# Novel *BsuRI-c.930A*>*G-FSHβ* Associated with Litter Size Traits on Large White X Landrace Crossbred Sows

## Nakarin Pripwai

Human and Animal Biotechnology, Graduate School, Chiang Mai University, Thailand Center of Excellence on Agricultural Biotechnology: (AG-BIO/PERDO-CHE) Bangkok 10900, Thailand E-mail: nakarinpripwai@hotmail.com

Supamit Mekchay (Corresponding author)

Department of Animal Science, Faculty of Agriculture, Chiang Mai University, Thailand Human and Animal Biotechnology, Graduate School, Chiang Mai University, Thailand Center of Excellence on Agricultural Biotechnology: (AG-BIO/PERDO-CHE) Bangkok 10900, Thailand Tel: 66-53-944-092 ext. 31 E-mail: agismkch@chiangmai.ac.th

Received: April 15, 2011	Accepted: May 5, 2011	Online Published: December 1, 2011
doi:10.5539/jas.v4n1p104	URL: http://dx.doi.o	org/10.5539/jas.v4n1p104

This research is financially supported by National Center for Genetic Engineering and Biotechnology, National Science and Technology Development Agency, Ministry of Science and Technology (BT-B-01-AG-10-5002), and partially supported by the Center of Excellence on Agricultural Biotechnology, Science and Technology Postgraduate Education and Research Development Office, Office of Higher Education Commission, Ministry of Education (AG-BIO/PERDO-CHE).

## Abstract

The objective of this experiment was to identify the novel single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) on porcine follicle stimulating hormone  $\beta$  subunit (*FSH* $\beta$ ) genes. Moreover, their association with litter size traits in commercial pigs will be analyzed. 1,155 Large White x Landrace crossbred sows were bled and DNA was extracted. The records included total number of piglet born (TNB), number of piglet born alive (NBA), number of piglets stillbirth (SB) and number of piglets mummified (MM). The known sequence of porcine *FSH* $\beta$  (GenBank accession no. D00621.1) was screened homology to known sequences in term of express sequenced tags (ESTs) in public domain, GenBank. The primers were designed for amplified the novel *Bsu*RI-c.930A>G-*FSH* $\beta$  fragment which confirmed by PCR-RFLP and nucleotide sequencing then genotyping. The favorable cut homozygous *G/G* allele was highly significant higher than *A/G* allele in terms of TNB and NBA. While, published marker *Hae*III-g.5894A>G-FSH $\beta$  was not significant difference in any litter traits. For haplotype analysis, c.930A>G-g.5894A>G of *FSH* $\beta$ , unfavorable *GG/AA* haplotype was significantly lower TNB and NBA than other haplotypes. Conversely, this haplotype was significantly higher MM than others. The study concluded that *Bsu*RI-c.930A>G and the haplotype of *Hae*III-g.5894A>G - *Bsu*RI-c.930A>G of *FSH* $\beta$  may used for marker-assisted selection on pig breeding program.

Keywords: Litter size traits, Follicle stimulating hormone, Single nucleotide polymorphism, Marker-assisted selection

## 1. Introduction

Reproductive performance, especially litter size in terms of TNB and NBA are one of the most economically

important traits in pig production. Reproductive success in the pig viewed the number and quality of piglets produced (Kyriazakis and Whittemore, 2006). It is well known that litter size is affected by many factors such as genetics, farm, feeds, season mating boars and fertilization rate, which impact for a large part of the phenotype variance. From a genetic background, litter size might be controlled by numerous genes in complicated physiological metabolisms such as those affecting on ovulation rate, fertilization rate, embryo survival, uterine capacity (Foxcroft *et al.*, 2006), fetal survival and pre-weaning losses (Distl, 2007). Nowadays, mean of total number of piglet born is  $10.03\pm2.64$  piglets/litter (Imboonta et al., 2007). Litter size is low heritability approximately 0.09 for NBA that affecting slowly changed in genetic drift (Rothschild, 1996; Distl, 2007) and difficult to measure phenotypically by traditional breeding method (Merks *et al.*, 2006). Furthermore, litter size trait is sex-limited and is not measurable until sexual maturity (Spötter and Distl, 2006). This breeding method is the-state-of-the-art and setback over 3-5 years or up to 10 generation that gain of about 0.6 piglets within-line selection (Bolet *et al.*, 2001).

Polymorphism of candidate genes and their association with litter size was intensively studied in many laboratories all over the world (Ernst *et al.*, 2003). The classical molecular marker for litter size traits is *estrogen receptor* gene which SNP of *estrogen receptor* gene associated with TNB and NBA in pigs (Short *et al.*, 1997a). Other candidate genes affected litter size traits were *prolactin receptor* (Drogemüller *et al.*, 2001), *retinol-binding protein4* (Linville *et al.*, 2001), *osteopontin* (Short *et al.*, 1997b), *leukemia inhibitory factor* (Spötter *et al.*, 2005) *folate binding protein* (Valet *et al.*, 2005a) and *erythropoietin receptor* (Vallet *et al.*, 2005b).

Bertani *et al.* (2004) identified transcriptional candidate genes for fertility in anterior pituitary gland of pigs. *FSH* $\beta$  was found 10% highly expressed between sows selected for ovulation rate and embryo survival compared with the control line. Moreover, *FSH* $\beta$  gene was chosen as a direct candidate gene because its functions in follicle maturation (Wang and Greenwald, 1993a, b; Simoni and Nieschlag, 1995). Also, in a direct candidate gene analysis, Li *et al.* (1998), Zhao *et al.* (1999) and Liu *et al.* (2009) found that the genetic polymorphism of intronic *FSH* $\beta$  was associated with litter size in Chinese breed pigs. Whereas, no association of these intronic polymorphism with litter size traits in Large White/Landrace composite (Linville *et al.*, 2001) and Polish synthetic breed (Korwin-Kossakowska *et al.*, 2003) was found. Hence, there must be another nucleotide change which is responsible for its function. The aims of this study were to identify the novel SNP on porcine *FSH* $\beta$  genes using *in silico* analysis. Moreover, their association with litter size traits in commercial pigs would be analyzed.

