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Abstract

Nowadays small, medium and severe disasters are threatening our world. One of the important role players in alleviating these traits are humanitarian relief supply chains. The increasing number of disasters in our planet earth urges the humanitarian relief supply chains to focus on the assurance of safety of the victims. In order for this to occur, these supply chains should work effectively and efficiently. This can be possible through considerable evaluation of their supply chain performance. In this paper a literature review on supply chain performance evaluation in general and humanitarian relief supply chain performance in particular is presented. Previously conducted researches from the year 2000 until the present time have been reviewed. The works were categorized according to writers, publication year, publishing journal, technique utilized and objective intended. Then an analysis was made on humanitarian relief supply chain literature with respect to the publishing journals and the research technique applied. The result shows that humanitarian relief supply chain evaluation is almost an untouched area which needs further study. Recent supply chain management techniques can be applied for the improved performance of these supply chains. Based on this result, Supply Chain Operations Reference (SCOR), Fuzzy Logic System, and Artificial Neural Networks are found to be the areas which need further study.
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1. Introduction

Humanitarian relief works are conducted by different governmental and non-governmental organizations in response to different disasters. Disasters can be calamities, destructive actions, plagues or crises. As a result, these disasters bring small and severe harm to the victims. In order to alleviate the suffering of people, effective and efficient performance of humanitarian relief supply chain is important. This can be achieved through continual evaluation of the performance of the supply chains. Hence, this study reviews the literature on the evaluation of the performance of humanitarian relief supply chains.

1.1 Supply Chain

A supply chain encompasses all organizations and activities associated with the flow and transformation of goods from the raw materials stage, through to the end user, as well as the associated information flows. Material and information flows both up and down the supply chain (Handfield & Nichols, 2013).

1.2 Humanitarian Relief Supply Chain

Mentzer et al. (2001) describe the humanitarian supply chain as the network created through the flow of services, supplies, information and finances between donors, beneficiaries, suppliers and different units of humanitarian organizations, in order to provide physical aid to beneficiaries.

Similar to commercial supply chain, supplies flow through the relief chain from the donation to the consumers. There is no single form of humanitarian supply chain, although a typical supply chain could follow the sequence in Figure 1. Government and NGOs are the primary parties involved (Ergun et al., 2009). Governments hold the main power with the control they have over political and economic conditions and directly affect to supply chain processes with their decisions. Donors, public and private organizations are the other significant players in the humanitarian supply chains. Donors have become particularly influential in prompting humanitarian organization to think in terms of greater donor accountability and transparency of the whole supply chain (Wassenhove, 2006). Two-way arrow in the figure represents two-way communications in information, product
and fund flows among the parties in the humanitarian chain.

Figure 1. A typical humanitarian supply chain (Chandraprakaikul, W.)

In a disaster context, it is of course important to ensure efficient and effective delivery, such that the appropriate commodities and people reach the victims of the emergency (logistic point of view). However, optimizing the logistic performance requires that all the relationships among the actors involved are managed through an integrated approach to efficiently and effectively coordinate inter-organizational performance, eliminate redundancy, and maximize efficiency along the entire emergency supply chain (supply chain management point of view). In fact, though logistics is more focused on moving something or someone from a point of origin to a destination, supply chain management mainly focuses on relationships among the actors that make such movement possible. Logistics and supply chain management are both crucial to properly set the response to a disaster. Usually, the term “disaster” refers to a “disruption that physically affects a system as a whole and threatens its priorities and goals” (Wassenhove, 2006, p. 476). With respect to cause, it is possible to distinguish between a natural and a man-made disaster; with respect to predictability and speed of occurrence, it is possible to distinguish between a sudden-onset and a slow-onset disaster (Wassenhove, 2006). Taking into account also the different impact in terms of required logistic effort (from higher to lower) it is possible to identify four types of disaster:

- Calamities, characterized by natural causes and sudden-onset occurrences (e.g., earthquakes, hurricanes, tornadoes);
- Destructive actions, characterized by man-made causes and sudden-onset occurrences (e.g., terrorist attacks, coups d’état, industrial accidents);
- Plagues, characterized by natural causes and slow-onset occurrence (e.g., famines, droughts, poverty);
- Crises, characterized by man-made causes and slow-onset occurrences (e.g., political and refugee crises).

