# Seed Size and Its Influence on Growth-Related Agronomic Characters of Wheat Plants

Gustavo Henrique Demari<sup>1</sup>, Ivan Ricardo Carvalho<sup>1</sup>, Vinícius Jardel Szareski<sup>1</sup>, Simone Morgan Dellagostin<sup>1</sup>, João Roberto Pimentel<sup>1</sup>, Cristian Troyjack<sup>1</sup>, Tiago Corazza da Rosa<sup>1</sup>, Velci Queiróz de Souza<sup>2</sup>, Paulo Dejalma Zimmer<sup>1</sup>, Francisco Amaral Villela<sup>1</sup>, Tiago Pedó<sup>1</sup> & Tiago Aumonde Zanatta<sup>1</sup>

Correspondence: Ivan Ricardo Carvalho, Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. E-mail: carvalho.irc@gmail.com

Received: January 8, 2019 Accepted: March 11, 2019 Online Published: July 31, 2019

doi:10.5539/jas.v11n12p29 URL: https://doi.org/10.5539/jas.v11n12p29

#### Abstract

The objective of this work was to evaluate the influence of seed size on agronomic traits related to the growth of wheat plants. The seeds used in this experiment were collected in growing fields from the North of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil. The experimental design was randomized block design, with three wheat cultivars (Quartzo, Ametista and TBIO Sinuelo)  $\times$  six seed lots (A; B; C; D; E; F)  $\times$  five sieve diameters: I: (original sample without standardization, being that used by farmers (OS)), II: (seeds > 3.00 mm), III: (seeds from 2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV: (seeds from 2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V: (seeds < 2.0 mm), and the treatments were arranged in three replicates. The number of tillers per plant, height and spike insertion height are determined by intrinsic characteristics of the cultivar used, but extensive variations are attributed by lot fragmentation and seed size. The morphological and growth attributes of wheat are affected by considerable effects of seed size, and the decrease in these dimensions results in lower plants and reduced growth. The use of sieves for wheat seeds standardization allows the identification and selection of specific cultivars, lots and seed dimensions that may be essential for wheat crop performance.

**Keywords:** *Triticum aestivum* L., production of seeds, managements of plants

# 1. Introduction

Wheat (*Triticum aestivum* L.) belongs to the Poaceae family, with annual cycle, being used for human and animal feeding. Worldwide production in 2017 was 740 million tons of grains (FAO, 2017), of which, Brazilian production accounts for 5.7 million tons, and growing area of approximately 1.9 million hectares. Of this amount, the Southern Region of Brazil produced 4.6 million tons of grains, being Rio Grande do Sul state one of the largest producers, with 1.6 million tons (CONAB, 2018).

Currently, the average wheat yield in Brazil is 2431 kg per hectare of grains (CONAB, 2018), which is considered low, and can be improved by seeds standardization. It allows to remove seeds with undesirable physiological quality, to improve sowing management, to enhance the performance of plants at field, and thereby to increase yield, since the physiological quality of seeds is determined by chemical, sanitary, physiological and physical attributes (Martin et al., 2016). These attributes influence on germination, vigor, initial seedling development and phytomass accumulation (Brzezinski et al., 2014).

Considering the fact that there is no standardization of wheat seeds, one lot might present seeds of varying length, width and thickness. The seeds are separated by specific weight, resulting in lots composed by seeds with different sizes, which can present different physiological quality and performance (Pádua et al., 2010), as reported by studies with soybean (Pádua et al., 2010, Barbosa et al., 2010), and maize (Vazquez et al., 2012). Considering wheat crop, few studies consider this influence on agronomic traits related to growth, yield components, lodging and mechanized harvest (Carvalho et al., 2016). In this context, the objective of this work was to evaluate the influence of seed size on agronomic traits related to the growth of wheat plants.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Federal University of Pelotas, Pelotas, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Federal University of Pampa, Dom Pedrito, Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil

#### 2. Material and Methods

The seeds used in this experiment were collected in growing fields from the North of Rio Grande do Sul, Brazil (Table 1), and the choice of cultivars was due to their adaptability to the culture environment. In the laboratory of the Seed Science and Technology Graduate Program of the Federal University of Pelotas, the seeds were standardized through a set of oblong hole sieves, separating the width > 3.00 mm (seed retained in the 3.0 mm sieve), 2.5 to 2.99 mm (seed retained in the 2.5 mm sieve which passed through the 3.0 mm sieve), 2.0 to 2.49 mm (seed which passed through the 2.5 mm sieve and retained in the 2.0 mm sieve), and < 2.0 mm (seeds that passed through the 2.0 mm sieve).

Posteriorly, the experiment was sown at field in the municipality of Tenente Portela-RS, in the agricultural year of 2016, under latitude 27°23′31.04″ S, longitude 53°46′50.71″ W, and altitude of 420 meters. The climate is characterized as subtropical humid type Cfa, according to Köppen, with annual precipitation of 2085 mm (Sotério et al., 2005). The soil is classified as typical alumino-ferric red latossolo (Streck, 2008).

The experimental design was randomized block design, with three wheat cultivars (Quartzo, Ametista e TBIO Sinuelo)  $\times$  six seed lots (A; B; C; D; E; F)  $\times$  five sieve diameters: I: (original sample without standardization, being that used by farmers (OS)), II: (seeds > 3.00 mm), III: (seeds from 2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV: (seeds from 2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V: (seeds < 2.0 mm), and the treatments were arranged in three replicates. The experimental units consisted of five sowing lines spaced by 0.17 meters, with two meters in length, with useful area of 0.51 m² from the two central lines.

Seeding was performed manually, in a direct system with population of 330 thousand viable seeds per hectare. Base fertilization and top dressing were performed according to the results of the previous soil analysis (CQFS-RS/SC, 2004). The control of diseases, weeds and pest insects were carried out in a preventive way, and the evaluations considered ten plants randomly sampled in the useful area of the experimental unit, being them:

*Number of fertile tillers (NFT)*: measured by counting the number of fertile tiller per plant. Results were expressed in units.

Spike insertion height on the main plant (SIHMP): corresponds to the distance between the soil level and the spike insertion. The results were presented in centimeters.

Height of the main plant (HMP): determined by the plant that stands out from the others, measured by the distance between soil level and the last spikelet's apex. The results were presented in centimeters.

Spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT): determined in the closest tiller to the main plant, which is higher than the others. For this, the distance between the ground level and the spike insertion was measured. The results were presented in centimeters.

