

Comparison of Antimicrobial Effect of Berberine as an Endodontic Irrigant with that of Other Common Root Canal Irrigants on Three Microorganisms Involved in Persistent Endodontic Infections

Zakiyeh Donyavi¹, Mohammad Reza Arabestani², Dara Dastan³, Mohammad Esmacilzadeh⁴ & Nazanin Shahsavand⁵

¹ Assistant professor, Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

² Associate professor, Department of microbiology, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

³ Medicinal Plants and Natural Products Research Center, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

⁴ Assistant professor, Department of pediatric dentistry, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran

⁵ Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran.

Correspondence: Nazanin Shahsavand, Department of Endodontics, School of Dentistry, Hamadan University of Medical Sciences, Hamadan, Iran. Email: hamunidentist1@gmail.com

Received: July 15, 2017

Accepted: August 11, 2018

Online Published: October 15, 2018

doi:10.5539/jmbr.v8n1p153

URL: <https://doi.org/10.5539/jmbr.v8n1p153>

Abstract

Background and Aim: The present study investigated the antimicrobial effect of Berberine as an endodontic irrigant on the microorganisms involved in persistent endodontic infections. In this experimental in vitro trial, organisms *Enterococcus Faecalis*, *Staphylococcus Aureus*, and *Staphylococcus Epidermidis* were assessed in a multi-species biofilm tooth model.

Methods: Seventy-five single-rooted anterior teeth were collected and standardized to a length of 10mm. The teeth were randomly assigned into 5 groups. The teeth were then autoclaved to confirm being sterile. Afterwards, a biofilm consisting of the three selected bacteria was inoculated into the teeth and they were incubated for 21 days.

Results: The comparison of the amount of reduction in viable bacterial counts after irrigation by different solutions among the groups was done by Kruskal-wallis test while the changes of viable bacterial counts before and after irrigation with each solution was done by Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test. No significant difference existed among the studied irrigation solutions regarding the mean reduction of total viable bacterial counts, neither did it exist regarding the viable *staphylococcus aureus*, *staphylococcus epidermidis* or *Enterococcus faecalis* counts after irrigation. MIC of berberine against *staphylococcus aureus*, *staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* species were 0.5µg/ml, 0.03µg/ml and 1µg/ml, respectively. These values were found to be 0.39µg/ml, 0.09µg/ml and 0.78µg/ml for NaOCl and 0.04µg/ml, 0.04µg/ml and 0.09µg/ml for chlorhexidine, respectively.

Conclusion: it is concluded that Berberine can be used as a natural alternative instead of conventional root canal irrigants. However, more studies are required in order to confirm that characteristics of this substance are appropriate from other aspects.

1. Introduction

The effect of bacteria and their products has been proven as the major cause of development of pulpal and periapical diseases in many studies (Siqueira Jr, Rôças, & Lopes, 2002). The main purpose of root canal treatment is to remove these microorganisms from root canal space and to achieve this, mechanical and chemical cleaning of the canal is essential (Tabrizizadeh, Zandi, Mehtjardi, & Mahmodizadeh, 2014). However, certain microorganisms, including facultative gram-positive bacteria and certain types of fungi, may survive even after precise chemomechanical preparation of the root canal system and cause persistent root canal infections (Nabavizadeh et al., 2014).

Biofilm is a complex microbial community that is composed of a variety of bacteria that have different ecological needs and pathological potential. Biofilm not only effectively protects bacteria against host defense, but also resists

them against a number of disinfectants that are used as oral hygiene products or drugs in the treatment of infections (Pinheiro et al., 2004).

The successful treatment of these resistant infections depends on biofilm removal and the effective killing of bacteria in biofilms (Pinheiro et al., 2004).

Enterococcus faecalis is a resistant microorganism that plays an essential role in persistent radical pericardial lesions after root canal treatment. It has also been found in 24% to 77% of endodontically treated teeth with apical periodontitis, as a single organism or as part of bacterial flora (Nosrat et al., 2009).

Removal of this bacterium by root canal therapy is very difficult because the bacterium penetrates into the dentinal tubules and stabilizes. Its ability to form biofilms and tolerate adverse environmental conditions explains its relatively high prevalence. Therefore, using a root canal irrigant that is effective on these bacteria can help to prevent refractory endodontic infections (Imanshahidi & Hosseinzadeh, 2008; Sundqvist, Figdor, Persson, & Sjögren, 1998). Staphylococci are also commonly found in persistent endodontic infections (Endo & Dias Filho, 2015; Wei, Xu, & Wu, 2011).

