Galectin Secretion and Modulation in Sheep Blood
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Abstract

Galectins (GAL) are β-galactoside binding proteins that can modulate both pregnancy and the response to pathogens. Increased susceptibility to infection by pathogens is linked to periparturient immune suppression and a periparturient rise (PPR) in parasite eggs on pasture. The possible role of GAL in periparturient immune relaxation and PRR needs definition. The objective of this study was to evaluate galectin secretion and its relationship to measures of the immune relaxation and PPR in periparturient sheep. Samples were collected from pregnant St. Croix sheep (n=6) weekly at days -21 to +21 relative to lambing. Fecal samples were collected and evaluated for strongyle and coccidia parasite eggs. The concentration of IgA and IgE coproantibodies, total microbial DNA, *Bifidobacteria* and *Lactobacillus* levels in fecal samples were used as indicators of gut health. Blood samples were collected by jugular venipuncture and assessed for Packed Cell Volume (PCV), total and differential white blood cell counts. Total protein concentrations and protein profile were evaluated in serum. Secretion of GAL 1, 3, 9 and 14 were evaluated using ELISAs. Data were analyzed by one-way ANOVA and statistical significance was declared at $P<0.05$. Galectins tested were secreted in sheep blood. Differential modulation of GAL secretion and correlation with periparturient immune suppression and parasite infection was observed. Galectin secretion was modulated by the periparturient period, type and status of parasite infection. This first insight into a possible role of secreted galectins in periparturient immune relaxation and PRR improves the understanding of the immune response, informs development of management programs and therapeutics and presents Galectin profiles as biomarkers with diagnostic potential.
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1. Introduction

The periparturient period is a critical time for animal health and wellbeing. It is generally the immediate period (3 weeks) before lambing and 3 weeks after lambing. During this time the immune system is relaxed to allow successive coexistence between ewe and lamb (Houdijk, Kyriazakis, Jackson, Huntley, & Coop, 2000; Than et al., 2012). Periparturient immune suppression and a periparturient rise (PPR) in fecal egg count (Houdijk et al., 2000) results in increased susceptibility to infection by parasites and other pathogens resulting in associated health and welfare concerns. The underlying causes of periparturient relaxation of immunity and the PPR are being reported (Beasley, Kahn, & Windon, 2010). Management of disease is becoming increasingly difficult as synthetic anthelmintics and antibiotics are becoming ineffective due to parasite and pathogen resistance worldwide (Asiamah, Adjei-Fremah, Ekwemalor, Sordillo, & Worku, 2018; Ekwemalor, Adjei-Fremah, Asiamah, & Worku, 2017; Ekwemalor et al., 2018; Piedrafita, Raadsma, Gonzalez, & Meeusen, 2010). Breeding ewes are susceptible to negative effects of parasitism in the period immediately before, during and following lambing (Notter, Burke, Miller, & Morgan, 2017). Understanding the factors that contribute to disease susceptibility during the peripartum period is essential to sustainable animal production. In sheep, the PPR is linked to parasitological, immunological, endocrine and production responses, and their interactions (Beasley et al., 2010). In Merino sheep, changes in circulating cell counts, antibody titer (Beasley et al., 2010) leptin and cortisol concentration were linked with both parasite and immune status of the ewe (Beasley et al., 2010). These authors highlighted the need for the identification of factors
that contribute to biasing toward a Th1-type immune response. Factors that may influence PPI have been related to genotype (Goldberg, Ciappesoni, & Aguilar, 2012; Rocha et al., 2011).

Sheep of Caribbean origin have become an important part of a strategy to use breeds that resist infection for the control of parasites (Bishop & Morris, 2007; Piedrafita et al., 2010). St. Croix sheep are resistant to gastrointestinal nematodes (Courtney, Parker, McClure, & Herd, 1985; Gamble & Zajac, 1992; Zajac, Krakowka, Herd, & McClure, 1990) and to infection with *Fasciola hepatica* (Boyce, Courtney, & Loggins, 1987). Resistance depends on both innate and adaptive immunity. Reduced establishment of *Haemonchus contortus* is associated with production of a rapid and greater cellular immune response and increased levels of IgA (S. Bowdridge, MacKinnon, McCann, Zajac, & Notter, 2013; S. A. Bowdridge, Zajac, & Notter, 2015).

