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Abstract

This study inspected the effect of job autonomy on job satisfaction and organizational commitment with a moderating role of organizational culture in the fast food sector of Pakistan. A sample of 107 employees was considered for this study. Employees included waiters, managers, supervisors and floor incharges of top fast food restaurants operating in Rawalpindi and Islamabad. Results showed that an increase in job autonomy results in an increased level of job satisfaction and organizational commitment and organizational culture moderates this relationship.
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1. Introduction

Job autonomy is considered as a chief characteristic of work and possibly the most extensively studied job characteristic. Numerous studies have been conducted to examine how work autonomy is associated with certain work outcomes. Research on job autonomy has gained the attention of researchers because of the benefits it brings not only to the individuals but also to the organizations. Job autonomy improves performance because when employees are provided a support to exercise job autonomy then they will consider that they are trusted to perform the task. This perception leads to an increased level of intrinsic motivation and the outcome is effectiveness in work.

Every organization that wants to be successful and to retain its employees must make sure that its employees are satisfied. An organization with a greater number of satisfied employees has the tendency to be more effective and satisfied workers are found to be more productive as compared to the workers who are not satisfied. The purpose of conducting this study was to discover and understand the impact of job autonomy on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in the fast food industry of Pakistan.

The commencement of the food service industry is credited to restaurants that started in France during the eighteenth century. Due to the changes and revolutions over time, it put up the concept of fast food in the food service industry. According to Malaysian Palm Oil Fortune (2011), the fast food industry began in Pakistan formally with the entry of chains like McDonald’s, Pizza Hut and also KFC during 1997 and 1998. The fast food industry nurtured at a speedy rate of nearly 20% per year till 2007 but this growth rate reduced to 10% per annum during 2008 to 2010. The fast food chains operating their business in Pakistan have together more than 200 outlets providing their services to more than twenty thousand people at a time. The fast food sector has molded itself as an organized segment and a huge number of overseas and local chains have established their setups in large cities of Pakistan like Karachi, Lahore and Islamabad. Pizza Hut is leading among the foreign and domestic fast food chains operating in the country with 32% share. The share of KFC is around 25% and McDonald’s is estimated around 16%. Subway is on the fourth rank with a 7% market share whereas the collective contribution by other fast food players is 20%. Work in the fast food industry is so monotonous that employee decision making and freedom of choice have been relegated to the background. In most cases, their work is degraded, estranged and not good enough for the human spirit. Workers consider that their work is a dead-end job which has reduced their
opportunities in life. It is also presumed that there are long working hours, less pay, the monotonous nature of the job and low job security that cause job dissatisfaction.

There is growing evidence to suggest that although employees are working harder and they take their work much seriously but still they seem to be less passionate and less satisfied and less committed to their organization because they are restricted from working freely and making decisions regarding their own work by themselves. Organizational culture is taken as a moderator as leaders are the ones who shape principles and values of an organization and organizational culture controls the way employees make a decision, it guides them about the organizational policies as well as it also represents how much autonomy will be given to employees.

1.1 Research Questions

1) What is the interrelationship between job autonomy, job satisfaction as well as organizational commitment?

2) Does organizational culture moderate the connection between job autonomy, job satisfaction and organizational commitment?

1.2 Research Objectives

➢ To find out how much autonomy is given to employees in the fast food industry of Pakistan.
➢ To find out the job satisfaction level of employees.
➢ To find out what type of organizational culture prevails in the fast food industry of Pakistan.

1.3 Significance

If we talk about Pakistan then much research is conducted on other sectors except fast food to check the job satisfaction level of employees and also their level of commitment to their organizations. Only limited research has been done on fast food sector employees so, the focus of this study was to determine the impact of job autonomy on job satisfaction and organizational commitment in the fast food sector of Pakistan with the moderating role of organizational culture.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Job Autonomy

The theory of work design by Hackman and Oldham is used by many organizations considering it as a foundation for management practices which lead to enhanced motivation, better work performance, and improved satisfaction of employees, decreased level of absenteeism as well as higher commitment. Autonomy is taken as one of numerous essential job design characteristics which have been used by a large number of researchers (Smith, Kot & Leat, 2003).

