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Abstract

The aim of this paper is to review research available on gender and nationality as determinants of leadership style, with special focus on top leaders/managers. The paper is based on a systematic literature review. The systematic literature search resulted in 27 papers, that were grouped in five categories: 1) Leaders’ characteristics, behavior and style, 2) Perception regarding leaders, their traits and leadership styles, 3) Women’s barriers towards leader positions, 4) Leadership outcome/results, 5) Effect of research methods on leader evaluation. Questionnaires were the most used research method in the reviewed studies. Almost half of the papers focus on the US, while the rest deal with African, Asian, and European countries. In this review, we found that women and men have a very similar perception of a successful manager. However, women and men display differences in their leader behavior and characteristics, and way of leading. “Results/outcomes” of such a leadership can differ. The review shows, furthermore, that a glass ceiling and other barriers for women do still exist. The paper ends by identifying the needs for further research in the study area.
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1. Introduction

Ever-increasing globalization is a challenge for leadership since it tends to lower prizes, escalate innovation and improve services. All this contributes to a need for new thinking in leadership. At the same time, leadership and organizations are rooted in a national context. It is surprising, however, that analysis of the interrelation of leadership style and nationality – appears to play an insignificant role in the leadership literature. Some scholars describe leadership in a context of “country” (Bass, 1990). From available data, it can be noted, that nationality influences leaders, but it is not necessarily the main factor of analysis (Cames, Vinnicombe & Singh, 2001). This strong social phenomenon is clearly under-researched in the context of leadership, even though it is evident that people around the globe describe their lives in terms of national culture (Kramer, 2011). This is surprising, as national features and the importance of nationality become more prominent during crises or conflicts. In the aftermath of the financial crisis of 2008 and warnings about a “second wave” of global crises, we need more knowledge on the national effect on leadership styles.

Another vital development of leadership is the growing participation of women in the labor markets, especially in services. As a consequence of this, more and more women are entering leadership positions in organizations. What impact does this have on leadership styles? Here, national differences are quite prevalent in the participation rates of women, and their number in management and on boards of directors. This seems to be an effect of official policies (maternity leaves; availability of pre-schools, the tax system, gender quotas on company boards, etc.), as well as the educational system, the national culture and the struggle of feminist movements.

Few studies have focused on gender, leadership behavior and top management (Eagly & Karau, 2002; Bartol, Martin, & Kromkowski, 2003). Hence, one of the goals of this systematic review is to retrieve available data regarding research on top management levels in business in relation to their leadership style, gender and nationality. Leadership style is a rather constant pattern of behavior (Dubrin, 2010) and behavior is a response of an organism “which been attributed to a great variety of causes located within and outside of people.” (Reber, Allen, & Reber, 2009). People tend to have certain a pattern in leadership – a style. But it seems, that how they respond (behave) depends on various factors such as: culture, gender, nationality, and historical aspects.

The aim of this paper is to review available research on gender and nationality as determinants of leadership
style, with special focus on top leaders/managers in order to identify gaps in our understanding. The literature
gives us a clear understanding as to differences in gender leadership (Eagly, Johannesen – Schmidt & van Engen,
2003; Gurian & Annis, 2008). It is also evident, that national context and national culture influences a leader’s
behavior (Schein, 2004, House, Hanges, Javidan, Dorfman, & Gupta, 2004). The following research questions
were formulated to guide our search: 1) Which studies have been conducted that focus on gender and nationality
in leadership 2) What were the main findings of the studies 3) Where were these studies conducted 4) Which
methods were used?

The next section of the paper outlines a literature review on gender, nationality and leadership. Then the
methodology of the literature review is presented, followed by a presentation of the findings of reviewed papers.
The paper ends with a discussion and conclusions and guides the way towards further research in the study area.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Leadership Style and Gender

In general, researchers have focused on three topics in relation to gender and leadership. First, scholars have
paid attention to whether there is a difference in the leadership styles of men and women? Second, the attention
has been on gender and leadership effectiveness (are men better leaders that women or vice versa). Third, the
focus has been on the glass ceiling, or the obstacles that prevent women from reaching top management
positions in organizations (Northouse, 2007).

