Antibody Levels against Newcastle Disease Virus in Chickens in Rajshahi and Surrounding Districts of Bangladesh
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Abstract
A serological survey on the prevalence of antibodies against Newcastle disease virus was carried out in broiler and layer chickens in Rajshahi and surrounding districts of Bangladesh. A total of 960 serum samples were collected from broiler (102) and layer (90) farms from January to December 2008. Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test was performed using Newcastle disease virus antigen to determine antibody titers against Newcastle disease virus. The overall seroprevalence of antibodies against Newcastle disease virus in broiler and layer chickens were 78.04% (510 samples) and 96.67% (450 samples), respectively. Antibody levels against Newcastle disease virus were recorded for different age groups of broiler and layer chickens. Seroprevalence of antibodies against Newcastle disease virus was found significantly (p<0.05) higher in young (broiler 23.88%, layer 11.33%) than in adults (broiler 18.38%, layer 9.22%). Furthermore, these levels were recorded for different seasons of the year, with significantly (p<0.05) higher during summer in comparison to other seasons.
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1. Introduction
Newcastle disease (ND) also known as Ranikhet disease (RD) is a highly contagious viral disease that attacks many species of domestic and wild birds (Al-Garib et al., 2003). The causal agent is the Newcastle disease virus (NDV) which is a negative-sense single-stranded RNA virus belonging to the family Paramyxoviridae. Through restriction site mapping and sequence analysis of the fusion gene (F-gene), NDV strains have been divided into eight genotypes (Ballagi et al., 1996). The strains are also classified into highly virulent (velogenic), intermediate (mesogenic) or avirulent (lentogenic) based on their pathogenicity in chickens (Beard and Hanson, 1984). ND is reported as the most important viral disease of poultry in the world including developing countries (Adene, 1990 and Spradbrow, 1997). In Africa and Asia ND is a major constraint against the development of both industrial and village poultry production (Alders et al., 2001). NDV infections of poultry range from latent to rapidly fatal depending upon the pathotype of virus involved (Alexander, 2003). The transmission of NDV occurs through newly introduced birds, selling or giving away sick birds, exposure to fecal and other excretions from infected birds and contact with contaminated feed, water, equipment and clothing (Tu et al., 1998). The disease causes high economic losses due to high mortality, morbidity, stress, decreased egg production and hatchability (Alexander, 2000). Vaccination has been reported as the only safeguard against endemic ND
(Orajaka et al., 1999). The current vaccination schedule in Bangladesh directed by the Directorate of Livestock Services (DLS) includes administration of a live lentogenic vaccine (BCRDV) of F-strain by intra-ocular instillation to chicks followed by a live mesogenic vaccine (RDV) of M-strain by intramuscular injection at 21 days old chicks which is repeated at every six months interval. The infection still occurs in Bangladesh every year in the form of epidemic and appears to cause up to 40-60% of the total mortality in poultry population creating one of the major problems in the development of poultry industry in Bangladesh (Chowdhury et al., 1982). Chicks from immunized parents possess high level of maternally derived antibodies (MDA) which protect them against virulent and vaccine viruses (Allan et al., 1978 and Rahman et al., 2002). It was reported that MDA are protective (Allan et al., 1978) and neutralize vaccine virus if the chicks are vaccinated in the presence of high level of MDA (Awang et al., 1992). In order to formulate appropriate vaccination schedule and control measures the serological status of NDV among chickens need to be elucidated.

2. Materials and methods

This study was conducted jointly by Lab Avian laboratory, Rajshahi and Department of Animal Husbandry and Veterinary Science, University of Rajshahi, Bangladesh from January to December 2008. A total of one hundred and ninety two (broiler 102 and layer 90) poultry flocks were selected in Rajshahi and surrounding districts of Bangladesh. From each flock five chickens (a total of 960 chickens) were randomly selected for blood collection. Two ml of blood was collected aseptically from wing vein of each bird and allowed to clot. Then sera were separated and stored at -20°C until the haemagglutination inhibition test was carried out. The antigen (Avinew®ND, VG/GA strain) used in this study was purchased from the Advance Animal Science Co. Ltd. Dhaka, Bangladesh. HI test was performed to detect the antibodies against NDV.

