Effect of Garlic (*Allium sativum*) on Growth, Nutrient Utilization, Resistance and Survival of *Tilapia zillii* (Gervais 1852) Fingerlings
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Abstract

A feeding trial was conducted for 75 days to determine the effects of garlic (*Allium sativum*) on nutrient utilization and growth in *T. zillii* fingerling. Five isonitrogenous and isocalorific diets (35% crude protein and 18.5MJ gross energy/kg diet) were formulated containing the control, D (0g garlic kg⁻¹ basal diet), D1 (5g garlic kg⁻¹ basal diet), D2 (10g garlic kg⁻¹ basal diet), D3 (15g garlic kg⁻¹ basal diet) and D4 (20g garlic kg⁻¹ basal diet) and fed to triplicate group of *Tilapia zillii* at 4% body weight. Ten (10) *Tilapia zillii* (11.00±0.01g) fingerlings were stocked in each concrete tank with three replicate per treatment making a total of 150 fingerlings in all. *T. zillii* fed the diet having 20g inclusion level of garlic/kg basal diet (D 4) had better growth rate (32.12±0.04) and feed efficiency (1.27±0.04) than the control diet and rest of the diets (D1, D2 and D3). Also, the survival rate of *T. zillii* was significantly higher in all the treatments (D1, D2, D3 and D4) compared to the control (D) and it increases with increase in the inclusion level of garlic in the basal diets (71.69-100.00) %. Apparent Protein Digestibility (APD) increases with increase in the inclusion level of garlic from 71.23% in the control diet to 85.16% in diet 4, (20 g garlic / kg control diet).
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1. Introduction

Tilapia is native to Africa (El-Sayed, 2006) and Nigeria is the second largest producer of farm-raised tilapia in Africa after Egypt (Fagbenro et al., 2010). Tilapia is not only the second most important farmed fish globally next to carps but is also described as the most important aquaculture species of the 21st century (Shelton, 2002). It is an important and popular source of food and animal protein. In 1950, annual tilapia production was only 1.5 tons, it increased to 1.5 million tons in 2002; increased by 1 million fold and in the year 2010 it has surpassed even 3 million tons (Bhujel, 2011). There are about 80 species of tilapias worldwide (Fortes, 2005; Grafman and Chriswaterguy, 1998) and the most important tilapias in aquaculture amongst others are the Nile tilapia, *Oreochromis niloticus* and the red belly tilapia, *Tilapia zillii* (Hepher and Pruginin 1981, Mair 2001, FAO 2002). These species account for 99.5% of global tilapia production. The total world tilapia landings from capture and culture have been estimated at 1.16 million tons with cultured tilapia accounting for 57% of the total (659,000 tons) (Fortes, 2005).

Today’s world population is estimated to be about 6.5 billion, but is predicted to reach 9 billion by 2050 (United Nations, 2006). To feed this mass of people, society must develop creative methods to provide a sufficient amount of fish seed, guard against disease outbreak and wastage. However, fish seed is still at the minimal production level in sub-Saharan Africa, as a result of parasite infestation causing considerable loss to the producer. Parasite infections in fish causes production and economic losses through direct fish mortality, reduction in fish growth, reproduction and energy loss, increase in the susceptibility of fish to disease and predation and through the high cost of treatment(Cowx, 1992). Fish seeds are often destroyed by treatable diseases because of lack of appropriate and adequate knowledge on how to prevent these diseases. Disease outbreaks were recently identified as a major constraint to aquaculture production and trade, with consequent effect on the industry’s economic development (Yunxia, et al, 2001).The use of disinfectants and antimicrobials has shown limited success in preventing or curing aquatic diseases.
There is growing concern about the use and abuse of antimicrobials in aquaculture, asides having negative impact on the environment , it may lead to the emergence of resistant bacteria and drug residue may be in tissue and organs of treated fish (FAO/WHO/OIE, 2006). There is therefore the need to focus less on how to cure diseases in aquaculture, but on how to prevent these diseases using medicinal plant which are cheaper, environmentally friendly and are capable of boosting and enhancing the immune system of fish.

Garlic (*Allium sativum*) is probably one of the earliest known medicinal plants. It’s native to Central Asia; it belongs to the family Amaryllidaceae and genus *Allium* (Zohary and Hopf, 2000). Its bulbs (clove) had been used as cure to many diseases since ancient times in Egypt (Block, 2010). It was said that Egyptian masters fed garlic to their slaves to increase the worker's physical power. (Groppo, *et al*, 2007) Garlic can help in the control of pathogens, especially bacteria and fungi, and increase the welfare of fish (Corzo-Martinez *et al*, 2007; Adetumbi, *et al*, 1986). Garlic has proven to be chemopreventive, antimicrobial, antihypertensive, hepatoprotective and insecticidal (Gwilt, *et al*., 1994; Ress, *et al*, 1993). Many beneficial health properties of garlic are attributed to organosulphur compounds, particularly to thiosulfimates. Allicin (diallylthiosulfinate) is the most abundant compound representing about 70% of all thiosulfimates present, or formed in crushed garlic (Sahu *et al*, 2007). Garlic contains sulfur containing compounds, such as allin, diallysulphides and allicin (Williamson 2003). Alliin is converted to the anti-microbial active allicin, when the bulb is cut or bruised. Ajoene, which is a secondary degradation product of alliin, is presumably the most active compound responsible for the anti-thrombotic activity of garlic (Block 1992; Han *et. al* 1995). The fresh bulb contains alliin, allicin and volatile oils.