Job autonomy is defined as the degree to which the job offers considerable liberty, proving free hand and choice to the individual in scheduling the work and also defining the means to achieve the tasks (Hackman & Oldham, 1975; Marchese & Ryan, 2001; Morgeson, Delaney-Klinger & Hemingway, 2005; Parker, Axtell & Turner, 2001). More specifically it can also be defined as the choice and freedom inborn in the job to perform numerous tasks (Brey, 1999).

Autonomy and control are sometimes confused so it is important to distinguish them. Control includes shaping projects and tasks, means of work and also working circumstances whereas Autonomy includes to making free choices from continuous observation and also from interaction with the supervisor (Schwalbe, 1985). In other words autonomy refers to sanctioning one’s actions at a higher level (Dworkin, 1988; Gagne & Deci, 2005).

Professionals can be successful if they are talented and they have ability to compete in their profession, they must use a body of knowledge which supports their work and they must possess autonomy to make decisions in their work (Ozturk, 2011). Autonomy involves responsibility for the outcomes of the work which results in outcomes like high work efficiency and higher levels of intrinsic motivation (Hackman & Oldham, 1976; Langfred & Moye, 2004). Chung (1977) emphasized that autonomy has an impact on work methods, work pace and goal setting. Individuals with autonomy have the liberty to control the pace of work and to regulate work processes and evaluation procedures. Autonomy and independence are not the same as autonomous workers may depend on interpersonal communication in order to complete the interdependent tasks (Dee, Henkin & Chen, 2000).

Job autonomy results in an improved job performance because individuals think and consider themselves skillful and creative in accomplishing their tasks (Saragih, 2011). Individuals who experience high job autonomy are less constrained by the situational factors than the individuals who experience low autonomy (Gellatly &
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Irving, 2001). Work-role transitions theory (Nicholson, 1984) suggested that autonomous workers are able to
determine the means and ends of work, as well as the timing of processes (Black, 1988). From this literature
following hypothesis can be formulated:

H1: Job autonomy is significantly allied to job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

2.2 Organizational Commitment

Organizational commitment forms the basis of a wide-ranging literature which has focused both on the
background of organizational commitment and its consequences on work behavior e.g. turnover, job
performance etc. Research conducted on organizational commitment has given more attention to the
psychological attachment of personnel to their workplaces, possible factors contributing to this attachment and
the consequences of the attachment (Cole & Bruch, 2006) whereas in past organizational commitment was only
limited to the individual’s attachment (behavioral commitment) to the organization (Iqbal, 2010) but now
literature has moved towards its large number of facets that effect organizational outcome.

A study carried out by Jaros in 1997 indicated that loads of studies focusing on organizational commitment have
been published in major organizational behavior journals in the recent years (Leow & Khong, 2009). Researchers are interested in organizational commitment as it is a vital part of the employee’s psychosocial state
because employees with a greater level of organizational commitment are mostly engaged in behaviors like high
performance in job and citizenship activities, which are supposed to be beneficial for the organization
(Podsakoff, MacKenzie, Paine & Bachrach, 2000). Different studies on organizational commitment revealed
that it is based on work experience rather than the selection of employees (Addea, Parboteeah & Velinor, 2008).

Researchers have studied the concept of organizational commitment in a variety of ways. The majority of
research related to organizational commitment can be viewed in terms of attitudinal versus behavioral
conceptualizations (Jamaludin, 2011). Organizational commitment can be defined as the individual’s
identification and his level of engagement with his organization. It reflects an individual’s attitude towards the
goals, objectives and values of the organization as well as his wish to stay with the organization and it also
shows the level of efforts done by the individual. The latter is concerned with behavioral implications, but the
concept focuses more on how individuals take their relationship with their organization and then the attitude of
individuals is based on that relationship (Alhaji & Yusoff, 2012).