It should be mentioned, that there is considerable confusion in the literature regarding the terminology used:
concepts like “gender”, “sex”, “women”, “men”, “feminine”, and “masculine” are frequent when discussing
leadership style. Some sources define basic terms in a precise manner (Cames, et al, 2001), while others use the
terms interchangeably (Northouse, 2007). This may, at times, obstruct an interpretation of study results.

Regarding the gender differences in leadership styles, findings are contradictory. Some papers do support the
evidence of differences among genders in leadership style. Even though Eagly and Johnson (1990) came to the
conclusion that there were only small differences in leadership styles, they stress that female leaders in
organizational settings tend to be more democratic and participative than men, who tend to lean more towards
autocratic behavior. Rosener (1990) also found that males adopted a more “transactional” leadership style
wheras women rather leaned towards a “transformational” leadership style. This was also confirmed by a
meta-analysis done by Eagly, Johannesen – Schmidt, and van Engen (2003). Helgesen (1990) concluded that
women prefer a “web”-organization instead of the hierarchical structure of the company. Some studies have also
shown a difference in the self-perception of male and female managers (Vinnicombe&Cames, 1998).

Other studies do present little or no differences in leadership style among men and women. For instance the
study by Bartol (1978), the meta-analysis by Dobbins and Platz (1986), as well as findings by Powell (1990)
found few, if any arguments for differences in gender styles of leadership. However, the industry where leaders
work can have an impact here. In male-dominated industries, women tend to show leadership styles in ways
similar to men in those industries, even to demonstrate a more stereotypically masculine style of leadership than
males (Gardiner & Tiggemann, 1990, Eagly & Johnson, 1990, Ferrario & Davidson, 1991). These findings
support Kanter’s (1977) conclusions were he states that when in a minority women alter their management style,
in order to lessen perceived differences by men.

Gender behavior seems to have changed in time. Evidence shows that women have changed; they have become
more androgynous, whereas men have changed very little (Twenge, 2001; Konrad, Ritchie, Lieb&Corrigall,
2000). This fact suggests the need of continuous longitudinal approach to gender – leadership research, in order
to grasp the changes in this field and attain more accuracy.

Studies on effectiveness of leadership style revealed that women and men are equally effective leaders.
Differences merely appeared in gender evaluation; men and women were more effective in roles that were
appropriate to their gender (Eagly, Karau & Makhijani, 1995).

Glass ceiling is a widely discussed phenomenon in leadership literature. The existence of this invisible barrier
which prevents women from attaining leader positions is explained by lack of human capital, as well as by
gender differences and prejudice. The reasons mentioned for the limited numbers of women in top management
positions are among others that they take on significantly more domestic responsibilities, have less work
experience, show different leadership styles, they more often assume informal leadership roles, and
self-promotion among women is judged more harshly than among men (Northouse, 2007). The glass ceiling has
been slowly changing in recent years due to adjustments in values with the increased labor force participation of
women, altered legislation, changes in organizations, business culture, and among women themselves (Goethals,
2.2 Leadership Style, Culture and Nationality

There is no doubt that culture influences leaders (Hofstede, 1996, Schein, 2004, House, et al., 2004). Even though definitions of culture vary a lot, in general it has been understood as the way of life, customs, and script of a group of people (Gudykunst & Ting–Toomey, 1988).

Three different research traditions have studied the effect of culture and societal institutions on leadership and corporate organization. On the one hand, sociologists are looking at societal institutions, such as industrial relations, the education system, labor markets, career systems of managers, culture etc. and their effect on behavior in firms (Gallie, 1978, Lane, 1989, Maurice et al., 1986). On the other hand, it is the approach of Hofstede (1996) and the GLOBE-research group (House et al. 2004) focusing on how cultural values have an impact on behavior, and how people view leadership. Finally, there is research on ethnicity in multicultural societies.

