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Abstract

FTIR comparison study between experimental and computational calculations by four molecular modeling methods (AM1, PM3, HF and DFT) was carried out to identify the vibration frequencies of different bonds for some compounds such as benzoic acid, aniline and phthalic anhydride to evaluate the accuracy of each method and to determine the shift value of frequencies for each bond. Results obtained confirm that the accuracy depends on the type of method and the functional group present near the certain bond.
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1. Introduction

Computational chemistry uses the results of theoretical chemistry. Incorporated into efficient computer programs to calculate the structure and properties of molecules. It's based on theories which range from highly accurate suitable for small system and very approximate suitable for large systems. Semi-empirical, ab initio, Hartree fock (HF) and density functional theory (DFT) methods were used to determine which results match the experimental geometry.

Austin Model 1 (AM1) by Dewar and co-workers, takes in approximating two-electron integrals and uses a modified expression for nuclear-nuclear core repulsion.

Parametric Method 3 (PM3) by James Stewart, uses a Hamiltonian is very similar to the AM1 Hamiltonian but the parameterization strategy is different. AM1 was parameterized largely based on a small number of atomic data, PM3 is parameterized to reproduce a large number of molecular properties. Chemistry gave way to statistics with the PM3 model . Hartree-Fock theory (HF) is a single electron approximation technique used in multi electron systems. The molecular Hamiltonian is split up into individual single electron Hamiltonians. Consider a molecular system with N-electrons, each with degrees of freedom (ANIS. A. ET. AL. 1992, Harrison N. ET. AL., 2002 and Donald W ET. AL, 2003 ).

Density Functional Theory (DFT) is a computational method derives properties of the molecule based on a determination of the electron density of the molecule. Unlike the wave function, which is not a physical reality but a mathematical construct, electron density is a physical characteristic of all molecules. DFT is a function of a function, and the energy of the molecule is a functional of the electron density. The electron density is a function with three variables x-, y-, and z-position of the electrons (Christopher J. ET. AL., 2004).

2. Calculation Methods

Semi Empirical Quantum Mechanical Calculations (AM1 and PM3) were used, Hartree fock (HF) and Density Functional Theory (DFT) also was used at–B3LYP-3-21G and B3LYP 6-31G basis sets to estimate the IR value for Benzoic acid, Aniline and phthalic Anhydride (Marcus ET. AL., 2001).

3. Experimental

Pure sample of benzoic acid, phthalic anhydride were used in analytical lab grade while experimental FTIR spectrum of aniline was extracted from. The FT-IR spectrum of these compounds were recorded at central research in chemistry department at college of science in diyala university, spectrophotometer equipped with DTGS detector and XT-KBr beam splitter (Peeter. I., 2005).

4. Result and Discussion

The FTIR spectrum of benzoic acid fig (1) is shown in fig (2) the vibrational spectra experimental values. Experimental and theoretical IR values are listed in Table-1.
For C==O str., PM3 method was found the nearest method from the experimental with shift about 40 cm\(^{-1}\). While for C—O str., PM3 method still the best one relative to the experimental value obtained. In the case of O—H str. And O—H bend there is a degree of acceptability for AM1.