Calamities and destructive actions are disasters that demand a higher logistic effort in terms of knowledge and cost because sudden-onset occurrences require a very fast response in devastated areas. The four categories may be interlinked: a calamity (such as an earthquake) may cause plagues (such as an epidemic disease) and crises (such as an economic crisis). Thus, it is sometimes more appropriate to talk about disasters instead of a single disaster.

Different types of disasters need to be managed in different ways: the aid provided to assist in a region’s development is distinct from that given to deal with famine and drought; running refugee camps is very different to providing the kind of aid that is needed after a sudden-onset natural disaster or a nuclear accident. Humanitarian efforts are organized along two broad lines (Kovács & Spens, 2007):

- Disaster relief;
- Continuous aid work.

Ordinarily, disaster relief deals with calamities, destructive actions, and plagues (Long, 1997). Continuous aid work is mainly required in the case of plagues and crises.

Logistics is the most important element in any disaster relief effort, and it is the one that makes the difference between a successful and a failed operation (Wassenhove, 2006). But it is also the most expensive part of any disaster relief: it has been estimated that logistics accounts for about 80% of the total costs in disaster relief (Wassenhove, V. 2006). And given that the overall annual expenditure of aid agencies is of the order of $20
billion, the resultant logistic spending is around $15 billion (Christopher & Tatham, 2011). Thus, proper investment in logistics in disaster relief provides the main opportunity to develop and implement effective and efficient use of resources in humanitarian operations (Cozzolino et al., 2012). In addition, a more strategic use of resources allows humanitarian organizations to raise donor trust and long-term commitment by increasingly skeptical benefactors (Scholten et al., 2010).

Humanitarian organizations are therefore under greater scrutiny to monitor the impact of aid and the arrangement of their entire operations; they have to prove to donors, who are pledging millions in aid and goods, that they are really reaching the ones in need (Wassenhove V., 2006).

1.3 Humanitarian Relief Supply Chain Performance

Humanitarian performance is “the effective collective performance of a complex system of international, national and locally-based organizations, which works to save lives, alleviate suffering and maintain human dignity both during and in the aftermath of man-made crises and natural disasters, as well working to prevent and strengthen preparedness for the occurrence of such situations.” In addition “effective performance means undertaking work in ways that are consistent with humanitarian principles, mobilizing and deploying sufficient financial, material and human resources in ways that are relevant, well-managed, accountable, impartial, durable and ensure good quality” (Bölsche, 2013).

1.4 Humanitarian Supply Chain Performance Evaluation

Evaluation refers to the process of determining the worth or significance of an activity, policy or program. It is an assessment, as systematic and objective as possible, of a planned, on-going, or completed development intervention. Evaluation in some instances involves the definition of appropriate standards, the examination of performance against those standards, an assessment of actual and expected results and the identification of relevant lessons (OECD/DAC 2002) (ALNAP, 2006).

The evaluation of humanitarian action is "a systematic and impartial examination of humanitarian action intended to draw lessons to improve policy and practice and enhance accountability". Definitions related to humanitarian action tend to stress that evaluations are objective or impartial exercises intended to promote accountability and lesson learning.

Thus supply chain performance evaluation is possible through effective measurement of performances of the supply chain.

An effective performance measurement system:

- Provides the basis to understand the system
- Influences behavior throughout the system
- Provides information regarding the results of system efforts to supply chain members and outside stakeholders

In effect performance measurement is the glue that holds the complex value-creating system together, directing strategic formulation as well as playing a major role in monitoring the implementation of that strategy.

2. Literature Review

In this study, previously conducted researches from the year 2000 until the present time have been reviewed. The following section discusses the trend of supply chain performance evaluation in general and humanitarian relief supply chain evaluation in particular.

