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Abstract
This study aims at examining the impact of organizational politics and corporate governance on leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness in the Nigerian banking sector. This study culminates in the building of organizational politics, corporate governance and leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness and good corporate performance/poor corporate performance model. While good corporate governance elements will enhance good corporate performance, poor corporate governance elements will impair leadership effectiveness and hence poor corporate performance. In this study, I found that as a result of crime of obedience, those who occupy sensitive positions who are products of distributed advantages/disadvantages only serve the interest of their principals. Thus, the objective of monitoring, independence and control instituted for the enhancement of corporate governance are subverted.

Although the crime of obedience is within the rubrics of organizational politics, it is found in this study as a catalyst which triggers/acts on the elements in corporate governance. The enhancement of good corporate governance in the Nigerian banking sector cannot be dependent on self-equilibrating mechanism. To mitigate the harsh effect of organizational politics and enhance the elements of monitoring, independence and control in corporate governance, employees and their representatives must be allowed to exist to constrain the ability of the leadership to act arbitrarily. The attainment of this goal and a synergy between employees and their representatives and strong private sector empowerment regulation will enhance effective corporate governance in banks.

This study reflects the stance of interpretivism. Interpretivists are more liable in using action research. The adoption of action research is predicated on this premise. Although interpretivism is weak on ground of subjectivity, the strongest argument of the interpretivist is the necessity to discover the details of the situation and understand the reality working behind them.

This research will create the needed understanding of the extent to which organizational politics is a determinant of corporate governance configuration and hence leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness in the Nigerian banking sector.
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1. Introduction
Banking is an industry in the service sector (Jones, 1993). Hendrickson (2001), in his study undertaken in the United States of America banking industry demonstrates the correlation between a well-functioning banking system and a well-functioning economy. Banks have an impact on all sectors, through their lending policies, on large numbers of individuals through their deposit taking function; and on the general financial and monetary conditions of economies. Thus the banking activity remains a critical variable in the national development of countries. The way banks function in providing its traditional services has also changed drastically over the years due to the implementation of new technology in the sector. One of the most significant is electronic banking.
These developments have made the management of these institutions more complex. There are various studies on leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness. However, the impact of Corporate governance and organizational politics on leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness are yet to be explored. My research objectives therefore are as follows:

a) To determine if organizational politics is a determinant of corporate governance configuration in the Nigerian banking sector.

b) To determine if leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness in the Nigerian banking sector is affected by organizational politics.

1.1 Problem Analysis

The Nigerian Banking Sector is on its road to perdition as unscientific management practices abound (Omoijiade, 2014a). Overtly aggressive growth policies, poor judgment as a result of poor bank management which were identified as some of the factors that contributed to the failure of banks in the past are still present (Ebhodaghe, 1994). The banks are yet to realize that their value chain is embedded in the customer’s value chain (Omoijiade, 2014b). Mamman and Oluyemi (1994) found that in selecting or appointing people in failed banks, due attention was not given to qualification, managerial/professional experience, track records and integrity. The position of Mamman and Oluyemi is congruent with the study of Costa (2006), who noted that those in authority in a bank could bring misfortune to a bank through promotion of the wrong people, assignment of wrong position to people and hiring external talent without tapping the potentials which exist within the organization. The success of banks depends on the quality of its personnel as adequate capital may turn inadequate with bad management (Mamman & Oluyemi, 1994; Kubr & Wallace, 1983). The problem of leadership failure has worsened significantly in business, as vital resources are lost (Williams, 2006). The search for effective organizational leadership has been the goal of almost all companies in order to maximize their resources, not only in terms of financial capital, but also human and customer valuation capital. As noted by Collins (2009), “While no leader can single-handedly build an enduring great company, the wrong leader, vested with power can almost single handedly bring a company down”. A critical review indicates that lack of good corporate governance and organizational politics are responsible for bad management and leadership ineffectiveness in the Nigerian banking sector. As an employee, you may have strength of character and dazzling in speed of thought and creativity, your career will degenerate to futile existence if you are inept in navigating through the political terrain of your organization. Thus, the effectiveness of organizational leadership and hence the corporate growth of a company is highly dependent on the existence of good corporate governance and the ability to control and moderate the harsh effects of organizational politics.

1.2 Research Questions

The crucial questions to be answered in this study are:

1.2.1 Main Questions

a) Is organizational politics a determinant of corporate governance configuration in the Nigerian Banking sector?

b) How is leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness in the Nigerian Banking sector affected by organizational politics?

1.2.2 Subsidiary Questions

a) How is organizational politics expressed in the Nigerian Banking sector?

b) Is crime of obedience, which is an element in organizational politics, a catalyst which acts on/trigger the elements in corporate governance?

c) To what extent are the functional consequences of organizational politics responsible for leadership effectiveness and hence good corporation governance?

d) To what extent are the dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics responsible for leadership ineffectiveness and hence poor corporate governance?

2. Literature Review

In this study, leadership is central. The definition of the concept is therefore prudent. Leadership is a complex and subjective matter involving individuals in a complex web of relationships within complex organizations. It raises complex issues that have long been the subject of vigorous debate in the academic literature (Sonsino, 2002). The Anglo-Saxon etymological origin of the words lead, leader and leadership is ‘læd’, which means
“path” or “road”. The verb ‘læden’ means “to travel” (Gill, 2009). While Kets de Vries, Vrignaud and Florent-Treacy (2004) defined leader as one who shows fellow travellers the way by walking ahead (Kets de Vries, Vrignaud & Florent-Treacy, 2004), Pratch and Jacobowitz (1997) posits that leadership is the process of influencing small group activities towards setting goals and attaining them. Within this context, Pratch and Jacobowitz argue that the leader function to direct the activities of the subordinates and motivate them to carry out their duties effectively. The position of Pratch and Jacobowitz is congruent with similar views expressed by Kotter (1998) who suggest that leadership is the process of moving a group or groups in some direction through mostly non-coercive means. The position of Kotter with regard to the element of coercion in leadership definition is not absolute as contingency theories suggest that there is no one best style of leadership. According to Gill (2009), successful and enduring leaders use different styles according to the nature of the situation and followers. While individuals may look to a leader to frame and concretize their reality, they may also react against, reject or change the reality thus defined (Smircich & Morgan, 1982). While leadership often emerges as a result of expectations projected on the emergent leader by the led, the surrender of power involved provides the basis for negation of the situation or context created. Much of the tension in leadership stems from this source. Although leaders draw their power from their ability to define the reality of others, their inability to control completely; since its power is not absolute, provides seeds of disorganization in the organization of meaning they provide. Thus, if the leaders want to retain their role, they must respond to the needs of the followers as it is difficult to clap with one hand. As stated by Keith and Blomstrom (1971), in the long run, leaders who do not use power in a manner considered responsible by its constituency will lose it. That is the iron law of responsibility. Since leadership is exercised within the context of power/organizational politics, the concepts will be examined in the next section.