2.1 Preparation of chicken red blood cell (RBC) suspension

A total of 5 ml of chicken blood was collected aseptically in a disposable syringe containing 1 ml of sodium citrate (4% solution) as anticoagulant. The blood was centrifuged at 1500 rpm for 15 minutes and the plasma and buffy coat were removed with a pipette. After washing three times with phosphate buffered saline (PBS), 1% suspension in PBS was prepared for HI test.

2.2 Haemagglutination inhibition (HI) test

HI test was done according to the procedure of OIE (2002). Briefly, two fold serial dilution of 25µl serum was made with PBS in V-bottomed microtiter plates (Nunc) up to 10th well. Twenty five µl of 4 haemagglutinating (HA) units of Newcastle disease virus or antigen was added up to 11th well. The plates were kept at room temperature for more than 30 minutes to facilitate antigen antibody reaction. Then 50µl of 1% (v/v) chicken RBC suspension was added to each well. The 11th well contains antigen and RBCs as the positive control and the 12th well contains only RBCs as the negative control. After gentle mixing, the RBCs were allowed to settle at room temperature for 40 minutes and agglutination was assessed by tilting the plates. The samples showing peculiar central button shaped settling of RBCs were recorded as positive and maximum dilution of each sample causing haemagglutination inhibition was considered as the end point, which was used to estimate the HI titer. The HI titer of each serum sample was expressed as reciprocal of the serum dilution.

2.3 Statistical analysis

The seroprevalence of NDV infection in relation to age of birds and seasons of year was compared by means of the Chi-square test. A significant level of 5% was used.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 Prevalence of antibodies against NDV in broiler chickens

A total of 510 serum samples were collected from different commercial broiler farms and were subjected to HI test. Out of them, 398 were found positive for specific immunity against NDV with an overall positive percentage of 78.04 (Table 1); ND-HI titer of log2 and above is generally accepted as positive for specific immunity (Allan and Gough, 1974). Different age groups were examined in detail. In 1-2 weeks of age HI antibody titers varied from 21 to 26 (their respective percentages were 8.90, 19.37, 11.52, 22.51, 30.89 and 6.81) with a geometric mean titer (GMT) of 12.78 but in 3-4 weeks of age HI antibody titers varied from 21 to 27 (their respective percentages were 14.53, 18.02, 38.95, 20.93, 6.40 and 1.16) with a GMT of 7.47. Whereas in 5-6 weeks of age HI antibody titers varied from 22 to 28 (their respective percentages were 22.45, 33.33, 20.41, 11.56, 8.84, 2.04 and 1.36) with a GMT of 5.67. Similar reports have been described by Numan et al. (2005) who reported that 98.07% of serum samples were positive for specific immunity against NDV for broilers in Pakistan. This finding is also in agreement with previous report of Bell and Moulodi (1988) who recorded the antibody levels against NDV in different regions of Morocco ranging from 5 to 83% (average 35%) of the chickens
sampled. In the present study, the birds in 1-2 weeks of age showed the highest level of antibody titers (GMT 12.78) and showed relatively low susceptibility to clinical infection. The antibody titers in 1-2 weeks of age is higher may be due to high levels of MDA which are transmitted from hens to chicks and protect them at early ages. On the other hand, the birds within 3-4 weeks of age showed intermediary level of serum antibody titers (GMT 7.47). This level is unexpected, since we expected that through vaccination the level of serum antibody titers should increase at this age. Furthermore, the birds within 5-6 weeks of age showed low level of serum antibody titers (GMT 5.67) than the previous two groups and showed relatively high susceptibility to clinical infection. There are several possible reasons for these low levels of antibody titers in birds of old ages (3-6 weeks), such as poor quality of vaccine, unsuitable vaccination schedule, presence of immunosuppressive substances in the feed or immunosuppressive diseases.