Main tiller height (MTH): defined as the closest tiller to the main plant, measured by the distance between the soil level and the apex of the last spikelet. The results were presented in centimeters.

Spike insertion height of the secondary tiller (SIHST): The tiller closest to the main tiller was identified, and the distance between the ground level and spike insertion was determined. The results were presented in centimeters.

Height of secondary tiller (HST): considered the tiller closest to the main tiller, where the distance between the ground level and the last spikelet's apex was measured. The results were presented in centimeters.

The data were submitted to analysis of variance at 5% of probability to verify the assumptions of the statistical model (Ramalho et al., 2012). Subsequently, the interaction between wheat cultivars × seed lots × seed size was tested at 5% of probability. In the presence of significant interactions, the simple effects were sliced

### 3. Results and Discussion

Analysis of variance revealed significance for interaction between wheat cultivars × seed lots × seed size at 5% probability for the traits number of fertile tiller (NFT), spike insertion height on the main plant (SIHMP), height of the main plant (HMP), spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT), main tiller height (MTH), spike insertion height of the secondary tiller (SIHST) and height of secondary tiller (HST).

Table 1. Physical and physiological characterization of seed lots. Germination (G), First germination count

Cultivar	Lot	Width of the sieve's oblong hole													
		> 3.0 mm			2.5 to	2.99 n	nm	2.0 to	2.49 n	nm	< 2.00 mm				
		% of seeds in the lot	G %	PCG %	% of seeds in the lot	G %	PCG%	% of seeds in the lot	G %	PCG%	% of seeds in the lot	G %	PCG%		
Ametista	A	6.08	83	78	36.02	88	80	52.52	82	73	5.38	78	66		
Ametista	В	2.70	86	81	55.13	89	84	37.11	83	78	5.06	81	67		
Ametista	C	3.81	83	81	55.98	90	86	36.11	87	80	4.1	83	77		
Ametista	D	1.69	45	37	24.33	56	49	67.21	73	63	6.77	70	58		
Ametista	E	1.74	48	37	26.66	49	39	65.94	65	52	5.66	70	58		
Ametista	F	1.95	37	28	27.62	49	37	68.76	53	42	1.67	61	49		
Quartzo	A	0.94	78	74	22.75	83	78	70.66	84	80	5.66	87	76		
Quartzo	В	0.97	73	61	23.11	69	62	70.2	67	58	5.72	68	57		
Quartzo	C	1.78	74	68	77.41	81	77	19.04	85	82	1.78	86	79		
Quartzo	D	5.29	92	91	62.11	94	93	27.89	91	88	4.72	94	91		
Quartzo	E	8.74	75	65	78.43	81	74	11.81	70	57	1.02	60	52		
Quartzo	F	3.27	77	66	70.9	76	59	20.43	71	63	5.41	75	65		
Sinuelo	A	4.58	81	80	67.31	79	78	26.89	86	85	1.22	88	84		
Sinuelo	В	2.72	86	80	67.16	86	86	26.63	90	87	3.49	89	86		
Sinuelo	C	3.76	70	62	81.70	85	82	12.52	86	82	2.03	82	78		
Sinuelo	D	2.92	95	90	76.82	94	92	17.18	89	85	3.08	80	76		
Sinuelo	E	7.45	95	94	72.51	94	94	15.50	90	89	4.54	80	67		
Sinuelo	F	3.68	80	77	32.61	90	89	48.55	92	89	15.16	88	87		

The number of fertile tiller (NFT) evidenced that sieve size does not present differences for cultivars Ametista and TBIO Sinuelo. However, plants of cultivar Quartzo from seeds retained in sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) presented higher number of fertile tillers (Table 2). Among cultivars, Ametista was superior to the other cultivars tested in all sieve sizes. The emission of fertile tillers in wheat is related to yield components and productivity, being them influenced by plant density and intrinsic features of the cultivar used (Valério et al., 2008; Carvalho et al., 2017).

Among seed lots, the cultivar TBIO Sinuelo presented no difference for number of fertile tillers (NFT). The cultivar Ametista in lots E and F, as well as Quartzo in lot B, increased the number of fertile tillers. Among cultivars, the number of fertile tillers was higher for cultivar Quartzo in the seeds from lot B. For cultivar Ametista, it is evidenced in lot E. Considering the interaction between seed sizes × seed lots, lots A, C and D showed no difference for number of fertile tillers (NFT) among sieve sizes used for standardization. However, lot B was superior through seeds of the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm), as well as, lot E in sieve II (> 3.0 mm), lot F in sieves II (> 3.0 mm) and III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), evidencing variability among seed sizes in the sampled lots.

Among lots, the number of fertile tillers (NFT) for seeds retained in the sieve V (< 2.0 mm) did not vary among the evaluated lots, however, the original sample (OS) resulted in reduction of number of fertile tillers in 50% compared to the lots tested, sieve II (> 3.0 mm) 66%, sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) 16%, and sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) 86% of the lots tested (Table 2). The difference in seed sizes and sampled lots may influence the response of the plant for emission and maintenance of the tillers throughout plant cycle, leading to significant effects on the number of reproductive structures per unit area, as well as for physiological quality of seeds produced (Ohlson et al., 2010).

Table 2. Means for interaction between cultivars  $\times$  seed sizes, cultivars  $\times$  lots, and sieve sizes  $\times$  lots for the trait number of fertile tillers (NFT)

SS**	Number of fertile tillers												
33**	Ameti	sta			Quartz	zo		TBIO-Sinuelo					
I (OS)***	2.02		aA		2.11		bA		1.78		aA		
II (> 3.0 mm) 2.33			aA		1.87	1.87		bA		2.09		aA	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	2.33		aA		1.79		bB		2.11		aAB		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	2.30		aA		2.69	2.69		aA		1.73		aB	
V (< 2.0 mm)	2.29		aA		1.83		bAB		1.69		aB		
Lot	Ametista Quart						Quartzo TBIO-Sinue						
A	1.88		bA		1.81		bA		1.57		aA		
В	1.96		bB		2.68		aA		1.65		aB		
C	2.28		abA		1.82		bA		2.09		aA		
D	2.34		abA		1.82		bA		2.00		aA		
E	2.62		aA		2.32		abAB		1.94		aB		
F	2.43		aA		1.90		bA		2.04		aA		
SS**	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	1.64	aВ	1.63	bB	2.20	aAB	2.29	aAB	2.47	abA	1.60	bB	
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	1.58	aВ	2.03	bB	1.95	aВ	1.78	aB	2.88	aA	2.35	aA	
III (2.5 to 2.99mm)	1.65	aВ	1.75	bAB	2.17	aAB	2.11	aAB	2.38	abA	2.38	aA	
IV (2.0 to 2.49mm)	2.08	aВ	3.31	aA	1.79	aВ	2.20	aB	1.93	bB	2.14	abB	
V (< 2.0 mm)	1.81	aA	1.78	bA	2.22	aA	1.88	aA	1.77	bA	2.15	abA	
CV(%)	36.9												

*Note.* \*Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column, and the same capitalized letter in the row, do not statistically differ by Tukey at 5% of probability. \*\* SS: Size of sieve and their respective seeds retained. \*\*\* OS: Original sample of the lot without size fractionation.

