Gametoclonal and Somaclonal Variation among Head Cabbage Androgenic Lines of R₁ and R₂ Generations Obtained from Jaguar F₁ Hybrid

Piotr Kamiński

Department of Genetics, Breeding and Biotechnology Research Institute of Vegetable Crops, Konstytucji 3 Maja 1/3, 96-100 Skierniewice, Poland Tel: (48)-46-833-41-93 E-mail: pkaminsk@inwarz.skierniewice.pl

Abstract

Head cabbage androgenic genotypes of R_1 and R_2 generations derived from Jaguar F_1 donor hybrid were evaluated according to their agroeconomical characters, ability for generative propagation, self-incompatibility, intraline uniformity and stability of morphological characters. Most of R_1 and R_2 genotypes had desired morphological characters. However, in R_1 generation 21 lines showed lack of internal uniformity probably due to the somaclonal variation. Genotypes of R_1 and R_2 generation from different embryos were more diversified than genotypes derived from the same embryo according to self-incompatibility, ability for seed setting, vegetative period, head shape, internal sump length and mass of head. Rigid selection of androgenic material at R_0 , R_1 and R_2 pedigree according to absence of somaclonal variation, agroeconomical traits, self-compatibility and seed setting ability seemed to be crucial for the deriving stable and suitable for the breeding genotypes of head cabbage.

Keywords: Head cabbage, Androgenic lines, Morphological characters, Internal uniformity, R_1 and R_2 generation

1. Introduction

Obtaining of androgenic plants from Brassica crops as cabbage, broccoli, cauliflower and Brussels sprout by the use of anther culture technique is widely used as a source of desired genetic diversity (Chiang et al. 1985, Ockendon 1986, 1988, Dore & Boulidard 1988, Chauvin et al. 1993, Górecka et al. 1997, Farnham 1998, Kamiński et al. 1999, Wang et al. 1999, Kamiński et al. 2004, 2005). Androgenic plants with their genetic variation from gametic cells of donor cultivars represent a gametic array each having a different contribution from the parents (Morisson & Evans, 1988). However, anther and microspore cultures are rarely used in cabbage breeding programs probably due to the difficulty in obtaining of androgenic lines with good quality, uniformity and stability in consecutive generations. There are also very few reports with description of practical use of and rogenic cabbage genotypes for the creation of commercial cultivars and F_1 hybrids and it practically imposible to determine which cabbage cultivars are based on androgenic parents. Low quality of R₀ androgenic plants and their poor agronomic performance might be caused by the lack of natural selection in the first stages of haploid development as well as by the gametoclonal and somaclonal variation generated itself in plant cell culture (Larkin & Scowcroft 1981). Somaclonal variation that took place during recovery process from in vitro culture, leads to the creation of additional genetic variability with crop improvement potential (Brown & Thorpe, 1995, Evans 1989), but could have a negative effect on the agronomic performance of DH plants, their genetic stability and differentiated ability to generative propagation. This can be the reason why doubled haploid (DH) lines often appear to be inferior in comparison to conventionally obtained inbred lines. Therefore to avoid the undesired source of diversity and rogenic material should be multiplied vegetatively or generatively from every single plant (Niemirowicz-Szczytt 1997). Diversified level of intraline uniformity among androgenic Brussels sprout lines of R₂ generation was described by Kamiński (2008), however, the quality and internal uniformity were relatively better than in R_0 and R_1 and rogenic populations from Philemon F_1 hybrid (Kamiński 2004, 2005). Head cabbage is characterized by biennial habit with vernalization period from 8 to 12 weeks, strong depression of inbred lines and usually exhibits diversified and/or high level of self-incompatibility (Thompson 1957, Ockendon 1973, Wallace 1979, Hoser-Krauze 1993, Kamiński 2000, 2001). Cabbage F₁ hybrids are heterozygous according to self-incompatibility and possessed two different s-alleles with four possibly types of domination in pollen and pistil (Haruta 1962, Takayama & Isogai 2003, Stephenson et al 1999, Schopfer et al

1999, Hatakeyama *et al* 2001). Obtaining seeds of cabbage androgenic R_1 populations is one of the most difficult step, as DH genotypes usually give very low seed yields in comparison with the lines derived from classical selection (Chauvin *et al.* 1993, Farnham 1998, Kamiński *et al* 2004, 2005,).