2.1 A Perspective on Organizational Politics and Power

According to Greenberg and Baron (1997), organizational politics are those actions not officially approved by an organization taken to influence others with a view to furthering personal goals.

Organizational politics and power are sometimes used interchangeably. The difference between the two concepts has never been fully settled. As stated by Pfeffer (1981), organizational politics is “study of power in action”. Pettigrew (1973), described organizational politics as the use of power to influence decision making. Pfeffer (1981), defines political behaviour as “activities taken within the organization to acquire, develop and use power and other resources to obtain one’s preferred outcomes in a situation where there is uncertainty or dissensus about choices”. Eisenhardt and Bourgeois (1988) define organizational politics as observable, but often covert actions by which executives enhance their power to influence a decision.

Parsons (1960) noted the collective aspect of power, whereby persons in co-operation can enhance their joint power over third parties or over nature. As stated by Clegg (1989), in an attempt to fulfill or maintain their situated interests, agents enroll others into facilitating their projects through the manipulation of available network of power, social relations, drawing on existing repertoires, validation processes and disciplinary mechanisms. The position of Clegg (1989) is supported by Long (1992), who argued that agents and agencies reify by others as holding power and possessing knowledge enrol others into their projects more effectively than those imagined to be powerless and ignorant. Hayward and Boeker, (1998) and Maitlis, (2004), found that the position of a stakeholder is not static within the power dynamics of an organization. Mitchell, Agle and Wood (1997) characterized stakeholders who lack power but who have urgent and legitimate claims as “dependent”, because they depend upon other stakeholders or the firm’s managers for the power necessary to carry out their will. By definition, a stakeholder exhibiting both power and legitimacy will be a member of a dominant coalition. For example, in 2010, the Principal Managers and senior Managers in Union Bank, who were dependent stakeholders became active members of the Association of Senior Staff of Banks, Insurance and Financial Institutions in order to acquire power with a view to becoming definitive stakeholders. The act of collective organizational alliance alone is inadequate to overcome an organization of power. In order to produce an effective resistance, people must acquire the ability to activate a collective organization through outflanking. As stated by Gaventa (1980), those who possess the organizational advantage will always succeed in overcoming those who lack organizational resources, by means of organizational outflanking. Organizational outflanking finds expression in the ability to eliminate resistance with relative ease, to prevent them in advance by means of organizational priority, as well as to impose the order desirable to those doing the outflanking.

Although power is de-centred and ubiquitous in organizations, its distribution which is tied to resource is not even (Gaventa & Cornwall, 2001; Handy, 1996). As stated by Handy and Clegg (1996), every organizational actor has the ability and discretion to make use of power, although given that power is not equally distributed, some members have more influence than others. For example, while the Managing Director / Chief Executive
Officer can transfer a radical union leader/employee who fail to conform to a remote outpost, the union leader/employee may not have the capacity to effect the transfer of the Managing Director / Chief Executive Officer. Organizational political dynamics are therefore characterized by a constant battle between actors in privileged/subordinated roles within power relationships (Clegg, 1989). As a result of access to resources, the leadership within the context of study occupy a vantage position to determine “who gets what, when and how and who gets left out and how, through the instrumentality of political actions (Bachrach & Baratz, 1962; Bachrach & Baratz, 1998; Foucault, 1977). In the next section these prevailing political actions will be examined.

2.2 Political Actions in the Nigerian Banking Sector

There are three segments of organizational politics. These includes, political actions by individuals, leadership and leadership/individuals.
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Figure 1. Organizational politics foundation pillars

2.2.1 Political Actions by Individuals

Lack of action or going along to get ahead (Kacmar & Carlson, 1997; Feris & Kacmar, 1992). Making friends with power brokers, self-promotion, deliberately withholding useful information, highlighting other people’s errors and flaws, delaying tactics to block others, misinformation to confuse others, spreading false rumours to undermine others and keeping dirty files to blackmail others (Buchanan, 2007). Joining a coalition, whistle blowing, spreading rumours, leaking confidential information about the organization to the media, obstructing organizational policies or decisions through inaction or excessive adherence to rules, lobbing on behalf of or against a particular individual or decision alternative (Robbins & Judge, 2009; Pettigrew, 1973). Private attempts to co-opt or lobby key executives, formation of insurgency groups (Bourgeois & Eisenhardt, 1987, 1988). By passing the chain of command to gain approval (Ferris, Frink, Galang, Zhou, Kacmar, & Howard, 1996). Taking of underserved credit, scapegoating and blaming others, unfairly tarnishing the reputation of others, giving insincere flattery and telling people what they want to hear (Betof & Seldman, 2006; Seldman & Betof, 2004) are political actions by individuals.

2.2.2 Political Actions by the Leadership

Building network of useful contacts, using key players to support initiatives, making friends with power brokers, bending the rules to fit the situation, breaking the rules to achieve objectives, conceding minor issues to win major goals, compromising now to win future favours, using social setting to discover opinion, using others to deliver bad news (Buchanan, 2007), Termination of employment (Ogbuie, 2014). Developing contacts outside the organization through political, social and professional activities (Robbins & Judge, 2009). Agenda control (Pettigrew, 1973), Deliberately undermining the effectiveness of a unit in order to displace a less favoured incumbent through appointing the wrong managers, starving businesses of resources for worthwhile projects, encouragement of wasteful investment and pressing for inappropriate targets (Campbell, 2003). Development of high level interaction, reward for members of in groups, in formal management of ingroups and formal management of outgroups, involvement of ingroups in decision making (Green, 1976; Liden & Graen, 1980; Andrews & Kacmar, 2009; Wayne, Liden & Sparrowe, 1994). Blocking of Union Leaders Access to emails (Union Bank Association of Senior Staff, 2011). Proscription of Trade Union (Omar, 2011, 2010; Osibodu, 2011). Transfer of Union leaders and employees who hold critical views about the leadership to unfavourable locations (Ferris, Frink, Galang, Zhou, Kacmar, & Howard, 1996; Parker, Dipboye, & Jackson, 1995). Punishing people who criticize or challenge ideas (Seldman & Betof, 2004; Buchanan, 2007). Favouritism, transfer of
favoured employees to favourable locations, creating favourable image, reward, (Buchanan, 2007). Use of Tribalism to weaken workers solidarity (Lukes, 2005). Accepting insincere flattery (Observation by the researcher), Internal and external alliances (like legitimization of a viewpoint through alliance with external consultants (Bourgeois & Eisenhardt, 1998). are political actions exploited by the leadership.

