

Corpus Pattern Analysis of *of*-Construction Phrase Transformations to the Genitive

Ai Inoue¹

¹ Department of Foreign Languages, National Defense Academy, Japan

Correspondence: Ai Inoue, Department of Foreign Languages, National Defense Academy, 1-10-20 Hashirimizu, Yokosuka City, Kanagawa Prefecture, 239-8686, Japan. E-mail: aiinoue@nda.ac.jp

Received: July 20, 2020 Accepted: August 24, 2020 Online Published: August 28, 2020

doi:10.5539/ijel.v10n6p118 URL: <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v10n6p118>

Abstract

While it is well known that phrase transformations take place, there has been very little concrete research on phrase transformations and the associated rules. To go some way to filling this gap, this paper used corpus pattern analysis (CPA) to examine *of*-construction phrases, as exemplified by *on the face of it* and *on its face*, and elucidate the syntactic manipulation in the semantic and functional features of *on its face*. The CPA revealed that *on its face* was semantically the same as *on the face of it* (i.e., seemingly), but that the meaning of *face*, i.e., appearance, had more stress in the *on the face of it* phrase than the end-focus. Further, *on its face* was found to more often co-occur with legal lexical items such as *constitutional*, *invalid*, and *lawful*, and to be used more often in legal contexts. The reason *on its face* was derived from *on the face of it* was found to be because of the end-focus and the influence of semantically compatible phrases, such as *for the sake of ~* and *for ~'s sake*, *on behalf of ~* and *on ~'s behalf*. However, it should be noted that not all phrases that have *of*-constructions can be transformed into the genitive; for example, *for the life of me* does not transform into **for my life* because **for my life* is most often literally interpreted. It appears that linguistic economy is the most probable reason for phrase transformations from *of*-constructions to genitive constructions.

Keywords: phraseology, phrase transformation, corpus pattern analysis (CPA), *of*-construction, possession

1. Introduction

This study is part of a larger phraseological project focused on determining the rules for phrasal change through corpus pattern analysis (CPA) examinations of contemporary English examples.

Bybee (2015) stated that any research on language change should focus on individual lexical items to expose the reality of the language changes; however, both individual lexical items and phrases can change. For example, Inoue (2020b *in press*) discussed the interchangeability of *those that* and *they who* with *those who* when referring to people, and in an earlier paper revealed how the well-known fixed use idiom *take care of* transformed into *take care for* because they were semantically similar phrases (See Inoue, 2018a). Inoue (2020a *in press*) also recently concluded that the prepositional changes in phrases such as *in accordance to* were the result of the merging of *in accordance with* and *according to*. While these changes are observable, unlike other language changes, there has been little research on such transformations/changes, possibly because of: (i) ambiguous phraseological unit definitions; and (ii) difficulties in separating such phrase changes. While it appears that these phrases change randomly, it is assumed that there must be some rules for these types of phrase changes because all language changes have their own clines toward either grammaticalization or degrammaticalization.

This study examines phrase changes using the example in (1), that is, *on the face of it* -> *on its face*, (italicized by the author), and discusses how *on the face of it* changed into *on its face*. Unlike previous research that has regarded *on its face* as erroneous, in this paper, it is seen as part of language change and therefore correct.

- (1) a. The Anti-Riot Act, on the other hand, appears to be unconstitutional *on its face*, but has been challenged only a few times because it's so rarely used, he said. (COCA, 2019, NEWS)
- b. ..., a proposed Executive Order is lawful *on its face* and properly drafted. (COCA, 2017, MAG)
- c. He said the plan "seems absurd *on its face*." (COCA, 2016, MAG)

The CPA revealed that because of its high frequency and wide dispersion, *on its face* was not a mistakenly used phraseological unit, and was most often used in the pattern [a copular verb + words related to the law (e.g.,

unconstitutional, invalid, etc.) + *on its face*] in legal discourses. Other patterns, such as [a copular verb + a semantically negative word such as *absurd, bad, etc.* + *on its face*] were also observed. *On its face* in both patterns means *it looks like*, which is indistinguishable from *on the face of it*, with the only difference in meaning being that the semantic focus moves from the *it* in *on the face of it* to *face* in *on its face*, that is, the function of *face* (i.e., appearance) is stronger than in *on the face of it*. The reason for the phrase change is because of a shift in the semantic focus and the influence of the interactive use of the phrase. Similar examples are *for the sake of ~* ≠ *for ~'s sake* and *on behalf of ~* ≠ *on ~'s behalf*.

This paper has ten sections. Section 1 reviews the current research into phrase changes and presents the research foci, Section 2 examines English phraseology research in a literature review and specifically examines *on the face of it* and its construction, and Section 3 introduces the research questions based on the review in Section 2. Section 4 details the research method adopted in this study, Section 5 introduces the corpora used, Section 6 discusses the research results, and Section 7 investigates the degree to which the research results are supported using a substitution test on native English speakers. Section 8 verifies similar changes in other phrases, Section 9 reveals the study implications, and Section 10 summarizes the study.

2. Literature Review

Because English phraseology has been researched from many standpoints, there remains some ambiguity as to the formations and transformations. Therefore, to overcome this ambiguity, this section first explains English phraseology and then focuses on the phrase *on its face*, which is a variant of *on the face of it*, through a careful examination of *on the face of it* to make the relationship clear between the genitive (i.e., *on its face*) and the *of*-construction.