#### 2. Materials and methods

#### 2.1 Animals and data

A totally 1,155 commercial sows (Large White X Landrace or its reciprocal) including 4,162 parities, were bled and recorded in terms of TNB which was calculated as NBA plus SB and MM on 1<sup>st</sup> parity to 5<sup>th</sup> parity for association study. Primary data were plotted and 20 sows were selected form extremely tails of population into 2 groups; 10 High-TNB (>15 piglets/litter) and 10 low-TNB sows (<8 piglets/litter) for screening SNPs. Associated study was analyzed between candidate gene and phenotypic traits in term of TNB and NBA and mortality traits including SB and MM. The descriptive statistics was shown as table 1.

Blood samples were collected from jugular vein with 0.5M EDTA anti-coagulant and extracted by using Chelex®. Briefly, 20  $\mu$ l of blood was lysed twice, centrifuge at 2,000 rpm 1 min, added Chelex® solution over the pellet and incubated overnight. The solution samples were boiled at 95°C for 5 minutes and stored at 4°C until analyzed (Walsh *et al.*, 1991).

## 2.1 Cloning and sequencing

PCR product would be cloned into pGEM-T Easy Vector system. The cloned PCR fragment compared with PCR product obtained from genomic DNA. Three clones with the same size of inserted fragment would be sequenced on the CEQ<sup>™</sup> 8000 Genetic Analysis System, Beckman Coulter by using GenomeLab<sup>™</sup> DTCS Quick Start Kit (Beckman Coulter, USA).

## 2.3 PCR-RFLP

In silico SNP analysis was potentially technique that was based on BLAST software which screened homology to known sequences in term of express sequenced tags (ESTs) in public domain, GenBank. Specific primers were designed based on published sequence information of porcine  $FSH\beta$  (GenBank accession no. D00621.1). Similarly, standard PCR was performed in a final reaction volume of 20 µl by using 50.0 ng of genomic DNA sample, 1X NH<sub>4</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> buffer, 0.4 µmol of primer; f- acagttttttacaggcctta & r- ctggctgggtccttgtat, 0.5 µl of 0.2

mmol dNTPs, 1.5 mmol MgCl<sub>2</sub>, 13.4  $\mu$ l dH<sub>2</sub>O and 0.25 unit *Taq* DNA polymerase (Fermentas). The PCR product was checked on 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis. A 2.5  $\mu$ l of the PCR product of *c*.930A>G-*FSH* $\beta$  was digested with 0.25 unit of *Bsu*RI by manufacturing instruction. As well as, PCR of *FSH* $\beta$  published marker (Li *et al.*, 1998) was performed as above, the primer; f- gtataccaggtcctaag & r-gtctcgtacaccagctcctt and digested with 0.25 unit of *Hae*III by manufacturing instruction. The PCR-RFLP fragments of each candidate genes were ran on 6% polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis and stained with standardized silver staining protocol.

#### 2.4 Statistic analysis

Allele and genotype frequencies of each candidate genes were determined by the total count of an allele and genotype divided by twice the number of observations. Association of SNP markers with litter size traits were analyzed with general linear model included fixed effect of genotype, parity number and residual as following:

$$Y_{ijk} = \mu + genotype_i + parity_j + e_{ij}$$

Where  $\mu$  denoted the average normalized of population; genotype<sub>i</sub> denoted the fixed effect of genotype *i*; parity<sub>j</sub> denoted the fixed effect of parity *j*; and e<sub>ij</sub> denoted the residual effects of genotype *i*, and parity *j*. Moreover, additive effect (*a*) would be calculated as by comparison of the means of the traits value for homozygote (*a* = ½ (BB-AA)). The dominance effect (*d*) = alleles A and B would be calculated from the means for three genotypes as follows: *d* = AB-1/2(AA+BB). The estimated effects would be tested by t-test on significant deviation from zero.

#### 3. Results

In this experiment, *in silico* analysis for screening SNPs that used BLAST software based analysis was used. The  $FSH\beta$  sequence (GenBank accession no. D00621.1) was screened homology to known sequences in public database with BLAST software (Altschul *et al.*, 1997). Totally, 231 SNPs were found comprising 8 SNPs in 5'-UTR, 23 SNPs in exon1, 32 SNPs in exon2, 45 SNPs in exon3 and 123 SNPs in 3'-UTR (Table 2). Only exon3 c.930A>G SNP of  $FSH\beta$  gene was potentially found. Consequently, according to this *in silico* SNP was proved by using PCR-RFLP technique.

Interestingly, BsuRI- $FSH\beta$  was discovered by *in silico* analysis and proved by PCR-RFLP that did not know about the nucleotide change of this SNP between polymorphic patterns of homozygous uncut and homozygous cut. Consequently, homozygous uncut and homozygous cut of BsuRI- $FSH\beta$  was sequenced and aligned with BLAST software. Transition of c.930A>G of  $FSH\beta$  was found that confirmed *in silico* analysis.

#### 3.1 Genotype and allele frequencies for the investigated SNP for FSH $\beta$ gene

Frequencies for the *FSH* $\beta$  gene in 1,155 parent stock of commercial sows including 4,162 parity records were shown in Table 3. Frequency of *A* and *G* allele of *Bsu*RI-*c*.930*A*>*G*-*FSH* $\beta$  was 0.81 and 0.19, respectively, while frequency of *Hae*III-g.5894A>G-*FSH* $\beta$  (according to Li et al., 1998) was 0.70 and 0.30, respectively. The allele frequencies of these two SNPs were not distributed according to Hardy-Weinberg equilibrium proportion.

