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Abstract
In this paper, we firstly recall the definition of an uncertain fractional forward difference equation with Riemann-Liouville-like forward difference. After that analytic solutions to a generalized uncertain fractional difference equations are solved by using the Picard successive iteration method. Moreover, the existence and uniqueness theorem of the solutions are proved by applying Banach contraction mapping theorem. Finally, two examples are presented to illustrate the validity of the existence and uniqueness theorem.
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1. Introduction
Fractional calculus and fractional differential equations are rising fields recently drawing attention from both applied and theoretical disciplines. During the last two decades, they have been successfully applied to several fields (Miller, K. S., & Ross, B. 1993; Podlubny, I. 1999; Kilbas, A. A., Srivastava, H. M., & Trujillo, J. J. 2006; Diethelm, K. 2010; Hamasalh F. K., & Mohammed, P. O. 2015a; Hamasalh F. K., & Mohammed, P. O. 2015b). There are many interested researches on what is usually called integer-order difference equations; see (Agarwal, R. P. 2000; Bohner, M., & Peterson, A. C. 2003).
Motivated by the works mentioned above, we will define a generalized uncertain fractional forward difference equation (GUFFDE) and present an existence and uniqueness theorem of solutions to a special type of GUFFDEs.

2. Preliminaries
In this section, we recall some important facts in uncertainty theory; see for more details (Atici F., & Eloe, P. 2007; Atici F., & Eloe, P. 2009; Goodrich, C. 2011; Goodrich, C., & Peterson, A. 2015; Wu, G., & Baleanu, D. 2015; Wu, G., Baleanu, D., & Luo, W. 2017; Wu, G., Baleanu, D., & Zeng, S. 2018; Zhu, Y. 2015a; Zhu, Y. 2015b; Lu, Z., & Zhu, Y. 2018; Lu, Z., & Zhu, Y. 2019; Lu, Q., Zhu, Y., & Lu, Z. 2019). Let us denote by \( \mathcal{F}_D \) the set of real valued functions defined on \( \mathcal{D} \). Let \( \mathbb{N}_b = \{b, b + 1, b + 2, \ldots \} \) for \( b \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( \sigma(r) := r + 1 \) for \( r \in \mathbb{N}_b \).

**Definition 2.1** (Holm, M. (2011)). For a function \( p : \mathbb{N}_b \to \mathbb{R} \) the forward difference operator is defined as:

\[
\Delta p(z) = p(\sigma(z)) - p(z), \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_b,
\]
while the difference sum is given by

\[ \Delta_b^{-1} p(z) = \sum_{r=b}^{r=0} p(r), \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_b. \] (1)

**Definition 2.2** (Atici F., & Eloe, P. 2007; Holm, M. 2011). For arbitrary \( t, v \in \mathbb{R} \) the \( h \)-factorial function is defined by:

\[ z^{(v)} = \frac{\Gamma(z + 1)}{\Gamma(z + 1 - v)}, \]
\[ z^{(0)} = 1, \]

where \( \Gamma \) is the well-known Euler gamma function, and we use the convention that division at a pole yields zero.

**Definition 2.3** (Atici F., & Eloe, P. 2007; Holm, M. 2011). Let \( p \in \mathcal{F}_D \). The fractional \( h \)-sum of order \( v > 0 \) is given by:

\[ \Delta_h^{-v} p(z) = \frac{1}{\Gamma(v)} \sum_{r=b}^{r=0} (z - \sigma(r))^{(v-1)} p(r), \]
\[ \Delta_h^{0} p(z) = p(z), \]

where \( b \in \mathbb{R}, \sigma(r) = r + 1 \), and \( \Delta_h^{-v} p(z) \) is defined on \( \mathbb{N}_{b+} \).

**Lemma 2.1** (Atici F., & Eloe, P. 2007; Holm, M. 2011). Let \( p \in \mathcal{F}_D \) and \( v, \theta > 0 \), then we have:

\[ b+\theta \Delta^{-v} \left[ \Delta_h^{-\theta} p(z) \right] = \Delta_h^{-\theta + v} p(z) = b+\theta \Delta^{-\theta} \left[ \Delta_h^{-v} p(z) \right] \]

for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{b+\theta} \).