2.1 English Phraseology—Definition and Problems

There does not appear to be any clear-cut, non-controversial definitions for English phraseology. A vague definition would be that English phraseology is the study of phrases; however, this definition is unsatisfactory as it does not indicate the phrasal inclusions. This study, therefore, defines English phraseology as the study of repeatedly used phrases of at least two words and includes word-combinations such as idioms, collocations, phrasal verbs, proverbs (= sayings), formulae, discourse particles and fixed phrases. While the common umbrella term for these types of word-combinations is phraseological units (PUs), with the less common term phraseme having the same meaning, as with the definition, the terminology has tended to vary depending on the targeted word-combinations. Therefore, this study defines each word-combination based on frequency, polysemy, semantic transparency and commonly used definitions. However, this study does not intend to fully explain the definitions for each word-combination by comparing them with discussions in previous research.

In infrequently used idioms such as *keep one's head*, the meaning is not the sum of each component as these are not polysemous word-combinations. While collocations such as *set up/launch a company* range from high frequency to low frequency, they are not polysemous and are semantically easy to predict from each component; for example, to *set up a company* is more often used than to *launch a company*. Phrasal verbs, which are frequently used, are word-combinations comprising either a verb and an adverb or a verb, an adverb (optional) and a preposition, but are not polysemous word-combinations. Semantically, however, the meaning of a phrasal verb is not always the sum of each component; for example, *look up to* and *put off* are phrasal verbs. Formulaic phrases such as *now you're talking, thank God/Goddess, it's Friday. and I wasn't born yesterday* are often used in conversations, but do not have polysemy, with some being semantically easy to understand, but others not. Proverbs (= sayings) such as *don't teach your grandmother to suck eggs*, which are not the sum of each component from a semantic perspective, are used in limited contexts, and are neither frequently used nor polysemous word-combinations.

Discourse particles such as *you know, I mean and let's see* have a high frequency when used in a conversation and have polysemy and can have both a literal meaning and a pragmatic meaning depending on the context, with some such as *after all* and *stuff like that* being more semantically difficult to understand as the meaning is not a sum of each component and they are not polysemous word-combinations. Fixed phrases, such as *you know what, here we go (again) and let's say* (Inoue, 2007), generally have high frequency and polysemy, with some fixed phrases such as *until before* and *until by* being monosemous (the antonym of polysemous) (Inoue, 2019). Both monosemous and polysemous fixed phrases have tended to be overlooked in the literature as they are beyond the existing English language theories and grammar rules.

PUs can be classified into two categories: those that can be explained using existing grammar rule/theories (i.e., idioms, collocations, phrasal verbs, formulae, proverbs and discourse particles); and those that are irregular and cannot be explained using existing grammar rules/theories (i.e., fixed phrases).

A review of previous English phraseology research revealed two main research problems. The first problem is the absence of a clear English phraseology definition as to date except for Inoue (2018b), who suggested some possible conditions and criteria, there have been no systematic frameworks developed for phraseological research to explain the process by which a word-combination becomes an independent PU. The second problem is that no research on irregular PUs has been actively conducted for several reasons. (i) Because idioms rarely change, idiomatic development has not have not been fully discussed, which means that the explanations and descriptions in dictionaries remain the same. However, there are often several variants of an idiom; for example, *take care for*, *take care about* and *care of* are all derived from the well-known idiom *take care of* (Inoue, 2018a); therefore, idiom research has tended to neglect current idiom transformations. (ii) No clear standards are available for fixed phrases, such as *you know what*, *until to* and *up until to* to decide which word-combinations are fixed phrases (Inoue, 2007; Inoue, 2011). Consequently, as there has been limited research on fixed phrases, there are insufficient explanations in dictionaries.

2.2 *On the Face of it and on its Face*¹

There has been a consensus in previous research that the phrase *on the face of it* used to mean ‘it looks like’ as in (2), with (3) giving examples of *on the face of it* obtained from the data introduced in Section 5.

- (2) a. used for saying that something appears to be true but might not be true when examined it in more detail:
We do not believe on the face of it that there has been illegal activity by the banks. (MED²)
- b. *on [upon] the face of it* it looks like ~ (original in Japanese) *On the face of it the document seemed genuine.* (Ando (ed.) 2011, p. 542)
- c. *on the face of it* is a phrase used for saying it looks like (original in Japanese) [BBF²] *On the face of it, the Paynters are a dull, middle-class couple. But it is a façade.* -LDEI (Konishi (ed.) 2001, p. 565)
- (3) a. The inventory of used aircraft was too high, and it created a disparity in value that hurt sales of new planes, he said. It may seem tantalizing to use this tax deduction as a way to get a free plane, but it’s not that simple. *On the face of it*, anyone can deduct 100 percent of a plane’s purchase price and maintenance expenses if the plane is used for nonrecreational purposes or leased to a flight school.