#### 3.2 Association study and haplotype analysis

The association between  $FSH\beta$  genotype and litter size traits in terms of TNB, NBA, SB and MM piglets was analyzed as shown as Table 4. For  $BsuRI-c.930A>G-FSH\beta$ , G/G genotype of  $FSH\beta$  was highly significant difference than those A/A and A/G genotype in TNB and NBA. Significantly dominance effect of  $BsuRI-c.930A>G-FSH\beta$  on TNB and NBA was found. While,  $HaeIII-g.5894A>G-FSH\beta$  gene, according to Li et al. (1998), all litter size traits including TNB, NBA, SB and MM were not significant difference in this population.

The haplotype analysis between c.930A > G- $FSH\beta$  and HaeIII-g.5894A>G- $FSH\beta$  on litter size traits was shown in table 5. Higher litter trait was expected, adversely, mortality trait was nil. However, the unfavorable haplotype GG/AA was significant different than others haplotype in TNB, NBA and MM, the GG/AA was highly significant lower TNB and NBA than other haplotypes, otherwise, was highly significant higher MM than other haplotypes.

#### 4. Discussion

The TNB was approximately 9.2-11.1 piglets/litter and NBA was 8.9-10.2 piglets/litter of 2,400 Landrace x Large White crossbred sow records (Tummaruk *et al.*, 2001). Moreover, 12,599 Thai Landrace sows recorded between's 1993-2005, TNB and SB was  $10.03\pm2.64$  and  $0.21\pm0.59$ , respectively (Imboonta *et al.*, 2007). While, Moeller et al. (2004) stated between's 1997-1999 that TNB was  $11.05\pm1.49$  pigs with range 1-25 pigs, and NBA was  $9.96\pm1.38$  pigs with range 0-21 pigs.

In present study, 2006-2008, 1,155 Large White x Landrace crossbred sows showed  $11.24\pm0.05$  and  $9.91\pm0.05$  piglets/litter in TNB and NBA, respectively. These parameters exhibited the genetic progress in Thai's swine commercial that tended to be favorable for white breeds and widely used by the commercial to produced  $F_1$  crossbred gilts resulting from imported nucleus herd. Moreover, crossbred gilts tended to younger at puberty, weighted more at farrowing, and produced larger, heavier litters to weaning than the purebred gilts (Cassady *et al.*, 2001). In addition, the main direction of selection conventional method for this founder pigs was the meat deposition in carcass and growth rate, but was not considered in the litter size traits and its related traits.

#### 4.1 SNPs discovery techniques

There are two different strategies are employed to detect markers for piglet number and its related traits; linkage analyses and direct candidate gene. These approaches were employed to detect genes influencing piglet number and its related traits. Candidate gene approaches identified via their physiological or functional role in the reproductive system in the pig, while linkage analysis detected genomic regions that responded the trait of interest as known as positional candidate (Distl, 2007). All of them were focus on SNPs that associated with economically traits as well as piglet number and its related traits. Normally, SNPs detection referred to the identification of allelic variants of single or low-copy-number gene sequences. Direct sequencing of specific sequence regions is still the most reliable and accurate method of SNPs detection, but it is expensive and labor intensive. PCR-based technique, however, was used to analyze that offers the powerful advantage of allowing one to conveniently pre-screen large numbers of unknown sample of which only implicated variants would need to be directly sequenced (Armersham, 1998).

In silico SNP analysis was potentially technique that is based on BLAST software that screened homology to known sequences in term of express sequenced tags (ESTs) in public domain, GenBank (Marth et al., 1999; Savage et al., 2005 and Kerstens et al., 2009). The main advantages of using EST source were that markers closely associated with or directly in the coding region, could be identified that possible leaded to amino acid substitutions or perhaps leaded to functional differences which could be associated with phenotypic effect in the population. Approximately 40% of this approach was newly candidate SNPs of which cost effectiveness (Picoult Newberg et al., 1999). Consequently, candidate SNPs was validated with RFLP and sequencing approach, respectively, that confirmed true positive results (Marth et al., 1999). However, simulation by Kerstens et al. (2009) in 1.2 Gb of pig genome sequence, 98,151 SNPs were identified in which one of the sequences in the alignment represented the polymorphism and 6,374 SNPs in which two sequences represent an identical polymorphism. To benchmark the SNP identification method, 163 SNPs, in which the polymorphism was represented twice in the sequence alignment, were selected and tested on a panel of three purebred boar lines and wild boar. Of these 163 in silico identified SNPs, 134 were shown to be polymorphic in animal panel. In addition, an economically example of *in silico* method was the variation in *POUIF1* gene that found 23 polymorphic sites of porcine POU1F1 within the intron (Song et al., 2007). Moreover, SNP of Secreted phosphoprotein 1 (SPP1) or Osteopontin (OPN) at position g.3836A>G represented an interesting DNA-marker to study phenotypic effects (Murani et al., 2009).

#### 4.2 FSH<sup>β</sup> polymorphisms discovery and association

 $FSH\beta$  was chosen as the candidate gene because  $FSH\beta$  was physiological role on the reproductive in pigs. The function of  $FSH\beta$  included follicular development and sex steroid production necessary for fertility in female (Layman and McDonough, 2000). These functions were supported by studies involving knockout of the  $FSH\beta$  gene ligand and the FSH receptor, as well as by human gene mutations (Layman *et al.*, 2002).

