Studies on Effects of Pruning on Vegetative Traits in *Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni (Compositae)
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Abstract

*Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni, a natural sweetener plant with zero calorie content, becomes an inevitable alternative to sugar especially with the over 346 million diabetic population across the world. *Stevia* belongs to a genus of about 150 species of herbs and shrubs, a member of the family Compositae and native to Paraguay. Currently over 3 million Malaysian populations are diabetic and the need therefore arises to improve this quality. Studies were carried out on effects of pruning on vegetative yield traits in *Stevia* in order to multiply leaves for higher quantity of sweetener extraction. Studied morphological characters include- (i) plant height, (ii) number of branches and (iii) number of leaves. Data were subjected to pair sample t test at probability level $p<0.05$, analysis revealed that there were significant differences with plant height at $<0.032$, number of branches at $<0.012$ and number of leaves at $<0.001$. Pruning promoted high vegetative yield in *Stevia*.
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1. Introduction

*Stevia rebaudiana* Bertoni, a natural sweetener plant with zero calorie content, becomes an inevitable alternative to sugar especially with the over 346 million diabetic population across the world. (WHO, 2011).

Stevia, also known as sweet leaf, or sugar leaf is a genus of about 150 species of herbs and shrubs (Robert, 2010), a member of the family Compositae and a native to Paraguay (Mark, 2009).

Strauss, (1995) reported that stevia is a non-caloric sweetener and that the sweet compounds pass through the digestive process of the body without chemically breaking down, hence making it a safe food substance for consumption by people who need to regulate their Blood glucose level.

From most of the previous work, Stevia has been reported to have no adverse effect on humans (Brandle and Rosa, 1992). The leaves could be eaten fresh or when dried and it could be boiled in tea to release the sweetener. It has been used for centuries by the Guarani Indians of Paraguay, where the Plant originated from, as sweeteners for mate tea (Goettemoeller and Ching, 1999).
1.1 Plant description

The plant, under cultivation can reach up to 1 m or more in height (Shock, 1982) it possesses an extensive root system and brittle stems producing small, elliptic leaves. The leaves are sessile, oppositely arranged lanceolate to oblanceolate in shape, and serrated. The tiny white florets are perfect, borne in small corymbs of 2–6 florets. Corymbs are arranged in loose panicles. Seeds are contained in slender achenes, about 3 mm in length. Each achene has about 20 persistent pappus bristles.

1.2 Cultivation

Stevia prefers moist, sandy and loamy soil with high organic matter and adequate drainage. It tolerates a wide range of soil pH and it also prefers partial shade for good agronomic performance (Rhonda, 2004).

1.3 Sweetener content of the leaves

The zero-calorie sweetener which contains high percentage of stevioside than other glycosides is extracted from stevia leaves (Mark, 2009). The steviol glycosides are responsible for the sweet taste of the leaves of the stevia plant. Morita et al (2009) and Duke (1993) reported earlier that an extract of one or more of the glycosides may be up to 300 times sweeter than sugar. They are heat-stable, pH-stable, and do not ferment (Brandle, 2004).

1.4 Photoperiod sensitivity

Stevia is an obligate short day plant with a critical day length of about 13 h and therefore requires short days for flowering (Brandle et al, 2000).

1.5 Malaysian diabetic population

In Malaysia, the percentage of diabetic population kept on increasing steadily; it was expected to be over 3 million by 2010, with further industrialization and modernization (Ministry of Health, Malaysia, 1997).

In light of the above the dare need for an alternative sweetener substitute with low calorie for this growing diabetic population becomes inevitable. Stevia was introduced to Malaysia about ten years ago as a potential alternative natural sweetener to sugar. The plant being photoperiod sensitive has low vegetative yield under Malaysian condition and the need therefore arises to improve this trait in the crop.

1.6 Pruning practice

When plant apical shoots are pruned the apical buds are removed, the lateral buds in the axils of the leaves below the cut stump assume full activity and begin to grow. These active lateral buds grow into shoots and their tips have apical buds that resume apical dominance over lateral buds in positions lower on the main stem. So when plants are pruned development of new branches are facilitated (Koning, 1994).

The aim of this work therefore is to carry out studies on effects of pruning on plants of *Stevia rebaudiana* in order to multiply branches and leaves for higher quantity of sweetener extraction in Malaysia.