(COCA, 2019, MAG)

- b. Perhaps the probable incoming speaker of the Missouri House, Rep. John Diehl, would like an explanation of the concept. The concept is elegant in its simplicity and how it increases the bottom line. Rep. Diehl wants the citizens of Missouri to vote to eliminate the Missouri Lottery in 2016. His reasoning *on the face of it* sounds reasonable: The lottery is spending 75 percent of revenues for commissions, prizes to the winners, advertising and administration. (COCA, 2014, NEWS)
- c. As for why poems in the Kantian mold are comparatively rare at the moment, I can speculate but not much more than that. The relative ascendancy of the moral does not seem inevitable *on the face of it*. (COCA, 2009, ACAD)

The *it* used in *on the face of it* in (3) works as an anaphora because it refers to an already existing noun (phrase) in the contexts. For example, in (3a), *it* refers to *a free plane*, in (3b), *it* describes the noun phrase just prior to *on the face of it* (i.e., *His reasoning.*), and in (3c), *it* points out the context just prior to *on the face of it*: *The relative ascendancy of the moral does not seem inevitable.* Corpus evidence clearly demonstrates that *on the face of it* tends to co-occur with copular verbs and is often located at either the beginning, middle, or the end of a sentence. Semantically, *on the face of it* is used in a similar way to *it looks like* as explained in (2). When *on the face of it* is positioned as the beginning of a sentence, the phrase functions as a hedge connecting the utterances appearing before and after the phrase, that is, the phrase functions almost same as adverbial disjuncts or conjuncts.

(4) shows that *on its face*, which is fully discussed in this study, has not been accepted as the OED² does not include or explain *on its face*.

(4) Note the following expressions where the *of*-construction rather than the possessive is used:

On the face of it, }
**On its face,* } it seems a good idea. (Quirk et al., 1985, p. 362)²

2.3 *Genitive and the of-Construction*

Quirk et al. (1985, p. 321) claimed that the use of the genitive was determined by a combination of structural and semantic conditions, as shown in (5).

(5) a. the meanings expressed by the relationship between the genitive noun and its head noun

- b. the type of noun taking the genitive
- c. the type of noun acting as head

The genitive meanings in (5a) are shown in the following sentential or phrasal analogues: (i) possessive genitive (e.g., *Mrs Johnson has a passport.* -> *Mrs Johnson's passport*); (ii) subjective genitive (e.g., *Her parents consented.* -> *her parents' consent*); (iii) objective genitive (e.g., (...) *released the boy* -> *the boy's release*); (iv) genitive of origin (e.g., *The girl told a story.* -> *the girl's story*); (v) descriptive genitive (e.g., *a college for women* -> *a women's college*); (vi) genitive of measure (e.g., *The absence lasted ten days.* -> *ten day's absence*); (vii) genitive of attribute (e.g., *The victim had courage.* -> *the victim's courage*); and (viii) partitive genitive (e.g., *The baby has eyes.* -> *the baby's eyes*).

The choice of genitive in (5b) is influenced by the end-focus (or end-weight), which encourages the placing of the more complex and communicatively more important units toward the end of the noun phrase. The end-focus indicates that the genitive tends to give the information focus to the head noun, whereas the *of*-construction tends to give the focus to the prepositional complement, as in (6).

(6) a. The explosion damaged *the ship's funnel*. [*funnel* is the focus]

- b. Having looked at all the funnels, she considered that the most handsome was *the funnel of the Orion*. [*the Orion* is the focus] (Quirk et al., 1985, p. 323)

Some idioms require a construction in which the personal pronoun is a complement in a postmodifying *of*-phrase despite the end-focus, as shown in (7).

- (7) a. It will be the death *of me*.
 b. the impudence *of him*
 c. the cheek *of her*
 d. I don't like the taste/look/feel, etc. *of it*.
 e. For the life *of me*, I cannot remember her name, and yet my memory *of her* is still vivid. (ibid.)

As in (5c), expressions with *edge*, *end*, *surface*, and *for ... sake* permit alternative *of*-constructions.

- (8) He did it $\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{for charity's sake.} \\ \text{for the sake of charity.} \end{array} \right.$ (Quirk et al., 1985, p. 325)

Similarly, fixed expressions such as *at the river's edge*, *at his journey's end*, *for art's sake*, *the water's surface*, and *for heaven's sake* can also be used in *of*-constructions.

3. Research Questions

The fixed phrase *on its face* has received little research attention; however, while it appears to be an opaque phrase from semantic, syntactic, formative standpoints, it is actually reasonably common. This study was driven by the following research foci using the research methods and data outlined in Sections 4 and 5.

- (9) a. the semantic and syntactic features of *on its face*
 b. the variations between *on the face of it* and *on its face*
 c. how *on its face* was/is formed
 d. the reason underlying the formation for *on its face*
 e. the acceptability of *on its face*

4. Research Method

This study employed CPA, which is a corpus linguistics procedure that associates word meanings with word use through an analysis of phraseological patterns and collocations. CPA does not identify the meanings of a verb or noun directly; rather, the meanings are associated with prototypical sentence contexts and concordance lines are grouped into semantically motivated syntagmatic patterns. The association of a "meaning" with each pattern is the secondary step and is closely coordinated with the assignment of the concordance lines to the patterns. For example, the intransitive verb *abate* could be identified as having only one sense (*become less in intensity*) or *storm abate* could be separated from *political protest abate* because of the different implicatures. In more complex cases such as the verb *bear* it is important to recognize the patterns for effective disambiguation; for example, while *bearing a heavy burden* is a pattern that normally has an abstract interpretation in English (as opposed to, say, *carrying a heavy load*), the meaning is associated with the prototypical phrase, which is quite

different from the phrase *I can't bear it*.