**Lemma 2.2** (Holm, M. (2011)). Let \( p \in \mathcal{F}_D \) and \( m \) be a positive integer with \( 0 < m - 1 < q \leq m \), then the Riemann-Liouville-like fractional forward difference is given by:

\[ \Delta_h^{q} p(z) = \Delta^{m} \left( \Delta_h^{-(m-q)} p(z) \right). \]

**Lemma 2.3** (Holm, M. (2011)). Let \( p \in \mathcal{F}_D \) and \( v \geq 0 \), then we have:

\[ \Delta^{-v} \Delta^{\theta} p(z) = \Delta \Delta^{-v} p(z) - \frac{(z-b)^{(v-1)}}{\Gamma(v)} p(b) \]

for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{b+} \).

**Lemma 2.4** (Bastos, N. R. O et al (2011)). Let \( b, v \in \mathbb{R} \). Then for each \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{b+} \), we have

\[ \Delta_{b} \left( \frac{(z-b)^{(v)}}{\Gamma(v+1)} \right) = \frac{(z-b)^{(v-1)}}{\Gamma(v)} \frac{(z-b)^{(v)}}{\Gamma(v+1)}. \]

**Lemma 2.5** (Holm, M. (2011)). Let \( p \in \mathcal{F}_D \), \( v \in \mathbb{R} \) and \( q \) is any positive integer, then

\[ \Delta_h^{-v} \Delta^{q} p(z) = \Delta^{q} \Delta_h^{-v} p(z) - \sum_{k=0}^{q-1} \frac{(z-b)^{(v+k)}}{\Gamma(v+q+k+1)} \Delta^{k} p(b) \]

for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{b+} \).

**Lemma 2.6** (Holm, M. (2011)). Suppose that \( \theta, \theta + v \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{..., -2, -1\} \), then we have

\[ \Delta_h^{-v}(z-b + \theta)^{(\theta)} = \frac{\Gamma(\theta + 1)}{\Gamma(\theta + v + 1)} (z-b + \theta)^{(\theta + v)} \]

for each \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{b+} \).

Motivated by the definition of \( nth \) order forward sum for uncertain sequence \( s_z \), we define the \( vth \) order forward sum for uncertain sequence \( s_z \) as follows:
**Definition 2.4** (Lu, Q. et al (2019)). Let \( \nu \) be a positive real number, \( b \in \mathbb{R} \), and \( \varsigma_z \) be an uncertain sequence indexed by \( z \in \mathbb{N}_b \). Then

\[
\Delta^\nu_b \varsigma_z = \frac{1}{\Gamma(\nu)} \sum_{r=b}^{z} (z - \sigma(r))^{(\nu-1)} \varsigma_r.
\]

is called \( \nu \)th order forward fractional sum of uncertain sequence \( \varsigma_z \), where \( \sigma(r) = r + 1 \).

**Definition 2.5** (Lu, Q. et al (2019)). The fractional Riemann–Liouville-like forward difference for uncertain sequence \( \varsigma_z \) is defined by

\[
\Delta^\nu_b \varsigma_z = \Delta^n \left( \Delta^{-(\nu-n)}_b \varsigma_z \right).
\]

where \( \nu > 0 \) and \( 0 \leq n - 1 < \theta \leq n \), \( n \) represents a positive integer.

### 3. Uncertain Fractional Forward Difference Equation

In this section, a definition of the GUUFFDE will be exhibited. The analytic solutions to a class of the linear uncertain Riemann-Liouville fractional difference equations will be provided along with ones for linear uncertain first order forward difference equations.

**Definition 3.1.** A fractional difference equation is called an uncertain fractional difference equation if it is driven by an uncertain sequence. Further, an uncertain fractional forward difference equation for Riemann-Liouville type is the uncertain Riemann-Liouville fractional forward difference equation.