2. Materials and Methods

The stevia plants were raised through stem micro-cuttings. The micro-cutting propagation was carried out by cutting the young apical shoots (YAS) of matured stevia accessions towards the apex. The cuttings used for this work were turgid, matured and healthy, as wilting, immature and unhealthy cuttings may easily get decayed in the micro-cutting mist chamber.

The excised cuttings were dipped into Indole -3-butyric acid (IBA) for one to two seconds and then allowed to stay for 15 minutes- in order to allow the auxin get absorbed into the cut stem through the xylem. These treated stem cuttings were then inserted in the micro-cutting mist chamber in order to sprout roots.

The cuttings sprouted roots within five to seven days and they were transplanted into small sized polythene bags and were made to stay under a shed for few days (five to seven days). After this, the plants were again transplanted into large sized polythene bags before being finally transferred to the field. On the field the plants were divided into six different sections namely plots one to six with each plot comprising of 10 plants. Thus there were 60 plants in all.

The plants under good agricultural practice were allowed to grow for 10 weeks on the field and data were taken and recorded on studied morphological characteristics before being subjected to complete pruning.

Sharp small sized shear was used for pruning and care was taken not to cause any damage to the pruned shoots. The technique employed during pruning was the cut-back to bud method (Dunn et al, 2002), where the cuttings were made at a distance not too close to the buds (under cutting) as the buds may die and also not too far (over cutting) to avoid presence of too big stump above the newly emerging shoots.
Studied morphological characters include- (i) plant height, (ii) number of branches and (iii) number of leaves. Initial readings were carried out before and after pruning, while the last reading came up after ten weeks of development of new branches and leaves.

Collected data, placed in table1, were analyzed using pair sample t’ test analysis and histograms and line graphs were plotted (figures 1 to 3) in order to give the results a statistical support. Pictures were also taken concurrently (figures 4 to 5).

3. Results
The results obtained from the experiment are stated on table 1.

The average plant heights before pruning and ten weeks after pruning showed significant difference at p<0.032; the corresponding standard error of the mean of this parameter was read to be 3.63012. The average number of branches in plants before and pruning also revealed they differ significantly at p<0.012; again with this parameter the standard error of the mean was 1.38243. Pruning effects on number of leaves also showed significant difference at p<0.001 when the average number of leaves on plants before and after pruning were statistically compared; in this case the mean standard error was 28.95677.

Histograms and line graph illustrate the pictorial view of the analyzed data as shown in the figures 1 to 3, while plates representing pictures of the plants were placed in figures 4 to 5.

Figures 1 to 3 are graphical expressions of the data collected on the evaluated parameters (table 1). In figure1, the values collected for plant height before pruning and after pruning treatment affects were graphically compared, it would be observed that while the values for plant height before pruning were placed on the x axis bellow the graph, the post pruning values on same parameter were placed above. The six histogram partitions represent the six plots of the pruning experiment. The first partition showed that the average height of plants in plot number six (table1) before pruning was above 20 but less than 22 (20.5, table1), while the recorded average value for the same parameter and same plot for post pruning effect was 22. Throughout the graph the least and highest values were compared. The sixth partition represent plot number five (table1), it recorded the highest plant height average value as the difference between the least value (15.5) and the highest value (43) equals 27.5. Similarly the first partition represents plot number six (table1), the difference between the highest value (22cm) and the least value (22.5cm), with the plant height parameter, is 1.5cm; this plot had the least increment in height.

Numbers of branches before and after development of pruned plants were also compared in figure2. There were four partitions in this histogram because some recorded values were similar (table1). From this graph it would be observed that there was increment in number of branches across all the six plots. The least increment value (2cm) on number of branches was recorded in each case for histogram partitions one and two, representing plots four and five respectively, while the highest increment value (11cm) on same parameter was recorded in partition four representing plot 1 of the experimental design.

Figure 3 showed graphical expression of the comparison between the average values of number of leaves before and after pruning treatment. Number of leaves increases geometrically across the experimental plots, while partition one representing plot four had the least increment value with 101cm, the partition six representing plot 1 had the highest increment value with 295cm.

4. Discussions
The parameters evaluated in this pruning experiment showed significant differences at varying levels at probability level p<0.05. All the parameters- plant height, number of branches and number of leaves- had high influence with the effects of pruning.