The spike insertion height on the main plant (SIHMP) presented variability for the cultivars (Table 3). For cultivar Ametista, plants originated from the original sample (OS) obtained lower spike insertion height of main plant through lots B and D, as well as plants originated from seeds retained in the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) for lots D and F, and sieve V (< 2.00 mm) for lot D. For cultivar Quartzo, seeds retained in the sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) presented inferiority through lots A and F, as well as the sieve V (< 2.00 mm) for lots B, C, D, E and F. For cultivar Sinuelo, the original sample (OS) presented lower spike insertion height of the main plant through lot D, as well as sieve II (> 3.0 mm) for lots C and E, sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) for lot B, and sieve V (< 2.0 mm) for lot E.

Lower plants are related to less foliar area and growth (Collares et al., 2008), however, they may present lodging tolerance (Espindula et al., 2010), which is related to photoassimilates translocation, grain mass, and consequently grain yield (Silva et al., 2006).

Among the lots, there were differences between sizes and cultivars studied. For the cultivar Ametista, the seeds retained in sieve II (> 3.0 mm) and III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) obtained lower magnitude in 16% of the evaluated lots, as well as the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) in 50% of the lots, and sieve V (< 2.00 mm) in 33% of the lots. The cultivar Quartzo, under nonstandard conditions of the seeds (OS), presented lower spike insertion height in 16% of the evaluated lots, as well as the seeds retained in sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) in 33% of the lots. Regarding the cultivar TBIO Sinuelo, the seeds from original sample (OS), seeds retained in sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) and IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) as well as sieve II (2.0 mm), and V (2.0 mm) in 16% of the evaluated lots. Therefore, for these cultivars, there are variations in response to sieves used for standardization, as function of the lot sampled and seed production environment. The morphological traits of wheat are affected by genetic characteristics (Carvalho et al., 2016) and competition among plants (Ferreira et al., 2008).

The height of the main plant (HMP) differed among lots and seed sizes, while in plants originated from cultivar Ametista, the sieves used for seed standardization did not influence the performance of lots A, C and E (Table 3). However, the non-standardized seeds from the original sample (OS) of lot B, sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) of lot D, and sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) of lot F, resulted in smaller plants (HMP). For cultivar Quartzo, seed size did not influence the height of main plant (HMP) in lot F, but in lot A, the seeds retained in the sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), lot C, in sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (> 2.0 mm), and sieve V (> 2.0 mm) of lots B, D and E presented reduction in plant height. Regarding the cultivar TBIO Sinuelo, lots A, D and E did not reveal differences for

height of the main plant according to seed standardization. However, the seeds retained in sieve II (> 3.0 mm) presented lower height of the main plant in lots C and E, as well as the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (> 2.0 mm) of lot B.

Table 3. Means for interaction among cultivars  $\times$  seed sizes  $\times$  lots for the traits spike insertion height on the main plant (SIHMP), and height of the main plant (HMP)

