Sequential Sampling of Adults and Nymphs of *Euschistus heros* (F) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) on Soybean Bt and Non-Bt
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Abstract

To deploy the appropriate management of adults and nymphs of *Euschistus heros* (Fabricius, 1794) in soybean, we need to construct a sampling plan that allows estimate population density quickly and accurately. This research aimed to perform sequential sampling of adults and nymphs of *E. heros* in Bt and non-Bt soybeans. For the evaluations we used the method of cloth-to-hit, two regions in which the sample areas where we collected a sample randomly per plot of each trial were installed. Evaluations were performed at 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 63, 70, 77, 84, 91, 98 days after emergence (DAE). We adopted the model of contagious distribution, it was constructed a sequential sampling plan in accordance with the Sequential Probability Ratio Test (TSRP). The adopted control level two bugs (adults and nymphs) per cloth beat. The analysis showed two lines of decision: the upper, which is the condition that the adoption of a method of control is recommended, defined by \( S_1 = 6.2449 + 0.14244 \ n \); and below, representing that the adoption of some method of control is not recommended, defined by \( S_0 = -6.2449 + 0.14244 \ n \). The sampling showed a maximum expected number eight samples to determine the need or otherwise of control. For management based on economic injury level, the expected number of sample units is 17, indicated by the Sequential Probability Ratio Test Wald curve.
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1. Introduction

*Euschistus heros* (Fabricius, 1794) (Hemiptera: Pentatomidae) is the most abundant and prevalent species in areas cultivated with soybeans in Brazil (Panizzi et al., 2012; Krinski et al., 2013) Bedbugs occur in soybean at all stages and are harmful from the beginning of pod formation until grain maturity (Zambiazzi et al., 2012). The damage caused by *E. heros* when uncontrolled can get up to 30% on soybean (Vivan & Degrande, 2011).

The Bt soybean is a tool to be used in integrated pest management (IPM), with the goal of increasing plant resistance to insects (Roberts et al., 2010; Yu et al., 2011; Dutra et al., 2012; Fonseca et al., 2013). However, despite the great advances in Bt technology poses to world agriculture, their adoption in some regions may be affected positively or negatively, depending on the characteristics of each locality (Degrande & Barros, 2012).

Thus, decision making in integrated pest management (IPM) follows protocols to decide on management action based on a review of the pest population level, in which the sampling plans are a crucial component of IPM, allowing an estimate pest, which will be taken into consideration to control or not control (Fernandes et al., 2013). However, the same area can be sampled several times over a period of time, focusing on the same cycle of insect life (in which case the sampling period must match the chosen stage of life) (Kuno, 1991). These studies generally provide information on population dynamics in a particular area over the years, making it possible to correlate levels of population with certain soil and climatic factors (Kaplan & Eubanks, 2002).

Sequential sampling has been shown to be faster and more reliable than conventional sampling and can minimize the research effort because the number of samples required depends on the size of the study population (Kogan
& Herzog, 1980). Intensive studies including continuous observation of a local population over a period of time. Typically, the information derived from these studies allow us to construct life tables, assessing levels of parasitism, dispersal rates, and changes in the population; they also help to determine the factors that drive and regulate large populations of insects (Qaim & Zilberman, 2003).

Sequential sampling can be applied to agroecosystems and natural ecosystems, with possible use sequential sampling method for the rapid and accurate determination of the right to manage the pest targets and reducing the number of insecticide applications (Fernandes et al., 2003).

Sequential sampling plans can therefore be considered valuable tools when you already have basic knowledge about the populations of pests and their natural enemies, including studies on behavior and defining levels of control and security, among other requirements needed to develop these plans (Fernandes et al., 2002).

In this context, this study aimed to establish a sequential sampling plan for adults and nymphs *Euschistus heros* in Bt and non-Bt soybean into two regions under field conditions.

