Efficacy, Adherence and Side Effects of PrEP for HIV-1 Prevention
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Abstract

Each year, approximately two million new HIV infections are reported worldwide. About one decade ago, a company called Gilead Sciences Inc. discovered a new HIV prevention method named as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). When high adherence was kept among high-risk population, PrEP efficacy could reach as high as 99%. However, the adverse effects have been reported from time to time, including low efficacy in certain cases, adherence difficulties and medicinal side effects. In this review, we would summarize the progress of PrEP since its introduction in order to provide insights for HIV prevention.
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1. Introduction

Each year, approximately two million new HIV infections are reported worldwide (Anderson et al., 2012). New effective interventions are urgently needed (Krakower & Mayer, 2015). A little over a decade ago, a company called Gilead Sciences Inc. developed a new HIV prevention method named as pre-exposure prophylaxis (PrEP). A pill called Truvada, which is the mixture of two components emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate (FTC/TDF) (Calabrese, Krakower, & Mayer, 2017), has been introduced to the world since then. To date, Truvada or FTC/TDF is the most widely accepted drug for HIV prevention. FTC/TDF functions by preventing HIV-1 from replication after entering a human body. The mechanism is through inhibition of the activity of HIV-1 reverse transcriptase, which results in chain termination.

![Figure 1. Chemical structure of emtricitabine and tenofovir disoproxil fumarate in Truvada](image)

Since its approval by the United States Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in 2012 (Siegler et al., 2018), PrEP has been warmly embraced by many other countries. At the same time, a number of clinical trials have provided...
data to support this method, with efficacy in the range of 44-99% (Anderson et al., 2012; Grant et al., 2010; Murnane et al., 2013). However, there are still challenges associated with PrEP due to numerous factors including lack of awareness of PrEP, high cost, poor adherence, risky sexual behavior and risk compensation, etc. (Hosek et al., 2013). To overcome these issues, a number of new strategies have been explored and implemented, including long-acting nanofluidic implants, modern monitoring strategies and improved screening algorithms (Sharma et al., 2019). In addition, side effects of PrEP have been recognized from time to time. In this paper, we summarized the status of PrEP efficacy, adherence and side effects between 2012 to 2019, according to a thorough search of literature with PubMed, Web of Science and Google scholar.

2. Efficacy of PrEP

According to results from previous trials and studies, PrEP has shown a wide range of efficacy performance. In studies of heterosexual men and women, men that have sex with men (MSM), injection-drug users, and couples in serodiscordant heterosexual relationships, efficacy was reported to be between 44% and 99% (Anderson et al., 2012; Grant et al., 2010; Murnane et al., 2013).

Table 1. Summary of PrEP studies between 2007 and 2018

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study</th>
<th>Population</th>
<th>Dose</th>
<th>Risk reduction</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangkok tenofovir study</td>
<td>Injection drug users</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>48.9% (74% with ideal adherence)</td>
<td>(Mimiaga et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TDF2</td>
<td>Heterosexual men and women</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>62.20%</td>
<td>(Baeten et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>iPrEx study</td>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>44% (92% with ideal adherence)</td>
<td>(Grant et al., 2010)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Partners PrEP Study</td>
<td>Serodiscordant heterosexual couples</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>75% (90% with ideal adherence)</td>
<td>(Molina et al., 2015)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANRS IPERGAY MSM</td>
<td>ON-demand</td>
<td></td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>(Janes et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PROUD</td>
<td>Gay and other MSM</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>(Pyra et al., 2018)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Observational cohort study</td>
<td>MSM</td>
<td>18 pills per month</td>
<td>97%</td>
<td>(Molina et al., 2017)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STRAND Study MSM</td>
<td>Daily</td>
<td></td>
<td>99%</td>
<td>(Anderson et al., 2012)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In the early iPrEx study that began in 2007, the efficacy of PrEP was found to be relatively low, with 44% among MSM (Sanchez, Vivancos, & Moreno, 2017). The STRAND study, which began a few years after iPrEx, analysed TFV-DP concentrations with respect to results from the iPrEx trial and concluded that risk reduction ratio increased with consistent intake of doses, from 76% risk reduction with 2 doses weekly, 96% with 4 doses weekly, to 99% with 7 doses per week (Anderson et al., 2012). In the PROUD study with 544 participants, follow-up for HIV incidence was completed for 243 of 259 patient-years in the immediate group and 222 of 245 in the deferred group. HIV incidence was 1.2/100 person-years in the immediate group and 9.0/100 person-years in the deferred group, yielding a relative reduction of 86%. In one observational cohort study that focused on efficacy, safety and effect of sexual behaviour of on-demand PrEP for HIV in MSM that started between 2014-2015, 361 participants were recruited, after whom a follow-up was performed for 18.4 months. HIV incidence in this PrEP group was 0.19 per 100 person-years, which was much lower than that in the placebo group and suggested a PrEP efficacy of 97% (Molina et al., 2017).

