

The Minimum Numbers for Certain Positive Operators

Ching-Yun Suen

Correspondence: Ching-Yun Suen, Foundational Sciences, Texas A&M University at Galveston, P. O. Box 1675, Galveston, Texas 77553-1675, USA.

Received: June 4, 2020 Accepted: August 5, 2020 Online Published: August 19, 2020

doi:10.5539/jmr.v12n5p15

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v12n5p15>

Abstract

In this paper we give upper and lower bounds of the infimum of k such that $kI + 2Re(T \otimes S_m)$ is positive, where S_m is the $m \times m$ matrix whose entries are all 0's except on the superdiagonal where they are all 1's and $T \in B(H)$ for some Hilbert space H .

When T is self-adjoint, we have the minimum of k .

When $m = 3$ and $T \in B(H)$, we obtain the minimum of k and an inequality

Involving the numerical radius $w(T)$.

Keywords: positive operators, operator radius, w_ρ norms

AMS Subject Classifications (2010): 47A63

1. Introduction

Let $B(H)$ denote the algebra of all bounded linear operator on a Hilbert space H . Given $T \in B(H)$, we say that $T \in C_\rho$ ($0 < \rho < \infty$) if there is a unitary operator U on a Hilbert space K containing H as a subspace, such that $T^n = \rho P_H U^n|_H$ ($n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$). Sz-Nagy and Foias introduced the class C_ρ [6], J. A. R. Holbrook[4] and J. P.

Williams[9] defined the operator radii $w_\rho(T) = \inf \left\{ r > 0 : \frac{T}{r} \in C_\rho \right\}$. When $\rho = 2$, then $w_2(T) = w(T)$ the numerical radius of T . In the paper we provide upper and lower bounds which are best numbers for certain positive

operators. Ando and Okubo [1] introduce $D_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} \\ 0 & 1-\rho \end{pmatrix}$ and $\rho w_\rho(T) = 2w(D_\rho \otimes T)$ for $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$.

We obtain the minimum of k such that

$$kI + 2Re(D_\rho \otimes T) \geq 0 \text{ for all } m \geq 2 \text{ is equal to } \rho w_\rho(T) \text{ for } 1 \leq \rho \leq 2.$$

If T is self-adjoint, we prove the minimum of k such that

$$kI + 2Re(T \otimes S_m) \geq 0 \text{ for all } m \geq 2 \text{ is equal to } 2\cos \frac{\pi}{m+1} \|T\|.$$

Finally, we prove an inequality $\sqrt{2}w(T) \leq \|TT^* + T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2w(T)$.

2. W_ρ Norms ($1 \leq \rho \leq 2$)

Definition 2.1. [9] Let H and K be Hilbert spaces and suppose that $U \in B(H)$ and $V \in B(K)$. Then there is a unique operator $U \otimes V \in B(H \otimes K)$ such that

$$(U \otimes V)(h \otimes k) = U(h) \otimes V(k) \text{ for } h \in H \text{ and } k \in K.$$

Lemma 2.2. $w_\rho(S \otimes T) \leq \|T\|w_\rho(S)$ for $\rho > 0$.

Proof. Since $S \otimes I$ and $I \otimes T$ are double commuting operators, applying [5], we have

$$\begin{aligned} w_\rho(S \otimes T) &= w_\rho((S \otimes I)(I \otimes T)) \\ &\leq w_\rho(S \otimes I)\|I \otimes T\| = w_\rho(S \otimes I)\|T\|. \end{aligned}$$

Since $\frac{S}{w_\rho(S)} = \rho P_H U|_H$ for some unitary operator U and Hilbert space H ,

We have

$$\left(\frac{S}{w_\rho(S)} \otimes I\right)^n = \rho(P_H \otimes I)(U \otimes I)^n|_{H \otimes K} \text{ for some Hilbert space } K.$$

Thus $\frac{S}{w_\rho(S)} \otimes I \in C_\rho,$

Hence

$$w_\rho(S \otimes I) \leq w_\rho(S).$$

From the proof of [7, Proposition 2.1], we know the following Lemma:

Lemma 2.3. If $\dots \begin{pmatrix} 2 & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & 2 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0$, then $w(T)w(S_m) \leq 1$ where

$$S_m = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \ddots & 0 \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & \cdot & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \text{ with } m \geq 2 \text{ and } T \in B(H).$$