Pustejovsky et al. (2004) explained that in CPA the “meaning” of the pattern is expressed as a set of basic implicatures; for example, one pattern for the verb *file* is: [[Human = Plaintiff]] file [[Procedure = Lawsuit]], for which the implicature could be expressed as *If you file a law suit, you are acting as the plaintiff and you activate a procedure by which you hope to obtain redress for some wrong that you believe has been done to you*. Depending on the proposed application, a pattern implicature may be expressed in a variety of ways, such as a translation into another language or as a synonym set such as “file = activate, start, begin, lodge”. Please see in detail about CPA: Hanks (2004), Hanks and Pustejovsky (2005).

5. Data

The availability of large computer corpora has made it more possible to make new phraseological research findings. To investigate the research foci outlined in (9a-e), this study used the Corpus of Contemporary American English (COCA), the British National Corpus (BNC), Word Banks *Online* (WB) from a synchronic perspective, and The Corpus of Historical American English (COHA) from a diachronic perspective. COCA was accessed on January 17 and 23 and February 3, 5, 7, and 18, 2020 and the BNC, WB, and COHA were accessed on February, 7 and 14, 2020. Section 6 shows the data obtained from COCA, the register and where each example is used. The acronyms ACAD = academic, FIC = fiction, MAG = magazine, SP = spoken, WR = written, and NW = news.

6. Results for *on Its Face*

The research foci outlined in (9a-e) were resolved one by one using the CPA and the corpora.

6.1 Semantic and Syntactic Features

What emerged clearly from the corpus shown in (10) was that *on its face* tended to be most used in legal contexts and tended to co-occur with legal words such as *unconstitutional*, *invalid*, and *lawful* positioned at the end of a sentence. The data revealed that the syntactic pattern [a word recalling legal + *on its face*] was prototypical and was located at the end of a clause or a sentence.

- (10) a. UCLA law professor Eugene Volokh said there are other laws both at the state and federal level that prosecutors could use to file charges against members of the group. The Anti-Riot Act, on the other hand, appears to be unconstitutional *on its face*, but has been challenged only a few times because it's so rarely used, he said. (COCA, 2019, NW)
- b. President Trump claims the U.S. is the only country to afford birthright citizenship, which is not true. At least thirty countries do. Lawmakers have tried to revoke it in the past and the Department of Justice has said that is unconstitutional *on its face*. (COCA, 2018, SP)
- c. In civil proceedings, he argued, Congress could compel enforcing courts to automatically enforce regulations in most circumstances, as long as the regulation was not “invalid *on its face*.” (COCA, 2019, ACAD)
- d. OLC's review is limited to the narrow question of whether, in OLC's view, a proposed Executive Order is lawful *on its face* and properly drafted. (COCA, 2017, MAG)
- e. “Senate Bill 1573 is unconstitutional *on its face*,” the city of Corvallis alleges in its lawsuit. (COCA, 2016, NW)

The possessive *its* in (10) works as an anaphora and clearly points out what something is. An examination of each example in (10) shows that: the *its* in (10a) indicates that *the Anti-Riot Act appears to be unconstitutional*, the *its* in (10b) *that is unconstitutional*, the *its* in (10c) that *the regulation was not invalid*, the *its* in (10d) that *a proposed Executive Order is lawful*, and the *its* in (10e) that *Senate Bill 1573 is unconstitutional*. These syntactic features in the content before *on its face* appear to suggest that *on its face* has a similar meaning to ‘it looks like ~’, that is, *on its face* functions as a hedge. In (10a), where copular verbs such as *appear* are observed in the sentence in which *on its face* is used, *on its face* is semantically more uncertain in terms of what the *its* in *on its face* is referring to than when it does not co-occur with copular verbs. The syntactic pattern [a copular verb + a word concerning legal + *on its face*] appears to be more of a downtoner than the prototypical syntactic pattern.

In addition to the examples in which *on its face* is located at the end of a clause or a sentence, it can also appear at the beginning of a sentence (11), at the middle or end of a clause (12), and at the end of a sentence (13). Please note that in (11), (12), and (13), no legal words were found around *on its face*.

- (11) a. In his first 10 days in office, Trump signed an executive order that required all his political hires to sign a

pledge. *On its face*, it's straightforward and ironclad: When Trump officials leave government employment, they agree not to lobby the agencies they worked in for five years.

(COCA, 2019, MAG)

- b. It is a choice to embrace ritual over leisure, a sacrifice of freedom in behavior, diet, and dress for an ancient set of rules. *On its face*, this seems like a generation-defying choice. Young Americans are moving away from traditional religious observance in large numbers, and Jews are no exception.

(COCA, 2016, MAG)

- c. The question now is: How can it make sense for Keats to imagine that his death will increase the richness of the nightingale's song? The answer, I think, is that Keats imagines that his death will increase the intensity of the experience of listening to the nightingale. That experience is rich; to become a sod would make it still richer. *On its face* this answer sounds absurd, but our reflections on the dynamics of Dickinson's comparison of death to absorbed listening provide us with the tools to take it seriously.

