Studying Relationship Between Static and Dynamic Balance Indices and Knee Pain Severity in Patients with Knee Osteoarthritis
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Abstract

Background and Objectives: One of the predisposing factors for postural sway changes in patients with knee osteoarthritis is the severity of knee pain. Therefore, the purpose of this study was to evaluate the relationship between pain severity and dynamic balance indices in patients with knee osteoarthritis.

Materials and Methods: 15 patients with knee osteoarthritis were selected through simple non-probability sampling. Static and dynamic balance indices were also measured by Biodex system, the pain severity by the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) in two pre and post time intervals. The treatment was performed for the balance trainings group for 3 weeks and 5 times per week. Paired statistical t-test and Pearson test were used to analyze the data.

Results: The results showed that balance trainings resulted in significant improvement in pain severity and dynamic and static balance indices. There was a significant direct correlation between pain severity and anterior-posterior stability index and medial-lateral stability index on two feet with the closed eyes with exercise, respectively (rho = 0.52, p = 0.04) and (rho = 0.53, p = 0.03). There was also a significant indirect correlation between pain severity and anterior-posterior stability index on the affected leg with the closed eyes (rho = 0.60, p = 0.01).

Conclusion: The results of the study confirm the direct and indirect relationship between dynamic balance indices and pain intensity; in the future studies the effect of pain on neuromuscular factors should be considered.

1. Introduction

Osteoarthritis is the most common articular disease in the world and is considered as a major factor of disability (Moskowitz, 1992; Shakoor, Furmanov, Nelson, Li, & Block, 2008). The majority of people aged 40 years have radiographic evidence of knee osteoarthritis, and the incidence of this disease increases with age (Moskowitz, 1992). Knee osteoarthritis has been known as one of the most important causes of pain, disability and reduced balance and low quality of life (Masui et al., 2006). It causes many social, economic, and psychological problems to a person (Lyytinen et al., 2010). Osteoarthritis is a disease destructing synovial joint that affects articular cartilage, leading to cartilage destruction and changes in other tissues, including synovial membrane inflammation, muscle weakness, and new bone formation (Peat, McCarney, & Croft, 2001). In patients with knee osteoarthritis, the knee pain is a major pathologic difficult that may reduce muscle strength (Kim, Lee, & Lim, 2016). Although there is a relationship between knee osteoarthritis and physical function, association with knee pain is significantly stronger (Odding et al., 1998).

Pain may be a factor affecting muscle strength appraisal and precision of proprioception (Hassan, Doherty, Mockett, & Doherty, 2002). The pain origin has remained unclear, so the association of pain with other important physiological variables requires examination (Hassan et al., 2002). Pain may damage any motor part (movement,
through simple and convenient sampling. The criteria for participation in the study are as follows: Men and women population under study and patients screening: For this study, 15 patients with knee osteoarthritis were selected. They were re-evaluated. Treatments for 3 weeks and 5 times per week (Perlau, Frank, & Fick, 1995) for balance training and after treatment, 15 knee osteoarthritis patients were initially evaluated for pain severity and postural stability indices and then been no study to determine the relationship between pain severity and balance indices in knee osteoarthritis patients following balance trainings. The effect of strengthening and balance trainings in knee osteoarthritis patients and the correlation between increased balance and pain (Kim et al., 2016).

Knee pain is an important sign of knee osteoarthritis and the severity of knee pain is a major determinant of disability (Davis, Ettinger, Neuhaus, & Mallon, 1991). The origin of pain has remained unclear, so the association of pain with other important physiological variables requires examination (Hassan et al., 2002). Since there has been no study to determine the relationship between pain severity and balance indices in knee osteoarthritis patients after balance trainings, the aim of this study was to determine the relationship between static and dynamic balance indices and pain severity in patients with knee osteoarthritis following balance trainings.

2. Materials and Methods

Study design: Our descriptive-analytic study was carried out in Zahedan University of Medical Sciences in 2016. 15 knee osteoarthritis patients were initially evaluated for pain severity and postural stability indices and then treated for 3 weeks and 5 times per week (Perlau, Frank, & Fick, 1995) for balance trainings and after treatment, they were re-evaluated.

