Antibacterial Activity of Anacardium Occidentale on Some Enterotoxin Producing Bacteria
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Abstract
Primary screening of ethanolic and aqueous extracts of Anacardium occidentale (bark and leaf) for antimicrobial activity against *Escherichia coli*, *Pseudomonas auroginosa*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Salmonella typhi* and *Staphylococcus aureus* showed that ethanolic extract was more effective than aqueous extract. The minimum inhibitory concentration ranged from 0.05 g/ml to 0.2 g/ml. The ethanolic extract was found to be bactericidal to all the test bacteria, while the aqueous extract was found to be bacteriostatic to the test bacteria with the exception of the aqueous leaf extract that was bactericidal to *Salmonella typhi*. The greater the concentration of the extract, the higher the antibacterial activities exerted on the isolates. *Salmonella typhi* was the most susceptible organism to the leaf extract, while *Pseudomonas auroginosa* was the least susceptible organism. *Staphylococcus aureus* was the most susceptible to the ethanolic bark extract and *Escherichia coli* was the least susceptible organism.
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1. Introduction
Plants have been serving animals as sources of energy, shelter and sustenance. They are also used at various functions for economic and medicinal purposes. The therapeutic use of medicinal plants in Africa dates back to the earliest time, when man used herbs in their raw and cooked forms to keep fit. Since that time the use of herbs have been known and accepted by all nations (Kafaru, 1994).

Medicinal plants represent a rich source of anti microbial agents (Mahesh and Satish, 2008). Plants generally produce many secondary metabolites which constitute an important source of microbicides, pesticides and many pharmaceutical drugs (Varaprased et al., 2009). Ogundipe et al. (1998) asserted that plant products still remain the principal source of pharmaceutical drugs and agents used in traditional medicine. The effects of plant extracts on bacteria have been studied by a large number of researchers in different parts of the world (Ateb and Erdourul, 2003).

Jagadish et al. (2009) reported that plants are natural sources of pesticides that contribute to new pesticide development. The use of herbal preparations in the treatment of diarrhea is a common practice in many African countries including Nigeria, because western pharmaceuticals are beyond the financial reach of the people (Sadiq et al., 2009). The use of herbal preparations is growing with 4 out of 10 Nigerians employing various remedies in a given year (Sadiq et al. 2009). A lot of studies have been carried out on the antimicrobial
potentials of crude extract of different leaves, bark, bulbs, stems and roots (Atata and Sani, 2003; Olafimihan, 2004).

Paramashivappa et al. (2001) describes *Anacardium occidentale* as a tree that grows up to 15 m in height with thick tortuous trunk and woody branches. It belongs to the family Anacardiaceae, is native to Brazil and has a great economic and medicinal value (Rajseh et al., 2009). *Anacardium occidentale* is commonly called cashew in English, ‘Kashu’ in Hausa, ‘Okpokpo’ in Ibo and Kaju in Yoruba. It is a multipurpose tree whose leaves, stems and bark extracts are used extensively for the treatment of diarrhea, dysentery and colonic pain (Sadiq et al., 2009). It has also been reported to possess anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory and anti-ulcerogenic properties (Akinpelu, 2001). The ethanolic extracts of cashew nuts revealed the presence of various phytochemical compounds such as triterpenoids, phenolic, flavonoids, xanthoprotein and carbohydrate (Rajseh, 2009). The liquid obtained from the shell of cashew nut has wide commercial applications, biological and medicinal properties (Murphy and Sivajamban, 1985).

The medicinal properties of phytochemicals present in cashew nut have cytotoxic activity against several tumour cell lines, anti-diabetic, anti-inflammatory and analgesic effects (Kubo et al., 1993; Sokung, 2001; Pawar and Pal, 2002).

Omojasola and Awe (2004) reported the antimicrobial activity of the leaf extract of *Aanacardium occidentale* and *Gossypium hirsutum* against *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella dysenteriae*, *Salmonella typhimurium*, *Staphylococcus aureus* and *Pseudomonas auroginosa*.

The aim of this research was to carry out laboratory studies on the potency of leaf and bark extracts of *Anacardium occidentale* using water and ethanol as extracting solvent on enterotoxin producing bacteria. Also to investigate which of the method of extraction is more effective against the test organisms. The isolates used include: *Staphylococcus aureus*, *Pseudomonas auroginosa*, *Escherichia coli* and *Salmonella typhi*. There were significant differences between the aqueous and ethanolic extracts of both the leaf and bark (p<0.05).

2. Materials and Methods

2.1 Collection of plant materials

The plant materials used were the leaves and bark of *Aanacardium occidentale*. Fresh leaves and bark of cashew were collected from Oke-Odo, along University of Ilorin permanent site road, Ilorin, Kwara State. The identification was carried out at the herbarium of the Department of Plant Biology, University of Ilorin.