Root canal mechanical preparation is the initial procedure for cleansing the canal and irrigants are an essential complement to the treatment (Shuping, Ørstavik, Sigurdsson, & Trope, 2000). Selection of a proper irrigating solution is important because of their different functions in removing debris, smear layer and bacteria from the root canal system. Different formulations of detergents have different functions on the pulp, necrotic tissues and microorganisms (Baumgartner, Hutter, & Siqueira, 2006). Among these materials, sodium hypochlorite has been widely used as a successful irrigating solution after its introduction by Walker. Almost all studies have indicated its beneficial antimicrobial effects. Although this solution is used as a cleansing agent for the treatment of necrotic teeth due to its antimicrobial activity and its unique properties in tissue solubility, but it is toxic to vital tissues and its extrusion from apex causes pain and emphysema. On the other hand, it is known to induce allergic reactions and its taste is unfavorable for patients and its vapor is an eye stimulus (Baumgartner, Hutter, & Siqueira, 2006; Leonardo et al., 2002; Ceri et al., 1999). Therefore, the study is still underway to find a solution with desirable antimicrobial properties and less side effects.

Chlorhexidine gluconate (CHX) is another detergent that has a wide antimicrobial spectrum as well as good substantivity by binding to hydroxyapatite as a final cleanser (Rôças, Siqueira Jr, & Santos, 2004).

Due to the continuous increase in antibiotic-resistant strains due to the inappropriate use of antibiotics and the effects of synthetic detergents, researchers have recently started research into plant alternatives. Herbal detergents are harmless and non-toxic and have shown potent antibacterial effects in vitro (Leonardo et al., 2002). The antibacterial effects of green tea and Triphala, *Monrinda Citrifolia*, *Zataria Multiflora* Boiss, *Satureja Jamzad Khuzistanica*, *Carvacol* and *Arctium Lappa* have been studied as a detergent or intracanal drug in infectious root canals (Baumgartner, Hutter, & Siqueira, 2006; Leonardo et al., 2002; Ceri et al., 1999; Rôças, Siqueira Jr, & Santos, 2004).

Berberine, a quaternary ammonium salt of the isoquinolones group is found in plants such as *Berberis Vulgaris*, *Goldenseal* and *Coptis Chinesis* (Clauditz, Resch, Wieland, Peschel, & Götz, 2006). *Berberis Vulgaris* (Barberry) is well known in Iran, and various parts of this plant such as root, leaf and fruit juices are used as traditional drugs (Siqueira Jr & Lima, 2002).

The mechanical treatment of the root canal is the initial method of cleansing the canal and washing solutions are an essential supplement to the treatment. Endodontic antimicrobial agents should be compatible with periapical tissues, in addition to being effective on pathogens. However, there are some disadvantages of some common irrigants, including their cytotoxicity, and adverse tissue effects in case of unintentional outflow from the canal. Therefore, there has recently been a growing trend towards the use of natural products in endodontic treatments (Nabavizadeh et al., 2014). Berberine has been studied in several studies because of its antimicrobial properties and biocompatibility, in order to determine its antibacterial and antifungal effects and its ability to be used as a root canal cleanser.

Considering the fact that in many studies, the most prevalent bacteria in persistent endodontic infections is *Enterococcus faecalis* and to a lesser extent are *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*, the aim of this study was to investigate the effect of Berberine as a natural root canal irrigants on these microorganisms.

2. Materials and Method

In this experimental in vitro trial, organisms *Enterococcus Faecalis*, *Staphylococcus Aureus*, and *Staphylococcus Epidermidis* were assessed in a multi-species biofilm tooth model. Seventy-five single-rooted anterior teeth were collected. The canals were prepared by crown-down technique by ProTaper rotary files using normal saline as an irrigant during cleaning and shaping procedures. Smear layer removal was done by 1 minute application of 17% EDTA (10 mL) and 5.25% NaOCl (5 mL). The roots were cut to standardized 10 mm sections and the root apices