The evaluation of ubiquitously expressed immune proteins and identification of genetic markers of resistance to pathogens and their regulation will help increase understanding of contributing factors to immune relaxation and PRR. Recent studies by our group have demonstrated differential regulation of galectin gene transcription and translation in periparturient cows (Asiamah et al., 2018), and goats (Ekwemalor et al., 2018). Galectins are conserved immune proteins found in many animals (Barondes, Cooper, Gitt, & Leffler, 1994). They are responsible for intercellular and intracellular cell communication by binding cell surface glycoconjugates. They are expressed and secreted by both immune and nonimmune cells (Thiennim & Baum, 2016). Fifteen (15) galectins have been described so far and grouped into three (3) types depending on their structure (Vasta, 2009). Many studies have been done to define the role of galectins in tissues during pregnancy (Okumu et al., 2011; Than et al., 2015; Than et al., 2012) and as regulators of immune cell homeostasis and inflammation (Breuilh et al., 2007; Rabinovich & Toscano, 2009). They are involved in the regulation of both innate and adaptive immunity (Liu, Yang, & Hsu, 2012).

Galectins are involved in host-parasite interactions such as adhesion of pathogens to host cells and host adaptive immunity (Shi, Xue, Su, & Lu, 2017). Sheep galectins have been identified. Expression has been reported in tissues such as the uterus (Lewis et al., 2007), placenta (Shin, Choi, Longtine, & Nelson, 2010), splenocytes (Ramkumar & Podder, 2000), abomasum (French et al., 2008), lung (Dunphy et al., 2002) mammary gland (Dunphy et al., 2000) liver (Rojas et al., 2015) leukocytes (Díaz-Alvarez & Ortega, 2017; Dunphy et al., 2000). In nematode resistant sheep galectin gene expression was associated with the immune mechanism to gastrointestinal parasites (Chitneedi, Suárez-Vega, Martínez-Valladares, Arranz, & Gutiérrez-Gil, 2018).

Galectin expression is regulated by many factors including pathogen recognition (Knight et al., 2011; McRae et al., 2016). Galectin expression is influenced by supplementation with probiotics (Romond et al., 2009). Two strains of bifidobacteria were identified that interacted with Gal-3 (Kavanaugh, Kane, Joshi, & Hickey, 2013). The ability of commensal microbes to interact with gal-3 may indicate an ability to exclude and displace pathogens. In parasite infections, host glycoproteins interact with parasite glycans in shaping innate and adaptive immune responses upon infection. Parasites also use their glycans to target glycan-binding protein (GBP) within the host to promote their survival (van Kooyk, Engering, Lekkerkerker, Ludwig, & Geijtenbeek, 2004). Secreted galectins bind serum proteins including immunoglobulins (Cederfur et al., 2008). Secreted galectins from sheep regulated apoptosis, cell proliferation and cell differentiation (Iglesias, Rabinovich, Ivanovic, Sotomayor, & Wolfenstein-Todel, 1998). In light of the identified role of Galectins in the response to pathogens and immune modulation, the possible role of Galectins in periparturient immune relaxation and PRR needs definition. The objective of this study was to evaluate galectin secretion and its relationship to measures of the immune relaxation and PRR in periparturient sheep.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Animals

Six (6) clinically healthy adult pregnant female St. Croix ewes from the North Carolina A&T State University Small Ruminant Research Unit were used in the study. No sheep exhibited any evidence of disease or received medications during the study period. Protocols for the handling of the animals were approved by the Institutional Animal Care and Use Committee (IACUC).

The sheep were housed indoors at night and were put out to graze on pasture during the day. They were also provided with approximately 1lb/feed/day of 17% pellet feed per sheep (Southern State Company). Water and trace mineral salt block was also available *ad libitum*.

#### 2.2 Experimental Design

Six pregnant St. Croix sheep were used in the study. Samples were taken weekly for six weeks. Testing procedures were performed weekly and constituted of body weight, body condition scores, FAMACHA scores, Fecal Egg
Count (FEC), Packed Cell Volume (PCV), white blood cell count, total plasma protein concentration, expression and secretion of galectins.

