Character Strength Activation for People with Intellectual and Developmental Disabilities Using Video Feedback in Groups
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Abstract
This paper looks at the theory, research, applied aspects, and future possibilities of using strengths-based positive interventions in action, modified for people with no or low literacy with the use of the camera and video feedback. Action-based modifications of interventions, focusing on the emergence of character strengths and immediate visual feedback may bring us a step closer to offering added beneficial changes to people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.
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1. Introduction

If any organism fails to fulfill its potentialities, it becomes sick.
—William James

Not being depressed is not the same as being happy. Positive interventions are vehicles to focus on what’s strong instead of what’s wrong, to advance human flourishing. Findings in positive psychology show that the use of character strengths improves well-being in the general population. There is developing evidence that activating these strengths for people with intellectual and developmental disabilities can have similar effects. Embodied modifications of positive interventions activating character strengths can offer valuable benefits and effective tools to people with cognitive limitations. This paper invites practitioners to consider new ways and applications of using role-play in strengths-based practices with people with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

2. Literature Review

People with intellectual and developmental disabilities (ID/DD) are arguably the most marginalized and stigmatized disabilities subgroup (Razza et al., 2014). Research indicates a lack of inclusion in research as well as training for mental health professionals in this area (Costello et al., 2007; Cumella, 2007). Additionally, there is a notable absence of providing interventions other than applied behavioral analysis (ABA) (Emerson, 2001). ABA has been an effective tool in helping people with ID/DD to develop essential life skills. There are other interventions used with different populations that have been modified for use for people with ID/DD, such as Cognitive Behavioral Therapy (CBT) (Unwin, Tsimopoulou, Kroese, & Azmi, 2016). Further research is suggested by McNair, Woodrow, and Hare (2017) to make conclusions about the efficacy and effectiveness of Dialectical Behavioral Therapy (DBT) with people with ID/DD. Mindfulness-integrated CBT (MiCBT) was offered to parents of children with ID to reduce distress (Osborn et al., 2018).

However, this disparity in the use of effective interventions for those with ID/DD is particularly wide when it comes to the application of evidence-based positive interventions emerging from the new science of positive psychology (Shogren et al., 2017).
Positive psychology seeks to move toward happiness and well-being, not only away from suffering. A positive outlook on life has been shown to help one live longer, with a stronger immune system, fewer symptoms of depression, higher well-being, greater productivity, and better resilience and coping skills during difficult times (Lybomirsky, King, & Diener, 2005; Seligman, 2011). We are shifting focus from what’s wrong to what’s strong. More specifically, research is pointing to the importance of recognition and use of character strengths (Niemiec, Shogren, & Wehmeyer, 2017), which are positive traits reflecting universal virtues. The use of character strengths has been shown to improve well-being in the general population (Wood, Linley, Maltby, Kashdan, & Hurling, 2011), and there is emerging evidence that spotting and activating these strengths for people with ID/DD can have similar effects (Niemiec et al., 2017). What’s more, the use of role-playing may be an underused tool to activate these strengths, especially with populations with no or low literacy (Tomasulo, 2014).

The group is both; a window and a mirror. We get hurt by groups, we heal by groups (Tomasulo, 2005). Group is a valuable construct and microcosm of life—our relationships, issues, strengths, and problems can be an agent to find solutions, reframe ideas, initiate change, and foster growth (Yalom & Leszcz, 2005). Research also shows that we have the power to increase and sustain positive changes through intentional activities, one of them being the perspectives we take through the stories we are told—and tell ourselves (Tomasulo & Pawelski, 2012).