were sealed using cyanoacrylate glue in order to prevent apical leakage and the outer surfaces of the teeth were covered with a layer of nail laquer. The teeth were randomly assigned into 5 groups: Berberine (2mg/mL), 5.25% NaOCl, Chlorhexidine gluconate 2%, mixture of Berberine 1mg/ml + Chlorhexidine gluconate 2% and Normal saline (control group). The teeth were then autoclaved to confirm being sterile. Afterwards, a biofilm consisting of the three selected bacteria (*Enterococcus faecalis*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis*) was inoculated into the teeth and they were incubated for 21 days. After incubation, the root canals were irrigated 3 times with 10ml of normal saline and dried with sterile #40 paper points. In order to take initial samples, dentin chips were collected by using #40 Hedstrom files and added to microtubes containing 1ml normal saline and 1% Tween 80 and shaken for 30 seconds so that the microorganisms were separated. They were then cultured on Blood Agar medium and viable microorganisms were counted (S1). After that, the canals were flooded with irrigants for 5 minutes. They were then dried with #40 paper point and each irrigant was neutralized by 5ml of the appropriate solution so as to prevent the carry-over effect. The neutralizer was dried with sterile paper point after 1 minute and sampling was performed as done before and the microorganisms were cultured on Blood Agar medium. The blood Agar media were incubated at 35°C for 48 hours and the viable bacteria were counted (S2). Ultimately, the S1 and S2 counts were compared. The comparison of the amount of reduction in viable bacterial counts after irrigation by different solutions among the groups was done by Kruskal-wallis test while the changes of viable bacterial counts before and after irrigation with each solution was done by Wilcoxon Signed Ranks test.

3. Results

No significant difference existed among the studied irrigation solutions regarding the mean reduction of total viable bacterial counts, neither did it exist regarding the reduction of viable *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* or *Enterococcus faecalis* counts after irrigation.

However, the effect size for BBR, NaOCl, CHX, BBR+CHX and saline was respectively:

0.43, 0.62, 0.62, 0.42 and 0.3 against *Enterococcus faecalis*

0.51, 0.59, 0.62, 0.55 and 0.52 against *Staphylococcus aureus*

0.54, 0.62, 0.6, 0.61 and 0.4 against *Staphylococcus epidermidis*

and 0.55, 0.62, 0.62, 0.62, 0.55 and 0.48 against the total biofilm bacteria.

MIC values against *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* species were:

0.5µg/ml, 0.03µg/ml and 1µg/ml for BBR

0.39µg/ml, 0.09µg/ml and 0.78µg/ml for NaOCl

And 0.04µg/ml, 0.04µg/ml and 0.09µg/ml for chlorhexidine, respectively.

Table 1. MBC, MIC and inhibition zone diameter in the use of different irrigants against bacterial species

Halo of lack of growth	MIC	MBC	group	Bacteria
mm11	µg/ml5/0	µg/ml1	Berberine	<i>S. aureus</i>
mm30	µg/ml04/0	µg/ml09/0	CHX 2.0%	
mm40	µg/ml39/0	µg/ml78/0	NaOCl 5.25%	
mm28	µg/ml04/0	µg/ml09/0	CHX+Berberine	
mm19			Vancomycin	<i>S. epidermidis</i>
mm38	µg/ml03/0	µg/ml06/0	Berberine	
mm45	µg/ml04/0	µg/ml09/0	CHX 2.0%	
mm41	µg/ml09/0	µg/ml19/0	NaOCl 5.25%	
mm38	µg/ml04/0	µg/ml09/0	CHX+Berberine	<i>E. faecalis</i>
mm20			Vancomycin	
Effectless	µg/ml1	µg/ml2	Berberine	
mm21	µg/ml09/0	µg/ml19/0	CHX 2.0%	
mm29	µg/ml78/0	µg/ml56/1	NaOCl 5.25%	<i>E. faecalis</i>
mm20	µg/ml09/0	µg/ml19/0	CHX+Berberine	
mm20			Vancomycin	

4. Discussion

According to the results of the study conducted by Xie et al, Berberine alone and in combination with chlorhexidine reduced the number of live bacteria in the form of a laboratory protocol based on a study on a multibacterial biofilm (including *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Prevotella intermedia*) (Xie, Johnson, Wenckus,

Fayad, & Wu, 2012). In the recent study, Berberine was found to be less effective in terms of using alone (2mg/mL) than other cleansing solutions, but in combination with chlorhexidine 0.1%, Berberine (1mg/mL) demonstrated bactericidal comparable to sodium hypochlorite 5.25%, chlorhexidine 2% and mixture of Berberine 1mg/ml and chlorhexidine 1%. Due to the use of Berberine at concentrations of 2 mg/mL, as well as the combination of 1 mg/ml Berberine and 1% chlorhexidine in the recent study and its antimicrobial effects, in our study we use the same concentrations. It should be noted that due to the difference in biofilm-forming bacteria in the research mentioned and the current study, inequality in the significance of differences between groups in two studies is justified. On the other hand, considering the size of the effects calculated in the present study, it is observed that the results of the present study are consistent with and confirm the recent study.