Organizational commitment can also be defined as an attitude which involves loyalty of employees to the
organization and it involves the internalization of goals and objectives and the willingness of employees to play
a role in achieving those goals and objectives (Atak, 2011; Altindis, 2011). Porter and his associates in 1974
studied the concept of organizational commitment as the strength of an individual’s identification and his
sincerity with his organization (Angle & Perry, 1981; Yousef, 2003). Therefore it is the attachment of an
individual and sense of loyalty to his organization (Galuic & Anderson, 2000; Hunt & Morgan, 1994).

Generally three elements of commitment are mostly studied which are affective, normative and continuance
(Stevens, Beyer & Trice, 1978; Gonzalez & Guillen, 2008; Kassahun, 2005). All these elements are independent
in nature. They are exposed by individuals at different levels of organization and all of the mentioned types of
commitment are not significantly allied to the organizational performance (Meyer & Allen, 1997) like an
employee with low affective as well as normative commitment however with high level of continuance
commitment does not perform very well. The reason why such employee is associated with an organization is
because he has no good job opportunity.

Literature on organizational commitment is based on two major lines: the exchange approach and the investment
approach. According to social exchange approach responsibilities result from the interactions and exchanges
between parties who are in a state of joint interdependence (Saks, 2006; Sinclair, Leo & Wright, 2005). This approach states that the organizational commitment of an individual is dependent on individual’s
perceived balance of reward benefits over input utilities. In other words it focuses on the exchange relation
between an individual and an organization. The more favorable the exchange is from the individual’s point of
view the greater is his level of commitment to the organization (Johnson, Korsgaard & Sapienza, 2002; Mohamed, Taylor & Hassan, 2006).

The investment approach focuses on the time element. The longer the duration of employment of an individual
in an organization, the more that individual wants to stay. This is mainly dependent on various
acknowledgements such as tenure and pension benefits (Abdulla & Shaw, 1999).

When more responsibilities are given to employees, they are assigned tasks with great rewards, they are
provided with decision making power and are given a platform to share their ideas then they feel more
empowered and will be more committed to the organization (Sarboland, 2012). Employees who are loyal, devoted and sincere to the organizations in which they are working are less engaged in thinking of leaving and are willing to accept change (Lo, Ramayah & Min, 2009). From this the following hypothesis can be formulated:

H2: Organizational commitment is significantly allied to job autonomy.

2.3 Job Satisfaction

Job satisfaction has become a highly important subject in social sciences. It has been debated in the literature that answers to questions about how people feel about their job are not worthless but instead they convey important information on the individual’s behavior such as job quit (Shields & Price, 2002), productivity and absenteeism (Kehinde, 2011). Moreover, job satisfaction also plays a vital role in predicting the well-being of an employee (Judge & Watanabe, 1993).

Any organization that wants to be successful and to retain the employees must make sure that its employees are satisfied (Berry, 1997). An organization with greater number of satisfied employees has the tendency to be more effective (Robbins & Judge, 2007) and satisfied workers are found to be more productive as compared to the workers who are not satisfied.

Job satisfaction, its causes, reasons, its elements and features have been defined by many researchers across many perspectives. Some of the most commonly used definitions of job satisfaction include Porter, Bacon, Robbins and Higman (1975) who defined job satisfaction as one’s reaction against his/her profession or organization. Ellickson and Logsdon (2002), defined job satisfaction as the degree to which employees are attracted towards their work. Weiss (2002), demarcated job satisfaction as a positive or pleasurable emotive and expressive state which is a result of the evaluation of one’s own job or job experiences. According to Lease (1998), job satisfaction is actually the affective commitment of an individual towards his role in the organization and Oshagbemi (2003) defined job satisfaction results from comparing desired outcomes with actual outcomes.