Consider the following generalized Riemann-Liouville fractional difference equation:

\[
\Delta^\theta_{\vartheta-n} \Upsilon(z) = G(z + \vartheta - n, \Upsilon(z + \vartheta - n)) + H(z + \vartheta - n, \Upsilon(z + \vartheta - n))\varsigma_{z+\vartheta-n}, \quad (8)
\]

subject to the initial condition

\[
\Delta^\theta_{\vartheta-n} \Upsilon(z) \big|_{t=0} = \Upsilon_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad (9)
\]

where \( \Delta^\theta_{\vartheta-n} \) denotes fractional Riemann-Liouville forward difference with \( 0 \leq n - 1 < \vartheta \leq n \), \( G, H \) are two real-valued functions defined on \( [0, \infty] \times \mathbb{R}, z \in \mathbb{N}_n \cap [0, T], n \in \mathbb{N}_0, \Upsilon_i \in \mathbb{R} \) is a crisp number, and \( \varsigma_{\vartheta-n}, \varsigma_{\vartheta}, \ldots, \varsigma_{z+\vartheta-n} \) are i.i.d. uncertain variables with symmetrical uncertainty distribution \( \mathcal{L}(a, b) \).

Apply the operator \( \Delta^\theta_{\vartheta-n} \) to equation (8) and in view of Definition 2.1, Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3, the initial value problem (8) and (9) is equivalent to the following uncertain fractional sum equation:

\[
\Upsilon(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\vartheta-n+i)}}{\Gamma(\vartheta-n+i+1)} \Upsilon_i + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\vartheta)} \sum_{r=0}^{z-\vartheta} (z - \sigma(r))^{(\vartheta-1)} \times \left[ G(r+\vartheta-n, \Upsilon(r+\vartheta-n)) + H(r+\vartheta-n, \Upsilon(r+\vartheta-n))\varsigma_{r+\vartheta-n} \right], \quad (10)
\]

for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{\vartheta} \cap [0, T] \) and \( n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \).

In this paper, the following special linear GUUFFDE will be considered:

\[
\Delta^\theta_{\vartheta-n} \Upsilon(z) = \lambda \Upsilon(z + \vartheta - n) + \lambda \varsigma_{z+\vartheta-n}, \quad (11)
\]

\[
\Delta^\theta_{\vartheta-n} \Upsilon(z) \big|_{t=0} = \Upsilon_i, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1 \quad (12)
\]

for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{\vartheta} \cap [0, T], n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \).

**Theorem 3.1.** The linear GUUFFDE (11) subject to the initial condition (12) has a solution

\[
\Upsilon(z) = \Upsilon_i F_{\theta,\lambda}(z) + \varsigma_z, \quad i = 0, 1, \ldots, n-1, \quad (13)
\]

for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_{\vartheta} \cap [0, T], n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \) and \( \lambda \in (0, 1) \), where \( \varsigma_z \) is an uncertain sequence with the uncertainty distribution \( \mathcal{L}(a \cdot e_{\theta,\lambda}(z), b \cdot e_{\theta,\lambda}(z)) \), and

\[
F_{\theta,\lambda}(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{k(\vartheta-n)}}{\Gamma((k+1)\vartheta-n+i+1)}, \quad (14)
\]
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and
\[
e_{\theta,\alpha}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a^k \frac{(z + (k - 1)(\theta - n))^{(k\theta)}}{\Gamma(k\theta + 1)}. \tag{15}
\]

**Proof.** Apply the operator \(\Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha}\) to equation (11) to obtain
\[
\Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} \left( \Delta_{\theta-n}^{\alpha} T(z) \right) = \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} T(z + \theta - n) + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} s_{z+\theta-n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_\theta \cap [0, T].
\tag{16}
\]

By using Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 to the left-side of (16) to obtain
\[
\Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} \left( \Delta_{\theta-n}^{\alpha} T(z) \right) = \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} \left( \Delta_{\theta}^{\alpha} \Delta_{\theta-n}^{\alpha} T(z) \right)
= \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} \left( \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} \right) T_i
= T(z) - \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i, \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_\theta \cap [0, T].
\]

Then equation (16) becomes
\[
T(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} T(z + \theta - n) + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} s_{z+\theta-n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_\theta \cap [0, T] \tag{17}
\]

which is the solution of the UFFDE (13).