Adding the camera points to further benefits. Video feedback has been used before with the ID/DD population. In the past, social skill building and behavior modification have been at the core of video feedback research with individuals with ID/DD (Bellini, Akullian, & Hopf, 2007; Buggey, 2005; Coyle & Cole, 2004; Buggey, Toombs, Gardener, & Cervetti, 1999). The point of view of the camera has been from the outside looking in, often capturing candid behavior to allow the individual to see their own behavior in their natural environment (Wojitowics-Dacka & Miotk-Mrozowska, 2016). Video self-modeling has also been used to teach job tasks and enhance employment skills to individuals with ID/DD (Goh & Bambara, 2013). Embrégts (2002) used video feedback for the purpose of behavior modification for young people with mild intellectual disability and their staff. With an awareness of the presence of the camera, participants were candidly recorded during a session, and then viewed themselves on the tape. They were asked to identify and discuss appropriate and inappropriate behaviors with the goal of increasing desired behaviors.

Slemko (1998) looked at the effects of video feedback on the awareness of interpersonal behavior in a social context among adults with intellectual disabilities. The candid social interactions of participants were recorded, followed by the group watching the video, and ending with a group debrief to discuss the video review process. Participants were able to address and explore their own social behavior and the behavior of others through the video review that facilitated a sense of community, enhanced interpersonal awareness, and improved social interaction. Slemko’s study provides us with important information regarding the value of watching ourselves on videotape and discussing it with our peers, like we do in our groups.

3. Methods

This paper invites the reader to consider new ways of using role-playing in strengths-based practices. Borrowing from psychodrama, drama therapy, creative dramatics, and positive interventions, we believe that role-playing used with groups in a variety of ways can foster and deepen insights in a playful embodiment, and can be applied and modified for people with cognitive limitations.

3.1 The ACTing Cure Model and Interactive-Behavioral Therapy (IBT)

The ACTing Cure (Tomasulo & Szucs, 2015) is a hybrid group model based on Tomasulo’s Interactive-Behavioral Therapy (IBT) model (Tomasulo, 2014). IBT is a widely used, evidence-based clinical group model specifically developed for people with ID/DD. IBT was a subject of several studies (Blaine, 1993; Carlin, 1998; Daniels, 1998; Keller, 1995; Lundrigan, 2007; Oliver-Brannon, 2000) showing effectiveness.

IBT is fashioned around the activation and use of the 14 therapeutic factors and the 24 VIA (Values in Action) character strengths. The therapeutic factors indicate group dynamics that emerge during the session between participants, while the character strengths are individual dynamics. The VIA Institute on Character (viacharacter.org) identifies 24 key character strengths under the virtues of wisdom, courage, humanity, justice, temperance, and transcendence (see Figure 1). Described as “positive, trait-like capacities for thinking, feeling, and behaving,” all 24 character strengths exist in each of us in varying degrees and are considered the primary ingredients to flourishing (Niemiec et al., 2017; Niemiec, 2013).
With that in mind, the facilitator in an IBT group has 14+24 positive elements to spot, activate, and affirm in a four-stage model, where the four stages occur within a single session: 1.) Orientation, 2.) Warm-up and sharing, 3.) Enactment, and 4.) Affirmation (see Figure 1 and 2). The stages move from cognitive networking in the orientation stage (the attempt toward paying attention to the communication efforts of others) through engagement in the warm-up and sharing stage. Next is the preparation to action through a psychodramatic enactment in the enactment stage and, finally, the affirmation stage where there is validation based on participation (see Figure 2 for a chart of how these stages engage the members).
Instead of psychodramatic techniques, using a less personal slant of drama-therapy, the ACTing cure model, is providing a future-focused positive environment with therapeutic gains, using aesthetic distance throughout the four stages. Following the IBT structure, but different in its approach, the ACTing cure can be used in clinical, educational, coaching, and recreational settings with a wide variety of content. Both IBT and the ACTing cure are using a facilitation model where peer interactions are the focus, rather than a teaching model where information is largely exchanged between the facilitator and the members with little or no interaction between and among members. Both models are designed to activate the 14 therapeutic factors of group dynamics (Tomasulo, 2014), and the 24 individual character strengths (Peterson & Seligman, 2004). The ACTing cure model also leans on theories and interventions of positive psychotherapy (Seligman, Rashid, & Parks, 2006; Rashid, 2015) and role theory (Moreno, 1987; Landy, 2012; Landy, 2009), while employing techniques of IBT, action methods, and tools of improvisational theater. As in the IBT model, the four stages are within each single session and the model can be used for open or closed group.