Proof. Let $\lambda = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \\ \vdots \\ \lambda_m \end{pmatrix}$ with $\|\lambda\| = 1$ and $h_i = \lambda_i h$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$,

where $h \in H$ with $\|h\| = 1$, then

$$\begin{aligned} &< \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & \bar{z} & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & \bar{z}^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 2 & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & 2 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & z & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \dots & 0 & z^{m-1} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \end{pmatrix}, \\ &\begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \end{pmatrix} > = < \begin{pmatrix} 2 & zT & 0 & 0 \\ \bar{z}T^* & 2 & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & zT \\ 0 & 0 & \bar{z}T^* & 2 \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} h_1 \\ h_2 \\ \vdots \\ h_m \end{pmatrix} > \end{aligned}$$

$$= 2 + 2\text{Re}(z \langle S_m \lambda, \lambda \rangle \langle Th, h \rangle) \geq 0 \text{ for } |z| = 1.$$

Similarly, we have

$$2 - 2\text{Re}(z \langle S_m \lambda, \lambda \rangle \langle Th, h \rangle) \geq 0$$

Thus

$$|\text{Re} z \langle S_m \lambda, \lambda \rangle \langle Th, h \rangle| \leq 1 \text{ for all } |z| = 1.$$

Hence

$$|\langle S_m \lambda, \lambda \rangle \langle Th, h \rangle| \leq 1.$$

From [1], we know that $D_\rho = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{\rho(2-\rho)} \\ 0 & 1-\rho \end{pmatrix}$ and $\rho w_\rho(T) = 2w(D_\rho \otimes T)$ for $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$.

Lemma 2.4.

$$w(S_m) \leq w_\rho(S_m) \leq \frac{2}{\rho} w(S_m), \text{ for } 1 \leq \rho \leq 2 \text{ and } m \geq 2.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.2, we have $w_\rho(S_m) = \frac{2}{\rho} w(D_\rho \otimes S_m) \leq \frac{2}{\rho} w(S_m)$.

We have upper and lower bounds for certain positive operators in the following:

Theorem 2.5. $2w(S_m)w(T) \leq \inf\{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0\}$

$\leq 2w(S_m)\|T\|$ for a fixed positive integer $m \geq 2$.

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.3, if $\begin{pmatrix} k & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0$

then

$$2w(T)w(S_m) \leq k.$$

Applying Lemma 2.2, we have

$$w(S_m)\|T\| \geq w(T \otimes S_m) = \sup_{|\lambda|=1} \{Re\lambda(T \otimes S_m)\}$$

$\geq Re\lambda(T \otimes S_m)$, for all $|\lambda|=1$.

We have the $m \times m$ matrix $\begin{pmatrix} 2w(S_m)\|T\| & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & 2w(S_m)\|T\| \end{pmatrix}$

$$= 2w(S_m)\|T\| + T \otimes S_m + T^* \otimes S_m^* = 2(w(S_m)\|T\| + Re(T \otimes S_m)) \geq 0.$$

If T is self-adjoint, we obtain the minimum value of k in the following:

Corollary 2.6. If $T = T^*$, then

$$\min\{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0\} = 2w(S_m)\|T\| = 2\cos\frac{\pi}{m+1}\|T\|, \text{ for } m \geq 2.$$

Proof. From [3], we know that $(S_m) = \cos\frac{\pi}{m+1}$.

Applying Lemma 2.2 and Theorem 2.5, we have the following Theorem:

Theorem 2.7.

$$\min\{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & D_\rho \otimes T & 0 & 0 \\ (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & D_\rho \otimes T \\ 0 & 0 & (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0, \text{ for all } m \geq 2\} = \rho w_\rho(T), \text{ for } 1 \leq \rho \leq 2.$$

Proof. Applying Lemma 2.2, we have $w(D_\rho \otimes T) \geq w(D_\rho \otimes T \otimes S_m)$ for all $m \geq 2$. From the proof of Theorem 2.5, we also have the $m \times m$ matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2w(D_\rho \otimes T) & D_\rho \otimes T & 0 & 0 \\ (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & \ddots & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & D_\rho \otimes T \\ 0 & 0 & (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & 2w(D_\rho \otimes T) \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= 2(w(D_\rho \otimes T) + Re(D_\rho \otimes T \otimes S_m)) \geq 0.$$

Applying Theorem 2.5, we have

$$2w(S_m)w(D_\rho \otimes T) \leq$$

$$\inf \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & D_\rho \otimes T & 0 & 0 \\ (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & D_\rho \otimes T \\ 0 & 0 & (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0\}$$

$\leq 2w(D_\rho \otimes T) = \rho w_\rho(T)$, for all $m \geq 2$ and $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$.