The aim of this study was to evaluate the influence of gametoclonal and somaclonal variation on agromorphological traits, intraline uniformity, ability for generative propagation and level of self incompatibility of head cabbage androgenic R_1 and R_2 genotypes obtained from highly embryogenic 'Jaguar F_1 ' hybrid both in vegetative and generative stages of development.

2. Material and methods

Androgenic head cabbage plants were obtained from Jaguar F_1 hybrid by the use of anther culture at the Research Institute of Vegetable Crops, Skierniewice, Poland in 2004. Regenerated 103 DH plants of R_0 generation derived from 11 androgenic embryos (Table 1) identified as diploids by the use of chromosome count and flow-cytometry analysis were planted into 3 l. plastic pods and cultivated in the greenhouse. Fertilization, watering and plant protection against pests and diseases were provided according to current recommendations for that species. R_0 genotypes in the stage of 12 true leaves were vernalized from November 2004 until the end of March 2005 in temperature 4-7^oC. Seed stalks were isolated with the covers made from paper and plastic to avoid undesired cross pollination by insects. R_0 plants were individually self pollinated by hand in open flower and bud stage at the 20 green buds/flowers to obtain seeds of R_1 generation. Matured silicas were harvested 90 days after pollination, dried, counted and seeds were extracted separately for each of plant. Seeds of 39 R_1 genotypes developed from 8 embryos were selected for the evaluation at the field of Research Institute of Vegetable Crops in 2006.

After evaluation at the field, single plants of R_1 generation with desired agroeconomical characters from internally uniform genotypes were assigned for the generative propagation. For each of selected R1 genotype ten cuttings were harvested, transplanted, rooted and vernalized. In 2007 twelve R₁ populations obtained from seven androgenic embryos, developed seed stacks and were self pollinated in the greenhouse at open flower and green bud stage. Eight R₁ populations (2.1/31, 2.2/4, 2.2/27, 2.3/14, 2.3/8, 4.2/14, 6.2/7, 6.5/7) were also propagated in 9 m² field cages by the use of bees (Osmia rufa) (Table 1). In each cage five vernalized plants of the single androgenic line were planted. Seeds of consecutive R₂ generation were harvested, dried and extracted separately for each of genotype. In 2008 eighteen androgenic genotypes of R_2 generation (twelve obtained in the greenhouse, six from field cages) were evaluated at the field at Research Institute of Vegetable Crops, Skierniewice according to agroeconomical characters. Plants of R_1 (2006) and R_2 (2008) generations developed from seeds in the greenhouse in mid-April. One-month-old seedlings were planted in the field (spacing 50 x 60 cm) in a completely randomized block design with three replications. Each plot consisted of ten plants in one row. The soil type was a pseudopodsolic over loamy sand (1,5 % organic matter, pH 6.5). Fertilization, pest and disease control followed the current recommendations. Plants were harvested gradually from the beginning of August to the end of September when heads reached maturity. Mass, length and width of head and the internal stump length were measured and the head shape (length / width) and internal stump (internal stump length / head height) coefficient were calculated. As a control Jaguar F_1 hybrid was used. Results were subjected to an analysis of variance (ANOVA). The significance of differences among means was evaluated by Newman-Keul's test at α = 0.05. Other morphological characteristics of androgenic population such as: intraline uniformity and length of vegetation period from planting to harvest, were classified separately for each plot.