(COCA, 2016, ACAD)

As in the *its* in (10), the *its* in (11) works as an anaphora: the *its* in (11a) signifies *an Executive Order that required all his political hires to sign a pledge*; the *its* in (11b), *a choice to embrace ritual over leisure, a sacrifice of freedom in behavior, diet, and dress for an ancient set of rules*; and the *its* in (11c), *the answer*. Semantically, *on its face* appears to mean 'seemingly.' Syntactically, it tends to be used with copular verbs. Therefore, from this dual perspective, it is apparent that the pattern [*~. On its face*, S + a copular verb ...] behaves as a hedge for downtoning the contents in the sentence appearing before *on its face*, which is the same as disjunct and conjunct adverbial functions. The pattern also appears to mean more because of the lexical meanings of the copular verbs.

The *its* in *on its face* located at the middle or end of a clause in (12) also functions as an anaphora, with the data providing evidence of a semantic and syntactic patterning that [S + (a copular verb) + *on its face* ...] in which *on its face* means 'seemingly' and functions as a downtoner.

- (12) a. In my latest *Because Science*, I'm taking another fascinating fan question that appears simple *on its face*, but quickly leads down a rabbit hole of physics.

(COCA, 2016, MAG)

- b. WHD is reviewing the need for additional guidance on internships in the public and non-profit sectors. While this guidance is clear *on its face*, it is unfortunately only that- guidance.

(COCA, 2017, ACAD)

- c. Instead of being circumspect, Cruz said quite plainly that he is positive that Trump was responsible for the rumors. He noted that "the story on its face quoted one person on the record... Trump's chief political strategist and hatchet man, Roger Stone" and noted that Trump himself had proposed that the editor of "the head of the National Enquirer, a guy named David Pecker, is good friends with Donald Trump."

(COCA, 2016 MAG)

In (13), the *its* in *on its face*, which is located at the end of a sentence, functions as an anaphora, and signifies the passage before *on its face*: the *its* in (13a) indicates that *Michael Collins' goal of Irish independence was noble*; the *its* in (13b) indicates *significant*, and the *its* in (13c) indicates that the *federal bailout of the big banks was outrageous*. Semantically, *on its face* is the same as in (11) and (12). The data revealed that the syntactic pattern [S + V + C + *on its face*] was a phraseological pattern that worked as a hedge that non-judgmentally withholds the content located before *on its face*.

- (13) a. Michael Collins' goal of Irish independence was noble *on its face*. After all, a yearning for freedom runs deep in the human soul, thus he was a patriot in the eyes of many of his countrymen.

(COCA, 2014, MAG)

- b. GWEN-IFILL: Eddie Glaude, I have to ask this. Do you think - she mentioned the fact of having the bully pulpit at the White House. Is it significant in any way that a black president would be the one heading up this initiative, and does it make a difference? Is there enough?

EDDIE-GLAUDE: Well, it's significant *on its face*. I hope it will play itself out in a substantive way.

(COCA, 2014, SP)

- c. The federal bailout of the big banks was outrageous *on its face*.

(COCA, 2010, ACAD)

The *on its face* in (14) co-occurs with the underlined negative connotational words: the *its* in (14a) indicates

she's pregnant again; the *its* in (14b) indicates that *the plan seems absurd*; and the *its* in (14c) indicates *this philosophy*: all of which indicate that *on its face* works as an anaphora. The data provided the syntactic pattern [S + a (copular) verb + a negative connotational word + *on its face*] to subjectively explain the content indicated by *its*.

- (14) a. WILLIE-GEIST: All right. Now, Jessica Simpson had been in the headlines for the pregnancy in 2012. She's pregnant again. But let's talk about her first child, Maxwell, which isn't so bad *on its face*; but naming a girl Maxwell is a problem? (COCA, 2013, SP)
- b. He said the plan "seems absurd *on its face*." (COCA, 2016, MAG)
- c. At the heart of those questions is a lurking assumption that Chaffetz brought into the light: Maybe the poor deserve their lot in life. This philosophy, while absurd *on its face*, effectively cripples any momentum toward helping suffering populations and is an old favorite of the Republican Party. (COCA, 2017, MAG)

Table 1 shows the features for *on its face* as explained in the above examples from the corpora. *On its face* appears to mean 'seemingly' regardless of its location in the sentence, and plays a precursive role as it first gives superficial information about the content shown in *its* and then details it from a pragmatic viewpoint.