Leadership and culture has been described in a number of iconic books: Hofstede (1996), House et al. (2004), Bass and Bass (2008), etc. All of them identify differences in leadership styles in relation to culture: attitudes, perception, societal effects, etc. The majority of works in this field focus on categorizing countries, in order to help business function in a globalizing world. As a result of his multi-nation study Hofstede (1996) devised four dimensions (power distance, individualism, masculinity, and uncertainty avoidance) to characterize cross-cultural differences. GLOBE research had focused on leadership worldwide, analyzing different cultures, in order to come up with “universal” attributes of leadership (House et al., 2004, Hofstede, 1996).

Ethnicity has received rather limited attention in relation to leadership style (Butterfield & Grinnell, 1999; Cleveland, Stockdale, & Murphy, 2000). It is noted that research on ethnicity in leadership is particularly applicable in multicultural societies (Selvarajah & Meyer, 2006). Research suggests ethnic differences in leadership are likely to exist (Kanter, 1977; Bartol, 1978) and there is evidence to support it (Selvarajah & Meyer, 2006).

In order to understand cultural and national impacts on leadership we need a synthesis of former research. Although national culture has a strong influence on leadership and even gender, national policies have an influence as well. There we see availability of men and women to participate in the labor market (pre-school availability, parental leave), educational potential, equal opportunities, as well as the possibilities of ethnic groups to advance in firms. Here the national entity is the best unit of analysis.

3. Methodology of Systematic Literature Review

In this review process, principles of systematic review were adopted, as recommended by Jesson, Matheson & Lacey (2011):

1) Mapping the field through a scoping review
2) Comprehensive search
3) Quality assessment
4) Data extraction
5) Synthesis
6) Write up

First, a research plan was developed comprising the research questions of interest, the keywords, and a set of inclusion and exclusion criteria. Research that would focus on gender and nationality “impact” on leadership style was of particular interest. Which aspects of this relationship have been researched? Which methods have been used? In which parts of the world, which countries has this relationship been researched? We had a special interest in finding out what conclusions from research on leadership style-gender-nationality are available with the focus on top business leaders?
After a general literature search and careful consideration, it was early decided to focus on key phrase “leadership style(s)”, not on “leadership”- as this term is interdisciplinary and can be used in a very broad sense. This validity of the consideration was checked by conducting a ProQuest search on “leadership AND/OR gender, nationality”. The search resulted in an unsatisfactory outcome in terms of the number of publications – giving more than 139,000 hits. Many of those were concerned with the terminology or conceptual/philosophical aspect of the subject and were not relevant.

Specific search was created by use of inclusion and exclusion search criteria. Inclusion criteria were: ProQuest database, publication period 2000 – 2012, English language, and full text, peer reviewed, “leadership style*” (truncation character was included in order to eliminate possibility of database excluding articles with word ‘styles’). The key -words were combined using the Boolean operator AND or OR with the second keyword “gender”, AND or OR “nationality”. At this stage, exclusion criteria for the search were: other than English language, published prior to the year 2000. It was decided to produce data sheet that would consist of key aspects of field of interest. In this case it was: author(s), year of publication, place of research, research aim/objective, research method, main findings.

The reason for starting our search at the year 2000 was two fold: 1) ProQuest statistics shows, that there was a steep growth in research within leadership field from 2000. 2) Our focus is on the most recent research within the field.

As the key words/phrases were specified, the search on ProQuest was made. Defined search “Leadership style*” AND/OR “gender” /”nationality” produced 2622 hits. After reading titles and abstracts, the number of articles was narrowed, focusing only on those related to top leaders and top management. After this stage, the number of articles was reduced to 455.

After reading the titles, abstracts and conclusions, a decision was made for further review. The following articles were included in the review: (i) those related to business leadership. (ii) drew connection between leadership/leadership style/management and gender/nationality. (iii) were based on empirical research. Figure 1 shows the search process.

After the screening, 27 full text papers were reviewed and analyzed. All the 27 articles were then grouped according to the focus of their research and findings.