Porcine *FSH* $\beta$  genomic DNA sequence composted of 10,172 bp comprising 3 exons where exon1 was nucleotide position 5,664-5,696 exon2 at 6,614-6,810 and exon3 at 6,811-8,366 that encoded 129 amino acids at nucleotide position 6,652-6,810 and 8,367-8,597 (accession no. D00621.1). Known D00621.1 sequence was aligned with ESTs from GenBank (Altschul *et al.*, 1997). Exon2 of *FSH* $\beta$  was aligned with 16 ESTs that found 32 polymorphic sites. 31 polymorphic sites were only 1 of 16 ESTs, while 1 polymorphic site was 6 of 16 ESTs that *Pvu*II-c.128T>C-*FSH* $\beta$  was found. Moreover, exon3 was aligned with 16 ESTs that found 45 polymorphic sites. The *Bsu*RI-c.930A>G-*FSH* $\beta$  polymorphism was found 6 of 16 ESTs. These polymorphic sites were validated and confirmed by using PCR-RFLP and with DNA sequencing, respectively. In this study, the novel polymorphism of *Bsu*RI-c.930A>G-*FSH* $\beta$  in our study could not be compared with results published by other authors (Ernst *et al.*, 2003). Classical polymorphism of *FSH* $\beta$  was discovered by Ellegren *et al.* (1994) that microsatellite *FSH* $\beta$  located on *SSC*2. Rohrer *et al.* (1994) reported a PCR-RFLP marker located within the first intron of *FSH* $\beta$  gene. Li *et al.* (1998) reported an association of *FSH* $\beta$ gene in the first intron and litter size. Zhao *et al.* (1998) found the insertion is a retroposon of 292 bp siting in intron I at the site between + 809 and + 810 base. Li *et al.* (2000) identified *FSH* $\beta$  allele in Chinese pigs that had an 11 bp deletion in 3'-UTR in anterior pituitary glands. Recent study, Li *et al.* (2009) reported microsatellite *FSH* $\beta$  associated with the number of litter per year, but not yet with litter size and its related traits and Liu *et al.* (2009) fund insertion in the first intron of *FSH* $\beta$ .

The results reported in this study provide important evidence in favor of the presence of new alleles of the  $FSH\beta$ gene associated with litter size traits. We observed a low number of sows with G/G genotype in the BsuRI-c.930A>G-FSH $\beta$  gene or generally low presence of G allele in our population that was mutant type. The favorable G allele of novel BsuRI-c.930A>G-FSH $\beta$  in 3'-UTR was exhibited in the high-piglet number sows as well as TNB and NBA in Large White x Landrace crossbred sows. G/G homozygote sows produced on average 0.76 and 0.87 piglets more than did A/G sows for TNB and NBA, respectively. Adversely, the published marker, HaeIII-g.5894A>G-FSH $\beta$  (Li et al., 1998), found no associative effect between genotype and litter traits in this population. Moreover, no additive and dominance effect was found. Although large differences in allele frequencies were found for genetic marker within BsuRI-c.930A>G-FSH $\beta$  locus, influences of potential genetic drift were confounded with those results because the additive and dominance effects of the allele did not differ from zero for any trait (Bertani et al., 2004). The results of HaeIII-g.5894A>G-FSH\beta failed to confirm population wide linkage disequilibrium between the HaeIII-g.5894A>G-FSH $\beta$  polymorphism and litter size traits suggesting that the degree of linkage disequilibrium probably varies between populations (Gibson et al., 2002). Zhao et al. (1998) found a retroposon in intron 1 of  $FSH\beta$  that non-retroposon homozygote sows produced 2.53 piglets more than retroposon homozygote sows for TNB on the 1<sup>st</sup> parity. On the contrary, recent study reported inversely association between piglet number and HaeIII-FSH $\beta$  locus in Polish pig line (Korwin-Kossakowsak et al., 2003), in Large White (Wang et al., 2006; Humpolicek et al., 2007). Microsatellite 5'-flanking  $FSH\beta$  affected number at weaning, litter weight at weaning, average individual weight at weaning in Large White x Chinese Meishan  $F_2$  (Li *et al.* 2008). The fluctuated result of association study on porcine *FSH* $\beta$ gene may be allele effects differed between lines or populations or the genetic background, it can be explained by a high number of low-effect genes influencing the litter size (Drogemüller et al., 2001). The results can be explained by a high number of low-effect genes influencing the piglet number. Moreover, the animal genome is a complex set that interacted on different levels of biological organization, it seems difficult to find direct marker that proofed these effects (Matousek et al., 2005).

Two of the polymorphisms, BsuRI-c.930A>G- $FSH\beta$  and HaeIII-g.5894A>G- $FSH\beta$ , were considered to be the most interesting because of an associated with litter characteristics and because these two polymorphisms, assembled together in haplotypes, specified the nine individual haplotypes revealed in the  $FSH\beta$  gene in the Large White x Landrace sows. Individual effects were analyzed and significant differences were detected for BsuRI-c.930A>G- $FSH\beta$ . However, because of the significant linkage disequilibrium between  $FSH\beta$  polymorphisms, the haplotypes were tested instead of the individual polymorphisms and found to have a highly significance effect on TNB and NBA (Ciobanu *et al.*, 2004). Moreover, increasing the number of marker genes or haplotype raised the positive detection rate more than single marker gene alone. These results proved that using multiple markers or haplotype indeed improved the positive detection rate. The detection rate indicated the sensitivity of using the multiple markers for detection (Sher *et al.*, 2005). Three particular haplotypes; AA/AA, AA/AG and AG/AG, within the  $FSH\beta$  gene are highly frequent in the population and do not differ in their effects on MM, even though they carry different alleles. This might indicate the effect of other SNP linked to the two SNPs considered in this study or some degree of epistasis among the SNP within the same chromosome (Schenkel *et al.*, 2005).