However, efficacy results on studies done with women have been inconsistent (Janes et al., 2018). In one study where concentrations of PrEP metabolites in pregnant women were investigated, results showed a 45%-58% decrease of plasma tenofovir (TFV) and tenofovir diphosphate (TFV-DP) in dried blood spots (DBS) from women who were in their second and third trimester of pregnancy compared to those from non-pregnant women (Pyra et al., 2018). In another study, reduced concentrations of TDF metabolites were found in vaginal tissues compared to that in rectal tissues (Koss et al., 2018). The intricate milieu of the female genital tract may be susceptible to factors such as hormonal changes, microbiome, and inflammation (Nicol, Corbino, & Cottrell, 2018; Faricasanu & Kwon, 2018; Karim et al., 2018), which may also affect the efficacy. Besides these potential gender-related differences, adherence has been considered to play a critical role in PrEP efficacy.

3. Challenges to PrEP Adherence

(1) Lack of awareness and trust

For PrEP to be efficient, people apparently need to be aware of it. Currently, not many people have enough information about this prevention method (Mayer et al., 2018), including those who work within health care sectors except HIV specialists. In one study conducted among pharmacy students, understanding that a HIV test is required prior to prescribe PrEP is lacking, even though they were familiar with prescription guidelines. It is...
surprising that well-educated professionals may still lack enough information. It indicates that knowledge about PrEP has been hindered from educating people who are sexually active and at risk of HIV (Przybyla et al., 2019). Certain ethnic minorities in the United States have some conspiracy mindset about HIV/AIDS. Some of them believe that AIDS was developed by the government as a dark plan to wipe out their people. Others believe that their ethnic group have been unfairly used as experimental subjects by pharmaceutical corporations (Olansky et al., 2019). These conspiracy theories may contribute to subpar adherence to PrEP among these groups.

(2) High cost
Currently, the annual expense for PrEP in the United States is over 10,000 US dollars per individual. This cost will become significantly greater when clinical and laboratory monitoring expenses are added (Mayer et al., 2018; Sullivan & Siegler, 2018). Individuals without insurance and those with insurance but unable to afford monthly co-pays may encounter financial constraints (Mayer et al., 2018). Only people who have earn above average can access PrEP more easily. The selling price of Truvada would have to be cut half for cost-effectiveness to most people (McCormack et al., 2016; Zhang et al., 2019). Some participants in another study reported that they would take PrEP if it was provided for free (Golub et al., 2013).

(3) Lack of established routine
It takes practice and commitment to adhere to daily routines. For PrEP to be effective, it has to be administered every day. Several factors may interfere the routine, including being away from home, busy work schedule (Karim et al., 2018), change of life style, lack of scheduled time, emotional instability, difficulty in purchase of drug, and so on (Hunt et al., 2019; Amico et al., 2019).

(4) Pressure and risk related to PrEP practice
Compared to other sexually transmitted diseases (STD) such as chlamydia and gonorrhoea, HIV has received more attention from almost every society. High-risk group who should take PrEP may encounter issues like deficiency of medicinal confidentiality, inconvenient transportation, inadequate clinic time and limited access to health care (Sharma et al., 2019). Furthermore, the phenomenon of MSM is still an unspeakable topic or illegal in certain regions. MSM who want to participate in PrEP may run into big trouble of moral judgement, even threatening of lives. Prostitution remains illegal in most countries, which hindered sex workers from effective preventative methods as well.