2.2.3 Political Actions Exploited Jointly by Individuals and the Leadership

Making friends with power brokers (Buchanan, 2007), Lobbying on behalf of or against a particular individual or decision alternative (Robbins & Judge, 2009), Developing contacts outside the organization through political, social and professional activities (Robbins & Judge, 2009), Gaining and developing support, association with influential individuals, forming powerful coalitions, covert manipulations and formation of ingroups (Buchanan, 2007; Pettigrew, 1973), are political actions jointly exploited by individuals and the leadership.

There is a link between crime of obedience and organizational politics. The concepts will be examined in the next section.

2.2.4 Crime of Obedience

Crimes of obedience are acts considered illegal or immoral by the larger community, which are performed in response to orders from an authority (Kelman & Hamilton, 1989). As a result of the distribution of advantages, cronies are appointed into important positions in the organization by the leadership. The appointed cronies only serve the interest of their principals. Thus, the crime of obedience is institutionalized and the objective of monitoring, control and independence that are vital in the promotion of good corporate governance are subverted and reduced to position of inessentiality. Although the crime of obedience is an element in organizational politics, it is a catalyst which triggers/acts on the elements of monitoring, independence and control in corporate governance.

2.2.5 The Elements in Corporate Governance

There are three elements in corporate governance in the Nigerian banking sector. These includes, Independence, Monitoring and Control (See Figure 2).
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A firm cannot function unless its various structural components are appropriately assembled (Chandler, 1962). Flowing from the position of Chandler, corporate governance will not achieve its purpose unless the elements of independence monitoring and control in corporate governance are properly assembled. As argued by Griffin and Pustay (1996), Independence and monitoring are achieved through organizational design. According to Griffin and Pustay, organizational design is the overall pattern of structural components and configurations used to manage the total organization. As stated by Jones (1995), through organizational design, organizational resources are allocated, tasks are assigned and employees are instructed about rules, procedures and expectations about job performances. Control is the process of monitoring and regulating activities in a firm so that targeted measure of performance is achieved (Anthony, 1988).

According to Anthony, the control process begins with the establishment of a goal or other performance target. Managers then monitor progress towards meeting that goal or target and take appropriate actions to keep the firm on track. There is a nexus between monitoring, independence and control in corporate governance. Jacoby (2005), define corporate governance “as structures and systems of control by which managers are held accountable to those with legitimate stakes in an organization”. The extent of monitoring by the board is a function of the board’s independence (Hermalin & Weisbach, 1998; Becht, Bolton, & Röell, 2005). As argued by
Becht, Bolton and Röell (2005), the logic of independence is flawed as independent directors are dependent on the CEO for appointment and reappointment. According to Becht, Bolton and Röell, in spirit, most charters are meant to operate like a “Shareholder democracy”, where the shareholders constitute themselves into the electorate responsible for the election of the directors, with the CEO as the executive branch of government and the Board as the legislative branch. Becht, Bolton and Röell, posit that in firms with dispersed share ownership, the board is more of a “rubber stamp assembly”, than a truly independent legislature responsible for checking and balancing the power of the CEO. This perspective is supported by Steiner and Steiner (2006), who found that while in theory, the flow of authority in an organization is from the powers granted in the Charter to Stockholders, then to directors, and then to the CEO, in reality in many corporations, the flow of authority is from the Charter to the CEO, who exercise power over directors, Shareholders and the public have limited ability to influence the activities of the directors or the CEO. Principal 6 of Basel Committee on banking supervisions, principles for enhancing Corporate governance (2010), provide that internal controls are instituted with a view to ensure that banks are in compliance with applicable laws and regulations, reasonable checks on the discretions of management and employees to prevent them from exceeding their authority and perpetuation of fraud.

Levine (2004) examined further the external/government dimensions of control. According to Levine, while government policies that ameliorate the adverse effects of information and transaction costs can improve the governance of banks and enhance social welfare, bankers can exert a powerful influence over governments and regulators, so that regulations serve to promote the interest of incumbent bankers rather than promote social welfare and corporate governance. Rather than exerting a “helping hand” to ease market failures, governments may instead use a “grabbing hand” to satisfy political objectives (Shleifer & Vishny, 1998). Politicians and regulations may induce banks to divert the flow of resources to politically connected ends or powerful banks may “capture” politicians and induce official regulators to act in the best interests of banks rather than in the best interests of society (Becker & Stigler, 1974). For example, the intervention of Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) in some banks in Nigeria in 2009 was motivated by the Regulatory Authority desire to protect the interest of champions of hostile take overs at the expense of existing shareholders. The intervention of CBN attracted the attention of the Public and the House of Representatives. As stated in House Resolution No. HR 70/2012 (2014), “The CBN refused to produce the special examination preceeding its intervention in 10 banks”, including Union Bank. “Although the requirement for special examination is a provision in Section 33 of Banks and other Financial Institutions Act, 2004; an Act of the National Assembly, the CBN argues that the reports, among many others which the House requested is purely confidential to it alone….it is strongly felt that the CBN appears to have too many things to hide. This is a great danger to the economy”.