2.3 Sample Collection
Sheep were weighed on a chute scale in kilograms before feeding in the morning. Samples were collected -21 to +21 days relative to lambing (i.e. Day -21, day -14, day -7, day +7, day +14 and day +21). FAMACHA score was evaluated by the color of the conjunctival mucosa membrane (Gordon & Whitlock, 1939). Body condition score was evaluated by physically assessing the muscling and fat over and around the vertebrae in the loin region. Blood and fecal samples were collected and evaluated once a week throughout the study. Fecal samples were collected from the rectum of ewes directly.

2.4 Hematological Analysis
Whole blood samples (10 mL) were collected from the jugular vein aseptically into vacutainer tubes containing Gel and Lithium Heparin (BD, Franklin Lakes, NJ) for serum collection, and tubes containing ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid for cell count analysis. The tubes were placed on ice immediately after collection and were transported to the laboratory. Blood samples were handled and analyzed within 2 hours of collection.

2.4.1 Packed Cell Volume (PCV)
The packed cell volume (PCV) an indicator of anemia was measured using an aliquot of blood with anticoagulant. Whole blood from each animal was placed into a micro-hematocrit capillary tube and centrifuged in IEC MB Micro Hematocrit centrifuge (Damon/IEC Division) for 5 min at 14,000 rpm. The PCV was measured using the micro-capillary reader and results were presented as percentages.

2.4.2 Cell Viability and White Blood Cells Differential Count
Cell Viability was assessed using the Trypan blue dye exclusion method on the TC20 cell counting instrument (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA) as previously described by Adjei-Fremah et al., 2016. Cell counts were evaluated in duplicates, and the average was taken. Cell viability was expressed as a percentage of [(total viable and non-viable cells/total cells)] on the TC 20 cell counter (BIO-RAD., Hercules, CA). White blood cell differential counts were performed using a light microscope (Carolina Biological, NC) under oil immersion (X100 magnification). One hundred cells were counted for numerical representation of various cells present in the blood sample.

2.5 Determination of IgA and IgE Concentrations
Coproantibodies present in the intestinal tract or fecal matter associated with immunity to enteric infections were evaluated in the fecal samples. Different concentrations of IgA and IgE were determined in fecal samples using commercially available IgA and IgE ELISA kits (Neo Scientific., Woburn, MA) for sheep. The optical density of the reaction products was quantitatively measured at an absorbance of 450 nm on a microplate reader (BioTek Instruments Inc., Winooski, VT). A standard curve was used to determine IgA and IgE concentration.

2.6 Measure of Galectins -1, -3, -9 and -14 Concentration in Serum
The concentrations of GAL 1, GAL 3, GAL 9 and GAL 14 were determined in serum. The concentration of each galectin was evaluated using commercially available enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA) (Neo Scientific., Woburn, MA) for goat GAL (1, 3 and 9) and sheep GAL 14 according to the manufacturer's instructions.

2.7 Measurement of Fecal Egg Count
The modified McMaster technique (Whitlock, 1948) was used to evaluate the fecal egg count. The number of coccidia oocysts and strongyle eggs were counted in duplicate; the average was calculated and then multiplied by 50 to get the eggs per gram (EPG) of feces for each animal (Kaplan et al., 2004). Total EPG was calculated from the sum of these counts.

2.8 Fecal Extraction and Detection of Total Microbial, Bifidobacteria spp and Lactobacillus spp DNA
The QIAamp stool DNA isolation kit was used to isolate total microbial DNA from fecal samples to evaluate the concentration of microbial DNA. The concentration and purity of extracted microbial DNA from fecal samples was measured using the Nanodrop Spectrophotometer ND1000 (Thermo Scientific Inc., MA). DNA isolated from the fecal samples was amplified using Real time-PCR to determine the presence of total microbial DNA and relative abundance of Bifidobacteria spp and Lactobacillus spp. The GAPDH (Forward: GTCTTCACCACCATGGGAG, Reverse: CTCCATGCTGGTGAAGAC) gene was used as a housekeeping gene and
for normalizing data. Universal bacteria 16S rRNA gene primers detected total microbial DNA. Primers specific for the amplification of variable regions of 16S rRNA gene for Bifidobacteria spp (Forward: GAGGCACGCTAGGGAATCTTC, Reverse: GCCCAGTTACTACCTATCCCTTCTT) and Lactobacillus spp (Forward: CCGTAYGGTTGTGAAAG; Reverse: CCCACATCCAGCATCCA) were also used. The housekeeping gene 16S Rrna (Forward: ACCTTACGGGGAGGCAGCA; Reverse: GGACTACHVGGTWTCTAAT) was used for normalization and data analysis.