3.2 Prevalence of antibodies against NDV in layer chickens

A total of 450 serum samples were collected from different commercial layer farms and were subjected to HI test. Among them, 435 were found positive for specific immunity against NDV with an overall positive percentage of 96.67 (Table 2). Different age groups of commercial layer chickens were also examined in detail. In 17-28, 29-40 and 41-52 weeks of age groups, HI antibody titers varied from 2^2 to 2^9 (their respective percentages were 4.90, 6.86, 8.82, 9.80, 23.53, 20.59, 24.51, 0.98; 3.06, 5.10, 7.14, 12.24, 14.29, 42.86, 13.27, 2.04 and 3.45, 4.60, 6.90, 9.20, 24.14, 26.44, 22.99, 2.30) with GMTs of 33.11, 37.13 and 39.05, respectively. Whereas in 53-64 and 65-above weeks of age groups, HI antibody titers varied from 2^2 to 2^10 (their respective percentages were 2.41, 6.02, 6.02, 8.43, 21.69, 32.53, 16.87, 3.61, 2.41 and 2.50, 5.00, 3.75, 6.25, 32.50, 23.75, 18.75, 3.75, 3.75) with GMTs of 41.80 and 44.86, respectively. Similar reports have been described by Numan et al. (2005) who reported 100% of layer chickens were positive for specific immunity against NDV in Pakistan. This finding also supports the report of Ezeokoli et al. (1984) who recorded 72% prevalence of antibodies against NDV in free range and 62.9% in traditionally managed backyard flocks in Nigeria. The low level of antibody titers (<2^3) in some vaccinated layer birds (5^3+3^2+2+2=15) in this study may be due to the poor vaccine quality or vaccine failure. There are several possible causes for the vaccine failure in the developing countries like poor manufacturing standards, lack of adequate storage facilities, application of expired vaccines, faulty application and vaccine handling during transportation (Vui et al., 2002). Heat stress and water deprivation in birds also lead to production of steroids and thus resultant immunosuppressant (Sil et al., 2002). Quality of water given to the birds is also questionable since it might hinder the development of specific immunity possibly due to acid base imbalance.

3.3 Prevalence of antibodies against NDV in broiler and layer chickens in relation to seasons

The distributions of NDV antibody titers of serum samples in different seasons for broiler and layer birds are shown in Table 3. The overall 90.00%, 64.35%, 79.39% and 75.81% broiler chickens had protective HI titers against NDV in summer (Mar-May), rainy (Jun-Aug), autumn (Sep-Nov) and winter (Dec-Feb), respectively. Whereas, 98.35%, 92.86%, 97.46% and 97.98% layer chickens had protective HI titers in the summer, rainy, autumn and winter, respectively. The protective HI titers were higher in summer season and lower in rainy season for both broiler and layer chickens. The HI titers obtained in the present study were higher than those reported by Biswas et al. (2006) who recorded that 64.0%, 47.4%, 62.6% and 56.3% of sonali chickens in southern part of Bangladesh had protective HI titers against NDV in the autumn, winter, summer and rainy seasons, respectively. The issue of the seasonal prevalence is controversial and may vary according to the environmental, nutritional and socioeconomic conditions, under which poultry is kept. Awan et al. (1994) reported that ND peaks during and at the end of the dry season; whereas, Nguyen (1992) reported that in Vietnam ND peaks generally at the beginning of the rainy season. Martin (1992) reported that ND outbreaks are associated with the change of seasons. In fact, several parameters should be evaluated for the implementation of a successful NDV vaccination program.
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### Table 1. Distribution of HI titers against NDV in serum samples of broiler chickens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Antibody titers obtained by HI test</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (GMT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2^1$</td>
<td>$2^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1-2</td>
<td>191</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-4</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5-6</td>
<td>147</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates significant at $p < 0.05$

### Table 2. Distribution of HI titers against NDV in serum samples of layer chickens

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age (weeks)</th>
<th>No. of samples</th>
<th>Antibody titers obtained by HI test</th>
<th>$\chi^2$ (GMT)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>$2^1$</td>
<td>$2^2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17-28</td>
<td>102</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29-40</td>
<td>98</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41-52</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>53-64</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65-above</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates significant at $p < 0.05$

### Table 3. Distribution of HI titers against NDV in broiler and layer chickens in relation to seasons

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Seasons</th>
<th>Broiler</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
<th>Broiler</th>
<th>Layer</th>
<th>$\chi^2$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No. of samples</td>
<td>$&lt;2^3$</td>
<td>$\geq2^3$</td>
<td>No. of samples</td>
<td>$&lt;2^3$</td>
<td>$\geq2^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Summer (Mar-May)</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>126</td>
<td>7.81*</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rainy (Jun-Aug)</td>
<td>115</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Autumn (Sep-Nov)</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>118</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>115</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Winter (Dec-Feb)</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>97</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Indicates significant at $p < 0.05$