---

**Exclusion criteria:**

Other than top leader/top management orientation

**Exclusion criteria:**

(i) Abstracts, opinions, theoretical debate, etc. (ii) not related with business leadership (iii) no connection between leadership style/management and gender/nationality, (iv) focused on politics or religion

---

**TITLES IDENTIFIED FOR TITLE AND ABSTRACT REVIEW**

n= 2622

**ABSTRACT AND CONCLUSION/SUMMARY REVIEW**

n= 455

**FULL TEXT ARTICLES REVIEWED AND INCLUDED**

n= 27

---

Figure 1. Search flow for leadership style vs. gender and/or nationality
4. Presentation of Findings

The 27 articles cover different aspects of leadership, gender and nationality. The US dominates the research scene; 46% of the papers deal with an American situation. The other half of the papers is quite diverse geographically and covers the following countries: Australia, Brazil, China, Egypt, Georgia, India, Lebanon, Luxemburg, Sweden, Italy, Nigeria, Slovenia, Turkey, and the UK.

4.1 Research Methods

Questionnaires are the most used research methodology in the published papers; 16 papers use this research method. In other papers the methodology was based on interviews, focus groups, experiments, and analyzing secondary data.

4.2 Content Analysis

The 27 papers were analyzed in order to find common themes. Six themes were identified, and they are: 1) Leadership characteristics, behavior and style, 2) Perception regarding leaders, their traits and leadership style, 3) Women barriers towards leader position, 4) Leadership outcome/results, and 5) The effect of research methods on leader evaluation.

4.3 Leadership Characteristics, Behavior and Style

Ten papers focused on leadership behavior.

Table 1. Literature on leader characteristics, style and behavior

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research aim/objectives</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Machado, et al.</td>
<td>2002</td>
<td>To generate new knowledge about Brazilian entrepreneurs (Brazil)</td>
<td>Integrated (mixed)</td>
<td>Males showed less inclination for consulting employees in their decision – making process; internal regulations and norms observation was considered more fundamental by men; men indicated a larger planning valorization. But in general men and women run their business in a very similar way. Notwithstanding significant differences between men's and women's declarative styles, there are insignificant differences in the overall leadership styles of male and female managers; generally there are no significant differences between the leadership behavior of men and women managers in the UK Women and men who described themselves as possessing a greater amount of masculine characteristics were more likely to aspire to top management; women were less likely to aspire to top management than men</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Titus, Gill</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>To examine leadership style and behavior of UK managers (UK)</td>
<td>Questionnaire (survey)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell, Butterfield</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>To compare differences in aspiration to top management (USA)</td>
<td>Data from a few other surveys</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartol, et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>To test whether gender norms may partially account for the leadership behavior of female leaders; to explore possible ethnic</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Female middle managers and female executives were rated higher in interpersonal, goals and task leader behaviors; female majority executives seemed to have more in common with male leaders</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
influences on leadership behavior (Context suggests USA)

To examine relationships among leader gender, social and emotional skills, and charismatic leadership (Context suggests USA)

Females scored higher on social and emotional skills, and charismatic leadership; leader tenure predicted leader social skills but was unrelated to leader emotional skills, which demonstrated the strongest relationship to leader gender and charismatic leadership

Jamali, et al. 2008

To present an exploratory study of EI in the Lebanese context, investigating empirically variations in EI competency scores (Lebanon)

Questionnaire (survey)

Differences in EI scores across different EI competencies for males and females, with males scoring higher on self-regulation and self-motivation, and females scoring higher on self-awareness, empathy and social skills; EI levels increase significantly with managerial position

Fusun 2010

To investigate differences of leadership styles of Turkish men and women (Turkey)

Questionnaire

Men and women managers have no differences in team-oriented and participative leadership styles; the pre-managerial and managerial experiences of women managers have a bigger effect on leadership styles than those of men managers

Davis, et al. 2010

To test for gender effects in conflict behaviors by examining the ratings made by the bosses, peers, and subordinates (USA)

Analyses of database (data collected in previous research)

Found gender differences in 12 out of 14 behaviors. All groups rated women as behaving in more active constructive ways, and men behaving in more active destructive ways. Women exceed men on avoiding and self-criticizing. There was no difference between genders regarding hiding emotions.