Levine (2004) suggests that direct official supervision of banks may actually reduce the efficiency of corporate governance of banks (Levine, 2004), Barth, Caprio and Levine (2004) found that regulatory power is positively associated with national levels of corruption and negatively associated with overall levels of bank development. To constrain the negative impact of regulation on corporate governance, the mechanism to negate the “grabbing hand” of politicians and regulators must be created. There must also be incentives for official agencies to improve social welfare (Shleifer & Vishny, 1998; Haber, Razo & Maurer, 2003). One approach to improving corporate governance is the alignment of the interest of the regulators with the society through the creation of an independent agency with a well-defined objective. This is predicated on the argument that the regulation that empower private monitoring increase the effectiveness of the corporate governance of banks. Where the supervisory agency is independent from banks and supervisors have proper incentives, the probability that the banks will capture supervisors will diminish (Levine, 2004). Barth, Caprio and Levine (2004), posit that the independence of the supervisory agency reduces the pernicious effects of a powerful regulatory agency. In a related arguments, Levine (2004), suggest that “broad national institutions, such as the openness and competitiveness of the political system or effectiveness of the media, influence the effectiveness of corporate governance in banks”. As stated by Levine, closed and uncompetitive political systems provide greater scope for political and regulatory capture. According to Levine, where the media is corrupted/influenced by banks, the media is unlikely going to be helpful in exposing insidious management actions which impair corporate governance. Evidence tends to support the view that regulations that empower the private sector work best to improve the governance of banks (Levine, 2004). Barth, Caprio and Levine (2004) “Construct a measure of the degree to which regulations empower the private sector. This measure includes information on regulations that force banks to disclose information to the public and impose severe penalties on a bank’s directors or officers for providing inaccurate or misleading information”. Barth, Caprio and Levine suggest that strong private empowerment boost overall bank development and efficiency and reduces the reliance on corrupt ties with bank officials.
The role of employees or their representatives in the enhancement of good corporate governance is recognized under the OECD Principle of corporate governance. As stated in OECD Principles of Corporate governance (2008), “Unethical and illegal practices by corporate officers may not only violate the rights of stakeholders but also be to the detriment of the company and its shareholders in terms of reputation effects and an increasing risk of future financial liabilities. It is therefore to the advantage of the company and its shareholders to establish procedures and safe harbours for complaints by employees, either personally or through their representative bodies, and others outside the company, concerning illegal and unethical behaviours”. To enable employees or their representatives maintain their institutional identity, their right to hold alternative views is imperative. Whistle blowing, which is within the rubrics of political behaviour, is one of the medium for achieving this (Robbins & Judge 2009). To enable employees and their representatives act as enablers of corporate governance, their ability to constrain the management of action to act arbitrarily must be acknowledged.

In the Nigerian banking sector, the role of employees or their representatives as enablers of corporate governance receive very scanty attention as the leadership is unwilling to respect alternative views and submit itself to monitoring. Besides, the belief that employees are only to accept orders and not heard is still fashionable. Kashyap, Rajan and Stein (2008) and Cohen, Jeffrey, Krishnamoorthy, Ganesh, Wright and Arnie (2009), document that unless management allows itself to be monitored; the substance of governance activities will be subverted. The role of employees and their representatives in the enhancement of good corporate governance should be given further meaning through concentrated share ownership by employees. This will assist in mitigating the negative impact of large informational asymmetries and enormous discretion over the flow of information by managers. The attainment of this goal and a synergy between employees and their representatives and strong private empowerment regulation will enhance good corporate governance in banks.

2.2.6 Organization Politics /Corporate Governance and Leadership Effectiveness/Ineffectiveness

Feidler (1967) asserts that it is simply not meaningful to speak of an effective leader or an ineffective leader. As argued by Feidler, we can only speak of a leader who tends to be effective in one situation and ineffective in another. The effectiveness, of a particular style of leadership depends on the relationship between the characteristics of the leader, the followers and the situation. To Fiedler (1967), the effectiveness of a leadership style, either task oriented or people oriented, depends on the situation in terms of how defined and structured the work is; how much position power (authority) the leader has and the relationship between the leaders and the followers. Barrow (1977), found that the propensity to express leadership findings in binary terms of effective/ineffective hamper the understanding of leadership effectiveness. This perspective is supported by other researchers who expressed concern about the practical relevance and utility for the determination and distinguishing between “good” or “bad” managers. According to them, there is little agreement about what constitutes managerial effectiveness (Luthans, Rosencrantz, & Henressey, 1985; Martinko & Gardner, 1990, Cammock, Nilakant, & Dakin, 1995; Willcocks, 1992). The argument against the expression of leadership in effective/ineffective binary is weak as leadership is only a means to an end. Besides, the practical essence of leadership will be reduced to inessentiality if its outcome cannot be measured in binary terms of effective/ineffective. Leader behaviour as dependant variable seeks to discover how certain situation influences behaviour and the degree of variability in leadership behaviour. The optimal behaviour pattern for a leader in a given situation is yet to be discovered (Yukl, 1989). Stogdill (1974) in an earlier study found that while certain traits such as “being creative” or “persuasive” may help one become an effective manager, it cannot explain the factor behind success in every situation. According to those who have studied managers, the effective ones engage in a variety of management–oriented behaviours (Quinn, 1999; Yukl, 1989). Previous research has attempted to identify what those behaviours responsible for success are (Bernardin & Beatty, 1984). Since organizational politics is within the rubrics of behavior there is therefore a link between organizational politics and leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness (Boleman & Deal, 1997, 1992, 1991).

3. Research Methodology

This study reflects the stance of interpretivism/constructionism. Within interpretive approaches, the human world is never a world in itself; it is always an experienced world; that is, a world that is always related to a conscious subject. Thus, the ontological and epistemological assumptions underlying the interpretive research tradition reject the existence of an objective knowable reality beyond the human mind. Instead, they stipulate that knowledge is constituted through lived experience of reality (Sandberg, 2005). Instead of assuming an objectivist epistemology for the existence of objective reality, advocates of interpretive approaches typically claim that reality is socially constructed by continuous negotiation between people about the very nature of that reality.

As a result of my dual role as a researcher and a stakeholder in the institution under study, I will play a part in the
research process. It will be impossible for me to carry out this investigation without being involved. In order for me to understand the subjective meaning of the impact of organizational politics and corporate governance on leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness, I will be immersed in the research context.

3.1 Research Strategy

The ontological and epistemological approach adopted by a researcher, has direct impact on research strategy. As stated by Christoe, Valachis and Anastasiadou, (2005), interpretivists are more liable in using action research. The adoption of action research in this study is therefore predicated on this premise. As Kemmis and McTaggart (1988) stated, “….. to do action research is to plan, act observe and reflect more carefully, more systematically, and more rigorous than one usually does in everyday life; and to use the relationships between these moments in the improvement of knowledge”.

