Elaboration of Aromatic Extracts From the Industrial Waste of White Shrimp
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Abstract

The objective of this research was the elaboration of extracts from the solid industrial waste of Litopenaeus vannamei shrimp and to define the extract with the highest acceptance rate and highest extraction yield through physicochemical, microbiological and sensorial analysis. The extracts were obtained through a process of lyophilization of the liquid extracts from the baking of the waste. Three types of extracts were prepared with different raw materials: Ecasca (shells), Ecefa (cephalothorax) and Elmix (50% shells±50% cephalothorax). With the exception of humidity, all other physical-chemical parameters presented significant differences between them. All data from the microbiological analysis were within the limits required by current Brazilian legislation. In the sensory evaluation, all the extracts were well accepted, the Ecefa being the treatment that obtained the highest index of acceptability for the aroma and flavor. The Ecefa treatment obtained the highest extraction yield. In view of the obtained data, it was possible to determine that the Ecefa treatment would have a greater potential as an extract to be applied in foods that can present shrimp aroma and flavor, thus contributing to the use of shrimp industry waste in the offer of a natural aromatic extract and for the preservation of the environment by avoiding the disposal of such waste improperly.
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1. Introduction

The waste of by-products and waste by the food industry has become increasingly worrying both economically and environmentally, as they are real sources of pollution. In the case of fishery resources, especially crustaceans, industrialization generates large amounts of waste that can compromise the environment when not utilized or discarded inappropriately (Gonçalves, 2011).

The shrimp produced in the industries is usually marketed in fresh, frozen whole, headless and/or peeled form. According to Seibel and Soares (2003), the waste of some crustaceans, depending on the species and the processing, reach 85% of the initial weight. In the specific case of the species Litopenaeus vannamei, the cephalothorax (head) and shell constitute approximately 40% of its total weight, which generally continues to be discarded by the processing units without any kind of technological use (Gildberg & Stemberg, 2001).

The cephalothorax and shell are the main waste generated in shrimp industrialization, in which several components such as proteins, lipids, chitin, carotenoids, aromatic and mineral compounds are present, whose percentages vary according to species, constituent parts, fishing location and seasonal variation (Nogueira, 2006). Some studies have been carried out regarding the use of these wastes, looking for alternatives to their final disposal, with a view to the development of products of added value and high nutritional value, however, their industrial and even artisanal use is still incipient.

Potentially, three by-products can be isolated from the cephalothorax and shrimp shell, which are the carotenoid pigments, chitin/chitosan and flavor extract, which are of great use in the food and animal feed industry (Rocha,
Nunes, & Fioreze, 1998). Shrimp head extract, also known as flavoring, can be used in a range of foods, mainly in products based on mechanically separated fish (CMSP) or surimi, such as fishburgers, kamaboko, chikuwa, sausages, other analogous foods of marine origin (Basilio, Ogawa, Perdigão, & Vasconcelos, 2003).

Given this scenario, it is necessary to treat these wastes that are considered potential sources of pollution to the environment, preferably with their use directed to the food sector contributing to the added value and increased profitability of the fishing industry. Thus, the objective of this research was the preparation of extracts from the solid industrial waste (cephalothorax and shells) of Litopenaeus vannamei shrimp and through physicochemical, microbiological and sensorial analysis to define the extract with the highest acceptance rate and highest extraction yield.

2. Material and Methods

2.1 Raw Material

The raw material used to obtain the aromatic extracts was composed of waste-cephalothorax and shell of white Pacific prawn (Litopenaeus vannamei) obtained by manual peeling from a shrimp processing industry located in Macaíba/RN, Brazil. The shrimp used were caught in the summer period in Brazil, from nurseries, collected after the commercial size.

The shrimp waste was collected in the industry in fresh refrigerated form and transported in isothermal containers with ice to the Fish Processing Laboratory located at Escola Agrícola de Jundiaí/EAJ (Agricultural School of Jundiaí) of Universidade Federal do Rio Grande do Norte/UFRN (Federal University of Rio Grande do Norte). After removing the impurities manually, the residue was sanitized in chlorinated water at 10 ppm according to hygienic standards used in the food industries and subjected to freezing (-20 °C) for further analysis and extraction of aromatic extracts.

