, *J. Multivariate Anal.*, 32, 48-54. [http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-259X\(90\)90070-X](http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/0047-259X(90)90070-X)
- Rachev, S. T. & Rüschorf, L. (1998). *Mass transportation problems*, Vol I:Theory, Vol. II: Applications, Springer.
- Rüschorf, L. (1985). The Wasserstein distance and approximation theorems, *Z. Wahrs. verw. Ge-biete*, 70, 117-129.
- Rüschorf, L. (1995). Optimal solutions of multivariate coupling problems, *Appl. Mathematics*, 23, 325C338.
- Rüschorf, L. (1996). On c-optimal random variables, *Statistics Prob. Letters*, 27, 267C 270.
- Trudinger, N. S. (2006). Recent developments in elliptic partial differential equations of Monge-Ampère type, *ICM*, invited lecture.
- Trudinger, N. S. & Xujia, W. (2001). On the Monge mass transfer problem, *Calc. Var. PDE*, 13, 19-31. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/PL00000992>
- Villani, C. (2003). *Topics in optimal transportation*, AMS.
- Vallender, S. (1973). Calculation of the Wasserstein distance between probability distributions on the line, *Theory prob.Appl.*, 18, 785-786.
- Weian, Z. (2000). Rate Estimation for Weak Convergence of Diffusion Processes, *Skorohod's Ideas in Probability Theory*, National Academy of Science of Ukraine, Institute of Mathematics, Kyiv, 318-332.
- Yinfang, S. & Weian, Z. (2010). On Monge-Kantorovich Problem in the Plane, *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris, Ser. I*, 348, 267-271.

Yinfang, S. (2011). Multivariate Monge-Kantorovich Transportation Problem, *Journal of Mathematics Research*, 3, No. 2, 66-73.

Yinfang, S. (2011). Kantorovich-Rubinstein-Wasserstein L_p -distance ($p > 2$), *Science & Technology Information*, No. 15, 22.