Iwazaki et al investigated antifungal activity of berberine and its synergism with fluconazole. According to recent research results, Berberine has a weak antifungal activity against *Candida albicans* in a concentration of 500 µg, but its combination with fluconazole showed strong antifungal activity (Iwazaki et al., 2010). Berberine, at concentrations greater than 0.9 µg/ml and in combination with fluconazole, also had higher MIC values (greater than 1.09 µg/ml) and resulted in the removal of residual turbidity in the incubation wells. In another study, Wei et al investigated antifungal activity of Berberine when used alone or in combination with antifungal azoles against planktonic and biofilm *Candida* using agar spreading and micro-dilution methods and results revealed that Berberine inhibited growth of different candidate species (9). In the recent study, the effects of synergy between Berberine and miconazole or fluconazole were reported in a disk diffusion method and in suspension, while neither berberine nor miconazole alone had the effects of inhibition on the formation of *Candida albicans* biofilm.

Yu et al confirmed synergistic effects between BBR and oxacillin against *Staphylococcus aureus*. Also, Wang et al reported the effects of BBR on biofilm of *Staphylococcus epidermidis*. On the other hand, Lee et al examined the antimicrobial effects of Berberine against oral bacteria associated with endodontic infections, and showed that Berberine had antimicrobial effects against *A. actinomycetemcomitans* and *Enterococcus faecalis* (Lee et al., 2013). According to the results of our study, BBR has the ability to eradicate *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* the same as other irrigants such as NaOCl, so these results were consistent with the results of the present study.

In a study by Xie et al BBR alone and in combination with chlorhexidine reduced the number of live bacteria in the form of an experimental protocol studying a multibacterial biofilm (including *Fusobacterium nucleatum*, *Enterococcus faecalis* and *Prevotella intermedia*).

In the recent study, BBR was found to be less effective when applied alone (2mg/mL) than other irrigants, but when combined with chlorhexidine 1%, BBR (1mg/mL) exerted bactericidal results comparable to that of sodium hypochlorite 5.25%, CHX 2% and CHX 1%. It should be noted that due to differences in biofilm-producing bacteria of the research mentioned with the present study, the inequality of the significance of differences among the groups in two studies is justifiable. On the other hand, considering the size of the effects calculated in the present study, it is observed that the results of the present study are consistent with and confirm the recent study.

According to the results of the current study, sodium hypochlorite has the ability to destroy *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* as other rinse solutions.

5. Conclusion

No significant difference existed among the studied irrigants regarding the mean reduction of total viable bacterial counts, neither did it exist regarding the reduction in viable *staphylococcus aureus*, *staphylococcus epidermidis* or *Enterococcus faecalis* counts after irrigation.

However, in all solutions (except in the normal saline group for *Enterococcus faecalis* species), the number of total viable bacterial counts and the number of viable bacterial counts of *staphylococcus aureus*, *staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* species decreased significantly after irrigation compared to the counts before irrigation.

MIC of Berberine against *staphylococcus aureus*, *staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Enterococcus faecalis* species were 0.5µg/ml, 0.03µg/ml and 1µg/ml, respectively. These values were found to be 0.39µg/ml, 0.09µg/ml and 0.78µg/ml for NaOCl and 0.04µg/ml, 0.04µg/ml and 0.09µg/ml for chlorhexidine, respectively.

Altogether, since the effective concentrations of berberine are achievable in vitro, and because of the similar antibacterial efficacy of berberine compared to that of other conventional irrigants, and considering its natural and herbal essence and the fact that this substance is biocompatible and not cytotoxic (in contrary to some conventional irrigants which can cause adverse effects if unintentionally extruded beyond the canal space), it is concluded that Berberine can be used as a natural alternative instead of conventional root canal irrigants. However, more studies are required in order to confirm that characteristics of this substance are appropriate from other aspects.