3. Results and discussion

3.1

Seed productivity of R_0/R_1 head cabbage androgenic population obtained from Jaguar F_1 hybrid was diversified and ranged from 0.1 seeds/silica for line 2.3/14 to 6.15 seeds/silica for 4.2/15 line after pollination at the bud stage (Table 2). The average seed setting at bud pollination for all genotypes (2.42 seed/silica) was five-fold higher than for open flower pollination (0.53 seed/silica). The average seed set of six genotypes from 4,2 embryo was higher both in bud (4.0 seed/silica) and in open flower stage (1.09 seed/silica), than from other androgenic embryos. Eight genotypes (2.2/4, 2.2/7, 2.2/27, 2.3/8, 4.2/4, 4.5/25, 6.5/1, 6.5/2) did not set seeds after open flower pollination according to the high level of self incompatibility. For all 10 androgenic lines resulted from 6,5 embryo, bud pollination was 10 fold more effective (2.59 seed/silica) than after pollination at opened flower stage (0.22 seed/silica). The ability of seed propagation of androgenic R_1 genotypes from the same embryo was also highly diversified. The highest differences in seed set effectiveness for androgenic genotypes obtained from 4,2 embryo ranged from 0.00 seed/silica for line 4.2/4 to 3.00 seed/silica for line 4.2/14 when pollinated at open flower stage. The highest differences in seed setting after bud pollination was observed for 2,1 embryo between plant 2.1/12 (0.39 seed/silica) and 2.1/33 (6.00 seed/silica). Androgenic R_1 generation, was also characterized by differentiated ability of seed setting in 2007 (Table 3). In the greenhouse, seed setting of R_1/R_2 pedigree ranged from 0.05 seed/silica (6.5/7 line) to 1.25 seed/silica (2.2/7 line) for open pollination and from 0.27 seed/silica (2.2/15 line) to 3.14 seed/silica (2.1/31 line) for bud pollination. Two androgenic lines (2.1/31, 2.2/27) propagated at the field, that did not set seeds, were characterized by the high level of self-incompatibility. Two lines (6.2/7, 4.2/14) that set the highest mass of seeds (4.2 g, 2.6 g/plant) were self compatible. Four genotypes (2.2/4, 2.3/14, 2.3/8, 6.5/7) set the average seed yield from 0.1 to 0.5 g/plant and were partially self-compatible.

In both years of field experiment (2006, 2008), androgenic genotypes of R_1 generation and 16 androgenic R_2 lines had lower head mass than cv. 'Jaguar F_1 ' probably according to inbreeding depression (Table 4, 5). Only in 2008 two lines of R_2 populations: 2.2/4 (3.48 kg) and 2.1/31 (3.58 kg) had similar yield to 'Jaguar F_1 ' (3.22 kg). Androgenic R_1 lines were not significantly diversified as to the mass of head, with the exception of 4.5/25 line (2.47 kg) that yielded better than 4.2/17 (1.47 kg). More significant differences according to head mass between androgenic genotypes of head cabbage were observed in R_2 generation as to inbreeding depression probably. Three androgenic R_2 lines (2.2/4, 6.2/7, 4.2/14), propagated by sib-pollination in the field cages, yielded better than those propagated by self pollination in the greenhouse. Two other lines 6.5/7 and 2.3/8 had higher mass of head when self pollinated at the greenhouse and for 2.3/14 line the mass of head were similar for both methods of propagation (Table 5).

The head shape coefficient of androgenic R_1 lines ranged from flattened 0.81 (line 6.5/19) to elongated 1.2 (line 4.2/4) (Table 3). R_1 genotypes from the same embryo had similar head shape and did not differed significantly from each other according to that trait. Genotypes from 6.5 and 5.2 embryos had more flattened shape of head (0.81-1.0) than genotypes derived from 4.2 and 4.5 embryos (1.02-1.2), while genotypes from 2.2, 13.1 and 2.1 embryo were rounded (0.97-1.11) similarly to Jaguar F_1 donor cultivar. In consecutive R_2 generation, head cabbage lines were more uniform according to that trait as most genotypes were significantly more elongated (1.29, 1.33) than all other populations. (Table 5). The way of propagation of R_2 androgenic lines had no influence on the head shape.

Internal stump length coefficient of R_1 genotypes ranged from 0.37 (6.5/2 line) to 0.58 (6.5/19 line). Two androgenic R_1 lines (6.5/19, 2.1/16) had significantly longer internal stump (0.58, 0.56 respectively) than their donor cultivar (0.44), while internal stump coefficient of other genotypes were at the similar level. Two lines (6.5/7, 6.2/7) of R_2 generation had significantly longer internal stump (0.56, 0.54) than Jaguar F_1 (0.45).