In both models the facilitators are trained to look for therapeutic factors and characters strengths through a process known as priming. To do so there is a higher likelihood that therapeutic factors and character strengths will be activated (Tomasulo, 2017; Flückiger et al., 2008). The first stage of the ACTing cure group structure (orientation) uses cognitive networking and engagement through awareness. Stage 2 (warm-up) is preparing for action through creative interaction. Stage 3 (enactment) is discovery, exploration, and action insight through various action methods and techniques, such as role-playing in character, the use of an empty chair, and role reversal. Stage 4 (affirmation) is the identification of group features of therapeutic factors and individual character strengths, which have emerged throughout the group. Members are asked to pick one fellow member who was the most fun to watch that session and why—practicing strength spotting and exercising executive functions, such as choice, decision, and reasoning.

3.2 The ACTing Cure – Video Feedback (VF): Roleplaying and Video Feedback of Performance in Groups for People with ID/DD

We have noticed significant growth in individuals and in the group when the enactment part (stage 3) was recorded on camera and played back to the group during the same session. The actor becomes the audience by watching him- or herself on a TV screen. In a group setting, we connect a video camera to the TV, and while the actor plays the desired role or tells a story on camera, the rest of the group watches him or her live on the screen. The performance is played back, giving immediate feedback to the protagonist by asking, “What did you like most about your performance?” with feedback and affirmation from the group. All validation and discussions are framed in a supporting positive environment, highlighting and building on strengths. The cycle of performing – video feedback – discussion is repeated with all members who wish to participate.

Our focus was centered on the individuals’ best possible selves, or the ideal version of who they want to be, which draws upon the validation and enhancement of strengths they already have. Imagining one’s best possible self has been used effectively to enhance optimistic thinking to improve well-being and future expectations (Enrique, Breton-Lopez, Molinari, Barnos, & Botella, 2017; Layous, Nelson, & Lyubomirsky, 2013). Utilizing a “best possible self” approach has been shown to increase positive future outcomes, which allows for greater optimism and higher expectations for the future for general population (Peters, Flink, Boersma, & Linton, 2010), and it seems reasonable to extend this thinking to the individual with cognitive limitations. In our group, we imagined our future best selves and played those roles on camera. We were less concerned with learning specific tasks and more focused on fostering the character strengths that were already present, promoting the installation of hope within the group.

Designing each session around one of the 24 character strengths (Niemiec, 2013; Tomasulo, 2014), members within our group could see themselves on the screen narrating/role-playing peak experiences and future projections of a best possible self. Research shows that journaling about a “best possible self” boosts optimism, hope, coping skills, and elevates positive expectations of the future (Niemiec, 2013; Meevissen et al., 2011). The exercise suggests visualizing the outcome while exploring which character strengths would help us to make the vision into reality.

4. Results

In the ACTing cure-VF group, we were exploring possibilities in action, using role-play and narrative enactments followed by video feedback. Our members reported similar results; enhanced social connections, elevated positive emotions, better coping skills, increased self-esteem and self-worth, and higher hope. Some samples of comments included:

• “It was a beautiful day today. I will come back again.”
• “I learned to be self-aware, have more self-esteem, don’t be afraid of what others say and have a good time.”
• “I learned to have patience and to reach for my goals and not be nervous.”
• “To take it easy and don’t let things bother you.”
• “Don’t be scared, just be brave.”
• “Be patient, don’t be mean to people.”
• “Just making friends.”
• “How to enjoy myself.”
• “To take care of myself, do better, work hard, keep trying and not give up on myself.”
• “He’s changed a lot. I can see that.”
• “Things are different. More happier.”