References

- Baumgartner, J. C., Hutter, J. W., & Siqueira, J. F. (2006). Endodontic microbiology and treatment of infections. *Pathways of the Pulp*, 2, 580-607.
- Ceri, H., Olson, M. E., Stremick, C., Read, R. R., Morck, D., & Buret, A. (1999). The Calgary Biofilm Device: new technology for rapid determination of antibiotic susceptibilities of bacterial biofilms. *Journal of Clinical Microbiology*, 37(6), 1771-1776.
- Clauditz, A., Resch, A., Wieland, K. P., Peschel, A., & Götz, F. (2006). Staphyloxanthin plays a role in the fitness of *Staphylococcus aureus* and its ability to cope with oxidative stress. *Infection and Immunity*, 74(8), 4950-4953.
- Endo, E. H., & Dias Filho, B. P. (2015). Antibacterial activity of berberine against methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus Aureus* planktonic and biofilm cells. *Austin J Trop Med Hyg*, 1(1), 1005.
- Imanshahidi, M., & Hosseinzadeh, H. (2008). Pharmacological and therapeutic effects of *Berberis vulgaris* and its active constituent, berberine. *Phytotherapy research*, 22(8), 999-1012.
- Iwazaki, R. S., Endo, E. H., Ueda-Nakamura, T., Nakamura, C. V., Garcia, L. B., & Dias Filho, B. P. (2010). In vitro antifungal activity of the berberine and its synergism with fluconazole. *Antonie Van Leeuwenhoek*, 97(2), 201.
- Lee, D., Kim, M. J., Park, S. N., Lim, Y. K., Min, J. B., Hwang, H. K., & Kook, J. K. (2013). Antimicrobial activity of berberine against oral bacteria related to endodontic infections. *International Journal of Oral Biology*, 38(4), 141-147.
- Leonardo, M. R., Silveira, F. F., Silva, L. A. B. D., Tanomaru Filho, M., & Utrilla, L. S. (2002). Calcium hydroxide root canal dressing. Histopathological evaluation of periapical repair at different time periods. *Brazilian Dental Journal*, 17-22.
- Nabavizadeh, M., Abbaszadegan, A., Gholami, A., Sheikhiyani, R., Shokouhi, M., Shams, M. S., & Ghasemi, Y. (2014). Chemical constituent and antimicrobial effect of essential oil from *Myrtus communis* leaves on microorganisms involved in persistent endodontic infection compared to two common endodontic irrigants: An in vitro study. *Journal of conservative dentistry: JCD*, 17(5), 449.
- Nosrat, A., Bolhari, B., Sharifian, M. R., Aligholi, M., & Mortazavi, M. S. (2009). The effect of Carvacrol on *Enterococcus faecalis* as a final irrigant. *Iranian endodontic journal*, 4(3), 96.
- Pinheiro, E. T., Gomes, B. P. F. A., Drucker, D. B., Zaia, A. A., Ferraz, C. C. R., & Souza-Filho, F. J. (2004). Antimicrobial susceptibility of *Enterococcus faecalis* isolated from canals of root filled teeth with periapical lesions. *International endodontic journal*, 37(11), 756-763.
- Rôças, I. N., Siqueira Jr, J. F., & Santos, K. R. (2004). Association of *Enterococcus faecalis* with different forms of periradicular diseases. *Journal of Endodontics*, 30(5), 315-320.
- Shuping, G. B., Ørstavik, D., Sigurdsson, A., & Trope, M. (2000). Reduction of intracanal bacteria using nickel-titanium rotary instrumentation and various medications. *Journal of endodontics*, 26(12), 751-755.
- Siqueira Jr, J. F., & Lima, K. C. (2002). *Staphylococcus epidermidis* and *Staphylococcus xylosum* in a secondary root canal infection with persistent symptoms: a case report. *Australian Endodontic Journal*, 28(2), 61-63.
- Siqueira Jr, J. F., Rôças, I. N., & Lopes, H. P. (2002). Patterns of microbial colonization in primary root canal infections. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*, 93(2), 174-178.
- Sundqvist, G., Figdor, D., Persson, S., & Sjögren, U. (1998). Microbiologic analysis of teeth with failed endodontic treatment and the outcome of conservative re-treatment. *Oral Surgery, Oral Medicine, Oral Pathology, Oral Radiology, and Endodontology*, 85(1), 86-93.
- Tabrizizadeh, M., Zandi, H., Mehrjardi, M. M., & Mahmodizadeh, H. (2014). Comparing the Antibacterial Effect of *Peganum Harmala* Extract and 5/25% Sodium Hypochlorite on *Enterococcus Faeclis* Biofilm. *Journal of Shahid Sadoughi University of Medical Sciences*, 22(3), 1256-1264.
- Wei, G. X., Xu, X., & Wu, C. D. (2011). In vitro synergism between berberine and miconazole against planktonic and biofilm *Candida* cultures. *Archives of oral biology*, 56(6), 565-572.
- Xie, Q., Johnson, B. R., Wenckus, C. S., Fayad, M. I., & Wu, C. D. (2012). Efficacy of berberine, an antimicrobial plant alkaloid, as an endodontic irrigant against a mixed-culture biofilm in an in vitro tooth model. *Journal of Endodontics*, 38(8), 1114-1117.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).