2.1 Supply Chain Performance Evaluation in General

Various techniques have been used to evaluate the performance of supply chains. As it can be observed from the following table, relatively simple methods were used in the early 2000. Then, multi criteria decision making along with management techniques began to be applied with an aim to improve supply chain processes. Later a combination of multi criteria decision making tools along with different modeling techniques were used to analyze complicated supply chain processes.
Table 1. Literature review on humanitarian relief supply chain evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writer, Year</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal Name</th>
<th>Research Technique</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bhagwat, R., &amp; Sharma, M. K. (2007)</td>
<td>Performance measurement of supply chain management: A balanced scorecard approach</td>
<td>Computers and Industrial Engineering</td>
<td>Balanced Score Card</td>
<td>Measure and evaluate day-to-day business operations from following four perspectives: finance, customer, internal business process, and learning and growth. in small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) in India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal</td>
<td>Methodology</td>
<td>Summary</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lin, R. L. (2011)</td>
<td>Using fuzzy DEMATEL to evaluate the green supply chain management practices</td>
<td>Journal of Cleaner Production</td>
<td>Fuzzy DEMATEL</td>
<td>Evaluation of the green supply chain management practices</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Authors</td>
<td>Title</td>
<td>Journal/Conference/Brief</td>
<td>Method/Tools</td>
<td>Focus/Outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>-------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2 Humanitarian Relief Performance Evaluation in Particular

According to (Wassenhove, 2006), in 2006, humanitarian organizations were about 15 years behind the private sector in terms of understanding the importance of using efficient supply chains and opportunities to ‘go global’. Wassenhove (2006) also states that it is only recently that humanitarian organization such as Red Cross and the World Food Programme (WFP) have started to pin-point logistics and supply chain management as key to a relief organization.

The Active Learning Network for Accountability and Performance in Humanitarian Action has worked out four central requirements for performance measurement in Humanitarian Action: efficiency, effectiveness, impact, sustainability, relevance, appropriateness, connectedness, coherence and coverage.

Studies with an objective of identifying the challenges of humanitarian supply chain have been carried out. Literature reviews were also done to understand the performance challenges and to foresight the future areas of research. To measure the performance of humanitarian supply chain different researches were conducted accompanied with case studies.

Wider concepts such as balanced score card was also used as a performance measuring tool to study humanitarian supply chains with the perspectives of customer, internal process, learning and growth, and finance. In a similar manner, a process oriented approach using SCOR model was implemented to make use of the three components of process, performance, and best practices integrating organizations from different sectors.

In recent years few researches applied multi criteria decision making tools for analysis and evaluation of humanitarian supply chains. This shows that a lot of studies need to be carried out to make use of recent
techniques of supply chain performance evaluation.

Table 2. Humanitarian relief supply chain performance evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Writer, Year</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Journal Name</th>
<th>Research &amp; Technique</th>
<th>Objective</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rodman, W. K.</td>
<td>Supply chain management in humanitarian relief logistics</td>
<td>Thesis (Air Force Institute Of Technology Wright-Patterson Air Force Base, Ohio)</td>
<td>Grounded theory and supply chain barriers were analyzed based on academic, organizational, and contemporary literature</td>
<td>To explore the use of supply chain management techniques to overcome barriers encountered by logistics managers during humanitarian relief operations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beamon, B. M., &amp; Burcu, B. (2008)</td>
<td>Performance measurement in humanitarian relief chains</td>
<td>International Journal of Public Sector Management</td>
<td>Interviews with extended analysis using a case study</td>
<td>Present a framework that can be used as a basis for a performance measurement system in the relief sector</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Jussi, H. J.</td>
<td>Global supply chain management and performance measurement</td>
<td>Leka Project (Savonia University of Applied Sciences and Tampere University of Technology)</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td>Reviewing literature on supply chain management and performance measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandrapakakul, W.</td>
<td>Humanitarian supply chain management: Literature review and future research</td>
<td>University of the Thai Bangkok</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td>To identify trends on the existing literature and carry out analysis to establish areas for future research</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bölšche, D.</td>
<td>Performance Measurement in Humanitarian Logistics – a process-oriented perspective</td>
<td>University of Applied Sciences Fulda, Germany</td>
<td>SCOR</td>
<td>To improve the effectiveness and efficiency of humanitarian supply chain by a process approach through the application of SCOR model.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abid, H., &amp; Klumpp, M.</td>
<td>Performance measurement in Humanitarian logistics: a literature review</td>
<td>FOM university of Applied sciences</td>
<td>Literature Review</td>
<td>To provide a literature review and outline future research opportunities in performance measurement in humanitarian logistics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zafeiridis, D. W. S. (2013)</td>
<td>Challenges and the use of performance measurements in humanitarian supply chains</td>
<td>Master’s thesis (Jönköping University)</td>
<td>A holistic multiple case study</td>
<td>To identify the main challenges in humanitarian supply chains and the role of performance measurements in humanitarian operations thus identifying an appropriate model for measurement</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abidi, H., Leeuw, S. D., &amp; Klumpp, M. (2013)</td>
<td>Measuring success in humanitarian supply chains</td>
<td>International Journal of Business and Management Invention</td>
<td>Case study approach</td>
<td>To define success factors and translate them into concrete indicators supported by a case study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Santarelli, G, Abidi, H., Regattieri, A., &amp; Klumpp, M.</td>
<td>A performance measurement system for the evaluation of humanitarian supply chains</td>
<td>University of Padua, FOM University and Amsterdam University</td>
<td>Categorized key performance indicators with Case Studies</td>
<td>To measure the performance of humanitarian supply chains during both disaster situations and development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Haavisto, I. (2014)</td>
<td>Performance in humanitarian supply chains</td>
<td>Hanken school of economics</td>
<td>Contingency and game setting theory</td>
<td>To analyze how supply chain performance is understood in the humanitarian context</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Discussion