21 lines of androgenic R_1 generation were not uniform according to several other morphological characters such as waxiness, color and blistering of leaves, earliness and shape of head. Twelve from 39 R_1 genotypes were characterized by the lack of intraline uniformity according to more than one trait, nine lines were not uniform according to one of investigated characters and only nineteen R_1 lines evaluated at the field were internally uniform (Table 4). In contrary to R_1 generation, androgenic R_2 lines were generally characterized by internal uniformity with the exception of 6.2/7 genotype (Table 4).

In both years of field experiments (2006, 2008) androgenic pedigree were more diversified than Jaguar F_1 hybrid according to vegetation period. Generally, head cabbage genotypes obtained from the same embryo were characterized by similar vegetation period, while differences between lines from different embryos were observed both in R_1 and R_2 populations. Five R_1 lines 2.2/4, 2.2/7, 2.2/14, 2.2/19 and 2.3/14 was the earliest from all genotypes and harvested 70 days after planting (Table 3). Fourteen R_1 lines (2.1/14, 2.1/16, 2.1/31, 2,1/33, 2.2/15, 2.2/16, 2.2/27, 2.3/5, 2.3/6, 2.3/7, 2.3/8, 22.3/10,.3/12, 2.3/17) were also earlier than 'Jaguar F_1 ' with vegetation period from 75 to 80 days while other R_1 genotypes yielded after 90-95 days when planted at the field. Vegetation of R_2 androgenic head cabbage lines in 2008 was more extended and lasted from 80 to 110 days from planting to harvest (Table 5). Eleven genotypes (2.1/31, 2.2/4, 2.2/4i, 2.2/7, 2.2/15, 2.2/27, 2.3/8, 2.3/8i, 2.3/14i, 2.3/17) had shorter than 'Jaguar F_1 ' vegetation period (80 days), three lines (4.2/14, 4.2/14i, 6.5/7), were similar to donor cultivar (95-100 days) and four lines (6.2/7, 6.2/7i, 6.5/7, 13.1/1) had the longest vegetation from all accessions (110 days) (Table 5).

3.2

Smaller head mass of androgenic R_1 and R_2 lines in comparison to Jaguar F_1 donor cultivar, confirmed the presence of strong inbreeding depression, characteristic for androgenic lines of cabbage obtained from 'Kamienna Glowa' (Kamiński 2000) and for traditionally derived inbred genotypes. Relatively lower ability for seed propagation both for bud and open-flower pollination among androgenic genotypes obtained from Jaguar F_1 hybrid in comparison to traditionally derived inbred lines described for cabbage plants by Dickson and Wallace

(1986), can be explained not only by the occurrence of inbreeding depression typical for DH lines but also by the somaclonal variation. Difficulties with generative propagation of androgenic Brussels sprout genotypes described by Kamiński *et al.* (2005) may decrease the effectiveness of anther culture as a source of new genetic diversity. Low number of R_1 seeds obtained from doubled haploid plants showed that somaclonal variation in R_0 population critically affected the ability for generative propagation of androgenic head cabbage plants from Jaguar F_1 hybrid.

Lack of intraline uniformity among most of and rogenic R_1 lines was probably caused by somaclonal variation that also affect negatively the quality of DH lines (Brown & Thorpe 1995, Larkin & Scowcroft 1981, Niemirowicz-Szczytt 1997). R₀ genotypes derived from the same embryo should be theoretically identical according to their genome and their phenotypic expression without somaclonal variation (Niemirowicz-Szczytt 1997). High interline variability among DH lines originating from several clones among cabbage genotypes was also recorded by Dore & Boulidard (1988) whereas other lines were equivalent to pure lines from pedigree selection. Obtained in this paper results suggests that somaclonal variation among head cabbage plants of R₀ and R_1 generations may significantly decreased the value of cabbage and rogenic genotypes from Jaguar F_1 hybrid. Somaclonal variation among head cabbage androgenic genotypes may have influence on the evaluation of internal homozygousity by the use of molecular markers. And rogenic lines of R_1 generation with high level of somaclonal variation might have been accessed as heterozygotes obtained from somatic tissue by mistake (Kamiński et al. 2003). Presence of somaclonal variation among androgenic cabbage plants required a special approach to eliminate genotypes with the lack of internal uniformity in respect of their utility for the breeding purposes. Strong and attentive selection of internally uniform genotypes of R₁ generation for desired commercial traits will effectively lead to obtain more stable and uniform R_2 generation. For that reason, head cabbage cultivars with their biennial habit may require two or more additional years of testing of androgenic material in respect of their genetic stability.