Lansford, et al. 2010

To identify common leadership themes among female leaders in non-profit organizations (Context suggests USA)

Interview

Female leaders in non-profit organizations primarily incorporate collaborative leadership and servant leadership; the leaders value the use of a distinctly feminine style and approach to leadership, and are very comfortable in utilizing their gender differences in their roles

Bostjancic 2010

To answer what the personality characteristics of successful managers are and what role emotional intelligence plays in them (Slovenia)

Questionnaire (Survey)

Woman managers are better able to control their emotions and do not react to conflict or emotional situations as sharply as men, although they are affected by stronger feelings
Only one research project in this section presents findings related to national differences: Bartol et al. (2003) look into differences depending on ethnicity. Bartol et al. (2003) findings show that majority (dominating ethnicity) managers were rated higher than minority ones, and majority female managers were rated higher than majority males.

The other paper in this section deals with gender differences. They show gender differences regarding leadership style (Hilka et al., 2002; Titus & Gill, 2003; Lansford et al., 2010), leader behavior (Bartol et al., 2003; Davis et al., 2010; Groves, 2005), and other behavior characteristics (Fusun, 2010; Powell & Butterfield, 2003; Jamali et al., 2008; Bostjancic, 2010). Conclusions from this section suggest that women are less likely to advance to top management positions. Women managers tend to control their emotions and do not react to conflict or emotional situations as sharply as men. Women rated higher on interpersonal-, goal- and task- leader behaviors; they avoid self-criticism and score higher on social and emotional skills, and charismatic leadership, compared to men. This seems to be the case in all the countries studied, so nationality appears to have little effect here. Although five of the papers report on studies in the US, we even find studies from Brazil, Lebanon, Slovenia, UK, and Turkey.

4.4 Perception Regarding Leaders, Their Traits and Leadership Styles

This group of articles presents research regarding perception of leadership styles, traits, etc. An attempt was made to identify whether there is an interrelation between existing perceptions towards leaders, depending on gender or/and nationality.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research aim/objectives</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Cames, et al.       | 2001  | To investigate profiles of "successful managers" held by male and female managers working in ten European banks operating in Luxembourg, see whether differences in perceived successful leadership styles exist between the banks (Luxemburg) | Mixed method (Personality attribute questionnaire + semi structured interview)               | Gender differences more significant than nationality of the bank in determining perceptions of "the successful manager"
| Vinnicombe, Singh   | 2002  | To identify the managers' own management styles, and their perceptions of the style of "the successful manager" who had reached the top team in their organization (British/Australian)                                                   | Questionnaire (postal survey)                                                             | Women are less likely to identify with, and be seen as identifying with the current model of leadership in their organization; they see themselves as androgynous or feminine, but they see top managers as significantly more masculine than themselves
| Testa               | 2004  | To assess whether similarity in national culture between service leaders and subordinates impacted perceived leadership style, goal clarity and organizational                                                                 | Questionnaire (survey)                                                                     | Employees who report to managers from the same country report higher levels of consideration behaviors on the part of their manager and higher overall organizational |
Atwater, et al. 2004

To investigate the extent to which sub roles inherent in managerial positions are gender-typed and whether men or women engage in relatively more gender typing of managerial roles (USA)

Sümer 2006

To explore the dimensionality of the ratings made for a successful middle manager (Turkey)

Casimir, Waldman 2007

To compare perceptions of the importance of 18 traits for effective low level leaders and high-level leaders (Australia/China)

Rule, Ambady 2009

To experiment and compare judgments of personality traits or leadership ability from the faces (USA)

- National culture interrelation with leadership perception was noted in three papers. Research by Cames et al. (2001) showed that national differences in perception do exist, but genders differences are more obvious. Casimar and Waldman (2007) present opposite findings in research results; they found cultural differences (but not gender) in terms of which traits are regarded as important for effective leadership. The authors concluded that culturally endorsed interpersonal norms and the requirements of the leadership role are determinants of perceived importance of specific leadership traits (Casimar & Waldman, 2007). Testa (2004) concluded that employees who reported to managers from the same country reported higher levels of consideration behaviors on part of their manager and higher overall organizational satisfaction.