2.2 Obtenção Dos Extratos Aromáticos

The flowchart in Figure 1 shows the sequence of operations to obtain the primary extracts that served as the basis for defining the extract of the best extractive yield profile and sensory acceptance. All extracts were obtained following the same flowchart, with only the raw materials being divided into three types: E_{casca} (shells), E_{cefa} (cephalothorax) and E_{mix} (50% Shell and 50% cephalothorax).

![Flowchart for obtaining aromatic extracts from shrimp waste](image)

The extracts were obtained based on the methodology proposed by Takeshita (1981) by a process of pressure cooking the waste at approximately 100 °C for 25 minutes in the ratio 1:2 water/waste. The liquid resulting from the baking was collected and the waste was ground in a cuter for 1 minute and then pressed into bags of cotton cloth, the pressing extract of which was mixed into the cooking liquid forming a mixture, the mixture was frozen in a refrigerator at 20 °C for 24 hours and then freeze-dried at -50 °C and stored in plastic packaging until the microbiological, physical-chemical and sensorial analysis were performed.

2.3 Microbiological, Physicochemical and Sensorial Analysis of Freeze-Dried Extracts

All microbiological analysis were performed according to the methodologies proposed by the American Public Health Association (APHA, 2001). The analyses were performed for the following microorganisms: positive Staphylococcus coagulase, Salmonella sp. and Coliforms at 45 °C, proposed by RDC No. 12 of January 2, 2001, of the National Sanitary Surveillance Agency (Brazil, 2001).

To evaluate the physico-chemical characteristics of the freeze-dried extracts the following analyses were carried out: moisture (method 925.10), proteins (method 923.03), ashes (method 979.09) and lipids (method 922.06), according to the methodologies proposed by the Association of Official Analytical Chemists (AOAC, 2010).

The sensorial analysis was carried out with a team of fifteen judges of both genders, aged between 18 and 32 years, selected because they are habitual consumers of shrimp and have facilities for the identification of aromas and flavors, as evidenced by previous tests. The judges evaluated taste and aroma parameters using a structured nine-point scale (9 = greatly liked 1 = greatly disliked) as specified by Stone and Sidel (1985).

To be served in the sensory analysis, the dry extracts obtained through freeze-drying were reconstituted in the same proportion of total solids in the extracts prior to freeze-drying, adding 1% salt and 0.25% monosodium glutamate to enhance the meat flavor. In the test room, 25 mL of the reconstituted extract was served to each evaluator at a temperature between 45 and 50 ºC, so that the judges could better understand the volatiles released, following the methodology proposed by Takeshita (1981).

In order to obtain the index of acceptability (IA) of the aromatic extract, the following expression was used:

\[
IA (\%) = \frac{A \times 100}{B}
\]

where, \(A\) = average grade obtained for the product; \(B\) = maximum grade given to the product (Teixeira, Meinert, & Barbetta, 1987).

2.4 Calculation of Extraction Yield

Calculation of the extraction yield was performed in relation to the weight of the extracts before and after freeze-drying according to Equation 1:

\[
Rd = \frac{Pf}{Pi} \times 100
\]

where, \(Rd (\%) = \text{Yied}; Pf (g) = \text{Final weight of freeze-dried extract}; Pi (g) = \text{Initial weight of the liquid extract before freeze-drying.}

2.5 Statistical Analyses

In the data generated from the physical-chemical analyses and the acceptance test of the extracts, the means and the standard deviations were calculated and the Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was performed, later, the means were compared by the Tukey test, at the level of 5% of significance by the software ASSISTAT, version 7.7 (Silva & Azevedo, 2016).

3. Results and Discussion

3.1 Physico-Chemical Composition of Freeze-Dried Extracts

Table 1 shows the mean values of the physico-chemical composition of the freeze-dried extracts.
Table 1. Physico-chemical characterization of freeze-dried extracts obtained from *L. vannamei* shrimp waste

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Treatments</th>
<th>Humidity</th>
<th>Ashes</th>
<th>Proteins</th>
<th>Lipids</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ecasca</td>
<td>9.18±0.05a</td>
<td>17.67±0.11a</td>
<td>70.01±0.11a</td>
<td>0.75±0.21c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ecefa</td>
<td>9.04±0.07a</td>
<td>10.46±0.06c</td>
<td>63.71±0.14c</td>
<td>12.04±0.07a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Emix</td>
<td>9.21±0.08a</td>
<td>10.69±0.06b</td>
<td>61.50±0.07c</td>
<td>7.73±0.23b</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. Ecasca = extract obtained from shells; Ecefa = extract obtained from cephalothorax; Emix = extract obtained from 50% shells and 50% cephalothorax. *Different letters in the same column differ significantly by the Tukey test at the 5% level of significance.