Job Characteristics Model (Hackman & Oldham, 1976) stated that the jobs which are created by keeping in mind the factor of intrinsic motivation lead to increased job satisfaction. An intrinsically motivating job contains five job characteristic like task identity, task significance, skill variety, autonomy and feedback. According to this theory the task itself is a way to motivate employees and the jobs which contain these five core job characteristics are found to be more motivating and satisfying as compared to the jobs that don’t contain these characteristics. Similarly Rose (2001) studied job satisfaction across two main dimensions i.e. intrinsic and extrinsic sources. Intrinsic sources depend on characteristics of the person himself such as his initiative taking nature, dealings and his association with his supervisors and his own performance. Extrinsic sources include financial and materialistic rewards such as bonus, higher rank or position or job security. According to him in order to be satisfied both the facets i.e. intrinsic and extrinsic are equally important.

Majority of the employees seek autonomy in order to perform effectively. Few researchers have found an encouraging bond between autonomy and job satisfaction. Individuals feel proud of their jobs if they are given autonomy (Mehmood, Irum, Ahmed & Sultana, 2012). From this the following hypothesis can be formulated:

H3: Job satisfaction is significantly allied to job autonomy.

2.4 Organizational Culture

Traditionally, culture as a scientific subject was studied by the anthropologists (van Muijen et al., 1999). For over a century the concept of culture has been central to anthropology and folklore studies. Practitioners from these disciplines have produced a massive body of literature and during the 1940s and 1950s some of their research was about the customs and traditions of the work organizations (Hatch, 1993). The concept of organizational culture was first introduced in the 1970s and 1980s (McSweeney, 2002). Organizational research initially focused on the corporate climate, but in the 1980s organizational climate was swapped by a notion of organizational culture to some extent. Some theorists have confused both the terms. These two constructs have close similarities but there are also distinct differences. One major difference is that climate focuses on the perceptions of individuals about an idea or a thing, whereas culture includes values, and patterns of behavior (Leidner & Kayworth, 2006).

The word culture describes the effects of people’s behaviors not only on the level of their organizations but also on the level of society. Culture is viewed as a means of thinking, feeling, and also reacting that helps in differentiating members of one group from other groups (Tosi & Greckhamer, 2004; Wilcoxon & Millett, 2000). Everyone knows that every corporation has a unique culture (Chang, 2011; Hofstede, 2002) and it improves over time to reflect the identity of an organization across two dimensions: visible and invisible. Mission, philosophy
and values are reflected in the visible dimension of culture and the invisible dimension includes a set of values that guide employees in case of their actions and perceptions (Al-Alawi, Al-Marzooqi & Mohammed, 2007). Organizations are structures and arrangements created by individuals and organizational culture is a result of the activities of those individuals. It is socially constructed. Individuals then create, manage and change the culture according to their way of thinking and needs (Pettigrew, 1979). Organizational culture is a product of people who may at first share some beliefs and then who build and encourage a more fully developed ethos that defines that group's relationship and purpose. The social nature of organizational culture indicates that each culture is unique; although there will be commonalities among organizations but no two organizations will be exactly identical (Budd, 1996). According to researchers like Trice and Beyer culture is a result of ideologies. These ideologies are sometimes not understood by the members of an organization and they are even not shared by all members but they are still encouraged to engage in some behaviors while staying away from others (Haas & Hwang, 2007).

According to a theorist Schein, organizational culture is set of expectations and assumptions which a group learns as it engages itself in solving its problems. So organizational culture is an outcome of group experiences (Ogbonna & Harris, 2000; Lim, 1995).

Researchers give credit to the culture of an organization for being successful. Theorists have found that successful companies had cultures that were passed on through story or its legends and thus motivated their employees. Organizational culture is one of the fundamental determinants of progress in management. It forms the base of a firm’s identity and it shows the manner in which the companies operate in the market (Jakonis, 2009). Culture is also important because it helps to balance organizational structure by filling in the gaps that exist in formal organizational structures (James, 2000). Some scholars have viewed organizational culture as a property of the group or an organization itself, like structure or technology. Others have viewed it as something that resides within each individual as a function of cognitive and learning processes (Deshpande & Webster, 1989).