2.9 Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate–Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis

The Bicinchoninic acid assay (BCA) was used to determine the total protein concentration in serum following the manufacturer’s instructions (Thermo Scientific Inc., Waltham, MA). The mini-Protein TGX Precast Protein Gels (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA) were used to separate serum proteins. Serum (20µg) was run under reducing condition by treatment with 2X treatment buffer which contained 2-mercaptoethanol in a ratio of 1: 1 and boiled for 10minutes. Protein molecular weight standards used were provided by Plus Protein Dual color (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA). Protein standards and serum samples were carefully loaded into the appropriate wells and electrophoresis carried out with a current of 100volts for 45 minutes using a protein electrophoresis power supply (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA). The gel was stained with Bio-Safe Coomassie G-250 stain, for one hour at room temperature following the manufacturer’s instructions. Visualization of protein bands was done using Image Lab software version 5.2.1 (BIO-RAD, Hercules, CA).

2.10 Statistical Analysis

All data were analyzed using SAS software version 9.4 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC). Data are represented as means. For white blood cell differential cell counts and TC 20 counts, means of treatment groups were compared with one-way Analysis of variance (ANOVA). When the ANOVA showed that there were significant differences between the groups, Least significant difference (LSD) P≤0.05 level was used to identify the sources of these differences. Concentrations of total serum protein and secreted galectin were determined using a standard curve. Real-time PCR data were analyzed using the Livak’s method 2(-ΔΔCt) (Livak & Schmittgen, 2001). The housekeeping gene GAPDH was used for normalization and analysis of the relative abundance of Bifidobacteria spp and Lactobacillus spp. Week 1 (day -21 relative to lambing) was used as the control.

3. Results

All sheep were in good health condition at the beginning and end of the study. There were no clinically significant differences found in clinical indicators, including vital signs of anemia throughout the study.

3.1 Phenotypic Parameters

All sheep had an initial average weight of ≥200 kg and an average body condition score of ≤ 5 at the start of the study. Weekly Body condition score (P≤ 0.0001) (figure 1) and body weight (P≤ 0.0054) (figure 2) of the sheep remained constant before lambing but both decreased after lambing.

![Figure 1. Average body condition score of sheep (n=6) during the periparturient period. Different superscripts are significantly different (p< 0.05).](image-url)
The total parasite egg count in fecal samples was low and varied during the periparturient period (figure 3). The total parasite egg count was between 50-100 epg. Coccidia oocytes and strongyle eggs were detected during the periparturient period. Coccidia oocytes were low before lambing (day -21, -14 and -7), however, the oocytes increased 7 days after lambing. At day 14 and 21 after lambing, the number of coccidia oocytes reduced. Strongyle eggs counted were relatively high before lambing compared to a decrease in egg count +7 days after lambing (figure 3).

3.2 Relative Abundance of Fecal Microbial DNA

Table 3 shows the relative abundance of total microbe(16S), Bifidobacterium spp and Lactobacillus spp DNA. Bifidobacterium spp and Lactobacillus spp DNA were detected during the 6 weeks period. The mean relative abundance of Bifidobacterium spp DNA increased after lambing. Bifidobacterium spp DNA was very high on the day -14 before lambing and day 14 after lambing. Lactobacillus spp DNA reduced after day -14 and remained constantly low after lambing (+7 days, +14 days, +21 days) through the study.
Table 3. Relative abundance of Bifidobacterium spp and Lactobacillus spp over six (6) weeks around the periparturient period

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Microbe</th>
<th>Days Before</th>
<th>Days After</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>-21</td>
<td>-14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bifidobacterium spp</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>246.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lactobacillus spp</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16S</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>1.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Day -21 (3 weeks before lambing); Day -14 (2 weeks before lambing); Day -7 (1 week before lambing); Day 7 (1 week after lambing); 14 (2 weeks after lambing); 21 (3 weeks after lambing).