2.2 Collection of test Bacteria

The pure cultures of test organisms were obtained from the University of Ilorin Teaching Hospital.

2.3 Preparation of culture media

The media used in this work were Nutrient agar and Nutrient broth. The media were prepared according to manufacturer’s direction. The media were sterilized by autoclaving at 121°C for 15 minutes before use. Streptomycin (0.1% W/V) was added to the media and cooled to about 50°C.

2.4 Preparation of plant extract

The leaves and bark of *Anacardium occidentale* were sun dried for 14 days. Each of the sample was powdered using mortar and pestle into fine powder, this, was then wrapped with aluminium foil for various categories of extraction.

2.4.1 Aqueous extraction

Twenty grams of each of the powdered sample was weighed and dispensed into a sterile conical flask. One hundred milliliters of 90% ethanol was added to the powder. The conical flask was covered with cotton wool, wrapped with aluminium foil and placed on an orbiter shaker. After stirring for 24 hours at 190 rev/min, the extract was filtered through whatman filter paper. This was then placed in a funnel coupled to a sterile reagent bottle. The bottle was labeled and stored in the refrigerator at 4°C.

2.4.2 Reconstitution of extracts

The filtrate was transferred into a sterile conical flask, and exposed at room temperature for seven days in order to concentrate the extract. The filtrate was further concentrated by air drying in a Petri dish. The filtrate obtained after air drying was weighed and dissolved in 15ml of ethanol in order to obtain a stock solution. From this stock solution, varying amounts of reconstituted solvent extracts were obtained (0.05 g/ml, 0.10 g/ml, 0.15 g/ml and 0.2 g/ml).
2.5 Anti bacterial susceptibility test

The antibacterial activities of the different concentrations of the different extracts on the test bacterial isolates were determined by employing the agar diffusion method of Irobi et al., (1994). Twenty milliliters of sterile Nutrient agar in petri dishes were seeded with different concentrations of standardized inocula using sterile cotton swabs. Wells of 6.0 mm in diameter were cut out on the seeded plates using sterile cork borer and each of the well was filled with the plant extracts of varying concentrations. The extracts were allowed to diffuse into the medium and the plates were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The zones of inhibition were measured using veneer caliper. The zones of inhibition which showed the effects of the antibacterial activity were determined around the wells. The actual zone of inhibition was calculated by subtracting the diameter of the respective discs of approximately 5 mm (Coyle, 2005). Controls were also set up with water or ethanol poured into each well with no plant extract.

2.6 Determination of minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) and minimum Bactericidal concentration (MBC) of the plant extract.s

The method of jams (1987); Akinpelu and Kolawole (2004) and Adegboyega et al. (2008) was employed. The minimum inhibitory concentration of the aqueous and ethanolic plant extracts were determined using the test tube dilution method. A series of test tubes containing sterile culture medium and various concentrations of each extract were used. The lowest concentration that prevented growth completely was used for the determination of minimum inhibitory concentration.

Different concentrations of each extract (0.05 g/ml; 0.1g/ml; 0.15 g/ml and 0.2 g/ml) were drawn from each extract and poured into 9ml sterile broth in each test tube, 0.5ml of each test bacterium was introduced into each test tube. These test tubes were incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The least concentration of the extract which produced visible growth or turbidity was taken as the minimum inhibitory concentration (Olorundare et al., 1992).

The materials from each test tube used in the minimum inhibitory concentration assay that showed no growth after incubation, were streaked onto a solid nutrient agar plate and then incubated at 37°C for 24 hours. The lowest concentration of the extract that showed no growth on the plate after 24 hours was taken as the minimum bactericidal concentration (Alade and Irobi, 1993).

3. Results and Discussion

The zones of inhibition were observed around the wells, this indicated antibacterial activities of the plant extracts. The sensitivity of the different test organisms to aqueous and ethanolic extracts of both the leaves and bark of *Anacardium occidentale* was shown by zones of inhibition after 24 hours of incubation. This is depicted in Figures 1-4.

The absence of zones of inhibition around each well signified resistance. It was observed that the extractants (water and ethanol) used as control did not inhibit the growth of any of the test bacteria.

The minimum inhibitory concentration (MIC) was observed by lack of turbidity in each test tube used for the minimum inhibitory concentration test after 24 hours at 37°C (Table 1). While the appearance of turbidity indicated the growth of test organism. The effects of the extracts were also observed. The ethanolic extracts of the bark and leaves showed potency in their bactericidal action, when compared to aqueous extract which were bacteriostatic against all the test bacteria with the exception of *Salmoella typhi* where the leaf aqueous extract was bactericidal. It was observed that the control, showed no effect on the test bacteria. (Table 2) There were significant differences in the antimicrobial effects of extracts obtained from leaves and bark of *Anacardium occidentales* using water and ethanol as extractants as presented in Tables 3 and 4.