To drive the solution, we use the Picard approximation by setting \(T_0(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i\) for \(z \in \mathbb{N}_\theta \cap [0, T]\). The other components can be determined by using the following recurrence relation:
\[
T_j(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} T_{j-1}(z + \theta - n) + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} s_{z+\theta-n}, \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_\theta \cap [0, T] \tag{18}
\]

for \(z \in \mathbb{N}_\theta \cap [0, T]\) and \(n, j \in \mathbb{N}_0\). Since \(s_{z+\theta-n}, \ldots, s_{z+\theta-n}\) are i.i.d. uncertain variables, we write \(s_{z+\theta-n} = \xi\) in distribution. By using Lemma 2.6 and the fact that the linear combination of finite independent uncertain variables (for example, linear uncertain variables, zigzag uncertain variables, normal uncertain variables, and lognormal uncertain variables) is an uncertain variable with positive linear combinations coefficient by Theorem 1.21-1.24 of Wu, G., & Baleanu, D. (2015), we obtain
\[
T_1(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} T_0(z + \theta - n) + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} \xi
\]
\[= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z + \theta - n)^{(2\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(2\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \frac{z^{(\theta)}}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} \xi \]
\[
T_2(z) = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} T_1(z + \theta - n) + \lambda \Delta_{\theta}^{-\alpha} \xi
\]
\[= \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{z^{(\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z + \theta - n)^{(2\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(2\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i + \lambda \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z + 2(\theta - n))^{(3\theta-n)}}{\Gamma(3\theta - n + i + 1)} T_i
\]
\[+ \lambda \frac{z^{(\theta)}}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} \xi + \lambda^2 \frac{(z + \theta - n)^{(2\theta)}}{\Gamma(2\theta + 1)} \xi,
\]
\[
\vdots
\]
and so on. Therefore,
\[
T_j(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{j} \lambda^k \frac{(z + (k - 1)(\theta - n))^{(k\theta)}}{\Gamma(k\theta + 1)} \xi + \sum_{k=0}^{j} \lambda^k \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z + k(\theta - n))^{(k+1)(\theta-n+i)}}{\Gamma((k + 1)(\theta - n + i + 1))} T_i,
\]
for $z \in N_{\theta} \cap [0, T]$ and $n \in N_{0}$. Since the two series

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{k} \frac{(z + (k - 1)(\theta - n))^{(k\theta)}}{\Gamma(k\theta + 1)},$$

and

$$\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z + k(\theta - n))^{(k\theta \cdot i - i)}}{\Gamma((k + 1)\theta - n + i + 1)}$$

are absolutely convergent for $|\lambda| < 1$ by the d’Alembert ratio comparison test, the limitation $\tilde{Y}(z) := \lim_{t \to \infty} Y_t$ exists. Thus

$$\tilde{Y}(z) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{k} \frac{(z + (k - 1)(\theta - n))^{(k\theta)}}{\Gamma(k\theta + 1)} \zeta + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} t^{k} \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} \frac{(z + k(\theta - n))^{(k\theta \cdot i + i)}}{\Gamma((k + 1)\theta - n + i + 1)} Y_i, \quad z \in N_{\theta} \cap [0, T].$$

Taking limit on both sides of (18) yields

$$\tilde{Y}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{(\theta - n + i)}\zeta}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} Y_i + \lambda \Delta_{\theta} \tilde{Y}(z + \theta - n) + \lambda \Delta_{\theta} \zeta \tilde{Y}(z - n), \quad z \in N_{\theta} \cap [0, T], n \in N_{0}.$$

That is, $\tilde{Y}(z)$ satisfies equation (17). Hence $\tilde{Y}(z)$ is a solution of equation (11) subject to the initial condition (12). Thus the proof of Theorem 3.1 is completed. 

4. Existence and Uniqueness

To find out the conditions that GUFDDEs have solutions, an existence and uniqueness theorem is provided in this section.

**Theorem 4.1.** Assume that $G(z, x)$ and $H(z, x)$ satisfy the Lipschitz condition

$$|G(z, x) - G(z, y)| + |H(z, x) - H(z, y)| \leq L|x - y|,$$

for some positive number $L$ that satisfies the following inequality:

$$L \leq \frac{\Gamma(\theta + 1) \Gamma(T + 1 - \theta)}{\Gamma(T + 1) \Gamma(Q + 1)}.$$

where $Q = |\lambda| \lor |b|$. Then GUFDDE (11) subject to the initial condition (12) has a unique solution $Y(z)$ for $z \in N_{\theta} \cap [0, T]$ almost surely.