- Regarding leadership perception and gender we find varied conclusions. Cames et al. (2001) for instance, present a perception towards a “successful manager”. Women are more convinced than men that a successful manager is male. One third of women and men perceived a successful manager’s leadership style to be masculine. A high level of agreement between male and female perceptions was noted as to the level of instrumental traits which successful managers should possess. Interestingly, both male and female managers tended to use the pronoun "he" when describing a successful manager. It seems, therefore, that females continue to believe that the successful manager has a masculine or instrumental leadership style. These findings can be complemented with results from Sumer’s (2006) research, which identified adjectives for a successful middle manager. From three main adjectives identified, women are perceived to be: relatively high in relationship-orientation, relatively low in task-orientation, and relatively low in emotional stability. Differences
in perception are described by Leane et al. (2004) where they write that some managerial sub-roles are gender-typed as feminine or masculine and there are differences in gender propensity to perceive these roles. Vinnicombe and Singh (2002) state that the current model of leadership is one which women are less likely to identify with, and be seen as identifying with; women see themselves as either androgy nous or feminine, but they see top managers as more masculine than themselves. These patterns seem independent of the national context of study.

Rule and Ambady (2009) brought up an interesting angle towards perceptions of leaders: the relationship between a leader’s looks and perceived success. Their study did not find any relationship between a leader’s looks and perceived success.

Here we notice two different patterns: on the one hand, it seems that nationality has an impact on the perception of successful leadership, and on the relationship between leaders and subordinates. On the other hand, we note gender differences independent of national culture: men and women identify sub-roles and traits as masculine or feminine by nature. They describe a successful leadership style as masculine.

4.5 Women Barriers towards Leader Position

Articles in this section cite numerous examples of evidence of the existence of barriers facing women who aspire to leadership positions; as well as the existence of a glass ceiling.

Table 3. Literature on barriers towards leader position

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research aim/objectives</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Liu, Wilson</td>
<td>2001</td>
<td>Research: gender disparity, pay differentials, family responsibility, and age concern (UK)</td>
<td>Mixed &quot;structured questionnaire&quot; and this was followed up with semi-structured &quot;personal interviews&quot; and &quot;focus group discussions&quot;)</td>
<td>All four issues have created a barrier to women's development in the business world; these issues can therefore be deemed endemic to women's lives and indeed their careers</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Goodman, et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>To investigate variables that differentiate work establishments that have women in top management positions from those that do not (Georgia)</td>
<td>Data used from other survey</td>
<td>Women are more likely to occupy top management ranks in establishments that have more lower level management positions filled by women, have a higher management turnover, have lower average management salary levels, place greater emphasis on development and promotion of employees, and operate in non-manufacturing industries</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bartol, et al.</td>
<td>2003</td>
<td>To test if gender norms may partially account for the leadership behavior of female leaders; to explore possible ethnic influences on leadership behavior (USA from the context)</td>
<td>Questionnaire</td>
<td>Organizational and gender norms influence the behavior of upwardly mobile female leaders in hierarchical business organizations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kattara</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>To provide a profile of female managers in Egypt, determining their positions in hotel departments, and</td>
<td>Interview</td>
<td>The majority of female managers are not in situations that would lead them to the positions of general managers; factors preventing female managers</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
investigating the challenges preventing them from reaching top management positions (Egypt)

Jain, Mukherji 2010

To explore if a glass ceiling really exists in the Indian corporate world, to understand the male psyche (India)

Questionnaire

A huge gap between objective facts and subjective perception: while Indian men are in denial about the existence of a glass ceiling, the underlying stereotypes are pretty well-embedded

The barriers identified by research within this group range from perception (Jain & Mukherji, 2010) to cultural conflict, gender discrimination, and stereotyping (Kattara, 2005; Liu & Wilson, 2001). The geographical coverage of research in this field is wide: Egypt, Georgia, India, UK, and USA. None of the studies presented in the papers are of a comparative nature. However, the evidence is clear: the problems and obstacles women face in leadership are of a similar character independent of country of origin.