When the garlic clove is crushed, the odorless compound alliin is converted to allicin, via the enzyme allinase (Gruenwald, 2004). Allicin gives garlic its characteristic pungent smell. Also, it contains vitamins and minerals (Gruenwald, 2004) and trace elements (selenium and germanium) (Harris, *et al*, 2001).

Therefore the objective of this study is to evaluate the efficacy of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.) on nutrient utilization, growth, immune response and survival of *Tilapia zillii* fingerlings.

### 2. Materials and Methods

#### 2.1 Diet formulation and preparation

Feedstuffs were purchased from a feedstuff market in Ado Ekiti, Ekiti State, Nigeria and were separately milled to small particle size (< 250 µm) using grinding machine (Model BCC2516). Five isonitrogenous diets (D1, D2, D3, D4 and D, which is the control diet) were formulated (Table 1) at 35% crude protein by adding 5g dried pulverized garlic/kg control diet(D1), 10g dried pulverized garlic/kg control diet(D2), 15g dried pulverized garlic/kg control diet(D3), 20g dried pulverized garlic/kg control diet(D4) and 0g dried pulverized garlic/kg basal diet(D) respectively. The feedstuffs and dried pulverized garlic additives were thoroughly mixed in a Hobart A-200T pelleting and mixing machine. Hot water was added at intervals to gelatinize starch. All five diets were pelletized using a die of 0.8 mm diameter. The diets were air-dried at ambient temperature for 72 hours; broken, sieved into small pellet sizes, packed in air-tight plastic containers, labelled and stored.

#### 2.2 Experimental system and animals

A feeding trial was conducted to determine the effects of garlic on growth and nutrient utilization of *T. zillii* fingerlings. *T. zillii* fingerlings were obtained from Ekiti State Agricultural Development Project (ADP) and acclimated for 14 days in concrete tanks during which they were fed with a commercial diet (30% crude protein). After acclimation, 10 *T. zillii* (mean weight, 11g) were stocked in each of 15 concrete tanks (1 m x 2 m x 1.5m) supplied with 350 litres of fresh water (water temperature, 27 °C; pH, 7.3; alkalinity, 50 ppm; dissolved oxygen, 7.6-7.9 mg/L) also continuous aeration was provided using a blower and air stones (Tecas air pump AP-3000; two ways). The treatments were replicated thrice. Fish feeding commenced a day after stocking and lasted 75 days. The fish were fed at 4% body wt./day in two instalments at 0900-0930 h and 1700-1730 h. All fish were removed from each concrete tank every 14 days, batch weighed and the amount of feed was adjusted accordingly. Growth performance and nutrient utilization of fish used in the experiment were measured in terms of final individual fish weight (g), survival (%), specific growth rate (SGR, % day-1) and food conversion ratio (FCR). Growth respond parameters were calculated:

- **Weight gain (g) =** (final body weight – initial body weight).
- **SGR ( % day-1) =** 100\{loge final body weight – loge initial body weight)/time(day)}
- **FCR =** dry weight of fed/fish weight gain.
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Two weeks before the completion of the feeding trial, faeces were collected from each tank, 8 h after each feeding daily. The faecal samples were sun dried and later analyzed for its proximate composition following standard procedure (AOAC 1990). The ashes were digested by acid insoluble ash (AIA) as described by Halver et al. (1993). The value obtained for AIA was used as indicator in the calculation of digestibility coefficient.

The digestibility coefficient was calculated as follows:

\[ \text{Digestibility} = 100 - 100(\% \text{AIA in feed}) \times (\% \text{nutrient in faeces})/(\% \text{AIA in faeces})(\% \text{nutrient in feeds}) \]

2.3 Statistical analysis

The data resulting from the experiment were subjected to one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) test using the SPSS Version 11. Fisher’s pairwise comparison was used in comparing differences among individual means

3. Results and Discussion

The crude protein of 35% used in the formulation of the experimental diets for *Tilapia zillii* fingerlings (Table 1) falls within the recommended ranges of 25% - 35% crude protein requirement for Tilapia species (Santiago and Lovell 1988) and 30%-35% recommended by N.R.C (1981) and N.R.C (1983), and satisfied the nutrient requirements for tilapias (Jauncey, 2000).