3.1.2 Research Methods

Within case study, different methods can be used, which are traditionally interviews, observations, and documentary analysis. On the choice of methods, Robson (2000) stated: “There is ‘no best method’ but the choice should be according to the feasibility of time and resources. The reason behind choosing multi-methods, in collecting data, is that every method has strengths as well as weaknesses, and matching the strengths of one with the weaknesses of another could help in later stages of the study”.

My choice of ‘multi-methods’ in this study relates to the issue of validity. According to Morse Barret and Mayan, (2002) “…to validate is to investigate, to check, to question, and to theorize. All of these activities are integral components of qualitative inquiry that ensure rigor. Whether quantitative or qualitative methods are used, rigor is a desired goal that is met through specific verification strategies. While different strategies are used for each paradigm, the term validity is the most pertinent term for these processes”

Hitchcock and Hughes (1995) argued that: “…validity has to do with instruments/techniques, data, findings and explanation…. Is the choice of data collection techniques or instruments suited to the type of data required and research questions formulated? – the notion of ‘fitness for purpose’… The notion of validity checks suggests that, there are things which the researcher can do to increase validity. The most common way in which validity can be strengthened is by some form of triangulation or diversity of methods”. Another initial consideration in validity is to engage in piloting before the formal research period. According Robson (2000, pp. 164-165) “It may be that there is only one case to be considered, or there are particular features of the case selected (such as geographical or temporal accessibility, or your own knowledge of the case), such that there is no sensible equivalent which could act as the pilot”. In this study my own knowledge of the Nigerian banking sector was felt sufficient not to necessitate pilot studies.

3.1.3 Interviews

My interviews were non-standardized (Hitcheock & Hughes, 1995). I used only informal interviews and conversations, with individuals and groups.

There were many opportunities for informal interviews or conversations with different participants. Hitchcock and Hughes (1995) clarified that: “…Conversations are, of course, a major element in any kind of field research. Conversations not only constitute an important source of data but might also be regarded as a method of research in their own right”. The informal meetings with some key Stakeholders constituted such ‘conversations’. As a result of the prevailing dictatorship in the Nigerian banking sector, obtaining direct response to my questions from one of the former MD/CEOs of Union Bank was futile. To enable me obtain the relevant response from the key informant, a heavier jolt was required. To accomplish the objective, I sent a petition to the House of Representatives, Banking and Currency Committee, through the International Centre for Corporate governance and Conflict studies (ICCGCS); a non-governmental organization, with the raison d’être of holding corporate leaders accountable. On the 21st of Nov. 2013, a public hearing took place in furtherance of my petition. I made written and oral presentation at the public hearing. The responses of one of the former MD/CEOs of Union Bank to my petition are relied upon in this enquiry.

3.1.4 Observations

As a result of my dual role as a researcher and an employee in the research context, I will play a part in the research process. It will be impossible for me to carry out this investigation without being involved. In order for me to understand the subjective meaning of the impact of corporate governance and organizational politics on leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness, I will be immersed in the research context. I observed directly the dynamics of organizational politics and corporate governance within the context of study. The importance of observation and its link to validity was summarized by (Gillham, 2000) when he stated that “The overpowering
validity of observation is that it is the most direct way of obtaining data. It is not what people have written on the topic. It is not what they say they do. It is what they actually do”. This provided the insight into what people ‘actually do’, or for my case, ‘actually talk about’ in certain settings (Griffins, 1985).

3.1.5 Documentary Analysis

Documents that were scrutinized included: letters from the Management and Trade Unions, Emails, Circulars, Internal Memos, minutes of meetings. With regard to informal conversations, I made notes about them from memory as soon as possible. I compared the documents obtain vertically. By comparing them vertically I mean; the theoretical content with the practice on ground.

3.1.6 Data Analysis

The key points for interpretivism is that reality is socially constructed. The task before us is to identify what meanings people place on events or “occurrences” in order to explain their reactions to such occurrences. This shows people as actively constructing their context (Gidden, 1993). Gage (1989) wrote “interpretive researchers regard individuals as able to construct their own social reality, rather than having reality always be the determiner of the individuals’ perception”. Interpretivist research is able to extract such meanings and through the researchers’ own interpretations attempt to establish the different versions of “reality” through the participants’ eyes. This is particularly important in a study which looks at political actions in the Nigerian Banking Sector. The narrative method shall be employed in analyzing the qualitative data relating to research. As stated by Riessman (2002) narrative analysis seeks to put together the “big picture” about experiences or events as the participants understand them. Narrative analysis focuses on “the story itself” and seeks to preserve the integrity of series of events.

3.1.7 Study Population

A research population is a well-defined collection of individuals or objects with similar characteristics. All individuals or objects within a certain population usually have a common, binding characteristics or trait (Malthus, 1798). According to Rosset (1987), a sample is a subset of the population. The concept of sample arises from the inability of researchers to test all the individuals in a given population. The sample must be representative of the population from which it was drawn and it must have a good size to warrant analysis. Purposive sampling is adopted in this study as Union bank of Nigeria Plc is the research context. As stated by Saunders, Lewis and Thornhil (2003) “Purposive or judgmental sampling enables you to use judgment to select cases that will best enable you to answer your research question(s) and to meet your objectives”. According to Neuman (2000), purposive sampling is often used when working with small samples such as a case study and when you wish to select cases that are particularly informative. Elslon (1994) posits that sound conclusion can always be drawn from the quantitative sample size population of informed practitioner on a given subject. This study will therefore rely on the following “core informants”: for face to face interview:

- The Managing Director/Chief Executive Officer, Union Bank of Nigeria Plc.
- Two former Managing Director/Chief Executive Officer, Union Bank of Nigeria Plc.
- Two former Directors, Union bank of Nigeria Plc.
- General Secretary, Union Bank association of Senior Staff.
- General Secretary, Union Bank Pensioners Association.
- 27 National Executive Council Members: Union Bank Association of Senior Staff.
- President: Progressive Shareholders Association of Nigeria.
- Five Ex Employees of Union Bank of Nigeria Plc.
- Five Ex Employees of Union Bank of Nigeria Plc.
- An Employees of Union Bank Finance Services Department.
- A Secret Agent of Union Bank of Nigeria Plc.