**Proof.** Let $N_{\theta}$ be the set of all finite real sequences $x = \{x(z)\}_{n}$ which has $k$ terms with the norm $x = \max_{z \in N_{\theta} \cap [0, T]} |x(z)|$. It is evident that $(N_{\theta}, ||||)$ is a Banach space. For any $x_{\theta} \in N_{\theta}$, the operator $P$ is defined as follows:

$$P_{x_{\theta}} = \sum_{n=0}^{n-1} \frac{x^{(\theta - n + i)}\zeta}{\Gamma(\theta - n + i + 1)} Y_i + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \sum_{\nu=0}^{\theta} \frac{(z - \sigma\nu)^{(\theta - 1)}}{\Gamma(\theta)}$$

$$\times \left[G(r + \theta - n, Y(r + \theta - n)) + H(r + \theta - n, Y(r + \theta - n))\right].$$

At each time $t$, since $\zeta_{x}(\zeta_{x} \in N_{\theta} \cap [0, T])$ is an uncertain variable who has linear uncertainty distribution $L(a, b)$, we have $M(\zeta_{x}(\zeta_{x} < a) \cup (\zeta_{x} > b)) = 0$. For any given $\gamma \in \chi(\zeta_{x} < a) \cup (\zeta_{x} > b)$, $\zeta_{x}(\gamma) \leq Q(Q = |\lambda| \lor |b|)$ holds almost surely for
\( z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T] \), where \( \chi \) is the universal set on the uncertainty space. Then by the hypothesis and Lemma 2.4, we have

\[
\|P_{\xi}(\gamma) - P_{\xi}(\gamma)\| = \max_{z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T]} [P_{\xi}(\gamma) - P_{\xi}(\gamma)]
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \max_{z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T]} \sum_{r=0}^{n-\theta} (z - (r))^{(\theta-1)}
\]

\[
\times \left| G(r + \theta - n, \chi_{r+n}(\gamma)) - G(r + \theta - n, T_{r+n}(\gamma)) \right| + \left| H(r + \theta - n, \chi_{r+n}(\gamma)) - H(r + \theta - n, T_{r+n}(\gamma)) \right| \xi_{r+n}(\gamma)
\]

\[
\leq \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \max_{z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T]} \sum_{r=0}^{n-\theta} (z - (r))^{(\theta-1)}
\]

\[
\times \left| G(r + \theta - n, \chi_{r+n}(\gamma)) - G(r + \theta - n, T_{r+n}(\gamma)) \right| + Q \left| H(r + \theta - n, \chi_{r+n}(\gamma)) - H(r + \theta - n, T_{r+n}(\gamma)) \right|
\]

\[
\leq L(1 + Q) \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta)} \max_{z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T]} \sum_{r=0}^{n-\theta} (z - (r))^{(\theta-1)} \left[ \chi_{r+n}(\gamma) - T_{r+n}(\gamma) \right]
\]

\[
\leq L(1 + Q) \|\chi_{\xi}(\gamma) - T_{\xi}(\gamma)\| \max_{z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T]} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} z^{(\theta)} \right)
\]

\[
= L(1 + Q) \|\chi_{\xi}(\gamma) - T_{\xi}(\gamma)\| \max_{z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T]} \left( \frac{1}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} z^{(\theta)} \right)
\]

\[
= \frac{L(1 + Q)}{\Gamma(\theta + 1)} \|\chi_{\xi}(\gamma) - T_{\xi}(\gamma)\| \frac{\Gamma(\theta + 1)}{\Gamma(T + 1)} \|\chi_{\xi}(\gamma) - T_{\xi}(\gamma)\|.
\]

With \( 0 < L < \frac{\Gamma(\theta + 1)}{\Gamma(T + 1)} \), \( P \) is a contraction mapping in \( \mathbb{L}_\rho \) almost surely. Thus we obtain a unique fixed point \( T_{\xi}(\gamma) \) of \( P \) in \( \mathbb{L}_\rho \) almost surely by the Banach contraction mapping theorem. Furthermore, \( T_{\xi}(\gamma) = \lim_{j \to \infty} T_{\xi}(\gamma) \), where \( T_{\xi}(\gamma) = P \left( T_{\xi}(\gamma) \right) \) with \( T_{\xi}(\gamma) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\xi^{n-\theta}}{\Gamma(\theta + n)} T_{\xi}(\gamma) \).