A QTL mapping approach normally uses families created form crosses based on divergent lines. Indeed, this approach has been used in relation to litter size traits using segregation analysis (de Vries *et al.*, 2000). A number of pig populations are now being used created for the purposes of searching for litter traits QTLs including crosses between the following breeds: wild boar, Large White, Meishan, Landrace and Duroc. The QTL scan for plasma FSH level in pigs identified several chromosome regions, independent of the *FSH* $\beta$ , influencing this trait that located on chromosome 3, 8, 10 and X (Linville *et al.*, 2001 and Rohrer *et al.*, 2001). A major genetic effect of litter size has been located on SSC 7 (Milan *et al.*, 1998), SSC 8 (Wise *et al.*, 2001) and on SSC 11 (Cassady *et al.*, 2001). Furthermore, mRNA differential display of granulosa cell culture with FSH treatment has been also mapped on porcine that localized on various porcine chromosomes (Clouscard-Martinato *et al.*, 1998). ESR was located on SSC 1 (Rothschild, 1996) and *LEPR* was located on SSC 6 (Wilkie *et al.*, 1999) those have an influence on litter size in pigs. *FSH* $\beta$  was located to 2p12-16 by physical mapping (Mellink *et al.*, 1995) and mapped on SSC2 by linkage mapping (Rohrer *et al.*, 1994). The genome scans for regions where significantly

affected plasma FSH in boars showed that located on chromosome 3, 10 and X, while no evidence has been found that any loci located on chromosome 2 affecting FSH level (Rohrer *et al.*, 2001). Rathje *et al.* (1997) stated the QTL for ovulation rate on chromosome 8. Short *et al.* (1997b) reported association between microsatellite and OPN gene that located in the same region of the chromosome 8 and litter traits. Recent study, a 5% genome-wide significant QTL was detected at 88 cM on SSC 15 for NBA, which also showed suggestive effect on TNB, four suggestive QTL were detected on SSC 6, 7, 8 and 15 for TNB, NBA or SB, and no QTL was found for MM (Li *et al.*, 2009). Moreover, mRNA differential display of *FSH-regulated* gene was localized on SSC 7 where the QTL related to litter size was found (Milan *et al.*, 1998; Clouscard-Martinato *et al.*, 1998). Thus, an unknown gene must stimulate changes in *FSH* $\beta$  mRNA profiles. Pomp *et al.* (2001) proposed that *FSH* $\beta$  locus itself has not yet been implicated as a QTL.

This  $FSH\beta$  candidate gene study concluded that polymorphism of novel  $BsuRI-c.930A>G-FSH\beta$  was found that associated with TNB and NBA. However, animal genome is a complete set realized through a branch network of the hormonal interactions of hypothalamic-pituitary-ovarian-uterine axis. The polymorphisms in the gene studied may not directly affect the trait. These polymorphisms could be markers linked with causative mutation within the gene or a closely linked gene. It would be of interest to examine the association study and haplotype analysis in other populations and to extend research with a large number of animals to confirm the results of this study. Moreover, it should be focused at the analysis of high number of genes in order to identify gene interaction network and to describe metabolism of gene activities.

#### References

Alschul SF., Madden TL., Schaffer AA., Zhang J., Zhang Z., Miller W. & Lipman DJ. (1997). Gapped BLAST and PSI-BLAST: a new generation of protein database search programs. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 1: 3389-3402. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/25.17.3389.

Armersham. (1998). PCR product analysis: a guide to using semidry flatbed gel electrophoresis in Guidebook electrophoresis techniques. 80-6437-96/Rev. A/10-98.

Bertani GR., Gladney CR., Johnson RK. & Pomp D. (2004). Evaluation of gene expression in pigs selected for enhanced reproduction using differential display PCR: II. Anterior pituitary. *Journal of Animal Science*. 82: 32-40. http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/82/1/32.

Bolet G., Bidanel JP. & Ollivier L. (2001). Selection for litter size in pigs. II. Efficiency of closed and open selection lines. *Genetics Selection and Evolution*, 33: 515-528. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1186/1297-9686-33-5-515.

Cassady JP., Johnson RK., Pomp D., Rohrer GA., van Vleck LD., Spiegel EK. & Gilson KM. (2001). Identification of quantitative trait loci affecting reproductive in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79: 623-633, http://jas.fass.org/cgi/reprint/79/3/623.

Ciobanu DC., Bastiaansen JWM., Lonergan SM., Thomsen H., Dekkers JCM, Plastow GS. & Rothschild MF. (2004). New alleles in calpastatin gene are associated with meat quality traits in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*. 82: 2829-2839, http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/82/10/2829.

Clouscard-Martinato C., Mulsant P., Robic A., Bonnet A., Gasser F. & Hatey F. (1998). Characterization of FSH-regulated genes isolated by mRNA differential display from pig ovarian granulose cells. *Animal Genetics*, 29: 98-106. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.1998.00279.x

de Vries AG, Faucitano L., Sosnicki A. & Plastow GS. (2000). The use of gene technology for optimal development of pork meat quality. *Food Chemistry*, 69: 397-405. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/S0308-8146(00)00049-2.

Distl O. (2007). Mechanisms of regulation of litter size in pigs on the genome level. *Reproductive of Domestic Animal*, 42 (Supplement 2): 10-16. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1439-0531.2007.00887.x

Drögemuller C., Hamann H. & Distl O. (2001). Candidate gene markers for litter size in different German pig lines. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79: 2565-2570.

Ellegren H. Chowdhary BP., Johansson M., Marklund L., Fredholm M., Gustavsson I. & Andersson L. (1994). A primary linkage map of the porcine genome reveals a low rate of genetic recombination. *Genetic*, 137: 1089-1100.

Ernst M., Kuciel J. & Urban T. (2003). Analysis of genetic variation of eight candidate genes in two wild boar subspecies. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*. 48: 533-539.

Foxcroft GR., Dixon WT., Novak S., Putman CT., Town SC. & Vinsky MDA. (2006). The biological basis for prenatal programming of postnatal performance in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 84 (E. Supplement): E105-E112. http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/84/13\_suppl/E105.

Gibson JP., Jiang ZH., robinson JAB., Archibald AL. & Haley CS. (2002). Nodetectable association of the *ESR Pvu*II mutation with sow productivity in a Meishan x Large White F<sub>2</sub> population. *Animal Genetics*, 33: 448-450. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.2002.00889.x

.Humpolicek P., Urban T., Matousek V. & Tvrdon Z. (2007). Effect of estrogen receptor, follicle stimulating hormone and myogenin genes on the performance of Large White sows. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 10: 334-340.