References

Brown D.W.C., & Thorpe T.A. (1995). Crop improvement through tissue culture. World Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology, 11 (4), 409-415.

Chauvin J.E., Yang Q., LeJeune B., & Herve Y. (1993). Obtention d'embryons par culture d'anthers chez le chou-fleur et le brocoli et evaluation des potentialites du materiel obtenou pour la creation varietale. *Agronomie*, 13, 579-590.

Chiang J.E., Frechette S., Kuo C. G., Chong C., & Delafield S. J. (1985). Embryogenesis and haploid plant production from anther culture of cabbage. *Can. J. Plant Sci.*, 65, 1033-1037.

Dickson M. H., & Wallace D. H. (1986). Cabbage breeding. In Basset M. J. (Eds.), *Breeding Vegetable Crops*, (pp. 395-432). Avi, Westport.

Dore C., & Boulidard L. (1988). Production of androgenetic plants by *in vitro* anther culture of sauerkraut cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. ssp. *capitata*) and behaviour of doubled haploid lines (DH) and F_1 hybrids. *Agronomie*, 8, 851-862.

Evans D.A. (1989). Somaclonal variation - genetics basis and breeding applications. Trends Genet., 5 (2), 46-50.

Farnham M. W. (1998). Doubled-haploid broccoli production using anther culture: effect of anther source and seed set characteristics of derived lines. J. Amer. Soc. Hort. Sci., 123, 73-77

Górecka K., Krzyżanowska D., Śmiech M., & Hoser-Krauze J. (1997). The use of anther culture for obtaining head cabbage cv. Kamienna Głowa homozygous lines. Advances in Biometrical Genetics. Proceedings of 10th Meeting of the EUCARPIA Section Biometrics in Plant Breeding. 123 - 130

Hal J.G. van, A. Manger & W. Verhoeven. (1968). Estimation of the level of self-incompatibility. Proc. Eucarpia Brassica Meeting, 37-38

Hatakeyama K., Takasaki T., Suzuki G., Nishio T., Watanabe M., Isogai A., & Hinata K. (2001). The *S* receptor kinase gene determines dominance relationships in stigma expression of self-incompatibility in *Brassica*. *The Plant Journal*, 26, 69–76.

Haruta T. (1962). Studies on the genetics of self- and cross-incompatibility in cruciferous vegetables. Res. Bull., 2, Takii Pl. Breed. Exp. Stn., Kyoto, Japan.

Hoser-Krauze J. (1993). Hodowla roślin kapustnych. [Breeding of cabbage plants.] In Niemirowicz-Szczytt (Eds.), Hodowla roślin warzywnych. [Breeding of vegetables.] (pp. 15-53). SGGW, Warszawa. (in Polish).

Kandasamy M. K., Paolillo D. J., Faraday C. D., Nasrallah J. B., & Nasrallah M. E. (1989). The *S*-locus specific glycoproteins of *Brassica* accumulate in the cell wall of developing stigma papillae. *Developmental Biology*, 134, 462–472.

Hoffman F., Thomas E., & Wenzel G. (1982). Anther culture as a breeding tool in rape. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 61, 225-232.

Kamiński P. (2008). Morphological and commercial characters of Brussels sprout and rogenic R_2/R_3 generations and experimental F_1 hybrids. *Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin*, 68, 23-32.

Kamiński P., Dyki B., Krzyżanowska D., & Górecka K. (2005). Diversity of diploid androgenic Brussels sprout plants of R₀ and R₁ generations. *J. Appl Genet.*, 46 (1), 25-33.

Kamiński P., Górecka K., Krzyżanowska D., & Dyki B. (2004). Diversity of Brussels sprouts androgenic R₀ generation obtained by anther culture. *Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin*, 60, 33-43.

Kamiński P., Staniaszek M., & Kozik E. U. (2003). Evaluation of genetic diversity and uniformity of head cabbage DH lines by the use of RAPD markers. J. Appl. Genet., 44 (2), 157-163.