The ethanolic extracts of both bark and leaves of *Anacardium occidentale* were more effective than the aqueous extracts (Figures 1-4). This might be due to the ability of the solvent to extract more of the active ingredients (bioactive compounds) from the plant materials. The traditional preparation of decoction from medicinal plants for the treatments of various diseases involves water (cold or hot) or ethanol extraction of parts of plants such as roots, stems, bark and leaves. The above observation suggests that the active ingredients from the bark and leaves of *Anacardium occidentale* are more soluble in ethanol than in water (Figures 1.4). This concurs with the work of Duke (2002) who reported that several investigations on medicinal plants indicated that organic solvents such as ethanol and methanol are extensively used for crude extraction, before being re-extracted to obtain purified active compounds.
The higher potency of ethanol extracts might be connected with the extraction solvent. Ethanol has been shown to have a greater extractive power than water. This present work agrees with the work of Awe and Omojasola (2004) they found the presence of ethanol in addition to achieving better extraction, may also enhance the efficacy of the active ingredients.

This research suggest that ethanolic extracts of screened plants would be helpful in treating diseases in man caused by enterotoxin producing bacteria namely; *Salmonella typhi*, *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, *Escherichia coli*, *Shigella dysentariae* and *Staphylococcus aureus*. The antibacterial activities of the extracts increased as the concentration increased as found out in this work. This does not differs from the research findings of Banso and Adeyemo (2007) they reported that the tannins isolated from medicinal plants possess remarkable toxic activity against bacteria and fungi and may assume pharmacological importance in future. The potentials of any drug depends on the active principle present in it. The results obtained from this research tend to confirm the efficacy of the extracts of the bark and leaves of *Anacardium occidentale* as a traditional remedies against enterotoxin producing bacteria.

4. Conclusions

*Anacardium occidentale* may be effective in the treatment of disease or intoxication caused by the organisms used in this research and may contribute to the improvement of health care delivery in the country. Nigeria. If the active chemical compounds capable of inhibiting the growth of the test bacteria are analyzed and compounded into dosage forms for use.

Further studies need to be carried out to bring out the potentials of this plant in managing diarrhea, intoxication and other related gastrointestinal diseases.
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### Table 1. Minimum inhibitory concentration of the extracts

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test organisms</th>
<th>Minimum Inhibitory Concentrations (g/ml)</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EBE</td>
<td>ELE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella dysenteriae</em></td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:
EBE Ethanol Bark Extract
ELE Ethanol Leaf Extract
ABE Aqueous Bark Extract
ALE Aqueous Leaf Extract
NIL No Effect
Table 2. Bactericidal and bacteriostatic effects of *Anacardium occidentale* extract (bark and leaf)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test organisms</th>
<th>Effects of the extract</th>
<th>Control</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>EBE</td>
<td>ELE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella dysenteriae</em></td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
<td>BC</td>
<td>BC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Key:
- **EBE**: Ethanolic Bark Extract
- **ELE**: Ethanolic Leaf Extract
- **ABE**: Aqueous Bark Extract
- **ALE**: Aqueous Leaf Extract
- **B.C**: Bactericidal: when low extract concentration inhibited bacterial growth
- **B.S**: Bacteriostatic: when high extract concentration inhibited bacterial growth
- **NIL**: No Effect

Table 3. Multiple range test for the effects of medium of extraction on leaf of *Anacardium occidentale* against some selected microorganisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Concentration in g/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
<td>3.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>2.8&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella dysenteriae</em></td>
<td>3.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>3.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>2.7&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means labeled with different superscripts across a row are significantly different (p< 0.05)

Table 4. Multiple range test for the effects of medium of extraction on bark of *Anacardium occidentale* against some selected microorganisms

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Concentration in g/ml</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Water</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Salmonella typhi</em></td>
<td>5.3&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Staphylococcus aureus</em></td>
<td>3.0&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Shigella dysenteriae</em></td>
<td>5.5&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</em></td>
<td>2.2&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Escherichia coli</em></td>
<td>5.6&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Means labeled with different superscripts across a row are significantly different (p< 0.05)
Figure 1. Antibacterial activity of aqueous bark extract of *Anacardium occidentale* against some selected bacteria

Figure 2. Antibacterial activity of ethanolic extract of *Anacardium occidentale* against some selected bacteria

Figure 3. Antibacterial activity of aqueous leaf extract of *Anacardium occidentale* against some selected bacteria
Figure 4. Antibacterial activity of ethanolic extract of *Anacardium occidentale* against some selected bacteria

*Salmonella typhi*, *Shigella dysentariae*, *Escherichia coli*