Spike insertion height on the main plant (cm)														
SS**	Ametista											-		
33	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F			
I (OS)***	89.90	aAα	86.06	bAβ	90.53	аАβ	88.00	bAβ	91.63	aAα	91.36	aAα		
II (> 3.0 mm)	87.90	$aB\alpha$	92.11	aAα	89.96	$aAB\alpha \\$	93.07	aAα	90.96	$aAB\alpha \\$	90.43	$aAB\alpha$		
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	90.83	$aAB\alpha \\$	92.60	$aAB\alpha$	86.87	аСβ	89.26	$abBC\alpha$	94.43	aAα	90.60	$aABC\alpha \\$		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	89.03	аΑВβ	89.60	$abAB\alpha \\$	88.76	$aB\alpha \\$	88.03	$bB\alpha$	92.80	aAα	86.23	bΒαβ		
V (< 2.0 mm)	87.38	аВβ	88.71	$abAB\alpha \\$	88.23	$aB\alpha \\$	88.73	$bAB\alpha$	90.83	$aAB\alpha \\$	91.45	aAα		
SS**						Qu	artzo							
33	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F			
I (OS)***	92.56	aABα	92.05	aABα	95.60	aAα	94.70	aABα	91.13	aBCαβ	87.58	bCα		
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	91.70	$aA\alpha$	90.11	abAα	92.48	$abA\alpha \\$	93.83	$abA\alpha \\$	92.20	$aA\alpha$	91.86	$aA\alpha$		
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	87.52	bAα	88.98	abAα	91.03	bAα	90.13	bcAα	91.43	αΑαβ	87.53	bAα		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	93.73	$aA\alpha$	91.96	$aAB\alpha \\$	89.26	$bcB\alpha$	89.16	$cdB\alpha$	91.31	$aAB\alpha \\$	89.86	$abAB\alpha \\$		
V (< 2.0 mm)	95.31	aAα	87.35	$bB\alpha$	87.32	$cB\alpha$	85.93	$d\mathrm{B}\alpha$	86.23	$bB\beta$	87.46	$bB\beta$		
SS**						TBIO	Sinuelo							
33	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F			
I (OS)***	85.86	аΑВβ	87.98	аАβ	87.36	abAβ	83.31	bВΓ	87.66	аАβ	83.28	аВβ		
II (> 3.0 mm)	89.63	aAα	87.30	$abAB\beta$	84.84	bBCβ	86.96	$abABC\beta \\$	81.48	bDβ	83.26	aCDβ		
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	88.10	$aAB\alpha \\$	89.90	aAα	89.73	αΑαβ	84.90	abBβ	88.20	aABβ	84.63	аВβ		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	87.83	aAβ	83.33	сВβ	87.00	$abAB\alpha \\$	87.10	$abAB\alpha \\$	86.27	aAβ	85.83	аВβ		
V (< 2.0  mm)	88.86	aAβ	83.41	bcBCβ	86.80	$abAB\alpha \\$	87.63	aAα	82.20	bСΓ	86.88	aABβ		
CV(%)	8.72													
	Height of the main plant (cm)													
SS**	-					Am	etista							
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F			
I (OS)***	97.11	aAα	93.10	bAα	97.93	αΑαβ	94.53	abAβ	100.3	aAα	98.50			
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	95.16	aAα	96.32	abAα	97.36	aAα	99.46	aAα	99.53	aAα	99.53			
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	94.66	aΒα	100.08	aAα	93.66	aΒα	93.03	bΒαβ	102.26	aAα		aABα		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	96.43	aABβ	96.83	$abAB\alpha \\$		aABα	95.55	abABα	99.86	aAα	93.00			
V (< 2.0 mm)	94.75	aAβ	96.64	abAα	95.73	aAα	93.36	abAα	98.36	aAα	96.42	abAα		
SS**						Qu	artzo							
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F			
I (OS)***	98.73	abAα	96.53	abAα	101.95	aAα	101.90	aAα	99.33	αΑαβ		αΑαβ		
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	98.43	abAα	96.36	abAα	98.68	abAα	100.48	abAα	98.60	abAα	99.03			
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	95.42	bAα	95.67	abAα	97.76	abAα	97.66	abAα	99.06	αΑαβ	95.16	αΑαβ		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	103.53	aAα	99.76	aABα	96.36	$bB\alpha$	96.73	$bB\alpha$	98.74	αΑΒαβ				
V (< 2.0 mm)	102.82	aAα	94.04	bΒCαβ	95.01	bΒCα	90.23	сСα	93.53	bΒCαβ	95.86	aBα		
SS**	-					TBIO	Sinuelo							
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F			
I (OS)***	93.06	aAα	95.91	aAα	94.36	abAβ	90.82	aABβ	94.93	aAβ	89.87	•		
II (> 3.0 mm)	97.46	aAα	94.50	abAα	91.54	bAβ	93.15	aAβ	82.22	$cB\beta$	95.03			
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	97.16	aAα	97.36	aAα	97.3	aAα	92.16	аВβ	94.73	aABβ	92.16			
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	95.08	aAβ	90.43	bAβ	94.36	abAα	94.40	aAα	93.72	abAβ	93.3	aAα		
V (< 2.0 mm)	95.75	aAβ	90.25	bΒβ	94.28	$abAB\alpha \\$	94.13	aABα	89.24	bΒβ	94.22	aABα		
CV(%)	10.56													

*Note.* \*Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column for sieve sizes, the same capitalized letter in the row for seed size between lots, and the same Greek letter between cultivars did not statistically differ by Tukey at 5% of probability of error. \*\* SS: Size of sieve and their respective seeds retained. \*\*\* OS: Original sample of the lot without size fractionation.

Among lots of the cultivar Ametista, plants from non-standardized seeds (OS), from seeds retained in sieves II (> 3.0 mm) and V (> 2.0 mm) did not affect the height of the main plant. However, (2.5 to 2.99 mm) hole diameter negatively influenced this character in 50% of the lots, as well as sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) influenced 16% of the lots evaluated. For cultivar Quartzo, the seeds from the original sample (OS) without standardization, and seeds retained in sieve II (> 3.0 mm) and III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) did not differ in the HMP, but seeds from sieves IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (> 2.0 mm) negatively affect this trait in 66% and 16% of the evaluated lots, respectively. Regarding the cultivar TBIO Sinuelo, lots A, D and E showed no difference between seed sizes. However, plants from the original sample (OS), and seeds retained in sieve II (> 3.0 mm) had a negative influence on main plant height (MPH) of 16% of the evaluated lots, as well as seeds retained in the sieve III (> 5.0 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) reduced main plant height in 33% of the lots studied.

Plants with shorter height may decrease lodging and contribution to increase length and number of spikelets, spike weight and number of grains per spike (Silva et al., 2006). However, these plants may result in negative effects for inflorescence dimensions (Harwig et al., 2006) and seed mass (Espindula et al., 2010).

Among genotypes, there was superiority for cultivar Quartzo regarding spike insertion height on the main plant (SIHMP), (Table 3), as well as the cultivars Ametista and Quartzo (Table 4) for spike insertion height on the main tiller (SIHMT), main tiller height (MTH). The spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT) determined that plants from cultivar Ametista of lots C and D did not show influence of seed size. However, the seeds retained in sieves II (> 3.0 mm) of the lot A, original sample (OS) of lot B, sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) from lot E, original sample (OS) and sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) of lot F, had lower insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT). Plants from larger seeds emerge faster and increase their height (Chastain et al., 1994). Among the lots, plants originated from seeds of the non-standardized original sample (OS) had negative effect on spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT) in 33% of the lots studied, as well as the seeds retained in the sieves II (> 3.00 mm), III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) in 16% of the lots evaluated.

For the cultivar Quartzo, the standardization did not differ in lot E. However, plants from seeds retained in sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) of lot A, sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lots B and C, sieve II (> 3.0 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) of lot D, non-standardized original sample (OS) of lot F presented lower spike insertion height of the maim tiller (SIHMT). Among the lots, seeds from sieves II (> 3.0 mm) and IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) did not differ, however, from the original sample (OS) and sieve III (< 3.0 mm) revealed that 16% of the lots, as well as sieve V (< 2.0 mm) with 66% of the lots presented lower values for this trait.

It is possible to enhance the quality of a seed lot through standardization, since the variation of seed size of this lot influences seedling growth and uniformity (Sainio et al., 2011), because lots without standardization process present different physical characteristics (Ormond et al., 2013) and can express differential field performance.

Regarding the cultivar TBIO Sinuelo, the standardization had no influence on lot C for spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT). Considering plants from seeds of the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) for lot A, original sample (OS) without standardization, sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49), and sieve V (< 2.0 mm) from lot B, original sample (OS) from lots D and F, and plants originating from sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lot E, showed inferior magnitude. Among lots, the plants from sieve II (> 3.0 mm) did not differ, however, original sample (OS), sieves III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), and V (< 2.0 mm) influenced negatively in 33% of the lots, and the plants from sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) in 50% of the evaluated lots.

The spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT) varied among lots and cultivars, with tendency that larger seeds provided higher plants, with higher spike insertion height (Sainio et al., 2011), showing the presence of variability between lots evaluated and the need of using sieves for wheat seeds standardization in order to achieve lots more uniform at field.

The main tiller height (MTH) (Table 4) for cultivar Ametista, showed that lots C and D were not influenced by seed standardization. However, plants originated from seeds retained in the sieve II (> 3.0 mm) of lot A, original sample (OS) of lot B, and seeds retained in sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lot C, original sample (OS) and sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) from lot F presented lower height of the main tiller. Among the lots, seeds from sieves II (> 3.0 mm) and IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) did not differ, but the original sample (OS) and plants from seeds of the sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) negatively influenced the height of the main plant in 16% of the lots, and by the sieve V (<2.0 mm) in 66% of the lots studied.

Table 4. Means for interaction among cultivars  $\times$  seed sizes  $\times$  lots for the traits spike insertion height of the main tiller (SIHMT), and main tiller height (MTH)

Spike insertion height of the main tiller (cm)													
SS**						An	netista						
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	78.73	aAα	65.36	$bB\alpha$	71.50	aABα		αΑΒαβ	81.13	abAα	63.66		
II (> 3.0 mm)	61.60	$bB\alpha$	80.28	aAα	80.43	aAα	75.52	$aAB\alpha$	83.73	$abA\alpha$	76.00	$abAB\alpha \\$	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	78.60	aABα	82.63	aABα	73.33	$aB\alpha$	74.30	$aAB\alpha$	88.50	aAα	78.03	$abAB\alpha \\$	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	73.53	$abAB\alpha\beta$	82.63	aAα	68.30	$aAB\alpha$	74.30	$aAB\alpha$	73.20	bAΒαβ	64.30	bΒβ	
V (< 2.0 mm)	69.30	abBβ	73.53	$abAB\alpha \\$	80.00	$aAB\alpha \\$	81.80	$aAB\alpha \\$	72.36	$bAB\alpha$	86.28	$aA\alpha$	
SS**						Qι	ıartzo						
33	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	72.93	bΒCα	71.71	abBCα	83.9	aABα	88.33	aAα	83.33	aABα	60.15	bCα	
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	74.23	bAα	78.66	$abA\alpha \\$	72.99	$abA\alpha \\$	70.54	bAα	80.73	aAα	79.6	aAα	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	49.87	сВβ	73.19	$abA\alpha\beta$	79.26	$abA\alpha \\$	77.06	$abA\alpha$	76.46	αΑαβ	75.26	aAα	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	84.33	abAα	83.7	aAα	78.3	$abA\alpha \\$	75.8	$abA\alpha$	82.99	aAα	78.1	aAα	
V (< 2.0 mm)	89.99	aAα	65.67	$bB\alpha$	67.01	$bB\alpha$	66.06	bΒβ	68.96	$aB\alpha$	78.1	αΑΒαβ	
	TBIO Sinuelo											<u> </u>	
SS**	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	66.01	abBCα	60.64	bCα	75.8	aABα	64.3	bBCβ	82.63	Ααα	59.17	bCα	
II (> 3.0 mm)		abAα	79.00	aAα	77.71			abΑα		abAα	81.03		
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	79.70		63.53			aABα	76.43	abABα	68.56	abcABβ			
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	63.59		61.83	•	64.06		80.60	aAα	67.92	bcABβ	71.13	abΑΒαβ	
V (< 2.0 mm)		abABβ	62.54		77.9	aAα		abΑΒαβ		•		abABβ	
CV(%)	10.56	•										•	
Main tiller height (cm)													
SS**						An	netista						
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	85.15	aAα	70.90	$bAB\alpha$	76.96	$aAB\alpha$	80.73	αΑΒαβ	84.56	$abA\alpha$	68.73		
II (> 3.0 mm)	66.30	$bB\alpha$	86.59	abAα	87.23	aAα	81.81	$aAB\alpha$	90.50	$abA\alpha$	82.0	$abAB\alpha \\$	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	84.26	$aAB\alpha \\$	88.80	$aAB\alpha \\$	79.66	$aB\alpha \\$	81.03	$aAB\alpha \\$	95.93	$aA\alpha$	84.23	$abAB\alpha \\$	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	78.35	$abAB\alpha\beta$	89.80	aAα	74.08	$aAB\alpha \\$	80.90	$aAB\alpha \\$	78.96	Babαβ	71.60	$bB\alpha$	
V (< 2.0 mm)	75.15	abBβ	78.74	$abAB\alpha \\$	86.55	$aAB\alpha \\$	85.53	aABα	77.53	$bAB\alpha$	92.81	aAα	
SS**						Qι	ıartzo						
33	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	79.30	bABCα	78.03	abBCα	90.85	aABα	94.86	aAα	90.83	aABα	65.24	bCα	
II (>3.0 mm)	80.53	bAα	85.6	$abA\alpha \\$	78.70	$abA\alpha \\$	76.1	bAα	87.23	$abA\alpha$	84.93	aAα	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	53.22	сВβ	78.68	$abA\alpha\beta$	85.16	abAα	83.2	abAα	83.50	abAαβ	81.86	aAα	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	90.90	abAα	89.86	aAα	83.70	abAα	82.0	abAα	87.39	abAα	85.26	aAα	
V (< 2.0 mm)	96.9	aAα	70.72	$bB\alpha$	73.74	$bB\alpha$	74.4	$bB\alpha$	74.10	$bB\alpha$	85.66	αΑΒαβ	
	96.9 aAα 70.72 bBα 73.74 bBα 74.4 bBα 74.10 bBα 85.66 aABα  TBIO Sinuelo												
00**						IDIO	Sinuel	.0					
SS**	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
SS** I (OS)***	Lot A	abBCα	Lot B 66.10	bCα			Lot D		Lot E 89.71	aAα	Lot F 64.04	bCα	
	Lot A 70.74	abBCα abAα				abABα	Lot D 67.77		89.71	aAα abAα			
I (OS)***	Lot A 70.74	$ab A\alpha \\$	66.10	$aA\alpha \\$	82.36 84.63	abABα	Lot D 67.77 81.98	bBCβ abAα	89.71 78.61		64.04 87.60		
I (OS)*** II (> 3.0 mm)	Lot A 70.74 76.23	$abA\alpha \\ aA\alpha$	66.10 86.16	$\begin{array}{c} aA\alpha \\ bB\beta \end{array}$	82.36 84.63	abABα aAα abABα	Lot D 67.77 81.98	bBCβ abAα abABα	89.71 78.61	$abA\alpha \\ abAB\beta$	64.04 87.60 79.16	$aA\alpha \\$	
I (OS)*** II (> 3.0 mm) III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	Lot A 70.74 76.23 85.40 68.88	$abA\alpha \\ aA\alpha$	66.10 86.16 68.60	$\begin{array}{c} aA\alpha \\ bB\beta \\ bB\beta \end{array}$	82.36 84.63 81.73	abABα aAα abABα bBα	Lot D 67.77 81.98 83.36	bBCβ abAα abABα	89.71 78.61 74.33	$\begin{array}{c} abA\alpha \\ abAB\beta \\ bB\beta \end{array}$	64.04 87.60 79.16 79.93	$\begin{array}{c} aA\alpha \\ abAB\alpha \end{array}$	