4. Potential Solutions to Poor Adherence
Poor adherence is the leading cause of low efficacy of PrEP, which absolutely needs creative solutions. Taking a dose every day for life long is indeed tedious. Production of long-release formulation and reduction of dose frequency is one of the approaches that can help alleviate this adherence challenge. Recently, new long-acting (LA) formulations have been invented. One implantable nanofluidic device with cabotegravir (CAB) is one of these new inventions (Figure 1), which was capable to reduce the dosing frequency from daily doses to bimonthly injections (Pons-Faudoa et al., 2019). CAB is currently under clinical trials.

Another subcutaneous LA formulation was also developed with tenofovir alafenamide (TAF) and FTC loaded nanoparticles (NPs) to solution in humanized (hu) mice. Efficacy of TAF+FTC NPs and TAF+FTC solution were proven to be dramatically different when hu-BLT mice were vaginally challenged with a transmission-founder virus. TAF+FTC NPs resulted in significant ($p = 0.0002$) protection from HIV-1, compared to the control. It
indicated that detectable levels of TAF+FTC in vagina among TAF+FTC NP-treated mice were correlated with prolonged PrEP efficacy, which provides another new LA formulation for PrEP (Mandal et al., 2019). Other methods to tackle this issue include adoption of smartphones and use of mobile apps (LeGrand et al., 2018). mSMART is one smartphone app. It not only sends daily reminder to PrEP users, but also connects people within a virtual society. Around 30% improvement of sample adherence was seen as a result of mSMART use. In addition, users showed high satisfaction and willingness to recommend mSMART to others (Mitchell et al., 2018). Application of this type of apps is very promising and convenient in today’s social media-centered life. MyChoices is another theoretical prevention app designed especially for young MSM (Biello et al., 2019). The app will help users with testing reminders, location-based alerts, videos and infographics.

Recently, in the Project IMPACT study, researchers hypothesized another method to improve PrEP adherence by targeting pleasure loss in MSM. They suggested that counselling and advice to partake in safer sexual practices may help reduce HIV risk behavior and concurrent stimulant use (Mimiaga et al., 2018), therefore, increasing PrEP efficacy.

5. Side Effects of PrEP

The most general side effects associated with PrEP in uninfected individuals include headache, abdominal pain, weight loss, nausea, dizziness, vomit, and diarrhea (Tetteh et al., 2017; Desai et al., 2017). Some symptoms disappear after a while. Certain side effects may not need medical attention. Few severe side effects need to be taken care of or PrEP has to be ceased, including liver and kidney damages.

As listed in Table 2, FTC/TDF, FTC and FDF have been reported to cause different types of adverse effects, including in gastrointestinal, nervous, musculoskeletal, hematologic, dermatologic, genitourinary, metabolic, hepatic and renal systems. Besides, it may also trigger psychiatric disorder, such as anxiety and depression. For gastrointestinal tract, diarrhea, nausea (Desai et al., 2017), increased serum amylase, abdominal pain, and vomiting have been reported in large randomised controlled trials (Grant et al., 2010; Choopanya et al., 2013). Pancreatitis is possible but quite rare. For the nervous system, dizziness and headache (Desai et al., 2017) are quite common and somnolence is less common. For the musculoskeletal system, elevated creatine kinase was reported in up to 9% patients using Truvada. Decreased bone mineral density and bone fractures (Desai et al., 2017; Montjane, Dlamini, & Dandara, 2018; Mulligan et al., 2015) have also been reported, especially for people with vitamin D deficiency, intake of Truvada would cause decrease of bone mineral density. Supplementation of vitamin D was suggested for PrEP users (Havens et al., 2019; Havens et al., 2019; Nanayakkara et al., 2019). In addition, back pain occurs with up to 10% frequency. iPrEx studies reported a significant decrease in bone mineral density in patients using FTC/TDF (Mulligan et al., 2015; Kasonde et al., 2014). For the hematologic system, decreased neutrophils (up to 13%) is very common with FTC/TDF, and decreased hemoglobin occurs with up to 10% probability. Rash may happen in skins of Truvada users (Dando & Wagstaff, 2004). For the genitourinary system, proteinuria, urethritis, urinary tract infection, haematuria, genital ulceration and anogenital warts were reported in patients using FTC/TDF. For metabolism, hyperglycaemia occurs with up to 10% possibility in patients using FTC/TDF. For liver, hepatic steatosis (Coutinho & Prasad, 2013) and hepatitis were the two commonly reported side effects. For the renal system, increased creatinine level was observed for FTC/TDF users. In the real world, kidney impairment was found among TDF-treated HIV-positive patients, who sometimes experienced renal failure, Fanconi syndrome (Coutinho & Prasad, 2013; Paxton, Hope, & Jaffe, 2007), and proximal renal tubulopathy. At the same time, proteinuria accompanies creatinine elevation (Tang et al., 2018). In short, side effects of FTC/TDF have become a concern.