4.6 Leadership Outcome/Results

Four articles were indicated in this group. None of the articles had a specific focus on national culture.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research aim/objectives</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Moore, et al.</td>
<td>2005</td>
<td>To investigate managers’ reports of their job experiences, wellbeing, and health outcomes as a function of whether they had either a male or female supervisor (USA) To examine the effect of having women on the top management teams of initial public offering (IPO) firms on the organizations’ short- and long-term financial performance (USA from the context)</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>Male and female subordinates reported higher levels of social support for work-related problems, less work/family conflict, higher levels of mastery, and lower levels of depression when their supervisor was female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Welbourne, et al.</td>
<td>2007</td>
<td>To examine the association between female audit committee representation and audit fees (USA from the context)</td>
<td>Secondary data</td>
<td>The percentage of women on the TMT is significantly correlated with initial valuation of the firm at the time of the Initial Public Offering (the results are consistent for both short-term and long-term firm performance)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ittonen, et al.</td>
<td>2010</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of having women on the top management teams of initial public offering (IPO) firms on the organizations' short- and long-term financial performance (USA from the context)</td>
<td>Cross-sectional regressions</td>
<td>Firms with female audit committee chairs have significantly lower audit fees</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shadare</td>
<td>2011</td>
<td>To investigate the effect of having women on the top management teams of initial public offering (IPO) firms on the organizations' short- and long-term financial performance (USA from the context)</td>
<td>Survey</td>
<td>There was no significant effect of having women on the top management teams of initial public offering (IPO) firms on the organizations' short- and long-term financial performance</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
influence of management style and demographic characteristics on managerial efficiency in work organizations in Nigeria (Nigeria)

There is no difference in gender on perceived managerial efficiency: women and men are equally efficient in managerial work (Shadare, 2011). Research indicates that for both men and women there are some modest benefits associated with having a female supervisor and with working in a more female-dominated environment (Moore et al., 2005). Interesting findings in this category include the fact that firms with female audit committee chairs have significantly lower audit fees and that the percentage of women in the top management team positively correlates with the higher initial valuation of the firm (Ittonen et al, 2010; Welbourne, 2007). No country differences were noted here.

4.7 Effect of Research Methods on Leader Evaluation

This section of articles presents findings of gender effects on research methods or related issues in leader evaluations.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Year</th>
<th>Research aim/objectives</th>
<th>Method</th>
<th>Main findings</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Weyer</td>
<td>2006</td>
<td>To determine if observed ratings on a multi-source feedback instrument reflect the same cognitive constructs of leadership across multiple rating pairs based on rater and ratee gender (USA)</td>
<td>Mixed methods (1) structural equation model, (2) multi-group structure analysis</td>
<td>Constructs of leadership across multiple rating pairs reflect the same cognitive constructs of leadership; a multi-source feedback instrument has been shown to be non-discriminatory based on rater and ratee gender. MSF does not contribute to a glass ceiling. The results suggest a female advantage in evaluations of transformational leaders, especially when women are the evaluators; results suggest the continued presence of gender-related biases in leader evaluations, although evaluations now favor female leaders.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Powell, et al.</td>
<td>2008</td>
<td>To examine gender effects in evaluations of transformational and transactional leaders (USA)</td>
<td>Questionnaire (survey)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

None of the articles in this section had a specific focus on nationality or national culture.

The two research findings in relation to gender are surprising, as they are both contrary to prior research. Weyer (2006) was determining whether observed ratings on a multi-source feedback (MSF) instrument reflect the same cognitive constructs of leadership across multiple rating pairs based on rater and ratee gender. The findings
showed that MSF is nondiscriminatory based on rater and rate gender – contrary to strong empirical evidence from other research. Weyer (2006) concludes that MSF does not contribute to the phenomenon of a glass ceiling that keeps women from entering top-level management positions. Even though the research by Powell et al. (2008) had a meaningful limitation, the findings of the research are interesting and valuable. Powell et al. (2008) recorded, that when evaluating leaders, gender effects favor female leaders more than their male counterparts. He confirms the existence of gender bias in leader evaluation, only in a different direction than shown in prior research. Results also revealed that more favorable evaluations are received by female-transformational leaders than male-transformational leaders, especially from female evaluators. It has to be pointed out that both studies were conducted in the US, and this could impact the results.

Interestingly, these papers indicate that the measurement tools seem to have an impact on the perception of gender and leadership.

5. Discussion and Conclusion

The aim of this paper was to review available research on gender and nationality as determinants of leadership style. At the outset, we presented the following research questions: 1) Which studies have been conducted that focus on gender and nationality in leadership? 2) What were the main findings of the studies? 3) Where were these studies conducted? 4) Which methods were used?