3.3 Concentration of IgA and IgE Coproantibodies

Figure 4 shows different concentrations of IgA and IgE coproantibodies in sheep fecal samples. The IgE concentration fluctuated throughout the 6 weeks study. The concentration was highest at day -7 but reduced at day 7 after lambing. The concentration IgA of coproantibodies increased weekly throughout the study.

![Figure 4](image-url)

3.4 Blood Analysis

3.4.1 Packed Cell Volume (PCV)

The packed cell volume was between 34.9– 39.3% before lambing and 25.4-37% after lambing. There was no significant decrease in PCV after lambing (p> 0.05) (figure 5). FAMACHA score remained constant through the study (figure 5).

3.4.2 Total and Viable Cell Count

Both total cell and viable cell count fluctuated before lambing. Total cell count and total viable cell count decreased after lambing, day -7, and remained constant throughout the study (figure 6).

3.4.3 White Blood Differential Count

The percent neutrophil count decreased at day -7 and increased after lambing. The lymphocyte percent decreased after lambing and increased at day 14. Basophil and eosinophil percent both decreased after lambing and increased on day 21. Monocyte count increased after lambing and reduced on day 14 (figure 7).
Figure 5. Mean weekly packed cell volume (PCV) and FAMACHA score of sheep (n=6) during the periparturient period. Different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

Figure 6. Mean weekly total and viable cell count in the periparturient period. Different superscripts are significantly different (p<0.05).

Figure 7. Mean weekly leukocyte count of sheep (n=6) during the periparturient period.
3.5 Total Protein Concentration
Total protein concentration in sheep serum decreased significantly (p>0.05) at day 7 after lambing and increased through the rest of the days (Figure 8). Day +7 had the least concentration of total protein (figure 8).

![Figure 8. Mean weekly serum protein concentration during the periparturient period. Different superscripts are significantly different (p< 0.05)](image)

3.6 Serum Protein Profile (Interactome) Sodium Dodecyl Sulfate Polyacrylamide Gel Electrophoresis (SDS-PAGE)

The protein profile of sheep serum during the periparturient period showed different band intensity in samples (figure 9). High band intensity was observed between 75KD and 50KD in all the samples. Two bands of low molecular weight were seen in -14, -7 and 21 but were not seen in the other samples. Other low-intensity bands were observed. This indicates that different serum proteins were expressed over the course of the periparturient period in sheep.

![Figure 9. Sheep serum protein profile from SDS page during the periparturient period](image)
3.7 Concentrations of Secreted Galectin Proteins

Galectin 1, 3, 9, and 14 were secreted in blood at varying in concentrations. Galectin 9 and 14 were in picograms (pg) (figure 11) and galectin 1 and 3 were detected at nanograms (ng) concentration (figure 10). Galectin 1 was greater in concentration (ranging from 14.97- 32.02 ng/ml) than galectin 3 (ranging from 1.04 - 1.77ng/ml) which was also greater than galectin 9 (ranging from 187.34 - 413.28 pg/ml). Galectin 14 was the least amount measured in serum (ranging from 85.49 - 289.29 pg/ml). The highest galectin 1, 3, 9 and 14 concentrations in serum were observed on day 14 after lambing. All the galectins decreased after lambing (day 7) and increased at day 14 then decreased again on day 21. Varying concentration of galectins is secreted into sheep blood during the periparturient period.

Figure 10. Concentration of galectin 1 and 3 of sheep (n=6) over a period of six (6) weeks during the periparturient period. Different superscripts are significantly different (p< 0.05)

Figure 11. Concentration of galectin 9 and 14 of sheep (n=6) over a period of six (6) weeks around the periparturient period. Different superscripts are significantly different (p< 0.05)
3.8 Correlation with Galectin Secretion

Secretion of galectin 1, 3, 9, and 14 was positively correlated with Bifidobacteria levels. Strongyle eggs and coccidia oocyte levels were negatively correlated with galectin 1, 3, 9, and 14 secretions (Table 7).