3.2 The Conceptual Framework

As depicted on the conceptual framework there is a nexus between organizational politics, crime of obedience, corporate governance and leadership effectiveness/ineffective and corporate performance.
Figure 3. Conceptual framework

Although the crime of obedience is an element in organizational politics, it is viewed as a catalyst which triggers/acts on the elements in corporate governance. As shown in Figure 3, as a result of crime of obedience, the elements of control, monitoring and independence in corporate governance are subverted, culminating in ineffective leadership and poor corporate performance. The conceptual framework also demonstrates the existence of functional and dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics. While the functional consequences of organizational politics will enhance leadership effectiveness and hence good corporate performance, the dysfunctional consequences will impair leadership ineffectiveness and hence poor corporate performance.

4. Key Findings and Implications

This section presents key findings of the research and how they correlate or otherwise with previous research. The findings are presented below:

4.1 Organizational Politics Prevails in the Nigerian Banking Sector

The political actions by individuals, the leadership and political actions exploited jointly by the leadership and individuals were found as the three segments of organizational politics in the Nigerian banking sector. The political actions by individuals triggers political actions by the leadership.

4.1.1 Organizational Politics as a Determinant of Corporate Governance Configuration and Crime of Obedience as a Catalyst which Triggers the Elements in Corporate Governance

The crime of obedience are acts considered illegal or immoral by the larger community, which are performed in response to orders from an authority (Kelman & Hamilton, 1989). Although the crime of obedience is an element within organizational politics, it is a direct consequence of the manifestation of organizational politics. In furthering the political action of distribution of advantages, the objective of monitoring, independence and control instituted for the enhancement of corporate governance are subverted in the Nigerian banking sector.

4.1.2 The Leadership in the Nigerian Banking Sector, Pay Lip Service to the Development of Good Corporate Governance

Corporate governance is yet to serve its purpose in the Nigerian Banking sector as emphasis has been on looking at issues of independence and disclosure. The management in the Nigerian Banking sector acts arbitrarily. While they refuse to submit to monitoring, crime of obedience and promotion of individuals to position of incompetence abound. This perspective is supported by Sanusi (2010), the then Governor of Central Bank of Nigeria, when he stated that “Some banks in Nigeria are only paying “lip service” to compliance with most sections of the corporate governance code”. In the Nigerian banking sector, the role of employees or their representatives as enablers of corporate governance receive very scanty attention as the leadership is unwilling to respect alternative views. Besides, the belief that employees are only to accept orders and not heard is still fashionable. Kashyap, Rajan and Stein (2008) and Cohen, Jeffrey, Krishnamoorthy, Ganesh, Wright and Arnie
4.1.3 The Central Bank of Nigeria as a Regulator Is Captured by Some Regulated Banks
The development of corporate governance in the Nigerian banking sector is constrained as a result of the capture of Central Bank of Nigeria by the leadership of some banks. The CBN acts as mere proxy to some banks and other external interests.

4.1.4 Dictatorship in the Nigerian Banking Sector
There is dictatorship in the Nigerian banking sector. In Union bank, as a result of awareness that the potency of the ability of employees and their representatives to constrain the ability of the management to act arbitrarily is enhanced through concentrated share ownership, the voting rights of employees and their representatives at the Annual General meetings is now withdrawn and the voting right thereof exercised on their behalf by the leadership of the Bank.

4.1.5 There Are Some Functional Consequences of Organizational Politics
The breeding of cynics who may be more likely to speak out against unprincipled behaviours of organizational decision makers (Dean, Brandes & Dhwardkar, 1998; Andersson & Bateman, 1997; Anderson, 1996) and creativity which sprouts from frustration (Collins & Porras, 2002), were found as the functional consequences of organizational politics. The attainment of independence, monitoring and control in corporate governance in the Nigerian banking sector activates the functional consequences of organizational politics.

4.1.6 There Are Dysfunctional Consequences of Organizational Politics
The constraining of the elements of independence, monitoring and control in corporate governance culminates into dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics. The dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics results in the inability to develop a high-performing organization (Valle & Witt, 2001; Yukl, 1989) and loss of strategic position by some banks in Nigeria (Buchanan, 2007).

4.1.7 Convergence between Leadership Effectiveness and Leadership Ineffectiveness
In this research I found that while the functional consequences of organizational politics enhance leadership effectiveness, the dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics resulted in leadership ineffectiveness. There is however a paradox in my findings as leadership ineffectiveness which constrains leadership effectiveness resulted in leadership ineffectiveness. In this study, leadership ineffectiveness is captured in terms of employees dissatisfaction, labour turnover, injury, loss of valuable lives, frauds, decline in profitability and growth in operating expenses (Muldrow, Buckley, & Schay, 2002).

4.1.8 Leadership Ineffectiveness Contributes to Poor Corporate Performance
The ineffectiveness of the leadership at the process stage resulted in poor corporate performance of the Nigerian banking sector. As a result of the punitive transfers of employees, pushing out and humiliation of competent employees who challenge the leadership; vital human capital required for the growth and development of the banking sector are lost. As stated by Collins (2009), “when an organization grows beyond its ability to fill its key seats with the right people, it has set itself up for a fall”.

4.2 Discussions and Model Building
This section looks at discussions leading to the building of organizational politics, corporate governance, and leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness and good corporate performance/poor corporate performance model. The model will establish a connection between organizational politics, corporate governance, leadership and corporate performance. There are three stages in the model building—The input stage, process stage, and the output stage as presented in figure 4
4.2.1 Input Stage
The input segment includes, political actions by individuals, the leadership as well as political actions jointly explored by individuals and the leadership. In the conceptual framework, the political actions by individuals, leadership and political actions explored by individuals and the leadership were not separated. In the model however, they are segmented in line with the argument of research participants. There is an agreement between the framework and the model that political actions generally, motivates the crime of obedience which is within the rubrics of organizational politics. According to Gillhan (2000, p. 46), “The overpowering validity of observation is that it is the most direct way of obtaining data. It is not what people have written on the topic. It is not what they say they do. It is what they actually do”. I observed that political actions by individuals, acted as enabler of institutionalized political actions by the leadership. An observed comment following a conversation between employees as stated below will confirm this:

*We are the ones killing ourselves. We sold ourselves to the management. When the managing director came, she did not know anybody. Some employees went and told her lies about other employees in exchange for favour*.