In Table 1 it is possible to observe that with the exception of humidity, all other physical-chemical parameters presented significant differences among themselves at the 5% significance level of the Tukey test. The freeze-drying process altered the percentages of raw material components in natura, since with the reduction of the amount of water, an increase in the concentration of lipids, proteins and ashes occurred.

The moisture contents of freeze-dried extracts are close to those found by Corrêa, Macedo, Moraes, Machado, and França (2012) who evaluated the extraction of an aromatic extract from the cephalothorax of *L. vannamei* shrimp, obtaining a content of 8.75%. The moisture values in Table 1 are in accordance with the Regulation of the Industrial and Sanitary Inspection of Products of Animal Origin (Brazil, 2017), which describes that whole dry fish should not contain more than 12% moisture. Therefore, the aromatic extracts obtained in this work are within the recommended so that their sensorial and nutritional characteristics are not affected.

Evaluating the viability of flour preparation obtained through the drying process of shrimp cephalothorax of the *L. vannamei* species, Savay-da-Silva et al. (2016) found an ash value of 18.28%, while Mendes and Bandeira (2016), when evaluating the ash content in gray shrimp meal, obtained values of 17.77%, values close to the extract obtained from shells (Ecasca = 17.67%) reported in this research. According to Freitas, Borges, Costa, Cornejo and Wilberg (2002), the high content of ash in the flour and consequently in aromatic extract shows a potential of these products for use in diets for special purposes.

The protein values (Table 1) of the aromatic extracts were higher than those found in the *L. vannamei* cephalothorax meal by Azevedo (2014), and Savay-da-Silva et al. (2016), which were 39.3% and 51.57%, respectively. In the case of shrimp fillets of the same species, protein values of 21.9% were found by Araújo et al., (2012) and 20.28% by Pereira (2014), lower values than those found in this research for aromatic extracts. This indicates that shrimp waste can be used as an alternative protein source compared to fillet.

The lipid contents of the Ecasca (0.75%) and Emix (7.73%) extracts had significant variations and significant differences when compared to the extract obtained exclusively from the cephalothorax (Ecefa = 12.04%). According to Bragagnolo and Rodriguez-Amaya (1997), the shrimp fat deposit is located in the hepatopancreas, which is situated in the head region, so the aromatic extract produced only from the cephalothorax had a lipid content higher than the other extracts.

According to Luzia, Sampaio, Castelluci, and Torres (2003), the lipid content is influenced by seasonality, since significant differences between shrimp (*Xiphopenaeus kroyeri*) in summer (0.94%) and winter (1.16%) were evidenced by these authors. Still according to Freitas et al. (2002), these levels may also vary according to age and degree of sexual maturation.

### 3.2 Microbiological Evaluation of Extracts

According to Resolution no. 12 of January 2, 2001 (Brazil, 2001), in products derived from fish, the presence of *Salmonella* sp. in 25 g must not be detected, since it is a potentially pathogenic bacterium. In this research the presence of *Salmonella* sp. was not detected in any of the elaborate aromatic extracts. Regarding the research of coliforms at 45 °C, the values found in this research were within normal limits when compared to products derived from precooked fish (maximum of $1 \times 102$ NMP g$^{-1}$) (Brazil, 2001). The positive *Staphylococcocal coagulase* count was also within the limits allowed by Resolution 12 (Brazil, 2001).

All the extracts produced were within acceptable standards for human consumption, according to what is recommended by Resolution No. 12 of the National Health Surveillance Agency (Brazil, 2001), showing that all extracts produced from shrimp waste can be satisfactorily used for human consumption from a microbiological point of view.