Organizational culture can affect the way people set goals both personal and professional and also how they perform their jobs. In other words organizational cultures affects the way in which people think, feel, perceive and act (Lok & Crawford, 2003). Due to this reason organizational culture is often mentioned as the main reason of the failure of an organization and this failure occurs because it doesn’t change its culture with change in needs and time (Lin nenluecke & Griffiths, 2010).

Organizational culture has a great impact on employees both in direct and indirect way. Researchers suggest that organizational culture influences the productivity of an organization, effectiveness, its performance, job satisfaction, commitment, innovativeness and leadership and decision-making (Mehr, Emadi, Cheraghian, Roshani & Behzadi, 2012). From this discussion following hypothesis can be formulated:

H4: Organizational culture plays a moderating role between the relationship of job satisfaction and organizational culture.
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3. Methodology

3.1 Research Design

This study was conducted with an intention to study the impact of job autonomy on job satisfaction and organizational commitment with the moderating role of organizational culture in the fast food sector of Pakistan.
3.2 Quantitative Research
This study was quantitative as data were collected with the help of questionnaires from respondents and then data were analyzed with the help of SPSS.

3.3 Cross Sectional Study
This study was cross sectional in nature as data were collected only once from respondents and was then analyzed with the help of SPSS.

3.4 Unit of Analysis
For this study unit of analysis was an individual.

3.5 Population
The subject of this study was waiters, managers, supervisors and floor incharges working in fast food restaurants of twin city i.e. Rawalpindi and Islamabad. Famous fast food restaurants operating in twin city are Pizza hut, McDonalds, KFC, Subway, Hardees, SavourCrispo, Domino’s Pizza and Pappasallis. In this study all of the mentioned restaurants were targeted. 200 questionnaires were distributed but only 107 were returned back and this made the response rate as 53.5%.

Table 1. Sample characteristics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Range</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percentage</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gender</td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>91.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>8.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Qualification</td>
<td>Intermediate or less</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>48.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bachelors</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Masters</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>13.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>20-30</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>37.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>31-40</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>46.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>41-50</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>15.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Job Title</td>
<td>Waiter</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>71.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manager</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Supervisor</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Floor incharge</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6 Procedure
Data were collected with the help of personally administered questionnaires in order to save time. Out of 200 questionnaires 57 were left with the waiters, managers, supervisors and floor incharges as they were busy and they resisted filling the questionnaires due to time constraint. All of them were assured about the confidentiality of research.

3.7 Sampling Technique
For this study convenient sampling technique was used and questionnaires were given only to those waiters, managers, supervisors and floor incharges who were conveniently available.

3.8 Instrument
3.8.1 Demographics
Demographics section included age, gender, education and job title.

3.8.2 Job Autonomy
For the measurement of job autonomy three items were adopted from Bashir, S.(2011). Questionnaire was based on 5-point scale i.e. 1 stood for strongly disagree, 2 stood for disagree, 3 stood for neutral, 4 stood for agree and 5 stood for strongly agree.
3.8.3 Job Satisfaction
For the measurement of job satisfaction five items were adopted from Hassall, S. L. (2009). Questionnaire was based on 5-point scale i.e. 1 stood for strongly disagree, 2 stood for disagree, 3 stood for neutral, 4 stood for agree and 5 stood for strongly agree.

3.8.4 Organizational Commitment
For the measurement of job autonomy eight items were adopted from Bashir, S. (2011). Questionnaire was based on 5-point scale i.e. 1 stood for strongly disagree, 2 stood for disagree, 3 stood for neutral, 4 stood for agree and 5 stood for strongly agree.