*Note.* \*Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column for sieve sizes, the same capitalized letter in the row for seed size between lots, and the same Greek letter between cultivars did not statistically differ by Tukey at 5% of probability of error. \*\* SS: Size of sieve and their respective seeds retained. \*\*\* OS: Original sample of the lot without size fractionation.

For the cultivar Quartzo, plants from lot E defined that the main tiller height did not differ between seed sizes, however, plants originated from seeds retained in the sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) from lot A, V (< 2.00 mm) sieve

V of lots B, C and D, and original sample (OS) of lot F obtained lower magnitude for height of the main tiller (MTH). Among lots, the non-standardized original sample (OS) negatively affected 50% of the lots, as well as the sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) in 16% of the lots.

The cultivar TBIO Sinuelo expressed for main tiller height (MTH) that plants from seeds of the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) of lot A, original sample (OS), seeds retained in the sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) of lot B, as well as plants from the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) from lot C, non-standard original sample from lots D and F, and seeds retained on sieves IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) of lot E presented lower height of the main tiller. Among lots, it is verified that seeds from the sieve II (> 3.00 mm) did not differ, however, the non-standard original sample (OS) and plants from the sieve V (< 2.0 mm) affected 33% of the lots studied, as well as the seeds of sieve III in 16%, and sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) negatively influenced 66% of the lots. It was observed that larger seeds result in higher height of the main tiller, possibly because they present greater reserve of assimilates (Sainio et al., 2011), originating plants with lower panicle mass and grain mass per panicle (Harwig et al., 2006).

The spike insertion height of the secondary tiller (SIHST) for cultivar Ametista (Table 5) showed that the standardization of seeds had no influence on lots A, C, D and F, but in lot B, the original sample (OS) without standardization, and plants originating from seeds retained in the sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lot E presented lower magnitude of this trait. Smaller seeds of wheat and barley tend to reduce germination due to availability of reserves, resulting in smaller seedlings and plants (Sainio et al., 2011; Chastain et al., 1994).

Regarding the cultivar Quartzo, the spike insertion height of the secondary tiller (SIHST) of lot E defined absence of differences for plants originating from standardized seeds. In lot A, the original sample (OS) without standardization, as well as plants from seeds retained in the sieve II (> 3.0 mm) and III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) presented lower insertion height (2.5 to 2.99 mm) for lot B, sieve II (> 3.0 mm) and III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) of lot C, as well as sieve II (> 3.0 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) of lot D, original sample (OS) of lot F. Among lots, it can be observed that seeds from sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) do not differ. However, from the original non-standardized sample (OS), sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) and IV (2.0 to 2.49 m) negatively affected the insertion height of the secondary spike in 16% of the lots studied, as well as the seeds retained in the sieve V (< 2.0 mm) in 66% of the lots. It is evident the importance of the lot on plant performance at field conditions, where the origin of the lots contributes to seed viability (Sainio et al., 2011). Therefore, the utilization of sieves for seed standardization allows to remove undesirable wheat seeds of a lot, providing field uniformity and competitive ability.

The cultivar TBIO Sinuelo evidenced for spike insertion height of the secondary tiller (SIHST), that lots A, B, D were not influenced by seed standardization. However, in lot C, plants from sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) presented lower magnitude than the other sieves, as well as plants from sieves III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) of lot E, original sample (OS) and sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lot F. Among lots, seeds retained in sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) provided plants with insertion height of the secondary tiller similar for all lots, however, the original sample of 16% of the lots had lower height, as well as the seeds retained in sieves II (> 3.0 mm) of 33%, sieve IV (< 2.0 to < 2.49 mm) of 50%, sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of 83% of the lots.

Considering the height of secondary tiller (HST) for cultivar Ametista, lots A, C, D, and F (Table 5) did not differ for seeds standardization. However, plants originating from the original sample (OS) of lot B, and seeds retained in the sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lot E presented lower magnitude. Among the lots, plants from seeds retained on sieve IV (< 2.0 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) were similar, but the non-standardized original sample (OS) negatively affected 33% of the lots, possibly due to the difference between seeds in each lot, and the plants originating from sieves II (> 3.0 mm) and III (< 2.5 to < 2.99 mm) in 16% of the lots. In this way, fractioning lots of wheat seeds may positively influence spike insertion height.

For cultivar Quartzo, the standardization of lots C and E did not influence the height of secondary tillers, however, the non-standard original sample (OS), and plants from the sieve II (> 3.0 mm) and III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) of lot A presented lower magnitude, as well as sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) of lot B, sieve II (> 3.0 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) and V (< 2.0 mm) of lot D, and original sample (OS) not standardized of lot F. Among lots, plants from seeds retained in sieve II (> 3.0 mm) did not differ. For seeds from original sample (OS), 33% of the lots studied were affected, as well as seeds retained on sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) and IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) in 16% of the lots, and sieve V (< 2.0 mm) in 50% of the lots. Researches have determined that small seeds tend to increase the variability of plant height and seedling (Sainio et al., 2011).