Let $m \rightarrow \infty$, we have the Theorem.

We obtain [7, Proposition 2.2] in the following:

Corollary 2.8. $\min \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & T & 0 & 0 \\ T^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & T \\ 0 & 0 & T^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0, \text{ for all } m \geq 2\} = 2w(T)$.

Proof. Let $\rho = 2$ in Theorem 2.7.

Example 2.9. From Corollary 2.6, we have

$$\min \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0, \text{ for } m \geq 2\} = 2 \cos \frac{\pi}{m+1}.$$

and from Corollary 2.8, we have

$$\min \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0, \text{ for all } m \geq 2\} = 2.$$

Corollary 2.10. If T is idempotent (that is, $T^2 = T$) and $T \neq 0$,

Then $\min \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & D_\rho \otimes T & 0 & 0 \\ (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & k & \ddots & 0 \\ 0 & \ddots & \ddots & D_\rho \otimes T \\ 0 & 0 & (D_\rho \otimes T)^* & k \end{pmatrix}_{m \times m} \geq 0, \text{ for all } m \geq 2\} = \|T\| + \rho - 1$ for $1 \leq \rho \leq 2$.

Proof. By [2, Theorem 6 (1)], $\rho w_\rho(T) = \|T\| + \rho - 1$.

We obtain an inequality involving the numerical radius of T in the following:

Corollary 2.11. $\sqrt{2}w(T) \leq \|TT^* + T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2w(T)$. Moreover, if T is normal (that is $T^*T = TT^*$), then $\|T\| \leq \sqrt{2}w(T)$.

Proof. From [8], we have $\min \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & T & 0 \\ T^* & k & T \\ 0 & T^* & k \end{pmatrix} \geq 0\} = \|TT^* + T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}}$.

By Theorem 2.5 and Corollary 2.8, we have

$$2w(S_3)w(T) \leq \|TT^* + T^*T\|^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq 2w(T).$$

Example 2.12. Since $2w(s_3)w(s_2) = \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} \leq \min \{k: \begin{pmatrix} k & s_2 & 0 \\ s_2^* & k & s_2 \\ 0 & s_2^* & k \end{pmatrix} \geq 0\} = 1 \leq 2w(s_3)\|s_2\| = \sqrt{2}$, we have the

lower and upper bounds of Theorem 2.5. Also, $\sqrt{2}w(T)$ and $2w(T)$ are the best constants in the inequality of Corollary 2.11.

3. Conclusion

We have minimum norms for certain positive operators with finite or infinite size.

References

- Ando, T., & Nishio, K. (1973). Convexity properties of operator radii associated with ρ -dilations. *Michigan Math. J.*, 20, 303-307. <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1029001147>
- Ando, T., & Okubo, K. (1991). *Induced norms of the Schur multiplier operator*, *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 147, 181-199. [https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795\(91\)90234-N](https://doi.org/10.1016/0024-3795(91)90234-N)
- Haagerup, U., & de la Harpe, P. (1992). The numerical radius of a nilpotent operator on a Hilbert space. *Proc. Amer. Math.*, 115, 371-379. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1992-1072339-6>
- Holbrook, J. A. R. (1968). On the power-bounded operators of Sz-Nagy and Foias. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 29, 299-310. <https://doi.org/10.1307/mmj/1029000638>
- Holbrook, J. A. R. (1971). Inequalities governing the operator radii associated with unitary ρ -dilations. *Michigan Math. J.*, 18, 149-159.
- Suen, C. Y. (1995). The minimum norm of certain completely positive maps, *Proc. Amer. Math.*, 123, 2407-2416. <https://doi.org/10.1090/S0002-9939-1995-1213870-2>
- Suen, C. Y. (2018). Strictly positivity of operators and inflated Schur product. *J. of Math. Research*, 10, 30-42. <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmr.v10n6p30>
- Sz-Nagy, B., & Foias, C. (1996). On certain classes of power-bounded operators in Hilbert space. *Acta Sci. Math. (Szeged)*, 27, 17-25.
- Takesaki, M. (1979). *Theory of operator algebra I*, Springer. Berlin, Heidelberg, and New York. <https://doi.org/10.1007/978-1-4612-6188-9>
- Williams, J. P. (1968). Schwarz norms for operators. *Pacific J. Math.*, 24, 181-188. <https://doi.org/10.2140/pjm.1968.24.181>

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).