Different journals have published papers related to supply chain performance evaluation. International Journal of Production Economics has the highest share of papers (13; 34.2%), then Expert Systems with Applications (5; 13.16%), Computers and Industrial Engineering (3; 7.89%), Resources Conservation and Recycling, Journal of
Cleaner Production and European Journal of Operational Research (2; 5.26%), Ecological Indicators; Agriculture and Agricultural Science Procedia; Journal of Intelligent & Fuzzy Systems: Applications in Engineering and Technology; Applied Mathematical Modeling; Computers and Chemical Engineering; Journal of Bionic Engineering; Transportation Research Part A: Policy and Practice; Journal of Business Research; Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences; Decision Support Systems and Applied Soft Computing (1; 2.63%). It can be shown in the following figure as shown below:

![Figure 2. Number of papers published in different journals](image)

The number of papers that are directly related with supply chain performance evaluation grouped under the different techniques utilized presented in the figure below. Fuzzy logic combined with multi-criteria decision making; empirical analysis and multi criteria decision making have the highest application (8; 21.05%), followed by SCOR and multi criteria decision making (4; 10.5%), Balanced Score Card and multi criteria decision making (3; 7.89%); simulation (2; 5.26%); Balanced Score Card, SCOR and fuzzy logic, neural network, neural network and multi criteria decision making and Balanced Score Card and neural network (1; 2.63%)

![Figure 3. Research techniques used for supply chain performance evaluation](image)

Note. MCDM=Multi Criteria Decision Making; BSC= Balanced Score Card; SCOR= Supply Chain Operations Reference.

When we come to the analysis of humanitarian relief supply chain performance in particular, as can be seen from Table 2, enough research has not been made that can lead us to a statistical analysis as in the condition of supply chain performance evaluation in particular.
The increasing number of disasters in our planet earth urges the humanitarian relief supply chains to focus on the assurance of safety of the victims. This can be possible through efficient evaluation of their supply chain performance.

There are different models of measuring the performance of supply chains. ABC: Activity-Based Costing, FLR: Framework for Logistics Research, BSC: Balanced ScoreCard, SCOR: Supply Chain Operation Reference model, GSCF framework, ASLOG audit, SASC: Strategic Audit Supply Chain, Global EVALOG (Global MMOG/LE), WCL: World Class Logistics model, AFNOR FD X50-605, SCM/SME, APICS, ECR: Efficient Customer Response, EFQM: Excellence model, SCALE: Supply Chain Advisor Level Evaluation and SPM: Strategic Profit Model can be mentioned. Among these models, among the different supply chain evaluation modeling techniques SCOR: Supply Chain Operation Reference model is a rigorous and proven methodology for systematic supply chain performance improvement. It offers a step by step engineering approach that can help to analyze, design and improve supply chain performance. It combines elements of business process reengineering metrics, benchmarking and leading practices into a single framework.

3.1 Supply-Chain Operations Reference (SCOR)

The Supply-Chain Operations Reference (SCOR) model was developed by the Supply-Chain Council (SCC) to assist firms in increasing the effectiveness of their supply chains, and to provide a process-based approach to Supply Chain Management. (Lockamy III & McCormack, 2004)

SCOR is a process reference model which combines the concepts of business process reengineering, benchmarking and best practices.

Figure 4. Hierarchical model of SCOR with specific boundaries in regard to scope (Lockamy & McCormack, 2004)

Besides the necessity of efficiency, supply chains perform an adverse competitive environment where the future is uncertain and under the condition where performance metrics need to be evaluated. This scenario made SCOR
implementation along with along Fuzzy Logic and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) more important. They both are interactive software based systems used to support business and organizational decision making activities.