For any given \( z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T] \), as \( G \) and \( H \) are Lipschitz continuous functions, the operator \( P \) is measurable. Note that \( T_{\xi}(\gamma) \) is an uncertain variable, \( T_{\xi}(\gamma), T_{\xi}(\gamma), \ldots, T_{\xi}(\gamma), \ldots \) are uncertain variables since a real-valued measurable function of uncertain variables is an uncertain variable by Theorem 1.10 in Wu, G., & Baleanu, D. (2015). Thus \( T_{\xi} = \lim_{j \to \infty} T_{\xi}(\gamma) \) is an uncertain variable by Theorem 3 in Zhu, Y. (2015b).

Consequently, UFFDE (11) subject to the initial condition (12) has a unique solution \( T_{\xi} \) for \( z \in \mathbb{N}_\rho \cap [0, T] \) almost surely.

5. Test Examples

In this section, we present two examples to illustrate Theorem 4.1.

**Example 5.1.** Consider the following UFFDE:

\[
\Delta_{x=4}^{T} \chi(z) = \begin{cases} \frac{\ln(\gamma + 4)}{(z+4)^3} + \xi_{x+4}, & z \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, 12], \\ \Delta \gamma + 4 = \Delta \gamma(\pi - 4) = \Delta^2 \gamma(\pi - 4) = 0, \\ \Delta_{x=4}^2 \gamma (12 + \pi - \xi) = 0, \end{cases}
\]

where \( \xi_{x-4}, \xi_{x-4+1}, \ldots, \xi_{x+4+12} \) are i.i.d. uncertain variables with uncertainty distribution \( L(-3, 3) \).

According to Theorem 4.1 with \( n = 4 \), the inverse uncertainty distribution of the solution for the UFFDE (21) is the solution of the following sum equation:

\[
\gamma(z) = \sum_{r=0}^{3} \frac{z^{(r)}}{\Gamma(r)} T_{\xi} + \frac{1}{\Gamma(\pi)} \sum_{r=0}^{n-\theta} (z - (r))^{(\theta-1)} \left( \frac{\ln(\gamma + r - \pi)}{(r + 4)^3} + \xi_{r+4} \right).
\]
With \( \theta \in (3, 4) \), it can be easily verified that
\[
|G(z, x) - G(z, y)| + |H(z, x) - H(z, y)| = \left| \frac{\ln(|x| + 1)}{(z + 4)^5} - \frac{\ln(|y| + 1)}{(z + 4)^5} \right|
\]
\[
= \frac{1}{(z + 4)^5} |\ln(|x| + 1) - \ln(|y| + 1)|
\]
\[
\leq \frac{1}{4^5} ||x - y||
\]
and
\[
\frac{\Gamma(\pi + 1)\Gamma(12 + 1 - \pi)}{3\Gamma(12 + 1)} \approx 0.0013 > \frac{1}{24} = 0.00098.
\]
Thus, UFFDE (21) has a unique solution almost surely by Theorem 4.1.

**Example 5.2.** Consider the following UFFDE:
\[
\Delta_{-0.5}^{0.5} \Upsilon(z) = \frac{\sin \Upsilon(z - 0.5)}{10 + (z - 0.5)^2} + 0.1\zeta_{-0.5}, \quad z \in \mathbb{N}_0 \cap [0, 3],
\] (22)
where \( \zeta_{-0.5}, \zeta_{0.5}, \zeta_{1.5}, \zeta_{2.5} \) are 4 i.i.d. linear uncertain variables with linear uncertainty distribution \( \mathcal{L}(-1, 1) \).

According to Theorem 4.1 with \( n = 1 \), the inverse uncertainty distribution of the solution for the UFFDE (22) is the solution of the following sum equation:
\[
\Upsilon(z) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi z}} T_0 + \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi z}} \sum_{r=0}^{z-1} (z - \sigma(r))^{-(z-1)/2} \left( \frac{\sin \Upsilon(r - 0.5)}{10 + (r - 0.5)^2} + 0.1\zeta_{-0.5} \right).
\]

It can be easily verified that
\[
|G(z, x) - G(z, y)| + |H(z, x) - H(z, y)| \leq \frac{1}{10} |x - y|,
\]
and
\[
\frac{\Gamma(0.5 + 1)\Gamma(3 + 1 - 0.5)}{2\Gamma(3 + 1)} \approx 0.2454 > \frac{1}{10} = 0.1.
\]
Then UFFDE (22) has a unique solution almost surely by Theorem 4.1.
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