2. Materials and Methods

The experiment was conducted under field conditions in two experimental areas located at Farm Rinção Porã, the geographic coordinates 22°14'25''S, 54°42'60.7''W and altitude of 403 m in the town of Dourados and Farm Boa Sorte in the geographical coordinates 22°01'07''S, 54°32'15''W and altitude of 310 m in the municipality of Douradina during harvest 2011/2012. The soil of the area is classified as Typic Distroferric (RH) of loamy soil. The climate, according to Köppen’s humid mesothermal, Cwa type, with annual average temperatures and precipitation ranging from 20 °C to 24 °C and 1.250 mm to 1.500 mm (Fietz & Fisch, 2006). For management of two experimental areas with soybean, we used the no-tillage system, and corn (*Zea mays* L.) as preceding crop.

The seeds used were of Bt soybean AL 6910 Intact RR2 PRO™ and non-Bt RR BMX Potencia®, constituting the 2 treatments in two different locations. Sowing were performed in the experimental areas, the first area Farm Rinção Porã performed on 22/10/2011, Farm Boa Sorte second area performed on 29/10/2011, with a density of 15 seeds per meter, adopting a population of approximately 300.000 plants ha⁻¹. The row spacing was 0.50 meters. For fertilizer application in both cultivar was used 300 kg ha⁻¹ of NPK formulation (2:18:18). We carried out the weed and disease control with application of herbicides, fungicides, and not the application of insecticides was carried out in the areas studied.

We evaluated the spatial distribution of adults and nymphs of the stink *E. heros* in two regions (Dourados and Douradina) with two fields each containing 100 plots in each area, each plot consisted of 11 rows with 5 m long, totaling (27.5 m²) with soybean Bt and non Bt constituting the two treatments. The sampling methodology used was the method of cloth-to-beat, consisting of two sticks of wood connected by a white cloth, with a length of 1 m and width of 1.4 m. For the samples, one end of cloth was placed between the rows of soybeans, being adjusted to the base of a row of plants and other plants extended over the adjacent row. The plants of a row (0.50 m²) were shaken vigorously in order to bring down the pest insects on the cloth (Sturmer et al., 2012). Samples were taken at 7, 14, 21, 28, 35, 42, 49, 56, 63, 70, 77, 84, 91, 98 days after emergence (DAE) in soybean Bt and non-Bt.

The level of action, from which the chemical control of bedbugs should be done, is four adult bed bugs or nymphs with more than 0.5 cm, the mean of the observed sample Hoffmann-Campo et al. (2000).

However for the control of bed bugs based on biological control and integrated pest management, in this paper we adopted the control level two adult bugs and nymphs by cloth-to-beat. The lower than recommended for the chemical control based on the alternative to insecticides to control the pest slower responses control level was used, thus being necessary control action before the pest reaches the critical economic injury level. It is possible to monitor this level of infestation with sequential sampling of adult bugs and nymphs in all instars.

The data on the number of adults and nymphs were used for the mathematical description of the spatial dispersion of the population of this insect, which showed adequate fit to the Negative Binomial distribution (Fonseca et al., 2014).

The security level (μ₀) was adopted by 10%, as is well below the level of damage, offering less possibility of incurring in Type I and Type II errors. O K common (Kc) was determined by the method of Bliss and Owen (1958). The values used for the type I and II errors were α = 0.05 and β = 0.05, respectively, because these values are the most appropriate to work with insects (Young & Young, 1998).
For data analysis, the sequential sampling plan constructed for the studied species, based on the Sequential Probability Ratio Test (TSRP), according to the methodology developed by Wald (1947). The purpose of the plan is to test with the smallest possible number of sample units, the hypothesis H0 versus H1, the rejection of H0 indicates the need for pest control, and its acceptance, the non-application of control methods.