Table 1. Features of *on its face* from the CPA

Pattern	Position in a sentence/clause	Frequently co-occurring words	Contextual type	Other features
[(A copular verb +) a word related with legal + <i>on its face</i>]	End	Copular verbs, <i>unconstitutional, invalid, lawful</i>	Legal	N/A
[~. <i>On its face</i> , S + a copular verb]	Beginning	Copular verbs	Any	Disjunct or conjunct
[~ (A copular verb) <i>on its face</i>]	Middle	Copular verbs	Any	N/A
[S+V+C <i>on its face</i>]	End	Copular verbs	Any	N/A
[S + a (copular) verb + a negative connotational word + <i>on its face</i>]	Middle, end	Copular verbs, <i>bad, absurd</i>	Any	N/A

6.2 (9b) Variations Between *on the Face of It* and *on Its Face*

As Quirk et al. (1985) suggested, as an end-focus puts the focus on *it* in *on the face of it* but *face* in *on its face*, the focal point moves, that is, for *on the face of it*, the attention is paid to the content referred to by *it*; therefore, the content is deemed more important than *face* (i.e., appearance). However, for *on its face*, as *face* is of greater importance, the *its* emphasizes appearance. For example, when *on its face* is used in a legal context, the pattern is typically [S + a (copular) verb + *unconstitutional/invalid/ lawful* + *on its face*], which implies that the S (= subject) is seemingly *unconstitutional/invalid/ lawful*, but the speaker uses *on its face* as a hedge as they do not know if S is *unconstitutional/invalid/ lawful*. The reason *on its face* is often used in legal contexts is that it might conflict with the law when making a categorical decision, as explicitly shown in (15), the lexical items for which were used with *on its face* and were retrieved from FIND SAMPLE (randomly chosen 200 examples) in COCA, with *unconstitutional* having the highest frequency.

- (15) *invalid, ridiculous, unconstitutional, valid*

Tables 2 and 3 map the copular verbs used with *on the face of it* and *on its face* obtained from FIND SAMPLE (randomly chosen 200 examples) in COCA.

Table 2. Copular verbs used with *on the face of it*

A copular verb	Frequency
Appear	4
Feel	1
Look	4
Look like	4
Seem	27
Sound	10
Sum	50

Table 3. Copular verbs used with *on its face*

A copular verb	Frequency
Appear	9
Look	3
Seem	20
Sound	4
Sum	36

Tables 2 and 3 indicate that there is no difference between the copular verbs that can co-occur with *on the face of it* and *on its face* in any context, that is *on the face of it* and *on its face* appear to behave in the same way. Table 2 also shows that *on its face* has additional registers than just the legal register.

Therefore, the phrase transformation from *on the face of it* to *on its face* was possibly because of the move in the semantic focal point from *it* to *face*. While *on its face* is used to hedge in legal contexts, it was found to behave in the same way as *on the face of it* in normal contexts.

6.3 (9c, d) How on its Face Is Formed and the Reason Underlying the Formation of on Its Face

There are two possible reasons for the transformation from *on the face of it* to *on its face*. First, familiar PU such as *for the sake of ~* can be equally be used as *for ~'s sake*; therefore, it is surmised that this type of interchange influenced the formation of *on its face*. The second reason is because of the end-focus, as explained in the previous sections and Quirk *et al.* (1985). When *on its face* has the same meaning as *on the face of it*, *on its face* emphasizes that the focal point for *on the face of it* is on *face* (i.e., appearance).

Underlying these two reasons is linguistic economy, whereby the simpler, more effortless expression is used when there are two expressions that syntactically and semantically behave in the same way (See Inoue, 2012, 356ff.)

6.4 Historical Transition of on Its Face

The COHA data on *on its face* indicated that *on its face* started being used in the 1820s as example (16) shows.

- (16) a. This decree, as appears *on its face*, though operating almost exclusively on American commerce, was ostensibly applicable to all nations. (COHA, 1826, MAG)
- b. The latter has been supposed by some of the British connoisseurs to be a copy by another artist, and for that reason commanded a comparatively low price at a public sale a few years ago. Its genuineness is, however, perfectly apparent *on its face* to all who are acquainted with the style of the great author, and its history is so well known that there can be no reasonable doubt upon the subject. (COHA, 1830 MAG)

Until the 2000s, *on its face* had a low frequency in COHA³, so could be said to be a stillborn PU.

7. Substitution Tests—The Acceptability of on Its Face

The research results in the previous sections were verified by seven native English speakers: two Americans, an Australian, two Canadians, and two English: who were asked to decide on the acceptability of (17), (18), and (19), with (17) testing the acceptability of *on its face*, (18) testing the acceptability of sentences in which *on the face of it* was replaced by *on its face*, and (19) testing the acceptability of sentences in which *on its face* was replaced with *on the face of it*. The results from this verification of (17), (18), and (19) are shown in Table 4.

- (17) a. UCLA law professor Eugene Volokh said there are other laws both at the state and federal level that prosecutors could use to file charges against members of the group. The Anti-Riot Act, on the other hand, appears to be unconstitutional on its face, but has been challenged only a few times because it's so rarely used, he said.
- b. President Trump claims the U.S. is the only country to afford birthright citizenship, which is not true. At least thirty countries do. Lawmakers have tried to revoke it in the past and the Department of Justice has said that is unconstitutional on its face.
- c. In his first 10 days in office, Trump signed an executive order that required all his political hires to sign a pledge. On its face, it's straightforward and ironclad: When Trump officials leave government employment, they agree not to lobby the agencies they worked in for five years.
- d. It is a choice to embrace ritual over leisure, a sacrifice of freedom in behavior, diet, and dress for an ancient set of rules. On its face, this seems like a generation-defying choice. Young Americans are

moving away from traditional religious observance in large numbers, and Jews are no exception.