Imboonta N., Rydhmer L. & Tumwasorn S. (2007). Genetic parameters for reproduction and production traits of Landrace sows in Thailand. *Journal of Animal Science*, 85: 53-59. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.2527/jas.2005-708.

Kerstens HHD., Kollers S., Kommadath A., del Rosario M., Dibbits B., Kinders SM., Crooijmans RP. & Groenen MAM. (2009). Mining for single nucleotide polymorphisms in pig genome sequence data. *BioMed Central Molecular Biology*, 10: 96. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-10-96.

Korwin-Kossakowska A., Kamyczek M., Cieslak D., Pierzchala M. & Kuryl J. (2003). Candidate gene markers for reproductive traits in polish 990 pig line. *Journal of Animal Breeding and Genetics*, 120: 181-191. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0388.2003.00386.x

Kyriazakis I & Whittemore CT. (2006). *Whittemore's science and practice of pig production*. 3<sup>rd</sup> Ed. Blackwell Publishing Ltd., Oxford, UK.

Layman LC. & McDonough PG. (2000). Mutations of the follicle stimulating hormone-beta and its receptor in human and mouse: phenotype/genotype. *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 161: 9-17. http://www.10.1016/S0303-7207(99)00217-8.

Layman LC., Porto ALA., Xie J., Roxo da Motta LAC., Casulari da Motta LD., Weiser W. & Sluss PM. (2002). FSHβ Gene mutations in a female with partial breast development and a male sibling with normal puberty and zaoospermia. *Journal of Clinical Endocrinology and Metabolism*, 87: 3702-3707. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1210/jc.87.8.3702.

Li N., Zhao YF., Xiao L., Zhang FJ. & Chen YZ. (1998). Candidate gene approach for identification of genetic loci controlling litter size in pigs. In: *Proceedings of the Sixth World Congress Genet. Livest. Prod.*, vol. 26, Armidale, Australia, 11-16 January, pp. 183-190.

Li MD., Rohrer GA., Wise TH. & Ford JJ. (2000). Identification and characterization of a new allele for the beta subunit of follicle-stimulating hormone in Chinese pig breeds. *Animal Genetics*, 31: 28-30. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1365-2052.2000.00581.x.

Li FE., Mei SQ., Deng CY. Jiang SW., Zuo B., Zheng R., Li JL., Xu DQ., Lei MG. & Xiong YZ. (2008). Association of microsatellite flanking FSHB gene with reproductive traits and reproductive tract components in pigs. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 53: 139-144.

Li K., Ren J., Xing Y., Zhang Z., Ma J., Guo Y. & Huang L. (2009). Quantitative trait loci for litter size and prenatal loss in a White Duroc X Chinese Erhualian resource population. *Animal Genetics*, 40: 963-966. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2009.01931.x.

Linville RC., Pomp D., Johnson RK. & Rothschild MF. (2001). Candidate gene analysis for loci affecting litter size and ovulation rate in swine. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79: 60-67.

Liu JJ., Ran XQ., Li S., Feng Y. & Wang JF. (2009). Polymorphism in the first intron of follicle stimulating hormone beta gene in three Chinese pig breeds and two European pig breeds. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 111: 369-375.

Matousek V., Cermakova A., Kernerova N. & Kralova P. (2005). Analysis of prolificacy in sows of hyperprolific lines of Large White breed. *Czech Journal of Animal Science*, 50: 155-162.

Marth GT., Korf I., Yandell MD., Yeh RT., Gu Z., Zakeri H., Stitziel NO., Hillier LD., Kwok PY. & Gish WR. (1999). A general approach to single-nucleotide polymorphism discovery. *Nature Genetics*, 23: 452-456.

Mellink CHM., Lahbib-Mansais Y., Yerle M. & Gellin J. (1995). PCR amplification, cloning and localization of the genes for pig *FSHB* and *LHB*. *Cytogenetic and Cell Genetics*, 70: 224-227. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1038/70570.

Merks JWM., Ducro-Steverink DWB. & Feitsma H. (2000). Management and genetic factors affecting fertility in sows. *Reproductive Domestic Animal*, 35: 261-266. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1046/j.1439-0531.2000.00269.x.

Milan D., Bidanel JP., Le Roy P., Chevalet C., Woloszyn N., Caritez JC., Gruand J., Lagant H., Bonneau M., Lefaucheur L., Renard C., Vaiman M., Mormede P., Desautes C. Amigues Y., Gellin J. & Ollivier L. (1998). Current status of QTL detection in Large White x Meishan crosses in France. In: *Proceedings of the 6<sup>th</sup> World Congress on Genetics Applied to Livestock Production*, Armidale, Australia. Pp. 414-417.

Moeller SJ., Goodwin RN., Johnson RK., Mabry JW., Baas TJ. & Robison OW. (2004). The national pork producers council maternal line national genetic evaluation program: a comparison of six maternal genetic lines for female productivity measures over four parities. *Journal of Animal Science*, 82: 41-53, http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/82/1/41

Murani E., Ponsuksili S., Seyfert HM., Shi X. & Wimmers K. (2009). Dual effect of a single nucleotide polymorphism in the first intron of the porcine *Secreted phosphoproterin 1* gene: allele-specific binding of C/EBP beta and activation of aberrant splicing. *BMC Molecular Biology*, 10: 96. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1186/1471-2199-10-96.

Picoult-Newberg L., Ideker TE., Pohl MG., Taylor SL., Donaldson MA., Nickerson DA. & Boyce-Jacino M. (1999). Mining SNPs form EST databases. *Genome Research*, 9: 167-174. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1101/gr.9.2.167

Pomp D., Caetano AR., Bertani GR., Galdney CD. & Johnson RK. (2001). Applying functional genomics research to the study of pig reproduction. *Reproduction*. Supplement 58: 277-292.