Perspectives have changed by how we see ourselves and are seen by others. Being in an audience role watching ourselves from the outside also allows us to calibrate how we want to present ourselves, instilling confidence and power over the future, knowing how we want to be perceived. That self-perception and peer feedback can shift a nearly immediate change in thoughts, feelings, and behaviors. “I can’t hear myself,” now he always speaks louder. “I look pretty when I smile, I should do it more,” the group affirms. “I’m happy when I feel that you all pay attention.” Many share that they feel the same way. “I changed my behavior – I’m not as loud and don’t curse as much.” The group encourages him to always remember that. One member calls the group “My saving grace.” Others state, “I’m happy here.” “It’s family.” “Now I see I can do it.” A person, who was close to non-verbal, and mostly dosing off, came to life when on camera, and was at the edge of his seat when watching himself on the screen. He never missed a session. The feedback from the group was: “I’m proud of you! And you should be proud of yourself.” Energy, active interaction, and peer support is unambiguous. As each week was centered around a specific character strength, that strength was illuminated through the individuals’ performances on camera. Group members have said, “I am a better team member.” “I am learning to be a better person.” And, “I am a really good leader.”

Video feedback allowed our group members to see the best possible version of themselves on the TV screen, and then hear the honest praise from other group members, reinforcing those identified strengths. By watching their best possible selves on camera, the individuals acted as their own exemplar - an excellent model who pushes the existing paradigm, establishing a new normal. Exemplars have been effectively used in areas such as popular media, education, coaching, and skill building. We know that if we need to learn how to do a task, we can look at a video on YouTube of somebody doing it. In the form of video modeling, exemplars have been used to teach skills to people with ID/DD with a different individual doing a desired task on video (Bidewell & Rehfeldt, 2004). Exemplars are often other people we strive to be, but what happens if we act as our own exemplar? The performances in our group were spontaneous and unedited, so people were able to see how others see them. The group gave the individuals the opportunity to be their own exemplar by giving a future-focused performance, and then watching that performance with the rest of the group. They heard themselves on camera telling their current self in the audience that they can and will be successful. They tell themselves they can do it, they see themselves on camera doing it, and that leads them to know that they can do it. For example, after years of speaking quietly, one group member watched his performance and realized he couldn’t hear himself; now he talks loudly and clearly so everyone can hear him. Other group members have said, “I am proud of myself for speaking loud,” “I can make eye contact now,” and, “I look really handsome!” Priming and highlighting strengths leads to an upward spiral of positive emotions and elevation, where changes are sustainable.

An unedited video requires individuals to see themselves as others see them, and hear themselves as others hear them. We never edited our videos since the group viewed themselves on camera immediately after we filmed their performances. Research has been done in the past that incorporates an edited version of the video in order to correct a certain target behavior. For example, Bray and Kehle (1998) used an edited self-modeling intervention for stuttering in children, having the participants watch themselves on video speaking fluently for six weeks. Although this intervention does have great validity, our use of video feedback does not aim to correct inappropriate behaviors, but rather only to enhance strengths.

We warmed up the group in Stage 1 (as previously explained in the ACTing cure four stage model) to support one another, and always frame the performances in a positive way. Each group member knows to speak of his or
her own performance and those of the others only from a positive perspective with the guidance of group facilitators asking, “What did you like about your/their performance?” In the 10 months (32 weekly sessions) of conducting this group, we did not experience any negative or harmful comments made by anyone in the group. Participants did, however, respond to behaviors that they were not as fond of about themselves. For instance, one member fixed his hair before we went on camera because he knew (from being in this group) how he wanted to present himself to feel his best. Another group member took his hat off before going on camera. As we affirmed one another’s performances, we noticed character strengths not only in our peers but in ourselves. One gentleman always affirmed his strength of self-regulation, stating, “I like that I don’t curse as much as I used to.”