3.2 Fuzzy Logic System

A fuzzy logic system is unique in that it is able to simultaneously handle numerical data and linguistic knowledge. It is a nonlinear mapping of an input data (feature) vector into a scalar output, i.e. it maps numbers into numbers. Fuzzy set theory and fuzzy logic establish the specifics of the nonlinear mapping.

In general, a fuzzy logistics system is a nonlinear mapping of an input data (feature) vector into a scalar output (the vector output case decomposes into a collection of independent multi input/single-output systems). The richness of fuzzy logistics is that there are enormous numbers of possibilities that lead to lots of different mappings.

Principle of Incompatibility is quoted in different papers, which many take as a rationale for the study of FL in engineering and other disciplines: “As the complexity of a system increases, our ability to make precise and yet significant statements about its behavior diminishes until a threshold is reached beyond which precision and significance (or relevance) become almost mutually exclusive characteristics” or, “The closer one looks at a real-world problem, the fuzzier becomes its solution.”

Figure 5. A fuzzy logic system

Figure 5 depicts a FLS that is widely used in fuzzy logic controllers and signal processing applications. A FLS maps crisp inputs into crisp outputs. It contains four components: rules, fuzzifier, inference engine, and defuzzifier. Once the rules have been established, a FLS can be viewed as a mapping from inputs to outputs (the solid path in Figure 5, from “Crisp Inputs” to “Crisp Outputs”), and this mapping can be expressed quantitatively as $y = f(z)$. Rules may be provided by experts (you may be such a person) or can be extracted from numerical data. In either case, engineering rules are expressed as a collection of IF-THEN statements, e.g., “IF $u_1$ is very warm and $1 \sim 2$ is quite low, THEN turn it somewhat to the right.” This one rule reveals that we will need an understanding of: 1) linguistic variables versus numerical values of a variable (e.g., very warm versus 36 °C); 2) quantifying linguistic variables (e.g., with it, ranging from extremely hot to extremely cold), which is done using fuzzy membership functions; 3) logical connections for linguistic variables (e.g., “and,” “or,” etc.); and 4) implications, i.e., “IF A THEN B.” Additionally, we will need to understand how to combine more than one rule.

The fuzzifier maps crisp numbers into fuzzy sets. It is needed in order to activate rules which are in terms of linguistic variables, which have fuzzy sets associated with them.

The inference engine of the FLS maps fuzzy sets into fuzzy sets. It handles the way in which rules are combined. Just as we humans use many different types of inferential procedures to help us understand things or to make decisions, there are many different fuzzy logic inferential procedures. Only a very small number of them are actually being used in engineering applications of FL.

In many applications, crisp numbers must be obtained at the output of a FLS. The defuzzifier maps output sets into crisp numbers. In a controls application, for example, such a number corresponds to a control action. In a signal processing application, such a number could correspond to the prediction of next year’s sunspot activity, a financial forecast, or the location of a target (Zadeh, 1965).
3.3 Artificial Neural Network

An Artificial Neural Network (ANN) is a mathematical model that tries to simulate the structure and functionalities of biological neural networks. Basic building block of every artificial neural network is artificial neuron, that is, a simple mathematical model (function). Such a model has three simple sets of rules: multiplication, summation and activation.

At the entrance of artificial neuron the inputs are weighted what means that every input value is multiplied with individual weight. In the middle section of artificial neuron is sum function that sums all weighted inputs and bias. At the exit of artificial neuron the sum of previously weighted inputs and bias is passing through activation function that is also called transfer function. Transfer function defines the properties of artificial neuron and can be any mathematical function. We choose it on the basis of problem that artificial neuron (artificial neural network) needs to solve and in most cases we choose it from the following set of functions: Step function, Linear function and Non-linear (Sigmoid) function.

![Figure 6. Working principle of an artificial neuron (Krenker A., Bešter J., & Kos A., 2013)](image)

4. Conclusion

Humanitarian relief supply chain evaluation is almost the untouched area with application with software based systems like the previously mentioned ones. As human life is the main component humanitarian relief supply chain, the application of SCOR model with the application of Fuzzy logic and Artificial Neural Network (ANN) would bring great benefit to the state of the art and also functions as an initiator of researchers on this research area.
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