Lines were constructed decision needed to perform the test TSRP. The top line indicates the decision, regardless of the number of these per plot the maximum value of the number of sampling units in the presence of adults and nymphs of bugs, so it is determined that the population of this pest has reached the proposed level of control; On the other hand, the lower line indicates the decision, regardless of the number, the minimum value of parcels with bedbugs from which one can safely say that the pest population is below the level of security, it is possible to conclude, therefore, you will not need to adopt any measure of control (Barbosa, 1992).

The top line of the test decision is defined as: \( S_1 = S_n + h_1 \). The line below is defined decision TSRP test as: \( S_0 = h_0 + S_n \). The value \( n \) indicates the number of sampling units to be used for sampling. Each sample unit is a part. The values \( h_0, h_1 \) and \( S \) were determined for aggregate spatial distribution represented by the Negative Binomial.

Thus, according to the model of the spatial distribution of the bug studied for the first observation using \( n \) equal to 1 and determines the value of the upper limit and lower limit for the sampling unit 1; the second observation using \( n \) equal to 2, determining, then the values of the upper and lower limits for the sample unit number 2, and so on, until the last sampling unit required in the sampling plan.

The properties of a proposed sampling plan shall be known as much as possible before the implementation of the program. The evaluation of TSRP Wald test is generally based on the Operating Characteristic Curve - CO (m) and bow Size Expected Sampling Units - E (n). Therefore, after the construction of sequential sampling plan, it is important to determine the CO curve (m), which is the graphical representation of the operative characteristic function that gives the probability of completion of sampling and not advise the control when the level of infestation pest in the crop is at or above the level of control adopted, or else advise the control when the infestation level is equal to or below the level of security adopted. Thus, this curve indicates the probability of adopting a correct or wrong for any level of infestation decision.

Have Expected size of the curve E (n) represents the average number of observations required to make the decision to perform or not control. The functions used for the determination of both curves in any type of spatial distribution are presented (Young & Young, 1998).

3. Results and Discussion

To construct the sequential sampling plan for the number of adults and nymphs of *E. heros*, settled by adhesion test frequency that the Negative Binomial distribution is the model that describes the type of spatial distribution in the field with greater precision, according to the results obtained by Fonseca et al. (2014). The adopted control level of 2.0 bugs per cloth-to-beat (adults and nymphs). The security level (\( \mu_0 \)) was adopted in 1.0, it is well below the level of damage, offering less possibility of incurring in Type I and Type II errors.

Due to rapid insect development (life cycle) sequential sampling within seven to seven days is essential to be observed before the fourth instar nymphs since at this stage the pest causes damage already. If the sample has a longer period of time runs the risk among a sample and the other to achieve a high level of infestation of nymphs and adults may cause damage to culture.

Monitoring for an alternative to chemical control should be initiated in the early development of culture and so the presence of the bug is observed, perform a control strategy, not to allow high infestation, taking into account the slower action of biological control.

Taking into account also that the soybean crop and cultivated in areas with large tracts, the sampling is fundamental to locate the presence of this pest in different locations of a property that already exists population dynamics of the same environment, as well as in different environments (Fonseca et al., 2014). Population dynamics and influenced by climatic conditions (rainfall, temperature) which is necessary to achieve constant sampling information accurately.

With the use of the common k obtained (3.0301) was constructed a plan of sequential sampling of adults and nymphs of *E. heros* in graphical form (Figure 1).

Therefore, to build the sequential sampling plan for the number of adults and nymphs of *E. heros* in Bt and non-Bt soybean, the hypotheses of interest are H0: \( \mu = \mu_0 = 0.10 \) H1: \( \mu = \mu_1 = 0, 20 \).

Thus, the upper limit of the decision from which it is accepted H1: \( \mu_1 = 0.20 \) is: \( S_1 = 6.2449 +0.14244 \) n. And
the lower limit of decision to which it is accepted $H_0: \mu_0 = 0.10$ is: $S_0 = -6.2449 + 0.14244n$. From the upper line $H_0$ is rejected, that is, control, and below the lower accepts $H_0$ is not controlled (Figure 1).