Gage (1989, p. 5) wrote: “interpreting researchers regard individuals as able to construct their own social reality, rather than having reality always be the determiner of the individual’s perception”.

4.2.2 The Process Stage
In the input stage, political actions by individuals leads to political actions by the leadership. Political actions by individuals and the leadership as well as political actions jointly explored by individuals and the leadership leads to crime of obedience which constrains the elements of independence, monitoring and control in corporate governance. This perspective corresponds with the framework in Figure 3 where the crime of obedience was identified as the catalyst which triggers/acts on corporate governance configuration. The crimes of obedience are acts considered illegal or immoral by the larger community, which are performed in response to orders from an authority (Kelman & Hamilton, 1989). Although the crime of obedience is an element within organizational politics, it is a direct consequence of the manifestation of organizational politics. For example, in furthering the political action of distribution of advantages, the objective of monitoring, independence and control instituted for the enhancement of corporate governance are subverted. To achieve good corporate governance, the nexus between organizational political, crime of obedience and the elements of independence, monitoring and control in corporate governance must therefore be acknowledged.

4.2.3 The Functional Consequences of Organizational Politics
In this study, the breeding of cynics who may be more likely to speak out against unprincipled behaviours of organizational decision makers (Dean, Brandes, & Dhwardkar, 1998; Andersson & Bateman, 1997) and
creativity which sprouts from frustration (Collins & Porras, 2002), were the only functional consequences found in the context of research. As shown in Fig. 4, good corporate governance leads to functional consequences of organizational politics. This corresponds with the framework which stipulate that the attainment of independence, monitoring and control in corporate governance activates the functional consequences of organizational politics.

4.2.4 The Dysfunctional Consequences of Organizational Politics

As depicted in Fig 4, the constraining of the elements of independence, monitoring and control in corporate governance leads to dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics. This corresponds to the framework which stipulates that the constrain of the elements of independence, monitoring and control, in corporate governance through crime of obedience, activates the dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics. The dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics results in inability to develop a high-performing organization (Yukl, 1989) and loss of strategic position by institutions (Buchanan, 2007).

4.2.5 Leadership Effectiveness and Leadership Ineffectiveness

As stated in the framework, while the functional consequences of organizational politics enhance leadership effectiveness, the dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics leads to leadership ineffectiveness. As shown in the model in Figure 4 leadership ineffectiveness which constrains leadership effectiveness results in leadership ineffectiveness.

4.2.6 Output Stage

The stage of corporate performance is stated in the output stage. The ineffectiveness of the leadership at the process stage leads to poor corporate performance. This corresponds with the framework where I stated that leadership ineffectiveness contribute to poor corporate performance. The punitive transfers of employees, pushing out and humiliation of competent employees who challenge the leadership as a result of organizational politics will only promote poor corporate growth. In an interview, an employee in Union Bank said:

*Things are going from bad to worse; the good hands are being pushed out of the organization, while the bad and inexperienced hands that are willing to conform are retained. No organization can be more efficient that the quality of its workforce.*

The perspective of the above participant is valid as it is easier for the head of a camel to pass through the eye of a needle than for an organization to grow beyond the competence of its workforce (Ahearn, Ferris, Hochwarter, Douglas, & Ammeter, 2004; Collins 2009).

4.2.7 Recommendations

Improvement in leadership effectiveness/corporate performance is dependent on improvement is corporate governance and the mitigation of the negative impact of organizational politics. The attainment of these goals cannot be predicated on self-equilibrating mechanism. It must therefore be acknowledged that the applicability and transferability of a model is dependent on who and the context to which it is applied and whether it is acceptable for application. The development of organizational politics, corporate governance, leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness and corporate performance model provides insight into corporate governance and leadership effectiveness in the Nigerian banking sector. It also highlights the importance of application of good corporate governance in the enhancement of corporate performance. Therefore, the recommendations outlined below will assist in mitigating the dysfunctional elements in organizational politics, enhance good corporate governance, leadership effectiveness and corporate performance.

4.2.8 Institutionalization of Formality and Minimization of Informality

Organizational political dynamics are characterized by a constant battle between actors in privileged/subordinated roles within power relationships (Clegg, 1989). As a result of access to resources, the leadership within the context of study, occupy a vantage position to determine “who gets what, when and how and who get left out and how, through the instrumentality of outflanking via certain political actions/elements (Bacharach & Baratz, 1970; Foucault, 1977). The dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics should be mitigated through the institution of formality and minimization of informality by the leadership. As stated by Ferris, Frink, Galang, Zhou, Kacmar and Howard (1996), organizational politics are often enacted behind the scenes and typically occur in organizations where there are few rules and regulations to guide decision making. Examples include by-passing the chain of command to gain approval, going through improper channels to obtain special equipment, and lobbying high level managers just prior to decisions on promotions or transfer to more favourable locations.
4.2.9 Cultivation of Strong Institutions with the Ability to Constrain the Ability of Management to Act Arbitrarily

The existence of mere codes will not promote the health of corporate governance. The attainment of good corporate governance in the Nigerian banking sector, is dependent on the development of strong institutions with the ability to constrain the ability of the leadership to act arbitrarily. To enhance good corporate governance, the role of employees or their representatives in the enhancement of good corporate governance must be recognized. As stated in OECD Principles of Corporate governance (2008), “Unethical and illegal practices by corporate officers may not only violate the rights of stakeholders but also be to the detriment of the company and its shareholders in terms of reputation effects and an increasing risk of future financial liabilities. It is therefore to the advantage of the company and its shareholders to establish procedures and safe harbour for complaints by employees, either personally or through their representative bodies, and others outside the company, concerning illegal and unethical behaviours”. To enable employees or their representatives maintain their institutional identity, their right to hold alternative views is imperative.Whistle – blowing, which is within the rubrics of political behavior, is one of the medium for achieving this (Robbins & Judge 2009). To enable employees and their representative act as an enablers of corporate governance, their ability to constrain the ability of management to act arbitrarily must be recognized.