- e. In my latest *Because Science*, I'm taking another fascinating fan question that appears simple on its face, but quickly leads down a rabbit hole of physics.
 - f. WHD is reviewing the need for additional guidance on internships in the public and non-profit sectors. While this guidance is clear on its face, it is unfortunately only that—guidance.
 - g. Michael Collins' goal of Irish independence was noble on its face. After all, a yearning for freedom runs deep in the human soul, thus he was a patriot in the eyes of many of his countrymen.
 - h. GWEN-IFILL: Eddie Glaude, I have to ask this. Do you think—she mentioned the fact of having the bully pulpit at the White House. Is it significant in any way that a black president would be the one heading up this initiative, and does it make a difference? Is there enough?
EDDIE-GLAUDE: Well, it's significant on its face. I hope it will play itself out in a substantive way.
 - i. WILLIE-GEIST: All right. Now, Jessica Simpson had been in the headlines for the pregnancy in 2012. She's pregnant again. But let's talk about her first child, Maxwell, which isn't so bad on its face; but naming a girl Maxwell is a problem?
j. He said the plan "seems absurd on its face."
- (18) a. The inventory of used aircraft was too high, and it created a disparity in value that hurt sales of new planes, he said. It may seem tantalizing to use this tax deduction as a way to get a free plane, but it's not that simple. On its face, anyone can deduct 100 percent of a plane's purchase price and maintenance expenses if the plane is used for nonrecreational purposes or leased to a flight school.
- b. Perhaps the probable incoming speaker of the Missouri House, Rep. John Diehl, would like an explanation of the concept. The concept is elegant in its simplicity and how it increases the bottom line. Rep. Diehl wants the citizens of Missouri to vote to eliminate the Missouri Lottery in 2016. His reasoning on its face sounds reasonable: The lottery is spending 75 percent of revenues for commissions, prizes to the winners, advertising and administration.
- c. As for why poems in the Kantian mold are comparatively rare at the moment, I can speculate but not much more than that. The relative ascendancy of the moral does not seem inevitable on its face.
- (19) a. In civil proceedings, he argued, Congress could compel enforcing courts to automatically enforce regulations in most circumstances, as long as the regulation was not "invalid on the face of it."
- b. OLC's review is limited to the narrow question of whether, in OLC's view, a proposed Executive Order is lawful on the face of it and properly drafted.
- c. The question now is: How can it make sense for Keats to imagine that his death will increase the richness of the nightingale's song? The answer, I think, is that Keats imagines that his death will increase the intensity of the experience of listening to the nightingale. That experience is rich; to become a sod would make it still richer. On the face of it, this answer sounds absurd, but our reflections on the dynamics of Dickinson's comparison of death to absorbed listening provide us with the tools to take it seriously.
- d. Instead of being circumspect, Cruz said quite plainly that he is positive that Trump was responsible for the rumors. He noted that "the story on the face of it quoted one person on the record... Trump's chief political strategist and hatchet man, Roger Stone" and noted that Trump himself had proposed that the editor of "the head of the *National Enquirer*, a guy named David Pecker, is good friends with Donald Trump."
- e. The federal bailout of the big banks was outrageous on the face of it.
- f. At the heart of those questions is a lurking assumption that Chaffetz brought into the light: Maybe the poor deserve their lot in life. This philosophy, while absurd on the face of it, effectively cripples any momentum toward helping suffering populations and is an old favorite of the Republican Party.

Table 4. Responses to the acceptability of *on its face*

	○	×	No answer	Sum
(17a)	7	0	0	7
(17b)	7	0	0	7
(17c)	7	0	0	7
(17d)	4	0	3	7
(17e)	5	0	2	7
(17f)	5	1	1	7
(17g)	5	0	2	7
(17h)	7	0	0	7
(17i)	4	2	1	7
(17j)	7	0	0	7
(18a)	7	0	0	7
(18b)	7	0	0	7
(18c)	4	1	2	7
(19a)	7	0	0	7
(19b)	7	0	0	7
(19c)	7	0	0	7
(19d)	7	0	0	7
(19e)	7	0	0	7
(19f)	7	0	0	7

As shown in Table 4, the responses to (17) indicated that that *on its face* was acceptable; however, some respondents answered No or No answer for (17d, e, f, g, i) as the contexts did not make sense to them although they understood *on its face*. When *on the face of it* was replaced with *on its face* in (18), almost all respondents regarded these as acceptable, which indicated that *on the face of it* could be used interchangeably with *on its face*. In (18c), several respondents answered No and No answer because they did not understand the context meaning but understood *on its face*. The responses to (19) in which *on its face* was replaced with *on the face of it* indicated that *on its face* was seen to be synonymous with *on the face of it*.

8. Implications for Future Phraseological Research

The phrase transformation from the *of*-construction to possession for the PUs discussed in this study does not appear to cause semantic confusion. For example, the *of*-construction *for the life of me* cannot change into *for my life* possession because *for my life* can be literally interpreted. Therefore, these types of phrase transformations are not arbitrary but appear to take place on semantically important elements. Therefore, with a focus on phrase transformations in other PUs, future research could analyze each type of phrase transformation to reveal the phrase change rules and clarify those phrases that can move from *of*-construction to possession and those that cannot.