Table (1). Experimental and theoretical IR data of benzoic acid

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bond</th>
<th>AM1</th>
<th>INDO</th>
<th>PM3</th>
<th>HF-3-21G</th>
<th>DFT-B3LYP-3-21G</th>
<th>DFT-B3LYP-6-31G</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Best shift (cm(^{-1}))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C==O str.</td>
<td>1917</td>
<td>2811</td>
<td>1724</td>
<td>1746</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1583</td>
<td>1683.66</td>
<td>PM3 +40.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C—O str.</td>
<td>1206</td>
<td>2393</td>
<td>1133</td>
<td>1131</td>
<td>927</td>
<td>959</td>
<td>1086</td>
<td>PM3 +55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O—H str.</td>
<td>3520</td>
<td>5373</td>
<td>3758</td>
<td>3795</td>
<td>3942</td>
<td>3904</td>
<td>3567</td>
<td>AM1 +47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O---H bend.</td>
<td>1413</td>
<td>1127</td>
<td>1326</td>
<td>1267</td>
<td>1247</td>
<td>1259</td>
<td>1179 1169</td>
<td>AM1! +48</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig (2). Vibrational spectra of benzoic acid experimental value
Experimental FT-IR spectrum of aniline is shown in table (2), it is clearly seen that AM1 method is more likely to give slightly shift compared to the other methods for (N—H str. Sy ) while for N—H str. asy and N—H bend PM3 method was observed the minimum shift. For C—N str. DFT–B3LYP-3-21G being the MOST value closer one in spite of that the shift is far to some extent.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bond</th>
<th>AM1</th>
<th>PM3</th>
<th>HF-3-21G</th>
<th>INDO</th>
<th>DFT B3LYP-3-21G</th>
<th>DFT B3LYP 6-31G</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Best shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>N—H str. sy</td>
<td>3462</td>
<td>3502</td>
<td>3730</td>
<td>5950</td>
<td>3559</td>
<td>3693</td>
<td>3442</td>
<td>+20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N—H str. asy</td>
<td>3422</td>
<td>3369</td>
<td>3820</td>
<td>6016</td>
<td>3675</td>
<td>3805</td>
<td>3360</td>
<td>+9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N—H bend.</td>
<td>1686</td>
<td>1642</td>
<td>1818</td>
<td>1108</td>
<td>1696</td>
<td>1653</td>
<td>1619</td>
<td>+23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C—N str.</td>
<td>1368</td>
<td>1144</td>
<td>1201</td>
<td>1589</td>
<td>1344</td>
<td>1140</td>
<td>1281</td>
<td>+63</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Fig(3). Vibrational spectra for benzoic acid**

**Fig (4). Structure of Aniline**

**Table (2). Experimental and theoretical IR data of aniline**

**Fig(5). Vibrational spectra for Aniline**
In Phthalic anhydride fig(6), the ν C=O band is split into 2 peaks, the peaks in fig(7) shows data for (C==O str. Sy, C==O str. Asy, C—O, C—H str. Sy. and after calculations this data obey (DFT – B3LYP-3-21G) model.

![Fig(6). Structure of phthalic anhydride](image)

**Table (3). Experimental and theoretical IR data for phthalic anhydride**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>bond</th>
<th>AM1</th>
<th>PM3</th>
<th>INDO</th>
<th>HF</th>
<th>DFT–B3LYP-3-21G</th>
<th>DFT–B3LYP6-31G</th>
<th>Exp.</th>
<th>Best shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C==O str. sy</td>
<td>1957</td>
<td>1754</td>
<td>2854</td>
<td>1667</td>
<td>1881</td>
<td>1879</td>
<td>1791.09</td>
<td>PM3 -37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C==O str. asy</td>
<td>1878</td>
<td>1672</td>
<td>2817</td>
<td>1558</td>
<td>1815</td>
<td>1817</td>
<td>1762.29</td>
<td>(DFT – B3LYP-3-21G) +52.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C—O</td>
<td>1213</td>
<td>1156</td>
<td>1742</td>
<td>1154</td>
<td>1094</td>
<td>1097</td>
<td>1071.46</td>
<td>(DFT – B3LYP-3-21G) +22.54</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig(7). Vibrational spectra for phthalic anhydride experimental value](image)

As shown in table-3, for C==O str. sy, PM3 method is still the best one relative to the others as observed for the same

5. Conclusion

Several organic compounds (benzoic acid, Aniline and phathalic Anhydride) have been studied using experimental and theoretical methods to determine the infrared frequencies of the main functional groups. Results show that PM3 and AM1 methods represent the best model for calculate the mentioned groups.
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