Some case examples of the emergence of the 24 character strengths:

Table 1. Examples of the emergence of character strengths

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Character Strength</th>
<th>Client Affirming Statement</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>WISDOM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creativity</td>
<td>“I could use it another way.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Curiosity</td>
<td>“Listen. I just want to learn to listen to everybody who tells me to go the right way.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Judgment</td>
<td>“I know that’s the right thing to do.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love of learning</td>
<td>“I want to learn to write on board with [a] marker. Fun.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perspective</td>
<td>“I want to work on my time management skills. This will help me get a job.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COURAGE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bravery</td>
<td>“I like that I have courage. I didn’t think I could do that. But I did.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honesty</td>
<td>“I like that he was honest. Telling the truth.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Perseverance</td>
<td>“I learned to never give up, keep on trying and don’t give up on myself. To do better.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zest</td>
<td>“I learned to enjoy myself. Just go out. Be happy.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HUMANITY</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kindness</td>
<td>“I’m good at baking. Would love to cook and bake for the homeless so they don’t have to beg.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Love</td>
<td>“I’m a caring person. I love my friends and they love me. It makes me happy.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Social intelligence</td>
<td>“I learned a lot from everybody in this group. I know they want me to do good.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JUSTICE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fairness</td>
<td>“To treat everybody the same, boys, girls, everybody.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Leadership</td>
<td>“You do a good job leading the group.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teamwork</td>
<td>“Everybody did good in this group. We did it together. Like family.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TEMPERANCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Forgiveness</td>
<td>“I forgive him. He didn’t know better.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humility</td>
<td>“I would love to do it. I’d be happy. And don’t have to worry about what other people say.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Prudence</td>
<td>“You have to be careful with your choices and not listen to bad people. You need good people.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Self-Regulation</td>
<td>“I learned how to control my behavior. I don’t curse like I used to.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TRANSCENDENCE</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Appreciation of beauty and excellence</td>
<td>“I like when I smile. I’m handsome. I did good.” “She always dresses nice.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gratitude</td>
<td>“I could not have done this without my mentor. I thank her for that.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hope</td>
<td>“I wanna be like you. I can do that too.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Humor</td>
<td>“I wanna be married, have a suit, get a great job.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spirituality</td>
<td>“You made me laugh. I liked that.”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>“Be spiritual. See the birds, the trees, the sun. Be more peaceful. Be happy. Be free.”</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

According to the dictionary, “performance” has two meanings: the act of presenting a form of entertainment, and the process of accomplishing something. Our group structure utilized performance as process and product with equal importance in every session, creating an opportunity of constant and immediate feedback. The performance element was heightened by the presence of the camera. Not only were the participants aware that there was a camera, but they understood that the whole group would watch their performances during and after they were recorded. The camera adds a performance element that holds each individual accountable for what they do and say. The content is being captured and documented, and each person knows that others may see it through video feedback.

6. Conclusion

Video feedback of dramatic enactments of stories of past and current successes, and role-playing a future best self may foster the activation and use of character strengths for people with ID/DD. Capitalization on one’s
abilities are critical components to cultivate one’s sense of fulfillment; a necessary pillar to success and well-being. Research informs that the use of character strengths improves well-being in the general population. The same may be true for people with ID/DD. The gap of effective application of evidence-based positive interventions working with people with intellectual and developmental disabilities is largely due to outdated stereotypes of limitations and a belief that they lack necessity. In our work, we have found that promoting one’s understanding and identification of their strengths, particularly with the aid and validation provided by video feedback has promising results.

Further research would be needed to explore the full, noteworthy benefits of such practices, to collect data and to establish best possible uses and maximized benefits of such practices. By building upon existing individual strengths and applying them in their daily lives, individuals with ID/DD may find greater opportunities to pursue their goals and develop a fuller, stronger sense of self. Using video feedback can open the door for new possibilities moving towards role models coming from within by activating character strengths.

“This group has made me a wholesome person. And that’s about it.”
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