9. Conclusion

This paper reviewed phrase transformations by focusing on the transformation from *on the face of it* to *on its face*. This study used the CPA to examine the incidence of phrase transformations over time, an area that has had very little past attention. Exploring phrase transformations and explaining them in theoretical and practical terms to fully clarify the transformation process remains a challenge for future phraseological research.

Acknowledgements

This research was made possible by the Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) (Grant number 20K00674). I would like to thank the Japan Society for the Promotion of Science.

Corpora

BNC: British National Corpus (<http://scnweb.jkn21.com/BNC2/>)

COCA: The Corpus of Contemporary American English (<http://corpus.byu.edu/coca/>)

COHA: The Corpus of Historical American English (<http://corpus.byu.edu/coha/>)

DANTE: Database of Analysed Texts of English (<http://www.webdante.com/index.html>.)

WB: WordBanksOnline (<http://scnweb.jkn21.com/WBO2/>)

Dictionaries

*MED*²: *Macmillan English Dictionary for Advanced Learners*, New Edition. 2007. Oxford: Macmillan Education.

*OED*²: *Oxford English Dictionary on Historical Principle 2nd edition on CD-ROM*. (Version 2.0) 2000. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

References

- Ando, S. (ed.). (2011). *Sanseido Comprehensive Dictionary of English Idioms and Phrasal Verbs*. Tokyo: Sanseido.
- Bybee, J. (2015). *Language Change*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press. <https://doi.org/10.1017/CBO9781139096768>
- Hanks, P. (2004). Corpus pattern analysis. *European Association for Lexicography's Proceedings, 1*, 87–98.
- Hanks, P., & Pustejovsky, J. (2005). A pattern dictionary for natural language processing. *Revue Francaise de Langue Appliquée, 10*(2). <https://doi.org/10.3917/rfla.102.82>
- Inoue, A. (2007). *Present-Day Spoken English: A Phraseological Approach*. Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- Inoue, A. (2011). A phraseological approach to finding the functions of newly observed compound prepositional phrases until to and up until to in contemporary English. In *Lexicography: Theoretical and Practical Perspective* (pp. 160–169) (ASIALEX (Asian Association for Lexicography)'11 Proceedings).
- Inoue, A. (2012). Influences on the formation of new phraseological units observed in contemporary English: least effort and redundancy. In A. Inoue & T. Kanzaki (Eds.), *Aspects of English Studies in the 21st Century—Linguistic and Cultural* (pp. 357–378). Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- Inoue, A. (2018a). *Newly established idioms through the blending of semantically similar idioms—take care for, take care about, and care of* (pp. 1–24). *Lexicon*, No. 48.
- Inoue, A. (2018b). *Working toward the Systematization of English Phraseology from the Three Perspectives of Morphology, Semantics, and Acoustic Phonetics*. Tokyo: Kenkyusha.
- Inoue, A. (2019). English phraseological research on *until by/before* working as complex prepositions. *International Journal of English Linguistics, 9*(1), 1–14. <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijel.v9n1p1>
- Inoue, A. (2020a in press). *A lexical priming's analysis of semantically similar group prepositions in formal English* (pp. 1–23). *Lexicon*, No. 50.
- Inoue, A. (2020b in press). *The decision-making of selecting pronouns due to psychological distance and level of abstraction – in the case of they who, these who, those who implying people*. Collected papers from Kansai Linguistic Society for English Grammar and Usages. Tokyo: Kaitakusha.
- Konishi, T. (ed.) (2001). *A Dictionary of English Word Grammar on Nouns*. Tokyo: Kenkyusya syuppan.
- Pustejovsky, J., Hanks, P., & Rumshisky, A. (2004). *Automated induction of sense in context*. COLING 2004. Switzerland: Geneva. <https://doi.org/10.3115/1220355.1220488>
- Quirk, R., Greenbaum, S., Leech, G., & Svartvik, J. (1985). *A Comprehensive Grammar of the English Language*. London: Longman.

Notes

Note 1. The *face* used in *on its face*, which is discussed in this study, implies ‘appearance’; therefore, when *on its face* co-occurs with a verb (phrase) such as *lie on its face* and *fall flat on its face*, the *face* does not imply ‘appearance.’

Note 2. Quirk et al. (1985, p. 362) mentioned that the *of*-construction rather than possessive is implied in the following expressions.

I can't understand it { *for the life of me.*
 **for my life.*

I don't trust *the likes of him*, this will be *the death of me*.

To the best of my knowledge, data obtained from COCA shows that *for the life of me* does not transform *for my life* because *for my life* can be literally interpreted rather than being a connotation.

Note 3. The usage rates for ‘on its face’ meaning ‘it looks like’ in each decade were as follows: 50% in 1820s, 64% in 1830s, 58% in 1840s, 75% in 1850s, 56% in 1860s, 52% in 1870s, 50% in 1880s, 41% in 1890s, 48% in 1900s, 62% in 1910s, 65% in 1920s, 24% in 1930s, 41% in 1940s, 36% in 1950s 代, 24% in 1960s, 50% in 1970s, 47% in 1980s, 47% in 1990s, and 57% in 2000s.

Copyrights

Copyright for this article is retained by the author, with first publication rights granted to the journal.

This is an open-access article distributed under the terms and conditions of the Creative Commons Attribution license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/>).