Population under study and patients screening: For this study, 15 patients with knee osteoarthritis were selected through simple and convenient sampling. The criteria for participation in the study are as follows: Men and women with chronic knee pain for at least 3 months (Ezadpanah, Moazami, & Khoshravtar Yazdi, 2016), age of 46 to 72 years (Rätsepsoo et al., 2013), knee osteoarthritis confirmation based on clinical symptoms, morning stiffness usually being removed after 30 minutes (Chaipinyo & Karoonsupcharoen, 2009), lack of history of trauma (Ezadpanah et al., 2016), injury or surgery, and lower limb fracture. Exclusion criteria include: a history of cardiovascular disease (Chaipinyo & Karoonsupcharoen, 2009), Parkinson's disease, physiotherapy intervention for their knees during the past 6 months, disturbance in the vestibular system (Chaipinyo & Karoonsupcharoen, 2009). Patients were participated in the study after reading and signing a written informed consent. This study was approved by the Scientific Committee of the Rehabilitation Group and the Moral Committee of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences.

Data collection: meter with precision of centimeter was used to measure people's height, digital scales for measuring body weight (for calculating body mass index), and Biodex Balance System for measuring balance indices and Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) questionnaire was used for measurement of pain severity.

Evaluation of Balance Indices: Overall, anterior-posterior and lateral stability indices were measured by the Biodex Balance System (New York, Biodex Medical System, Inc., SW 45-30D-E6N SD 950-304USA). In the Biodex balance system, the least stable condition was related to 1 and the most stable condition was 12. Index of overall stability is the displacement variance of the device plate in terms of degree to the horizontal, anterior-posterior stability index is the displacement variance of the device plate in terms of degree relative to the horizon for the
movements of the sagittal surface, and the lateral stability index the device's plate displacement variance in terms of degree to the horizontal level for frontal surface movements. The degree of deviation from the center represented individual’s score, lower score indicating less deviation and greater balance. For performing a test of 4 positions of standing on 2 limbs with open and closed eyes, standing on the affected limb with open and closed eyes, the individual conducted static and dynamic balance tests. In the static state, the plate must be placed fixed and without moving. To check the dynamic balance, the hardness of force plate must be adjusted on 8 from the beginning to the end of the movement. The patient, keeping in mind the release time of the force plate, should maintain the cursor in the middle of the small circle, divided into 4 parts. By performing the main test, in form of three repetitions, the results of postural stability were presented as a overall, anterior-posterior, and lateral index in a table (Akbari, Ashtiani, & Mohammadi).

Pain severity evaluation: In this study, the Visual Analogue Scale (VAS) was used to measure the severity of pain. So a set of descriptive expressions was put in place and the patient was asked to score his/her pain severity according to a graded ruler graded through 0 (no pain) to 10 (most severe pain) (Ashtiani, Akbari, Mohammadi, & Nouraisarjou, 2018). VAS was found to be reliable and valid for measuring the pain severity (Jan, Lin, Lin, Lin, & Lin, 2009; Messier et al., 2000).

2.1 Balance Trainings

The trainings lasted for 3 weeks, 5 sessions per week and 15 sessions (Duman et al., 2012), and each session for approximately 20 minutes; during each training the individual rested enough. This research project was carried out at the Physiotherapy Clinic of Razmjou Moqaddam of Zahedan University of Medical Sciences.

Warm up trainings:

These trainings are performed for 10 minutes before the trainings begin and include the following ones: 1) slow walk for 5 minutes, stretching trainings including 2) the patient is in a supine position and the knees are extended in this position with his right hand towards the Left of the body and hold it for 10 seconds and then does it with the other hand. 3. The patient is placed in the supine position and takes his knees with one or both legs toward the chest. 4) He/she performs stretching movements of the hamstring muscles. 5) He/she performs stretching movements of the thigh joint muscles. 6) He/she performs stretching movements of muscles behind the shin.

Standing on one leg: The person was asked to stand on one leg, while standing close to a support or desk, and the other leg was raised and kneeling bent. Then the balance of the person was measured by standing on one leg (Kavounoudias, Gilhodes, Roll, & Roll, 1999). Every time a person could not maintain his balance, he stood on 2 legs a few seconds, then stood on the other leg, and this training was repeated with this process, for 2 minutes (McCarthy et al., 2004).

Stepping forward and backward then towards two sides 30 reps for each leg. Patients along with this training performed 30 repetitions of the mini squat of two sides with a flexion angle of 15-30° of knee in the painless range to strengthen the quadriceps muscle in a standing position; the components of this balance training were as follows:

1) Stepping forward and backward with the left leg (30 repetitions)
2) 2 sided mini squat (10 times)
3) Stepping forward and backward with the right leg (30 times)
4) 2 sided mini squat (10 times)
5) Stepping lateral to the left (30 times)
6) 2 sided mini squat (10 times)
7) Stepping lateral to the right (30 times).