3.8.5 Organizational Culture
For the measurement of organizational culture fourteen items were adopted from Vadi, A., Allik, J., & Realo, A. (2002). Questionnaire was based on 5-point scale i.e. 1 stood for strongly disagree, 2 stood for disagree, 3 stood for neutral, 4 stood for agree and 5 stood for strongly agree.

Table 2. Reliability statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Cronbach’s Alpha</th>
<th>No of items</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.823</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Detail of variables

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Adopted from</th>
<th>Number of items</th>
<th>Reliability</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>Bashir, S. (2011)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>.721</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>Hassall, S. L. (2009)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>.872</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC (Organizational Commitment)</td>
<td>Bashir, S. (2011)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>.817</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Results
From the demographics section it can be seen that 98 respondents were males and only 9 were females. It shows that there is male dominance in the fast food sector of Pakistan. Majority of the respondents were intermediate or less than intermediate. Only one respondent had a Master of Science (MS) degree. 40 respondents had bachelor’s degree. It shows fast food sector of Pakistan is far behind in case of education.

4.1 Hypothesis Testing
With the help of literature review four hypotheses were developed and correlation as well as regression analysis were conducted with the help of SPSS in order to test those hypotheses. All the four hypotheses were accepted and it was found that job autonomy is significantly allied to job satisfaction and organizational commitment and organizational culture plays a moderating role in-between the relationship of job autonomy, job satisfaction and organizational commitment.

Table 4. Correlation analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>SD</th>
<th>JA</th>
<th>JS</th>
<th>OC (Organizational Commitment)</th>
<th>OC(Organizational Culture)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>3.33</td>
<td>.593</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JS</td>
<td>3.29</td>
<td>.593</td>
<td></td>
<td>.332**</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC (Organizational Commitment)</td>
<td>3.07</td>
<td>.838</td>
<td>.412**</td>
<td>.352**</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OC(Organizational Culture)</td>
<td>3.19</td>
<td>.707</td>
<td>.099**</td>
<td>.315</td>
<td>.114</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: ** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).
Table 5. Regression analysis with job satisfaction as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>.583</td>
<td>6.941</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


Table 6. Regression analysis with organizational commitment as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>t value</th>
<th>Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td>5.387</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

N=107, R square=.110, Adjusted R Square: .106, F: 29.024, Dependent Variable: Organizational Commitment.

Table 7. Moderated regression analysis with organizational commitment as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Change in R square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>.332</td>
<td>.110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA*OC</td>
<td>.433</td>
<td>.188</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 8. Moderated regression analysis with job satisfaction as dependent variable

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Beta</th>
<th>R square</th>
<th>Change in R square</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>JA</td>
<td>.583</td>
<td>.170</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JA*OC</td>
<td>.594</td>
<td>.175</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

5. Discussion

This study focused on four variables i.e. one independent variable, two dependent variables and a moderator in fast food restaurants of Rawalpindi and Islamabad, Pakistan. Increase in job autonomy was found to be significantly allied with an increase in job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Significant level of support was also found for the moderating role of organizational culture in between the relationship of job autonomy, job satisfaction and organizational commitment. Job autonomy upsurges job satisfaction and organizational commitment because employees feel they are trusted by their organizations for tasks. This results in a higher level of intrinsic motivation and job satisfaction and more committed will be employees. If employees of an organization are committed then they will make serious efforts to learn, improve and grow.

In fast food sector of Pakistan employees are not very well educated but still they are contentednd happy with their jobs. They have freedom of work and they can make small decisions themselves. It's in the culture of fast food sector to give free hand to their employees so that their performance can be enhanced. Managers of fast food sector should give autonomy to employees after evaluating their performance as sometimes job autonomy can also result in job dissatisfaction. Need for autonomy in job varies with the personality of an individual. Some employees can’t work and move without direction from a manager and don’t feel comfortable with autonomy provided to them because they don’t want to take responsibility of the outcomes of the tasks. Moreover if employees are not well trained but still they are provided autonomy then it will lead to poor performance.
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