Kamiński P. (2001). The evaluation of head cabbage (*Brassica oleracea* L. var. *capitata* L.) F₁ hybrids derived by the use of DH lines. *Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin*, 54, 13-18.

Kamiński P. (2000). An evaluation of some morphological traits in doubled haploid lines and their F₁ hybrids of head cabbage Kamienna Głowa in the vegetative phase. *J. Appl. Genet.*, 41, 257-252.

Kamiński P., Górecka K., & Krzyżanowska D. (1999). Diversity of androgenic plants of head cabbage (*Brassica oleracea var. capitata* L.) derived from the polish cultivar Kamienna Głowa using anther culture. Vegetable Crops Research Bulletin, 50, 13-20.

Larkin P.J., & Scowcroft W.R. (1981). Somaclonal variation – a novel source of variability from cell cultures for plant improvement. *TAG Theoretical and Applied Genetics*, 60 (4), 197-214.

Morrison R.A, & Evans D.A. (1988). Haploid Plants from Tissue Culture: New Plant Varieties in Shortened Time Frame. *Bio/Technology*, 6, 684-690.

Nasrallah J. B., & Nasrallah M. E. (1993). Pollen-stigma signalling in the sporophytic self-incompatibility response. *The Plant Cell*, 5, 1325–1335.

Niemirowicz-Szczytt K. (1997). Excessive homozygosity in doubled haploids – advantages and disadvantages for plant breeding and fundamental research. *Acta Physiol. Plant*, 19, 155-167.

Ockendon D. J. (1988). The ploidy of plants obtained from anther culture of cauliflowers (*Brassica oleracea* var. *botrytis*). *Ann. Appl. Biol.*, 113, 319-325.

Ockendon D. J. (1986). Utilisation of anther culture in breeding Brussels sprouts. In W. Horn, C. J. Jensen, R. W. Odenbach and O. Scheider (Eds.), *Genetic manipulation in plant breeding*. (pp. 265-272). Berlin, Walter de Gynter.

Ockendon D. J. (1973). Selection for high self-incompatibility in inbred lines of Brussels sprouts. Euphytica, 22, 503-509.

Schopfer C. R., Nasrallah M. E., & Nasrallah J. B. (1999). The male determinant of self-incompatibility in *Brassica*. *Science*, 286, 1697–1700.

Stephenson A. G., Doughty J., Dixon S., Elleman C., Hiscock S., & Dickinson H. G. (1997). The male determinant of self-incompatibility in *Brassica oleracea* is located in the pollen coating. *The Plant Journal*, 12, 1351–1359.

Takayama S., & Isogai A. (2003). Molecular mechanism of self-recognition in *Brassica* self-incompatibility. *Journal of Experimental Botany*, 54, 149-156.

Thompson K. F. (1957). Self-incompatibility in marrow-stem kale, *Brassica oleracea* var. *acephala* demonstration of a sporophytic system. *J. Genetics*, 55, 45-60.

Wallace D. H. (1979a). Interactions of S alleles in sporophytically controlled self incompatibility of *Brassica*. *Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 54, 193-201.

Wallace D. H. (1979b). Procedures for Identyfying s-allele genotypes of *Brassica. Theor. Appl. Genet.*, 54, 249-265.

Wang M., Farnham M. W., & Nannes J. S. P. (1999). Ploidy of broccoli regenerated from microspore versus anther culture. *Plant Breeding*, 118, 249-252.

Table 1. Androgenic head cabbage plants from Jaguar F_1 hybrid in consecutive generations, Skierniewice, Poland $% \left({{{\mathbf{F}}_1}} \right)$

Year of evaluation	Generation	Phase of development	Number of embryos	Number of plants	Action
2004	R ₀	Vegetative	11	103	Greenhouse vegetation
2005	R_0/R_1	Generative	8	39	Greenhouse propagation
2006	R_1	Vegetative	8	39	Field evaluation
2007	R_1/R_2	Generative	7	12/8	Greenhouse/Field cages propagation
2008	R_2	Vegetative	7	12/6	Field evaluation