Determination of sample size: Considering previous studies, 15 patients with knee osteoarthritis were considered for study.

Statistical analysis: for intra-group comparison the statistical paired T-test and Pearson test were used to evaluate correlation. The significance level was considered less than five percent.

3. Results

The results of the descriptive test and the study of the mean age, weight, height, and BMI in the balance trainings group have been presented in Table 1. Referring to previous studies, the sample size was estimated to be 15 people for the Balanced Trainings Group.
Table 1. Demographic characteristics of participants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>balance exercises</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age (year)</td>
<td>**8.80±49.20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>12.30±76.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (m)</td>
<td>0.10±1.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Body mass index (kg per square meter)</td>
<td>8.15±31.01</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* P<0.05 is significant
** Data were stated in the form of SD±mean

Mean and standard deviation of pain severity and data related to overall, anterior-posterior and lateral stability indices in standing on two legs and affected leg in static and dynamic position with open and closed eyes and comparing the results of post-treatment with pre-treatment and value P related to the comparison of the results of post-treatment with pre-treatment and the relationship between these balance indices and the severity of pain and the value P related to them have been given in Tables 2 and 3.

Table 2. Relationship between static stability indices and pain severity before and after balance training and comparing mean of data before and after treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before intervention</th>
<th>After intervention</th>
<th>Comparing the results after intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
<td>Pearson P value</td>
<td>Mean±SD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOSIBEO</td>
<td>0.74±0.42</td>
<td>-0.18</td>
<td>0.52±0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOSIBEC</td>
<td>2.60±1.60</td>
<td>-0.17</td>
<td>1.64±0.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAPIBEO</td>
<td>0.57±0.39</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>0.36±0.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMAPIBEC</td>
<td>2.14±1.71</td>
<td>-0.24</td>
<td>1.23±0.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMLIBEO</td>
<td>0.31±0.12</td>
<td>-0.05</td>
<td>0.30±0.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMLIBEC</td>
<td>1.03±0.47</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.80±0.43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOISIOE</td>
<td>8.74±3.15</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>7.83±2.81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMOISIOC</td>
<td>9.57±3.15</td>
<td>0.08</td>
<td>7.97±2.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPIOSIO</td>
<td>2.90±2.05</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
<td>2.12±1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SAPIOSIOC</td>
<td>3.75±2.11</td>
<td>-0.29</td>
<td>2.77±1.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMLISIOE</td>
<td>7.94±3.07</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>7.26±2.78</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMLISIOC</td>
<td>8.42±3.10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>7.16±2.95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pain</td>
<td>6.53±2.32</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4.60±1.91</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Data were stated in the form of SD±mean, * P<0.05 is significant

SMOSIBEO: STATIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX BILATERAL EYES OPEN
SMOSIBEC: STATIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX BILATERAL EYES CLOSE
SMAPIBEO: STATIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX BILATERAL EYES OPEN
SMAPIBEC: STATIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX BILATERAL EYES CLOSE
SMLIBEO: STATIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX BILATERAL EYES OPEN
SMLIBEC: STATIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX BILATERAL EYES CLOSE
SMOISIOE: STATIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES OPEN
SMOISIOC: STATIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES CLOSE
SAPIOSIO: STATIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES OPEN
SAPIOSIOC: STATIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES CLOSE
SMLISIOE: STATIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES OPEN
SMLISIOC: STATIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES CLOSE
Table 3. Relationship between dynamic stability indices and pain severity before and after balance training and comparing mean of data before and after treatment