The obtained values on the mean standard error for the plant height (3.63012) and number of branches (1.38243) are quite small thereby indicating that the sample mean deviation from the population mean was minimal and that the samples are good representations of the overall population. However, the mean standard error on the number of leaves was high (28.95677), this indicated a large deviation between the sample and population means and the need to increase the sample size, though the fact still remains that there is significant difference (0.001) in the number of leaves before and after pruning.

The average plant height values before and ten weeks of post pruning showed significant difference at p<0.032. This result is not on expected, the removal of the apical meristems along with the pruned apical shoot in the stevia plants connotes the removal of the dormancy induced on the lower buds of the shoots. Cells of the apical meristems in the shoot are responsible for the secretion of the hormone called auxin (Daphne and Michael, 2005), this auxin is then transported by polar gravity through the part of the plants up to the root region, in this process
the hormone imposed dormancy on all the lower buds and therefore none of the buds could develop and extend above the apical shoot so long as the meristematic cells are still active. But the condition changed as the meristematic cells responsible for the auxin secretion were removed along with the apical shoot, meaning therefore that the dormancy induced on lower shoots is also removed. The potential lower buds ‘gained freedom’ and assumed full activities and then grow properly to a height higher than the initial height of the pruned plants (Dörte and Ottoline 2011). The developed shoot also impose dormancy on the other buds that are located bellow it as well, thus preventing them from growing.

The average number of branches of the plants before pruning was done compared to post pruning treatment also revealed that a significant difference exists between the two at p<0.012. This result is in line with previous findings (Koning, 1994). When plants are pruned the lower buds become active and begin to grow, in this process the number of emerging new shoots from these lower buds below the cut region could be up to two or more, these then grow out and form more branches. Thus the final number of branches was more than the initial number of branches in Stevia.

Also with the effect of pruning on number of leaves it was revealed from the result that there was a significant difference at p<0.001 when the average value before pruning was compared to the average value for post pruning treatment. The large increment in number of leaves (82.82 to 300.00, table 1) as a result of pruning effects is also in line with previous findings, Chandrashekar (2007), reported an increment in number of leaves due to pruning effect on trees in home gardens of Kerala, Indian. The increment in number of leaves in pruned stevia plants may not be unconnected with the observed increment in number of branches since more leaves grew on the new branches.

5. Conclusion

Pruning influenced high vegetative yield in Stevia rebaudiana bertoni.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PLOT SERIAL NUMBER</th>
<th>NUMBER OF PLANTS PER PLOT</th>
<th>A/V PLANT HEIGHT (CM)</th>
<th>A/V NUMBER OF BRANCHES</th>
<th>A/V NUMBER OF LEAVES</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.00 9.00 33.00</td>
<td>4.00 4.00 15.00</td>
<td>115.00 0.00 410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>26.00 7.60 32.50</td>
<td>2.00 3.00 9.00</td>
<td>80.00 0.00 300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>25.00 8.00 36.00</td>
<td>4.00 4.00 9.00</td>
<td>98.00 0.00 320.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>23.5 7.50 31.00</td>
<td>4.00 4.00 6.00</td>
<td>99.00 0.00 200.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.5 4.30 43.00</td>
<td>3.00 3.00 5.00</td>
<td>47.00 0.00 330.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. 10</td>
<td></td>
<td>20.5 7.50 22.00</td>
<td>4.00 4.00 9.00</td>
<td>58.00 0.00 240.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AVERAGE VALUES ACROSS PLOTS.</td>
<td></td>
<td>22.25 7.32 32.92</td>
<td>3.500 3.67 8.83</td>
<td>82.83 0.00 300.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

KEY- (i) BP: before pruning; (ii) AP: after pruning; (iii) AD: after development in height, branches and leaves

Data in this table were subjected to pair sample t test at probability level p< .05; the analysis revealed that most variables were significantly different.
Figure 1. Graph showed Effect of pruning on plant height in *Stevia rebaudiana*

Figure 2. Graph showed Effect of pruning on number of branches in *Stevia rebaudiana*
Figure 3. Graph showed Effect of pruning on number of leaves in *Stevia rebaudiana*

Figures 4a-4b. Showed some pruned Stevia plants
Figures 5a-5b. Showed some growing Stevia plants after pruning treatment.