Our systematic literature search resulted in 27 papers that were analyzed in order to find common themes. Five themes were identified, as follows: 1) A leader’s characteristics, behavior and style, 2) Perception regarding leaders, their traits and leadership styles, 3) Women’s barriers towards leader position, 4) Leadership outcomes/results, 5) Effect of research methods on leader evaluation.

Regarding the methodology used in the reviewed papers, the most popular method is a questionnaire, while others use interviews, focus groups and secondary data. Half of the papers focus on the US, while the rest deal with African, Asian and European countries.

Findings from papers suggest that there are gender differences in leadership styles, behavior characteristics, and other features associated with leaders. These differences were noted in all countries researched. Analyses of papers on perception showed that that nationality has an impact on the perception of successful leadership, and on the relationship between leaders and subordinates. But it was also noticed that gender differences exist independent of national culture. The conclusion can be drawn that women face barriers on their way to leader positions, and that the problems and obstacles are similar in nature independent of country of origin. There is no difference in gender with regard to perceived managerial efficiency: women and men are seen as equally efficient in managerial work. Small gender differences were recorded, however, when evaluating the outcomes/results of leadership. It was found that the measurement tools can impact the perception of gender and leadership. From this review we raise the following questions and highlight fields of interest for future research.

1) Research on leadership style and nationality. This review indicated that gender differences in leadership style exist, and they do exist in the majority of countries studied. But how does nationality influence leadership style? Nationality as an influencing factor towards leadership style has been researched on a very limited scale. In the globalization process, national aspect produces a unique outcome (Ritzer, 2011). Consequently, the assumption can be made that nationality, even in the globalizing world, influences leadership style in a way that creates unique results. More research is needed in a broader context and using more variables, when evaluating leadership and gender.

2) Gender and nationality (context approach). We have seen from Hofstede et al.’s (2010) study that one aspect of national cultures is their level of masculinity and femininity - preferences in society for achievement, heroism, assertiveness and material reward for success or cooperation; versus modesty, caring for the weak and quality of life. This, as well as national differences in legislation regarding gender quotas on management boards (as in Iceland and Norway), labor force participation, etc. has an impact on both the number of women in leadership and their behavior. Therefore, we need more research on gender and leadership in a national context.

3) Longitudinal studies. Longitudinal studies are needed which would enable research to see changes in time, as for example how globalization appears to change societal institutions, cultures and traditions. The new generations have a different “national” imprint, as well as a changed understanding of gender roles. Thus there is need for research that would help to evaluate the influence of gender and nationality on leaders’ behavior and style.

4) Top business leaders’ leadership style. Top business leaders are closely connected with future economic development which is of particular interest in today’s world. Identifying significant differences among business
leaders can give unique information which may be used for strengthening businesses in certain regions or whole countries.

5) Cross-institutional/industry research. As papers in this review show, there is but little research which provides comparative data about the influence of gender and national culture on leadership style in a certain industry or companies in two or more countries. In some papers in this review the results of research raise a question: is it the influence of gender or another variable, or is it specifics of the industry? Hence, systematic comparative industrial studies could help to identify the influence of particular industry.

6) Cross-cultural comparative research. The review showed that differences in leadership style exist in a majority of countries, but are those differences the same in all countries, and are the differences identical? There seems to be a gap in research that would focus on comparative information relating to the individuality of countries (but not similarities like in Hofstede or GLOBE research) and would combine more than one variable.

The present study is not without limitations. By only using the ProQuest database this study may not have achieved complete coverage of all empirical articles in the field. Yet, it seems reasonable to assume that the review process covered a large share of studies available. In addition, the review of the literature was sometimes hampered by the fact that so many different words are being used when researching the field: towards leadership style (leader behavior, leadership, etc.), nationality (culture, ethnicity, etc.), gender (feminine, masculine, woman, etc.).

In conclusion, our study is a small contribution to the emerging theory of gender, nationality and leadership. We hope that our findings will encourage other researchers in exploring the dynamics of leadership from a contextual perspective.
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