Rathje TA., Rohrer GA. & Johnson RK. (1997). Evidence for quantitative trait loci affecting ovulation rate in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 75: 1486-1494.

Rohrer GA., Alexander LJ. & Beattie CW. (1994). Mapping the B subunit of follicle stimulating hormone (FSHB) in the porcine genome. *Mammalian Genome*, 5: 315-317. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1007/BF00389548.

Rohrer GA., Wise TH, Lunstra DD. & Ford JJ. (2001). Identification of genomic regions controlling plasma FSH concentrations in Meishan-White composite boars. *Physiological Genomics*, 6: 145-151.

Rothschild MF. (1996). Genetics and reproduction in the pig. Animal Reproduction Science, 42: 143-151.

Savage D., Batley J., Erwin T., Logan E., Love CG., Lim GAC., Mongin E., Barker G., Spangenberg GC. & Edwards D. (2005). SNPServer: a real-time SNP discovery tool. *Nucleic Acids Research*, 33: 493-495. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1093/nar/gki462.

Schenkel FS., Miller SP., Ye X., Moore SS., Nkrumah JD., Li C., Yu J., Mandell IB., Wilton JW. & Williams JL. (2005). Association of single nucleotide polymorphisms in the leptin gene with carcass and meat quality traits of beef cattle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 83: 2009-2020. http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/83/9/2009

Sher YP., Shih JY., Yang PC., Roffler SR., Chu YW., Wu CW., Yu CL. & Peck K. (2005). Prognosis of non-small cell lung cancer patients by detecting circulating cancer cells in the peripheral blood with multiple marker genes. *Clinical Cancer Research*, 11: 173-179. http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/11/1/173.

Short TH., Rothschild MF., Southwood OI. Mclaren DG., de Vries A., van der Steen H., Eckardt GR., Tuggle CK., Helm J. Vaske DA., Mileham AJ. & Plastow GS. (1997a). Effect of the estrogen receptor locus on reproduction and production traits in four commercial pig lines. *Journal of Animal Science*, 75: 3138-3142.

Short TH., Southwood OI., de Vries AG., McLaren DG., Evans GJ., Mileham AJ. & Plastow GS. (1997b). Evidence of a new genetic marker for litter size in pigs. *Journal of Animal Science*, 75: 29.

Simoni, M & Nieschlag E. (1995). FSH in therapy: physiological basis, new preparation and clinical use. *Reproductive Medicine Review*, 4: 163-167. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1017/S0962279900001150.

Song CY., Gao B., Teng SH., Wang XY., Xie F., Chen GH. Wang ZY., Jing RB. & Mao JD. (2007). Polymorphisms in intron 1 of the porcine *POU1F1* gene. *Journal of Applied Genetics*, 48: 371-374.

Spotter A. & Distl O. (2006). Genetic approaches to the improvement of fertility traits in the pig, *The Veterinary Journal*. 172: 234-247. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.tvjl.2005.11.013

Spotter A., Drogemuller C., Hamann H. & Distl O. (2005). Evidence of a new leukemia inhibitory factor-associated genetic marker for litter size in a synthetic pig line. *Journal of Animal Science*, 83: 2264-2270. http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/83/10/2264.

Tummaruk P., Lundeheim N. Einarsson S. & Dalin AM. (2001). Effect of birth litter size, birth parity number,

growth rate, backfat thickness and age at first mating of gilts on their reproductive performance as sows. *Animal Reproduction Science*, 66: 225-237.

Vallet JL., Freking BA., Leymaster KA. & Christenson RK. (2005a). Allelic variation in the secreted folate binding protein gene is associated with uterine capacity in swine. *Journal of Animal Science*, 83: 1860-1867, http://jas.fass.org/cgi/content/full/83/8/1860

Vallet JL., Freking BA., Leymaster KA. & Christenson RK. (2005b). Allelic variation in the erythropoietin receptor gene is associated with uterine capacity and litter size in swine. *Animal Genetics*, 36: 97-103. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1111/j.1365-2052.2005.01233.x.

Walsh PS., Metzger DA. & Higuchi R. (1991). Chelex 100 as a medium for simple extraction of DNA for PCR-based typing from forensic material. *Biotechniques*, 10: 506-513.

Wang XN. & Greenwald GS. (1993a). Synergistic effects of steroids with FSH on folliculogenesis, steroidogenesis and FSH- and hCG-receptors in hypophysectomized mice. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*, 99: 403-413.

Wang XN. & Greenwald GS. (1993b). Human chorionic gonadotropin or human recombinant follicle-stimulating hormone (FSH)-induced ovulation and subsequent fertilization and early embryo development in hypophysectomized FSH-primed mice. *Journal of Reproduction and Fertility*, 132: 2009-2016.

Wang X., Wang A., Fu J. & Lin H. (2006). Effects of ESR1, FSHB and RBP4 genes on litter size in a Large White and a Landrace Herd. *Archiv Tierzucht, Dummerstorf*, 49: 64-70.

Wilkie PJ., Paszek AA., Beattie CW., Alexander LJ., Wheeler MB. & Schook LB. (1999). A genomic scan of porcine reproductive traits reveals possible quantitative trait loci (QTLs) for number of corpora lutea. *Mammalian Genome*, 10: 573-578. http://www.dx.doi.org/10.1007/s003359901047.

Wise, T., J. Klindt, H.J. Howard, A.J. Conley & J.J. Ford. (2001). Endocrine relationships of Meishan and White composite females after weaning and during the luteal phase of the estrous cycle. *Journal of Animal Science*, 79; 176-87.