Table 5. Means for interaction among cultivars  $\times$  seed sizes  $\times$  lots for the traits spike insertion height of the secondary tiller (SIHST) and height of secondary tiller (HST)

		Spik	e insert	tion heigh	t of the	seconda	ary tille	er (cm)					
SS**	Ametista												
33	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	38.70	aABα	33.16	bΒβ	52.73	aABα	59.03	αΑαβ	56.33	abAα	44.53	aABα	
II (> 3.0 mm)	30.90	$aB\alpha$	51.99	$abA\alpha \\$	59.3	$aA\alpha$	58.37	aAα	69.03	aAα	58.86	aAα	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	46.97	$aA\alpha$	61.00	aAα	48.13	$aA\alpha$	56.43	aAα	67.36	$abA\alpha \\$	56.26	aAα	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	47.43	$aA\alpha$	63.33	aAα	52.85	$aA\alpha$	48.99	αΑαβ	51.56	$abA\alpha\beta$	51.3	aAα	
V (< 2.0 mm)	51.10	αΑαβ	55.06	aAα	63.16	$aA\alpha$	58.8	aAα	48.1	$bA\alpha$	64.06	aAα	
SS**						Qua	rtzo						
22	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	42.53	bΒCα	58.83	aABα	65.53	aAα	66.06	aAα	51.96	aABα	29.79	bCα	
II (> 3.0 mm)	41.96	bAα	56.83	$abA\alpha$	43.89	bAα	41.92	bAα	55.52	aAα	49.86	$abA\alpha \\$	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	33.20	$bB\alpha$	37.93	bABβ	41.65	$bAB\alpha \\$	49.16	$abAB\alpha \\$	57.13	αΑαβ	42.90	$abAB\alpha \\$	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	52.16	$abAB\alpha \\$	53.76	abAαβ	60.68	$abA\alpha$	32.70	bΒβ	58.34	aAα	48.00	$abAB\alpha \\$	
V (< 2.0 mm)	68.65	aAα	43.77	$abB\alpha$	45.30	$abB\beta$	37.20	bΒβ	40.26	αΒαβ	54.80	$aAB\alpha \\$	
SS**		TBIO Sinuelo											
33***	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F	Lot A	
I (OS)***	25.79	aCα	33.55	аВСβ	49.43	aABα	41.03	аВСβ	65.3	aAα	30.53	bΒCα	
II (> 3.0 mm)	41.33	$aB\alpha$	42.40	aBα	55.69	$aAB\alpha \\$	45.93	aABα	63.89	aAα	45.53	$abAB\alpha \\$	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	45.73	$aA\alpha$	38.73	aAβ	56.66	aAα	48.90	aAα	39.70	bAβ	55.63	aAα	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	45.89	$aAB\alpha$	35.63	аВβ	28.00	bΒβ	59.73	aAα	31.27	bΒβ	46.23	$abAB\alpha \\$	
V (< 2.0 mm)	37.79	аВβ	37.90	$aB\alpha$	62.86	aAα	39.84	αΒαβ	25.16	bΒβ	33.26	$bB\beta$	
CV(%)	83.17												
Height of secondary tiller (cm)													
SS**						Ame	tista						
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	41.53	aBα	35.9	bΒβ		aABα	64.03	αΑαβ	64.33	abAα	48.5	aABα	
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	33.10	aBα		abAα	64.23	aAα	63.62	aAα	75.56		63.5	aAα	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	50.69	aBα	65.73	aABα	52.06			aABα	73.56	abAα	60.70	aABα	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)	51.50	aAα	68.13	aAα	56.21	aAα	53.09	αΑαβ	56.13	abAαβ	55.6	aAα	
V (< 2.0 mm)	55.12	αΑαβ	60.15	aAα	68.71	aAα	63.76	aAα	52.03	bAα	69.56	aAα	
SS**	Quartz	ZO											
	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	46.06		63.62	aAα	67.93		71.66	aAα	56.50		32.43		
II ( $> 3.0 \text{ mm}$ )	45.53		61.93	abAα	47.05	aAα	45.28		60.41			abAα	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	35.87			bABβ		aABα		$abAB\alpha \\$		•		$abAB\alpha \\$	
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)				abABαβ			35.10	•	63.40			abABα	
V (< 2.0 mm)	69.67	aAα	47.2	abBα	49.56			bΒβ	43.56	αΒαβ	59.76	aABα	
SS**						TBIO S	Sinuelo						
~~	Lot A		Lot B		Lot C		Lot D		Lot E		Lot F		
I (OS)***	27.86		36.31	•	53.53		44.46	-	69.75		33.09		
II (> 3.0 mm)	44.66		43.13			aABα			69.12			abABα	
III (2.5 to 2.99 mm)	49.80		41.76	•	61.30		53.66		42.90	•	60.13		
IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm)		aABα	38.69	•	29.96		65.16		34.22			abABα	
V (< 2.0 mm)	43.12	аВβ	41.07	$aB\alpha$	68.34	aAα	43.25	αΒαβ	27.33	bΒβ	35.73	bΒβ	
CV(%)	83.28												

*Note.* \*Means followed by the same lowercase letter in the column for sieve sizes, the same capitalized letter in the row for seed size between lots, and the same Greek letter between cultivars did not statistically differ by Tukey at 5% of probability of error. \*\* SS: Size of sieve and their respective seeds retained. \*\*\* OS: Original sample of the lot without size fractionation.

The cultivar TBIO Sinuelo, for lots A, B and D did not differ among seed sizes, however, seeds from the sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) of lot C resulted in lower magnitude for plant height of secondary tillers, as well as those retained in sieves III (2.5 to 2.99 mm), IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm), V (< 2.0 mm) of lot E, and original sample (OS),

seeds retained in sieve V (< 2.0 mm) of lot F. Among the lots evaluated, plants from sieve III (2.5 to 2.99 mm) did not differ, however, the original sample (OS) and seeds of sieve IV (2.0 to 2.49 mm) negatively influenced 50% of the lots studied, as well as seeds of the sieve II (> 3.0 mm) in 33% of the lots, and the sieve V in 83% of the lots, were the plants had smaller height of secondary tillers.

In general, there was variation among lots and cultivars tested in response to seeds standardization. Also, the standardization allowed to identify the variability between lots and sieve sizes, with the tendency that plants originated from original samples (OS) non-standardized and smaller seeds present inferior performance at field, as well as, bigger seeds may potentiate the magnitude of fertile tillers and plant height.

#### 4. Conclusions

The size of the seeds, lot and cultivar cause variation in the number of tillers per plant, plant height and spike insertion.

Seeds of smaller size result in lower plants.

The use of sieves to standardize wheat seeds allows separating lots with specific characteristics of field performance.