On the other hand, clinical studies assessing the effects of TDF/FTC usually had restricted inclusion criteria of participants, which potentially excluded people with other health issues and cannot represent the general population. Therefore, it is critical to evaluate the side effects among more heterogeneous populations, including those with comorbid conditions (such as hypertension, diabetes, asthma or depression, etc), and those under other medication (such as nonsteroidal anti-inflammatory drugs, birth-control drugs, etc). At the same time, PrEP users should take regular physical examination, in order to monitor renal and hepatic health, if not more frequent than others.
Table 2. Summary of side-effect symptoms of PrEP

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organ/System</th>
<th>FTC/TDF</th>
<th>FTC</th>
<th>TDF</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Gastrointestinal</td>
<td>diarrhea, nausea, increased serum</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td>diarrhea, vomiting, nausea, pancreatitis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neurological</td>
<td>amylase, abdominal pain, vomiting, flatulence</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td>neuropathy, peripheral neuritis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Musculoskeletal</td>
<td>decreased bone mineral density, back pain</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td>rhabdomyolysis, muscular weakness, myopathy.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematologic</td>
<td>decreased haemoglobin, decreased neutrophils</td>
<td>anaemia, neutropenia</td>
<td>decreased neutrophils</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Dermatologic</td>
<td>rash</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td>rash</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Genitourinary</td>
<td>proteinuria, urethritis, urinary tract</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td>glycosuria, haematuria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Metabolic</td>
<td>hyperglycaemia</td>
<td>hyperglycaemia, increased/decreased serum glucose</td>
<td>hypophosphatemia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hepatic</td>
<td>hepatic steatosis, hepatitis</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td>renal failure, acute renal failure, Fanconi syndrome, proximal renal tubulopathy, increased creatinine, nephrogenic diabetes insipidus, acute tubular necrosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Renal</td>
<td>increased creatinine, renal failure, Fanconi syndrome, proximal renal tubulopathy</td>
<td>none reported</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6. Conclusion

Ever since the approval of PrEP, many trials have been established to test its efficacy and safety. Most of these studies have been quite positive. PrEP efficacy results ranged between 44%-97%. However, its efficacy is dependent on adherence, and the latter is dependent on multiple factors of the society and each individual. For example, starting PrEP is not effective for people with undiagnosed early HIV infection. In addition, purchase of PrEP medicine online may skip the step of HIV testing, which is actually needed before use. Current administration methods, including daily oral dose, do not address these issues. Moral pressure, culture, inaccessible facilities, stagnant screening methods, poor monitoring strategies, unawareness of the drug and inadequate education to the people still hinder PrEP from reaching its ultimate efficacy.

More research on the development of advanced technology and attention to new methods that are promising to answer the adherence questions are needed, like the development of long-acting drugs, modernization of monitoring strategies and advanced screening approaches. One recent simulating use of Dolutegravir (DTC), a second-generation integrase inhibitor, indicated that prophylaxis with 50mg DTG was non-inferior to Truvada and that it may outperform the latter if good medical adherence is in daily practice (Duwal, Dickinson, Khoo, & von Kleist, 2018). HIV vaccine remains as the hot spot for researchers, in spite of no luck during vaccine development due to the incredible diversity of HIV strains (Mega, 2019). Another preventative method under investigation was use of vaginal ring among high-risk women, by releasing antiretroviral drugs into the vagina from a silicon band (Cohen, 2016). So far, the ring only provided modest protection for women between 21 and 45 years old, barely showing protection for younger or older females.

While working on these resolutions, some attention will needed to PrEP for pregnant women and cisgender women in general,. The current drugs have shown some significant and non-negligible negative outcomes in this particular group, comparing to men and transgender women. Furthermore, no matter how effective the drug may be, there are adverse effects. PrEP is associated with certain adverse effects. Some are minor and some are severe, with medical intervention or complete discontinuation of the drug needed. As much as we are excited about PrEP, we cannot be oblivious to the definite and probable shortcomings brought by this drug.
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