4.2.10 The Empowerment of Private Monitoring

The Central Bank of Nigeria is now captured by some powerful banks. The regulatory agency is now acting as proxy to some powerful banks and other external principals. The ability of CBN to promote good corporate governance is now in doubt. The alignment of the interest of the regulators with the society through the creation of an independent private agency with a well-defined objective is now imperative. The empowerment of private monitoring through the institution of the independence of supervisory agency independent of banks and CBN will increase the effectiveness of corporate governance in the Nigerian banking sector.

4.2.11 Synergy between Employees and Their Representatives and Private Sector Empowerment Regulation

The role of employees and their representatives in the enhancement of good corporate governance should be given further meaning through concentrated share ownership by employees. This will assist in mitigating the negative impact of large informational asymmetries and enormous discretion over the flow of information by managers. The attainment of this goal and a synergy between employees and their representatives and strong private sector empowerment regulation will enhance effective corporate governance in banks.

4.3 Implications for Further Research

The process and findings of the research have exposed the fact that research into organizational politics, corporate governance and leadership effectiveness and corporate performance does not only search for problem descriptions and suggested measures for implementation, but strive to find further questions and problems due to the organizational dynamics and changing expectations and behaviors of stakeholders. This research does not end but stops at where there are further grounds for research. This is because there are areas that have not been explored and even some of the areas explored need further investigations. Suggested areas for further research are identified and presented below:

4.3.1 The Application of Organizational Politics, Corporate Governance, Leadership Effectiveness/Ineffectiveness and Corporate Governance Model

So far this study has succeeded in developing organizational politics, corporate governance, leadership effectiveness, ineffectiveness and corporate performance model and knowledge that are required to enhance leadership effectiveness with a view to enhancing corporate performance. This is the main contribution of this research. Notwithstanding this, the work opens up new area for research-understanding and application of the model in the banking sector for practical solution to the challenges of poor corporate governance, leadership ineffectiveness and poor corporate performance. This prepares the grounds for interesting research questions. The research could be in two areas as follows:

1) Comprehension of the model as a working tool.

2) The utilization of the model for effective and sustainable development of leaders in the Nigerian banking sector.

This is recommended especially as the model which found the nexus between organizational politics, crime of obedience, corporate governance and leadership effectiveness have not be used as methods of furthering corporate performance in the Nigerian banking sector.
4.3.2 Areas for Further Research

A possible area of further research would be to investigate the strategies for mitigating organizational politics. The research could focus on key stakeholders in the Nigerian banking. It could also be generalized across other sectors. The aim and objectives of such a study could be determined with reference to the global economy.

The three main research questions could be:

1) What are the strategies for mitigating organizational politics in the Nigerian sector?
2) What is the impact of the mitigating of organizational politics on corporate governance?
3) How does the mitigation of organizational politics impacts on corporate governance, leadership ineffectiveness and corporate performance?

The research will further an understanding and establish the strategies required for the mitigation of organizational politics. It will also help companies to promote good corporate governance, leadership effectiveness and corporate performance.

4.3.3 Research Limitations

The context of research is the Nigeria banking sector. The developed model on organizational politics, corporate governance, leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness and corporate performance may be less applicable to the other sectors of the Nigeria economy. The generalization of the model to the wider economy is therefore limited.

Another limitation is the inherent bias in the research. My own background, ideology and knowledge in the research could be understood in the light of my influence as someone who is informed of the research theme and the Nigerian banking sector.

Also the research spans over five years. Literature reviewed five years ago as basis for interview questions for data collection and analysis might have changed over this period. Therefore, the flows of questioning and data results could have been different if data collected were based on issues as they are today. Variables identified which influenced organizational politics, corporate governance and leadership could have produced different results. Furthermore, although, the techniques employed in data collection were carefully designed and fully followed; there is however a fundamental question about the reliability and validity of the data produced for analysis and findings. The crucial question blowing in the wind is, was data produced by interviewees and documentary evidence done reflections of organizational politics, corporate governance and leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness in the Nigerian banking sector? There may be problems with data quality because there was the assumption that people were telling the truth. The question of reliability and validity of data is largely dependent on the integrity and objectivity of the interviewees. The reliability of data was therefore predicated on what the researcher was told by respondents and the interpretation of documentary evidence and the observation provided.

Lastly, the research is affected by the researcher’s inexperience. However, during the five year period of the research, the researcher developed greater degree of knowledge. This means if the research is to be conducted today; the quality could be extremely improved. The research process has improved various aspects of the researcher’s knowledge and skills; including literature review, data presentation and analysis, research design and presentation of findings which the researcher cannot have the chance to fully apply in this research. The findings could however be grounds for further research, which could impact positively on any identified shortfall.

5. Conclusions

In this study, the concepts of leadership, organizational politics, power and corporate governance were examined. The nexus between organizational politics, corporate governance and leadership effectiveness/ineffectiveness were explored. I found that there are functional and dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics. However, the convergence between effects of the functional and dysfunctional consequences of organizational politics culminates in leadership ineffectiveness. It is established in this research that organizational politics is a determinant of corporate governance configuration. The effectiveness of the leadership in the Nigerian banking sector is now constrained as a result of the collapse of independence, monitoring and control. As a result of crime of obedience, those who occupy sensitive positions who are products of distributed advantages/disadvantages only serve the interest of their principals. Thus, the objective of monitoring, independence and control instituted for the enhancement of corporate governance are subverted. Although the crime of obedience is within the rubrics of organizational politics, it is found in this study as a catalyst which triggers/acts on the elements in corporate governance. The Central Bank of Nigeria (CBN) failed woefully in
acting on the exposed incongruities and corporate lawlessness that are impairing corporate governance in Union Bank. It is clear that CBN as a regulator is now captured by some regulated banks. The ability of CBN to act as an enabler of good corporate governance with a view to enhancing the performance of the Nigerian banking sector is in doubt. To enhance good corporate governance, CBN as a regulator must be regulated and watched closely. The desired change in corporate governance cannot rest on mere codes. It must be driven by strong institutions. To arrest the dictatorship in Nigerian banks which is exacerbating the sordid state of corporate governance, employees and their representatives and other strong institutions must be allowed to exist to constrain the ability of the leadership in the Nigerian banking sector to act arbitrarily. The role of employees and their representatives in the enhancement of good corporate governance should be given further meaning through concentrated share ownership by employees. The attainment of this goal and a synergy between employees and their representatives and strong private sector empowerment regulation will enhance effective corporate governance and corporate performance of banks.
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