#### References

- Barbosa, C. Z. R., Smiderle, O. J., Alves, J. M. A., Vilarinho, A. A., & Sediyama, T. (2010). Qualidade de sementes de soja BRS Tracajá, colhidas em Roraima em função do tamanho no armazenamento. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 41(1), 73-80.
- Bredemeier, C., Mundstock, C. M., & Buttenbender, D. (2001). Efeito do tamanho das sementes de trigo no desenvolvimento inicial das plantas e no rendimento de grãos. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, *36*(8), 1061-1068. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2001000800008
- Brzezinski, C. R., Zucareli, C., Henning, F. A., Abati, J., Prando, A. M., & Henning, A. A. (2014). Nitrogênio e inoculação com *Azospirillum* na qualidade fisiológica e sanitária de sementes de trigo. *Revista de Ciências Agrárias Amazonian Joutnal og Agricultural and Environmental Sciences*, *57*(3), 257-265. https://doi.org/10.4322/rca.ao1391
- Carvalho, I. R., Nardino, M., Demari, G. H., Pelegrin, A. J., Ferrari, M., Szareski, V. J., ... Souza, V. Q. (2016). Sowing date and multivariate analisys of yield and physiological components in elite wheat genotypes. *International Journal of Current Research*, 08(11), 40828-40833.
- Carvalho, I. R., Follmann, D. N., Demari, G. H., Olivoto, T., Pelegrin, A. J., Szareski, V. J., ... Souza V.Q. (2017). Path analysis of grain yield associated characters in Brazilians wheat genotypes (*Triticum aestivum*L.). *Australian Journal of Crop Science*, 11(11), 1406-1410. https://doi.org/10.21475/ajcs.17.11.11. pne484
- Chastain, T. G., Ward, K. J., & Wysocki, D. J. (1994). Stand Establishment Response of Soft White Winter Wheat to Seedbed Residue and Seed Size. *CropSciencie Society of America*, 35(1), 213-218.
- CONAB (Companhia Nacional de Abastecinto). (2018). *Acompanhamento da safra brasileira de grãos*. 5, safra 2017/2018, n.5, Quinto levantamento.
- CQFS-RS/SC (Comissão de Química e Fertilidade do Solo). (2004). *Manual de adubação e calagem para os estados do Rio Grande do Sul e Santa Catarina* (10th ed., p. 400). Porto Alegre: Sociedade Brasileira de Ciência do Solo/Núcleo Regional Sul.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). (2017). World Food Situation: Cereal Supply and Demand Brief.
- Ferreira, E. A., Concenço, G., Silva, A. A., Reis, M. R., Vargas, L., Viana, R. G., ... Galon, L. (2008). Potencial competitivo de biótipos de azevém (*Lolium multiflorum*). *Planta Daninha, 26*(2), 261-269. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-83582008000200002
- Hartwig, I., Carvalho, F. I. F., Oliveira, A. C., Silva, J. A. G., Lorencetti, B. G., Vieira, E. A., ... Scmidt, D. A. M. (2006). Correlações fenotípicas entre caracteres agronômicos de interesse em cruzamentos dialélicos de aveia branca. *Revista Brasileira de Agrociência*, 12(3), 273-278.
- Martins, C. C., Trevisoli, S. H. U., Môro, G. V., & Vieira, R. D. (2016). Metodologia para seleção de linhagens de soja visando germinação, vigor e emergência em campo. *Revista Ciência Agronômica*, 47(3), 455-461. https://doi.org/10.5935/1806-6690.20160055

- Ormond, A. T. S., Nunes, J. A. S., Caneppele, C., Silva, S. L. S., & Pereira, M. T. J. (2013). Analise das caracteristicas físicas de sementes de trigo. *Enciclopédia Biosfera, Centro Científico Conhecer-Goiânia*, 9(17), 108-114.
- Ohlson, O. D. C., Krzyzanowski, F. C., Caieiro, J. T., & Panobianco, M. (2010). Teste de envelhecimento acelerado em sementes de trigo. *Revista Brasileira de Sementes, 32*(4), 118-124. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-31222010000400013
- Pádua, G. P., Zito, R. K., Arantes, N. E., & Neto, J. D. B. F. (2010). Influência do tamanho da semente na qualidade fisiológica e na produtividade da cultura da soja. *Revista Brasileira de Sementes*, 32(3), 009-016. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0101-31222010000300001
- Ramalho, M. A. P., Abreu, A. F. B., Santos, J. B., & Nunes, J. A. R. (2012). *Aplicações da genética quantitativa no melhoramento de plantas autógamas* (p. 350). Lavras, UFLA.
- Sainio, P. P., Rajala, A., & Jauhiainen, L. (2011). Hidden viability risks in the use of farm-saved small-grain seed. *Journal of Agricultural Science, 149*, 713-724. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0021859611000335
- Silva, J. A. G., Carvlho, F. I. F., Oliveira, A. C., Vieira, E. A., Benin, O. P., Carvalho, M. F., ... Ribeiro, G. (2006). Correlação de acamamento com rendimento de grãos e outros caracteres de interesse agronômico em plantas de trigo. *Ciência Rural, Santa Maria, 36*(3), 756-764. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0103-84782006000300006
- Sotério, P. W., Pedrollo, M. C., & Andriotti, J. L. (2005). Mapa de isoietas do Rio Grande do Sul. Porto Alegre.
- Streck, E. V., Kämpf, N., Dalmolin, R. S. D., Klamt, E., Nascimento, P. C., Schneider, P., ... Pinto, L. F. S. (2008). *Solos do Rio Grande do Sul* (2nd ed., p. 222). Porto Alegre: EMATER/RS.
- Valério, I. P., Carvalho, F. I. F., Oliveira, A. C., Machado, A. A., Scheeren, P. L., Souza, V. Q., & Hartwig, I. (2008). Desenvolvimento de afilhos e componentes do rendimento em cultivares de trigo sob diferentes densidades de semeadura. *Pesquisa Agropecuária Brasileira*, 43(3), 319-326. https://doi.org/10.1590/S0100-204X2008000300005
- Vazquez, G. H., Arf, O., Sargi, B. A., & Pessoa, A. C. O. (2012). Influência do tamanho e da forma da semente de milho sobre o desenvolvimento da planta e a produtividade de grãos. *Bioscience Journal*, 28(1), 16-24.

# Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author(s), with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).