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Before intervention</th>
<th>After intervention</th>
<th>Comparing the results after intervention</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mean±SD Pearson P value</td>
<td>Mean±SD Pearson P value</td>
<td>P value</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMOSIBEO</td>
<td>2.85±1.18 0.28 0.30</td>
<td>1.56±0.89 0.49 0.06</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMOSIBEC</td>
<td>5.83±2.86 0.27 0.31</td>
<td>3.04±1.18 0.26 0.33</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAPIBEO</td>
<td>2.28±1.84 0.17 0.53</td>
<td>1.27±1.18 0.42 0.11</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAPIBEC</td>
<td>4.41±2.90 0.29 0.28</td>
<td>2.18±0.92 0.52 0.04†</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMMLIBEO</td>
<td>1.20±0.60 0.40 0.13</td>
<td>0.73±0.24 0.27 0.32</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMMLIBEC</td>
<td>2.84±1.06 0.44 0.09</td>
<td>1.66±0.81 0.53 0.05†</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMOSIUSIEO</td>
<td>13.70±4.92 -0.00 0.99</td>
<td>10.83±5.06 -0.17 0.53</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMOSIUSIEC</td>
<td>13.84±4.73 -0.19 0.49</td>
<td>11.50±5.30 -0.35 0.20</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAPIUSIEO</td>
<td>3.60±2.14 0.30 0.27</td>
<td>2.34±1.42 -0.00 0.98</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMAPIUSIEC</td>
<td>4.52±1.60 -0.47 0.73</td>
<td>2.50±1.44 -0.60 0.07†</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMMLIUSIEO</td>
<td>12.81±4.73 -0.08 0.77</td>
<td>10.34±5.06 -0.18 0.50</td>
<td>0.02*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMMLIUSIEC</td>
<td>12.67±4.86 -0.13 0.62</td>
<td>10.90±5.36 -0.21 0.44</td>
<td>0.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>pain</td>
<td>6.53±2.32 1</td>
<td>4.60±1.91 1</td>
<td>0.00*</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Data were stated in the form of SD±mean
* P<0.05 is significant

DMOSIBEO: DYNAMIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX BILATERAL EYES OPEN
DMOSIBEC: DYNAMIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX BILATERAL EYES CLOSE
DMAPIBEO: DYNAMIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX BILATERAL EYES OPEN
DMAPIBEC: DYNAMIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX BILATERAL EYES CLOSE
DMMLIBEO: DYNAMIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX BILATERAL EYES OPEN
DMMLIBEC: DYNAMIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX BILATERAL EYES CLOSE
DMOSIUSIEO: DYNAMIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES OPEN
DMOSIUSIEC: DYNAMIC MEAN OVERAL STABILITY INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES CLOSE
DMAPIUSIEO: DYNAMIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES OPEN
DMAPIUSIEC: DYNAMIC MEAN ANTERIOR POSTERIOR INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES CLOSE
DMMLIUSIEO: DYNAMIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES OPEN
DMMLIUSIEC: DYNAMIC MEAN MEDIAL LATERAL INDEX UNILATERAL STANCE INVOLVED EYES CLOSE

The results of paired t-test showed that in the static state, OSI on two legs with open eyes, anterior-posterior stability index (API) on two legs with open eyes, overall stability index on the affected leg with closed eyes and MLI on the affected leg with open and closed eyes (Table 2) showed a significant reduction, and in the dynamic state, the overall stability indices (OSI), the anterior-posterior and lateral indices (API), and (MLI) on two legs with open and closed eyes, overall and anterior-posterior stability index (OSI) and (API) on the affected leg with closed and open eyes and MLI on the affected leg with open eye also showed a significant reduction (P <0.05) (Table 3).

In the static state, OSI on two legs with closed eyes, anterior-posterior stability index (API) on two legs with closed eyes, overall stability index on the affected leg with open eyes and MLI on the affected leg with open and closed eyes (Table 2) did not show any significant reduction, and also in the dynamic state, the lateral stability index on the affected leg with closed eyes did not show any reduction (P <0.05) (Table 3). The mean pain score showed a significant improvement (P <0.05) (Tables 2 & 3).

Pearson test results of the variables of static balance indices before and after treatment showed that there was no significant relationship between the static balance and pain severity indices before and after treatment (P> 0.05).

Pearson test results of the variables of dynamic balance indices before and after treatment showed generally that there was no significant relationship between dynamic balance indices and pain severity before treatment (P> 0.05); but there was a significant relationship between dynamic balance indices and pain severity after treatment (P< 0.05).
There was a significant moderate direct and indirect correlation between dynamic balance indices and pain severity after treatment.

Relation between pain severity and dynamic balance (overall, anterior-posterior, and medial-lateral) indices after treatment shows that there is no correlation between pain severity and dynamic balance indices for overall stability index (P > 0.05). However, there exists a relationship between pain severity and dynamic balance index for the anterior-posterior stability index on the two legs and the affected leg with closed eyes (P < 0.05), as well as a correlation between pain severity and dynamic balance index for the medial-lateral stability index on the two legs with closed eyes (P < 0.05).