Zhao YF., Li N., Xiao L., Cao GS., Chen YZ., Zhang S., Chen YF., Wu CX., Zhang JS., Sun SQ. & Xu XQ. (1999). Inserting mutation of retroposon into of porcine FSH- $\beta$  gene and its association with litter size in pigs. *Science China Life Science*, 29: 81-86.

errererererererererererererererererere						
traits	N	Mean <u>+</u> SE	SD	Range		
TNB	4162	11.24 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.06	1-21		
NBA	4162	9.91 <u>+</u> 0.05	3.04	0-19		
SB	4162	0.92 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.17	0-13		
MM	4162	0.42 <u>+</u> 0.02	1.18	0-15		

Table 1. Descriptive statistics of commercial sow population

TNB = total number of piglets born, NBA = number of piglets born alive, SB = number of piglet stillbirth and MM = number of piglet mummified.

Table 2. Distribution of nucleotide substitution types of the FSH SNPs

Tumo	Contig				Total	Percent		
Туре	5'	Exon1	Exon2	Exon3	3'	Total	rercent	
A/C	-	7	1	2	14	24	10.39	
G/T	1	5	2	11	18	37	16.02	
A/G	-	3	2	2	15	22	9.52	
C/T	1	6	6	9	18	40	17.32	
A/T	-	-	8	8	27	43	18.61	
C/G	1	2	12	7	21	43	18.61	
In/del	5	-	1	6	10	22	9.52	
Total	8	23	32	45	123	231		
Percent	3.46	9.96	13.85	19.48	53.25		100	

SNPs	Genotype	Genotype frequency	Cumulative frequency	Allele frequency	$\chi^2$
	A/A	736	736	f(x) = 0.91	987
c.930A>G	A/G	390	1126	f(a)=0.81 f(b)=0.19	(df=2)
	G/G	29	1155	1(0)-0.19	P<0.00
g.5894A>G (Li et al, 1998)	A/A	527	527	((-)-0 <b>7</b> 0	367
	A/G	561	1088	f(a)=0.70	(df=2)
	G/G	67	1155	f(b)=0.30	P<0.00

Table 3. Genotype and allele frequency of porcine  $FSH\beta$ 

## Table 4. Piglet number related trait in parent stock of commercial pigs on parity 1-5 of $FSH\beta$ gene

Traits	BsuR	I-c.930A>G- F	a	d		
mans	A/A	A/G	G/G	а	u	
TNB	11.46+0.07 <sup>AB</sup>	11.12+0.10 <sup>B</sup>	11.71+0.28 <sup>A</sup>	-0.12+0.14	-0.46+0.16**	
NBA	$9.91 \pm 0.07^{AB}$	9.67+0.10 <sup>B</sup>	10.38+0.27 <sup>A</sup>	-0.24+0.14	-0.47+0.16**	
SB	1.08+0.03	1.02+0.04	0.89+0.10	0.10+0.05	0.04+0.06	
MM	0.47 + 0.03	0.42 + 0.04	0.43+0.11	0.02+0.05	-0.03+0.06	
Traits	Haell	II-g.5894A>GF	a	d		
Traits	A/A	A/G	G/G	а	d	
TNB	11.46+0.08	11.28+0.08	11.31+0.20	0.07+0.10	-0.10+0.12	
NBA	9.90+0.08	9.81+0.08	9.84+0.20	-0.03+0.10	-0.06+0.12	
SB	1.09+0.03	1.02+0.03	1.04 + 0.08	0.02 + 0.04	-0.04+0.05	
MM	0.46+0.03	0.45+0.03	0.43+0.08	0.01+0.04	0.43+0.08	

<sup>A,B</sup> LSMeans+SEM within row with different superscripts differ (P<0.01).

\*\* means highly significant difference.

Table 5. Haplotype analysis of  $FSH\beta$  gene on litter size traits in parent stock of commercial pigs

trait		c.930A>G− <i>Hae</i> III- <i>FSHβ</i>							
	AA/AA	AA/AG	AA/GG	AG/AA	AG/AG	AG/GG	GG/AA	GG/AG	GG/GG
TNB	11.37 <u>+</u> 0.07 <sup>A</sup>	11.030 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>A</sup>	11.53 <u>+</u> 0.32 <sup>A</sup>	11.22 <u>+</u> 0.23 <sup>A</sup>	10.97 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>A</sup>	10.56 <u>+</u> 0.30 <sup>A</sup>	9.33 <u>+</u> 1.02 <sup>B</sup>	11.92 <u>+</u> 0.39 <sup>A</sup>	11.72 <u>+</u> 0.42 <sup>A</sup>
NBA	9.97 <u>+</u> 0.07 <sup>AB</sup>	9.96 <u>+</u> 0.10 <sup>AB</sup>	9.86 <u>+</u> 0.32 <sup>AB</sup>	9.72 <u>+</u> 0.23 <sup>AB</sup>	9.76 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>AB</sup>	9.54 <u>+</u> 0.30 <sup>B</sup>	7.33 <u>+</u> 1.01 <sup>C</sup>	10.93 <u>+</u> 0.39 <sup>A</sup>	10.60 <u>+</u> 0.42 <sup>A</sup>
SB	0.98 <u>+</u> 0.03	0.89 <u>+</u> 0.04	1.03 <u>+</u> 0.12	0.97 <u>+</u> 0.09	0.84 <u>+</u> 0.04	0.78 <u>+</u> 0.12	0.78 <u>+</u> 0.39	0.67 <u>+</u> 0.15	0.81 <u>+</u> 0.16
MM	0.42 <u>+</u> 0.03 <sup>B</sup>	$0.45 \pm 0.04^{B}$	0.63 <u>+</u> 0.12 <sup>B</sup>	0.53 <u>+</u> 0.09 <sup>B</sup>	$0.37 \pm 0.04^{B}$	$0.27 \pm 0.12^{B}$	1.22 <u>+</u> 0.39 <sup>A</sup>	0.31 <u>+</u> 0.15 <sup>B</sup>	0.30 <u>+</u> 0.16 <sup>B</sup>

<sup>A,B</sup> LSmean+SEM within row with different superscripts differ (P<0.01).