Table 7. Correlation table of galectin proteins, Bifidobacteria, Haemonchus spp, and coccidia

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Galectin</th>
<th>Bifido</th>
<th>Strongyle</th>
<th>Coccidia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

NA= not affected; + = positive correlation; - = negative correlation; Bifido=Bifidobacteria spp.

4. Discussion

In this study, the sheep were exposed to natural infection, they were of similar age and management. St. Croix sheep are a breed of hair sheep that are resistant to the nematode parasite Haemonchus. *Haemonchus contortus* is a strongyle parasite that causes anemia among other disease symptoms in sheep. Anemia due to Haemonchus infection is evaluated using changes in the PCV and FAMACHA score. Low levels of infection with strongyle and coccidia parasites, low FAMACHA scores, and high PCV were observed in this study as has previously been reported for this breed (Burke & Miller, 2002).

The immune response pattern and the low parasitic burden confirms reports that St. Croix sheep have a vigorous immune response against parasite infection (S. A. Bowdridge et al., 2015). Increases in neutrophils, lymphocytes, and eosinophils were observed in addition to changes in the concentration and pattern of serum proteins. These are indicators of both the innate and adaptive immune response to gastrointestinal infections and coincided with parasite infection levels (McRae, Stear, Good, & Keane, 2015).

Increases in neutrophils and monocytes have been associated with the prevention of Haemonchus parasite establishment (S. A. Bowdridge et al., 2015). This was observed in this study and may be an attempt to prevent the establishment of parasites (Figure 7). Immunoglobulins IgA and IgE are associated provide immunity against different parasite infections (Fitzsimmons, Falcone, & Dunne, 2014; Shaw, Morris, & Wheeler, 2013) in the gut. During the periparturient rise in fecal egg count sheep, IgA is secreted (Jeffcoate et al., 1992). Coproantibodies are antibodies in intestinal fluids or in feces (Burrows, Elliott, & Havens, 1947). They are good indicators of the local immune response in the gut (Bretschneider, Berberov, & Moxley, 2007; de Vos & Dick, 1991).

Coproantibodies studies have been done in mice (de Vos & Dick, 1991) and human (Coulson, Grimwood, Hudson, Barnes, & Bishop, 1992; Nunez, Costantino, & Venturiello, 2006). Both IgA and IgE coproantibodies were detected in feces from periparturient St. Croix ewes in varying concentrations (Figure 4). The level of IgA increased with increased levels of parasite egg levels in feces as reported by Mackinon et al., (MacKinnon, Michels, & Buckley, 2015). Both IgA and IgE may have affected parasite egg count since there was a negative correlation. Chevrotiere et al., (de la Chevrotière, Bambou, Arquet, Jacquiet, & Mandonnet, 2012) reported that serum IgA positively correlated with Haemonchus parasite while a negative correlation was observed with IgE in goat study. However, a negative correlation was observed between IgA and strongyle eggs while IgE had a positive correlation with parasite eggs in the present study. A negative correlation was observed between IgE and coccidia oocytes. This may indicate difference in gut immune response and peripheral blood.

4.1 Protein Profiling

The observed variation in total protein concentration and pattern is similar to reports in proteomics studies in periparturient sheep (Nace et al., 2014). Distinct patterns of expression may have reflected a response to different stages in the parasites life cycle and the immune response to diverse pathogens as both nematode and protozoan infections were observed (Figure 9). Protein bands that changed in abundance and level may serve as biomarkers of the immune response and need further characterization (Bendixen, Danielsen, Hollung, Gianazza, & Miller, 2011).
Studies on galectins have shown that galectins play an important role in the immune response during the periparturient period and during an infection. We have recently reported changes in the concentration of galectins during the periparturient period in dairy cattle [17].