4. Discussion

Significant improvement in pain severity and postural stability indices was reported in patients with knee osteoarthritis after 3 weeks of balance trainings. Also, the results of the correlation study showed that there was no significant relationship between the static balance indices and the severity of pain before and after treatment. On the other hand, there was no significant relationship between dynamic balance indices and pain severity before treatment, but there was a significant relationship between dynamic balance indices and pain severity after treatment. However, it can be said that there is a significant moderate direct and indirect relation between dynamic balance indices and severity of pain after treatment. There was no correlation between pain severity and dynamic balance indices for the overall stability index, but there was a relationship between pain severity and dynamic balance index for the anterior-posterior stability index on two legs and affected leg and the medial-lateral stability index on two legs with closed eyes.

In a study conducted by N. Shakoor et al, they examined the relationship between pain severity and muscle strength and proprioception in patients with knee osteoarthritis through 8 weeks of home trainings; the results of this study were consistent with our one. The home trainings resulted in a significant improvement in muscle pain and strength. Pain may be an indirect factor affecting muscle strength and proprioception in these individuals. In the basis of relationships, an indirect significance was found between the severity of pain and muscle strength and proprioception. After 8 weeks, there was a significant direct correlation between pain severity and muscle strength and proprioception (Shakoor et al., 2008). This suggests that pain may be a factor that affects muscle strength and proprioception, so that pain reduction may improve the voluntary contraction of the maximal muscle, which can improve the function of the individual (Hassan et al., 2002). A study done by MC Hall et al in 2005, they examined the relative effect of radiographic osteoarthritis and pain on quadriceps strength, proprioception, static postural sway, and lower extremity function, which was consistent with our study. Patients with knee pain showed lower strength of quadriceps muscle, greater postural sway and higher disability (Hall et al., 2006). On the other hand, knee osteoarthritis patients reported a higher postural sway than normal people, consequently a weaker balance (Hassan et al., 2001). In addition, the individuals showed greater postural sway when they closed their eyes, but efficiency with open eyes showed greater discrepancy between groups (Hall et al., 2006). The study done by Kyung Kim et al was in line with our study. In this study, the effects of knee extensor muscles strengthening trainings and balance ability through standing trainings on unstable supportive level were evaluated on pain relief in patients with knee osteoarthritis. The results showed that these trainings positively influenced the ability to balance in standing position and subsequently reduced joint pain, which resulted in improved performance (Masui et al., 2006).

In a study done by Ahmed et al, they used strengthening trainings for quadriceps and hamstring muscles (Al-Johani et al., 2014). The results showed that pain reduction objectively increased the range of motion and reduced the functional limitations (Kim et al., 2016). Their research results were similar to our ones, because a gradual increase in loading resulted in a significant reduction in pain after muscular strengthening trainings (Kim et al., 2016). These results showed that both groups of balance and strengthening trainings stimulated proprioception receptors that were effective in increasing knee stability in patients with knee osteoarthritis; it was associated with increased stability in the knee pain reduction. In the study of Hassan et al, it has been reported that people with knee osteoarthritis have more sway in each of the two anterior-posterior and lateral portions than those in the control group. The secondary muscular dysfunction has related to increased pain and excess weight, all of which has led to a reduction in muscle's ability to maintain postural stability (Hassan et al., 2001).

The relationship between pain severity and balance indices can be explained by the following: Pain is a risk factor for the development of radiographic symptoms of knee osteoarthritis (Hochberg, 1996). Electromyographic studies indicate that painful knee osteoarthritis is associated with an increase in quadriceps muscle activity, which is due to the unusual charge of the afferent fibers of the muscle, tendons and joint receptors (Brucini, Duranti, Galletti, Pantaleo, & Zucchi, 1981). Therefore, people with knee osteoarthritis during walking tend to exhibit greater
pressure and angular acceleration during sway (Hassan et al., 2002). This problem results from a lack of coordination of the neuromuscular system, which probably predisposes a person to future osteoarthritis (Radin, Yang, Riegger, Kish, & O'Connor, 1991). Pain severity has been reported as one of the predisposing factors for the changes in postural sway in people with knee osteoarthritis (Hassan et al., 2002). Regarding these, it can be concluded that balance trainings can lead to significant improvement in pain severity and postural stability indices in patients with knee osteoarthritis. However, pain can be considered as an effective factor in evaluating postural stability indices in these patients. In this study, there was a significant relationship between dynamic balance indices and pain severity. These relationships may provide a meaningful insight into the pathophysiology of neuromuscular deficits in knee osteoarthritis patients and require further research. Further studies should be performed on the effect of pain on neuromuscular factors and for determining the relationship between these factors. Future studies also need to consider the role of the ankle joint proprioception and the strength of cuff muscles in balancing patients with knee osteoarthritis.
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