Embryo code	R ₀ plant	Number of R_1 seeds/pod				
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	01	Open flower	Average for	Bud pollination	Average for	
	number	pollination	embryo	-	embryo	
2,1	14	0,71	2	0,39	2	
2,1	16	0.80		1.79		
2,1	31	0.03		2.78		
2,1	33	0.20	0.43	6.00	3.27	
2,2	4	0.00		2.17		
2,2	7	0.00		0.40		
2,2	14	0.18		0.71		
2,2	15	0.28		1.33		
2,2	16	1.03		1.69		
2,2	19	0.88		4.20		
2,2	27	0.00	0.34	4.50	2.14	
2,3	5	1.08		1.80		
2,3	6	1.25		1.92		
2,3	7	0.36		2.94		
2,3	8	0.00		1.50		
2,3	10	0.76		0.90		
2,3	12	0.41		1.65		
2,3	14	1.50		0.10		
2,3	17	0.01	0.67	1.69	1.56	
4,2	4	0.00		5.17		
4,2	14	3.00		4.94		
4,2	15	0.85		6.15		
4,2	16	0.96		2.33		
4,2	17	0.88		2.40		
4,2	18	0.88	1.09	3.00	4.00	
4,5	25	0.00	0.00	1.21	1.21	
6,2	3	1.15		0.47		
6,2	7	1.08	1.11	1.89	1.18	
6,5	1	0.00		0.90		
6,5	2	0.00		3.00		
6,5	6	0.17		0.78		
6,5	7	0.07		3.42		
6,5	8	0.17		2.63		
6,5	18	0.73		0.80		
6,5	19	0.22		0.63		
6,5	20	0.35		3.45		
6,5	21	0.27		4.75		
6,5	32	0.27	0.22	5.56	2.59	
13,1	1	0.98	0.98	2.50	2.50	
Average for all g	genotypes	0.53		2.42		

Table 2. Seed setting of R_1 generation obtained from head cabbage androgenic R_0 plants from Jaguar F_1 donor cultivar. Skierniewice 2005

R ₁ line	Number of seeds/po	od from self	Mass of seeds/plant (g) from sil	
	pollination in the gr Open pollination	reenhouse Bud pollination	pollination in the field-cage	
2,1/31	0,20	3,14	0.0	
2,2/4	1.00	2.00	0.5	
2,2/27	0.17	0.83	0.0	
2,2/7	1.25	0.65	-	
2,2/15	1.03	0.27	-	
2,3/14	0.52	1.17	0.2	
2,3/8	0.70	0.36	0.3	
2,3/17	0.13	0.39	-	
4,2/14	0.64	2.11	2.6	
6,2/7	1.02	0.50	4.2	
6,5/7	0.05	0.79	0.1	
13,1/1	0.20	2.00	-	
Average	0.54	1.18		

Table 3. Seed setting of R_2 generation obtained from head cabbage androgenic R_1 genotypes from Jaguar F_1 donor cultivar. Skierniewice 2007