Increased concentrations of Galectin 1, 3, 9, and 14 were secreted in blood at varying in concentrations. Galectin 9 and 14 were in picograms (pg) (figure 15) and galectin 1 and 3 were detected at nanograms (ng) concentration (figure 14). Galectin 1 was greater in concentration (ranging from 14.97- 32.02 ng/ml) than galectin 3 (ranging from 1.04 - 1.77 ng/ml) which was also greater than galectin 9 (ranging from 187.34 - 413.28 pg/ml). Galectin 14 was the least amount measured in serum (ranging from 85.49 - 289.29 pg/ml). The highest galectin 1, 3, 9 and 14 concentrations in serum were observed on day 14 after lambing. All the galectins decreased after lambing (day 7) and increased at day 14 then decreased again on day 21.

Varying concentration of galectins are secreted into sheep blood during the periparturient period. The functional implications of this variation should be explored. All the galectins decreased after lambing (day 7) and increased at day 14 then decreased again on day 21. This is similar to what has been reported in cows (Asiamah et al., 2018).

Studies have shown that probiotic bacteria such as Bifido bacteria may limit the availability of Galectins to bind pathogens in the gut and potentially play a role in colonization resistance and host infection (Kavanaugh et al., 2013) and modulation of Galectin gene expression in blood (Romond et al., 2009). Secretion of galectin 1, 3, 9, and 14 was positively correlated with Bifidobacteria levels. The observed correlation with epg and gut health may have implications for the immune response to Gastro parasites in cattle and other ruminants. The gastrointestinal tract is colonized by a diverse array of microflora which may be detrimental or beneficial to the host. Probiotics are beneficial microbes that reside in or are introduced into the host to improve gut health and aid in efficient digestion. Probiotics have been proven to stimulate immunity. They stimulate gene expression in cow (Adjei-Fremah et al., 2018), goat (Ekwemalor, Asiamah, Osei, Ismail, & Worku, 2017; Ekwemalor, Asiamah, & Worku, 2016) and sheep (Perez-Sanchez et al., 2011). Vlkova et al., (Vlkova, Grmanova, Rada, Homutova, & Dubna, 2009) studied Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus in sheep as potential probiotics. Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus bacteria have been found in sheep fecal samples and used as probiotics for improved production and have also been used to monitor food safety for mutton and other products (Delcenserie et al., 2008). Both organisms were present in St. Croix sheep and their abundance varied during the periparturient period with the lowest concentrations of Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus specific DNA being detected seven days before and after lambing. These shifts may be associated with metabolic adaptation to pregnancy (Gohir et al., 2015). The presence of Bifidobacteria in the gut has also been associated with a reduction in galectin gene expression in the blood and other organs (Romond et al., 2009). In this study, both Bifidobacteria and Lactobacillus DNA had a positive correlation with secreted galectins. Commensal strains of bifidobacteria have been shown to interact strongly with gal-3 (Kavanaugh et al., 2013) and Galectin 9 was enhanced by some species of Lactobacillus.

Research by Souza et al., (Souza et al., 2015) reported a negative correlation between Haemonchus parasite burden and galectin 14 in sheep. A similar observation was made in the correlation study, strongyle eggs were negatively correlated with all the secreted galectins. The periparturient rise in parasite egg count for both Haemonchus and coccidia occurred at different days during the periparturient period. Fecal egg counts for both parasites were negatively correlated with secreted galectins. Previous research has also reported galectin responses to different parasites are different (Haemonchus contortus and Fasciola hepatica) (blood (Alvarex et al., 2015). In this study, the galectin response relative to egg count from both parasites was different. Motran et al., (Motran, Molinder, Liu, Poirier, & Miceli, 2008) showed that galectin 1 affects Th1 and Th2 differently. Th2 has been associated with Haemonchus infection in ruminants and the Th1 response is associated with coccidia infection. Understanding how Galectins in blood contribute to disease susceptibility during the peripartum period is essential to sustainable animal production More studies are need on how secreted Galectins may contribute to the observed biasing toward a Th1-type immune response increased susceptibility to gastrointestinal nematodes in sheep (Beasley et al., 2010).

5. Conclusion

Galectins are differentially secreted in sheep blood. Galectin secretion changes during periparturient period. Coccidia and Nematode pathogens and commensal organisms may have differential effects on galectin secretion. This first insight into a possible role of secreted galectins in periparturient immune relaxation and PRR improves the understanding of the immune response, presents Galectin secretion profiles as biomarkers with diagnostic potential. and informs development of management programs and therapeutics.
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