### 3.3 Sensory Evaluation of Extracts

Table 2 shows the mean values of the sensory evaluation of freeze-dried extracts.
Table 2. Odor and taste parameters of freeze-dried aromatic extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Attribute</th>
<th>E_{casca}</th>
<th>E_{cefa}</th>
<th>E_{mix}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Aroma</td>
<td>6.87±0.93&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.15±0.50&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.09±0.67&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Flavor</td>
<td>6.81±0.72&lt;sup&gt;c&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>8.03±0.75&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>7.32±0.82&lt;sup&gt;b&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note. E_{casca} = extract obtained from shells; E_{cefa} = extract obtained from cephalothorax; E_{mix} = extract obtained from 50% shells and 50% cephalothorax. *Different letters on the same line differ significantly by the Tukey test at the 5% level of significance.

It can be observed in Table 2 that the E_{casca} treatment differed significantly from the others in relation to the aroma and flavor attribute. The E_{casca} sample that used only the cephalothorax as the raw material obtained a mean score of 8.15 for the aroma and 8.03 for the flavor, which correspond to the term “I liked it a lot”. The treatments E_{casca} and E_{mix} received notes corresponding to the terms “I liked it a little” and “I liked it moderately”, respectively.

The mean scores found in this study for the E_{cefa} treatment were higher than those found by Takeshita (1981), who, when sensory evaluation of a freeze-dried aromatic extract obtained from waste industries of *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* obtained an average grade for the aroma and flavor attributes of 7.35 and 7.55 respectively.

It can be observed from the results found (Table 2) that the E_{mix} extracts and especially E_{cefa}, had a good acceptance in relation to the evaluated attributes, which proves satisfactory sensorial characteristics, being an indicative for its use in food products that can present a shrimp taste and aroma.

Regarding the acceptability index (Figure 2), it is possible to say, with the exception of the flavor of the E_{casca} treatment, that all the attributes of the extracts were well accepted, with a variation of 76.33% for the aroma of sample E1 to 90.55% for the aroma of sample E2. According to Teixeira, Meinert, and Barbetta (1987), for a product to be considered accepted in terms of its sensorial properties, it is necessary that it obtain an acceptability index of at least 70%. Thus, data from Figure 2 reinforce the feasibility of elaborating a shrimp-flavored extract obtained from wastes from the processing industries of these crustaceans.


### 3.4 Calculation of Extraction Yield

Table 3 shows the average values of the liquid extracts obtained from cooking, the freeze-dried extracts and the calculation of the extraction yield after freeze-drying.
Table 3. Yield of freeze-dried extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>E_casca</th>
<th>E_cefa</th>
<th>E_mix</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Initial waste amount (g)</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight of water added (g)</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
<td>500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total weight of the liquid extract (g)</td>
<td>665</td>
<td>925</td>
<td>850</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Freeze-dried extract weight (g)</td>
<td>16.42</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>43.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield of initial weight (%)</td>
<td>1.09</td>
<td>5.27</td>
<td>2.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Yield of liquid extracts (%)</td>
<td>2.47</td>
<td>8.54</td>
<td>5.13</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Analyzing Table 3, it can be deduced that the E_casca treatment obtained the lowest weight of liquid extract and consequently a smaller amount of freeze-dried extract, reaching an extraction yield of only 2.47%, followed by E_mix treatment with 5.13%. The E_cefa sample obtained the highest yield, thus being close to the value obtained by Takeshita (1981), which was 9%, when evaluating the extraction yield of aromatic extracts obtained from the waste of industries waste of *Xiphopenaeus kroyeri* shrimp.

4. Conclusions

All the extracts showed good physico-chemical characteristics with high levels of protein. The sensorial tests of aroma and flavor indicated a good acceptance of the extracts, mainly of the E_cefa treatment that presented the greater index of acceptability. The results showed that waste from the industrial processing of white shrimp can be used to obtain an extract with characteristics typical of shrimp and as an alternative for the utilization of the by-products of the carcass industry, thus contributing to the preservation of the environment.

In view of the data obtained, it is possible to conclude that the extract prepared only from the cephalothorax (E_cefa), both in relation to the acceptability index and in the extraction yield, has a greater potentiality of applicability as raw material in the elaboration of an extract, contributing of this way to obtain food with aroma and flavor of shrimp.
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