Genotypes	Mass of head	Head shape (length/width) coefficient	Internal stump (length / head height) coefficient	Intraline uniformity	Period of vegetation
4,2/17	1,47 df	1,18 k	0,507 bg	3	95
2,2/7	1,63 cf	1,07 fk	0,487 bg	1	70
4,2/14	1,63 cf	1,18 k	0,487 bg	2	95
6,2/7	1,69 cf	0,93 af	0,453 af	1	95
4,2/4	1,71 cf	1,2 k	0,467 af	3	95
2,3/5	1,72 cf	1,03 ej	0,523 dg	2	75
6,2/3	1,75 cf	0,98 dh	0,530 eg	1	95
2,3/14	1,77 bf	1,03 ej	0,493 bg	1	70
2,2/4	1,77 bf	1,14 1,14	0,447 af	1	70
2,1/14	1,77 bf	1,11 hk	0,520 cg	1	80
2,1/16	1,81 bf	0,97 ch	0,557 fg	3	80
4,2/16	1,83 bf	1,18 k	0,443 af	3	95
4,2/18	1,83 bf	1,13 ik	0,513 bg	3	95
2,2/19	1,83 bf	1,09 gk	0,407 ac	1	70
13,1/1	1,85 be	1,00 ei	0,480 bg	1	90
6,5/1	1,86 be	0,83 ab	0,500 bg	1	90
6,5/32	1,87 be	0,89 ae	0,477 bg	2	90
2,3/6	1,88 be	1,06 fk	0,453 af	2	75
6,5/7	1,88 be	0,95 bg	0,510 bg	3	90
2,3/12	1,91 be	1,1 hk	0,400 ab	3	75
2,3/8	1,92 be	1,07 fk	0,510 bg	2	80
6,5/20	1,98 be	0,87 ad	0,523 dg	2	90
6,5/19	1,99 be	0,81 a	0,580 g	2	90
2,3/17	1,99 be	1,08 fk	0,490 bg	1	80
2,3/7	2,00 be	1,08 fk	0,477 bg	1	75
6,5/18	2,01 be	1,00 ei	0,467 bf	3	90
6,5/8	2,02 be	0,83 ab	0,473 bg	1	90
2,3/10	2,03 bd	1,02 ej	0,517 cg	3	75
2,2/27	2,05 bd	1,11 hk	0,450 af	1	75
2,2/14	2,06 bd	1,1 hk	0,470 bg	1	70
4,2/15	2,07 bd	1,02 ej	0,480 bg	3	95
6,5/6	2,07 bd	0,90 ae	0,500 bg	1	90
2,1/33	2,07 bd	0,98 dh	0,501 bg	3	80
6,5/21	2,11 bd	0,85 ac	0,527 eg	2	90
6,5/2	2,16 bd	0,85 ac	0,367 a	3	90
2,1/31	2,17 bd	1,03 ej	0,490 bg	2	80
2,2/15	2,18 bd	1,06 fk	0,417 ae	1	80
2,2/16	2,21 bc	1,02 ej	0,473 bg	1	80
4,5/25	2,47 b	1,17 jk	0,430 ae	1	95
Jaguar F ₁	3,18 a	1,00 ei	0,440 ae	1	95

Table 4. Morphological	characters of androgenic H	R ₁ genotypes and	Jaguar F1 donor	cultivar, Skierniewice 2006

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at α =0,05

Intraline uniformity: 1 - lines uniform, 2 - lack of uniformity according to one trait, 3 - lack of uniformity according to more than one trait

Genotype	Mass of	Head shape	Internal stump	Intraline	Period of
	head	(length/width)	length / head height	uniformity	vegetation
		coefficient	coefficient		
		R ₂ genotyp	es from self pollination		
2,1/31	3,58 a	1,097 ac	0,46 ac	1	80
2,2/7	2,83 d	1,097 ac	0,47 ac	1	80
2,2/15	3,2 ac	1,12 bc	0,43 a	1	80
2,2/27	2,46 de	1,13 bc	0,43 a	1	80
2,2/4	2,46 df	1,12 bc	0,46 ab	1	80
2,3/8	1,93 gh	1,093 ac	0,49 ad	1	80
2,3/14	2,82 bd	1,083 ac	0,48 ac	1	80
2,3/17	2,83 bd	1,12 bc	0,49 ad	1	80
4,2/14	2,04 fh	1,293 d	0,43 a	1	95
6,2/7	1,78 h	1,100 ac	0,54 bd	2	110
6,5/7	2,70 d	0,993 a	0,56 d	1	110
13,1/1	2,4 df	1,060 ac	0,48 ad	1	110
		R ₂ genotyp	es from sib pollination		
2,2/4 i	3,48 a	1,12 bc	0,43 a	1	80
2,3/8 i	1,39 i	1,177 c	0,44 a	1	80
2,3/14 i	2,60 de	1,050 ab	0,46 ab	1	80
4,2/14 i	2,54 de	1,330 d	0,48 ad	1	95
6,2/7 i	2,83 bd	1,093 ac	0,50 ad	1	110
6,5/7 i	2,21 eg	1,020 ab	0,55 cd	1	100
			o 1 -		100
Jaguar F ₁	3,22ac	1,017 ab	0,45 a	1	100

Table 5. Morphological characters of androgenic R₂ genotypes and Jaguar F₁ donor cultivar. Skierniewice 2008

Means followed by the same letter are not significantly different at α =0,05

Intraline uniformity: 1 - lines uniform, 2 - lack of uniformity according to one trait, 3 - lack of uniformity according to more than one trait