Also, the results from this study indicated that *T. zillii* fed the diet having 20g inclusion level of garlic/kg basal diet (D 4) had better growth rate and feed efficiency than the control diet and rest of the diets (D1, D2 and D3) (Table 2), even though the Feed Efficiency Ratio (FCR) in all the treatment diets (D1, D2, D3 and D4) and the control were within the recommended range for Tilapia (1.2 - 1.8)Rakocy and McGinty (2005).

Also, as the inclusion level of garlic increases in the diets, the growth rate of *T. zillii* also increases and FCR gets better. This result is in discrepancy with a similar study by (Mesalhy Aly et al 2008) where garlic supplemented diets (10g and 20g kg\(^{-1}\)) were fed to groups of *Oreochromis niloticus*, however garlic is reported to improve metabolism in human (Gwilt, et al., 1994).

Apparent Protein Digestibility (APD) also increases with increase in the inclusion level of garlic from 71.23% in the control diet to 85.16% in diet 4, (20 g garlic / kg control diet).

The survival rate of *T. zillii* was significantly higher in all the treatments(D1, D2, D3 and D4) when compared to the control and it increases with increase in the inclusion level of garlic in the basal diets (71.69% - 100.00%).This study agrees with a similar study on *Oreochromis niloticus* fed garlic based diets (Mesalhy Aly et al 2008). Garlic have been reported to control pathogens, combat stress, increase the welfare of fish (Kodera et al., 1989 and Ress et al., 1993) and enhance the immune response (Sumiyoshi 1997, Corzo-Martinez et al., 2007, Kyo et al., 1998, Adetumbi et al, 1996) and consequently this will have a positive effect on the survival rate.

Water quality during the feeding trial was within the acceptable range for tilapia culture (Ross, 2000) and do not differ significantly among treatments. Acceptance of the diets was good and fish became accustomed to the diets within the first week.
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Table 1. Ingredient composition of experimental diets (35% crude protein)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control (Basal diet)</th>
<th>Allium sativum diets (g/kg )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>D1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fish meal</td>
<td>280</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Soybean meal</td>
<td>370</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yellow maize</td>
<td>250</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cod liver oil</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vegetable oil</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vitamin-mineral mix¹</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn starch</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Garlic</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹Fish pre-mix. Colborne Dawes Nutrition Ltd., United Kingdom.: vitamin A, 1600 IU; vitamin D, 2400 IU; vitamin E, 160 mg; vitamin K, 16 mg; thiamin, 36 mg; riboflavin, 48 mg; pyridoxine, 24 mg; niacin 288 mg; panthotenic acid, 96 mg; folic acid, 8 mg; biotin, 1.3 mg; cyanocobalamin, 48 mg; ascorbic acid, 720 mg; choline chloride, 320 mg; calcium 5.2 g; cobalt, 3.2 mg; iodine, 4.8 mg; copper, 8 mg; iron, 32 mg; manganese, 76 mg; zinc, 160 mg; Endox (antioxidant) 200 mg.
Table 2. Growth performance and protein utilization of *Tilapia zillii* fingerlings fed different inclusion levels of garlic (*Allium sativum* L.)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Growth parameters</th>
<th>Control (D)</th>
<th>5 g garlic/kg control diet (D1)</th>
<th>10g garlic / kg Control diet(D2)</th>
<th>15g garlic / kg Control diet(D3)</th>
<th>20 g garlic / kg Control diet(D4)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Final Weight (g)</strong></td>
<td>a 22.30±0.28</td>
<td>b 24.29±0.21</td>
<td>ab 26.35±0.02</td>
<td>c 28.31±0.21</td>
<td>d 32.12±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Initial Weight (g)</strong></td>
<td>a 11.19±0.01</td>
<td>a 11.19±0.01</td>
<td>a 11.19±0.01</td>
<td>a 11.19±0.01</td>
<td>a 11.19±0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Weight Gain (g)</strong></td>
<td>a 11.11±0.28</td>
<td>b 13.10±0.21</td>
<td>ab 14.45±0.02</td>
<td>c 17.12±0.21</td>
<td>d 20.93±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SGR</strong></td>
<td>a 0.92±0.05</td>
<td>a 1.03±0.150</td>
<td>b 1.13±0.067</td>
<td>ab 1.23±0.07</td>
<td>c 1.40±0.072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FCR</strong></td>
<td>d 1.55±0.05</td>
<td>bc 1.40±0.05</td>
<td>c 1.38±0.04</td>
<td>b 1.30±0.05</td>
<td>a 1.27±0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Apparent protein digestibility (%)</strong></td>
<td>71.23</td>
<td>76.65</td>
<td>80.01</td>
<td>83.39</td>
<td>85.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Survival (%)</strong></td>
<td>71.69±0.04a</td>
<td>80.58±0.08b</td>
<td>83.01±0.02b</td>
<td>98.81±0.01c</td>
<td>100.00±0.00c</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*values in each row having the same superscripts are not significantly different (P<0.05).
*Standard error calculated from residual mean square (ANOVA)