![Figure 1. Decision lines of sequential sampling plan for the number of nymphs and adults of E. heros by beating cloth, based on the negative binomial distribution for Bt and non-Bt soybean](image)

From the data provided by the equations of the upper and lower lines for use in field evaluations, a spreadsheet sample, which should be made as follows: the first observation made is noted in the field number of nymphs and adults per sample unit; The second observation is made and noted on field number of nymphs and adults per sample unit, and so on (Table 1). This number is accumulating after each sampling unit or cloth beat.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Number of unit sample</th>
<th>Lower limit (Not controlling)</th>
<th>Number of Nymphs and Adults (Accumulated)</th>
<th>Upper limit (Control)</th>
<th>Number of unit sample</th>
<th>Lower limit (Not controlling)</th>
<th>Number of Nymphs and Adults (Accumulated)</th>
<th>Upper limit (Control)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>8</td>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>36</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>9</td>
<td></td>
<td>22</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td></td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>39</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>12</td>
<td></td>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>40</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>13</td>
<td></td>
<td>25</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>42</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
<td>26</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>43</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>16</td>
<td></td>
<td>27</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>45</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>18</td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>46</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>19</td>
<td></td>
<td>29</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td></td>
<td>30</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>22</td>
<td></td>
<td>31</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>23</td>
<td></td>
<td>32</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>52</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td></td>
<td>33</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>53</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>26</td>
<td></td>
<td>34</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>55</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>28</td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>44</td>
<td>56</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>29</td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
<td></td>
<td>37</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>59</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>32</td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>60</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>33</td>
<td></td>
<td>39</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>62</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>35</td>
<td></td>
<td>40</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>63</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Every culture needs a certain number of samples, depending on the pest and the stage of culture (Alatawi et al.,
Although sampling is crucial for integrated protection of the decision is taken to control pests by farmers (Martins et al., 2010), consequence is spraying insecticides before they reach the level of control, increasing production costs and causing imbalance in agroecosystems (Martins et al., 2013).

Within the monitoring a cheaper option may be sequential sampling (Alatawi et al., 2005), which is characterized by the use of a variable number of sample units (Costa et al., 2007). Sequential sampling usually results in the observation of a smaller number of samples than would be required with conventional sampling (Fernandes et al., 2002), saving time and labor (Fernandes et al., 2003).

This procedure is repeated until the rule to terminate sampling is satisfied, namely: a) stop sampling the total number of bugs (adults and nymphs) counted equals or exceeds the upper limit, and if so, recommend the appropriate management the plague; or, b) stop sampling if the total insects counted is equal to or less than the lower limit, and if so, would not recommend control.

The operating characteristic curve of CO (m) for adults and nymphs of *E. heros* indicates the probability of making a correct decision for a given level of infestation. It was found that when the average is 1.0 test has a 95% chance of accepting H0 not recommended control, and when the average is 2.0, the probability of accepting H0 is 5%, that is, the probability of recommending control is 95% (Figure 2).

And verified by the imaging function of the number of samples and the population average is eight sampling units, averaging 1.58 individuals and a peak of 16.72 E (n) (Figure 3). For an average infestation of 2.0 bugs (adults and nymphs), indicated by the curve E (n) of the Sequential Probability Ratio Test of cloth beaten by Wald, the expected number of samples is nine (Figure 3). The sequential sampling plan, where the sample unit is examined, taking into account only if the individual is present or not, regardless of the number increases the ease and speed sampling (Farias et al., 2001).

![Figure 2. Operating Characteristic Curve CO (m) of the sampling plan for adults and nymphs of *E. heros* for Bt and non-Bt soybeans](image-url)
4. Conclusion

For adults and nymphs of *E. heros* built a sequential sampling plan based on the negative binomial distribution, and the maximum expected number of sampling units to make the decision to control or not, is around eight.

For management based on economic injury level, the expected number of sample units is 17, indicated by the Sequential Probability Ratio Test Wald curve.
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