Turkish Science Student Teachers' Conceptions on the States of Matter

Abdullah Aydin1 & Yasemin Gödek Altuk2

1 Faculty of Education, Department of Science Teacher Education, Ahi Evran University, Kırşehir, Turkey
2 Ministry of Youth and Sports, Ankara, Turkey

Correspondence: Abdullah Aydin, Eğitim Fakültesi, Fen Bilgisi Öğretmenliği Anabilim Dalı, Ahi Evran Üniversitesi, Terme Cad., Kırşehir, Turkey. Tel: 90-505-487-4471. E-mail: aaydinch@gmail.com

Received: January 16, 2013   Accepted: January 28, 2013   Online Published: April 28, 2013
doi:10.5539/ies.v6n5p104             URL: http://dx.doi.org/10.5539/ies.v6n5p104

Abstract
This study aims to determine science student teachers’ conceptions on the concepts related to ‘the matter and the states of the matter’. 112 Turkish science student teachers participated at this research. A questionnaire consisting of thirteen open-ended items was designed to collect the data. The questionnaire aimed to reveal the students’ views concerning; the features of ‘solid, liquid and gas’ states and their application in everyday life, the state of matter at room temperature and in normal conditions, the particulate structure of matter, unique properties of each particle of matter, the relationship between the force of attraction between these particles and room temperature. The results were analyzed mainly qualitatively, but also quantitatively. As an outcome of the analysis, fourteen misconceptions were determined. These misconceptions can be categorized as ‘mentioning of the state of matter without specifying the temperature and the pressure’, ‘not comprehending that liquids evaporate at any temperature’, ‘not understanding the features of the particulate structures of solid, liquid and gas substances (volume and the number of the particles)’.
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1. Introduction
It seems inconsistent with the nature of human beings, living without being informed about the flow of the events in the universe. Therefore, since the existence of humanity, the conditions in which this great wheel turns, conclusions to be and have been generated, have been the subject of curiosity and with this, drove humanity to conduct research. With the help of developing technologies, new information can be reached on many issues (Birinci Konur & Ayas, 2008).

Objects, events, people and ideas are grouped according to their similarities, whereby the names given to these groups are called ‘concepts’ which at the other hand are the building blocks of new information (Kaptan, 1998). Research results show that target concepts aimed to be entitled to students in science education, are not always resulted in a meaningful learning (Erdem, et al., 2004). From primary education to university; no matter at which level the students are, they have difficulties in understanding some of the concepts. It is known that, as a result of misunderstandings students have misconceptions, while due to the applied rote learning, concepts are not permanently memorized (Karaer, 2007).

In learning process, students establish a relationship between the events that affect their lives and the information gained at school (Karamustafaoğlu & Ayas, 2005). However, research on science education point out that students perceive many scientific concepts different than the scientifically accepted views (Haidar & Abraham, 1991). This perception is called as ‘alternative framework’ (Driver et al., 1978; Watts, 1983), ‘misconception’ (Helm, 1980; Lawson & Thompson, 1988; Treagust, 1988; Janiuk, 1993, Chou, 2002; Özmen & Ayas, 2003) ‘children’s science’ (Gilbert et al., 1982) or ‘alternative conception’ (Gilbert et al., 1985). This study will use the term ‘misconception’, thus it is the commonly used one in Turkey, whilst the study itself has been conducted in Turkey.

It is also known that the misconceptions absorbed by the minds of the students, will after a certain time lead to a blockage of future learning (Tunç, et al., 2011). For this reason, science educators have been conducting research on the issues of misconceptions and conceptual change (Coştu, et al., 2007). Different models of conceptual change have been suggested by researchers (Posner et al., 1982, Strike & Posner, 1992, Duit & Treagust, 2003, Gregoire, 2003).
In Turkey, for only the last two decades, studies aimed to reveal students’ misconceptions in the concepts of chemistry, physics and biology, such as; acids & bases (Üce & Sarıçayır, 2002; Çetingül & Geban, 2005; Özmen & Demircioğlu, 2003; Özmen & Yıldırım, 2005); atom (Tezcan & Salmaz, 2005); gravity (Küçük, 2005); radioactivity (Yaşar & Kilç, 2005); boiling (Coştu et al., 2007; Buluş Kırıkkaya & Güllü, 2008); condensation (Boz, 2005); chemical bonding (Atasoy et al., 2003; Babuçu & Geban, 2006); covalent bonding (Öztürk Ürek & Tekkaya, 2003); diffusion and osmosis (Tekkaya, 2003); dissolving (Gödek, 1997; Gödek, 2004; Tezcan & Bilgin, 2004; Tezcan & Yılmaz, 2004; Calk & Ayas, 2005; Calk et al., 2006; Koray et al., 2007; Şen & Yılmaz, 2012); electric current (Çıldrır & Şen, 2006; Yıldırım et al., 2008); electric circuits (Sencar et al., 2001; Küçüközer, 2003; Atış & Polat, 2005; Küçüközer & Kökakülah, 2007; Kaya & Gödek Altuk, 2010); static electricity concepts (Başer & Geban, 2007); energy (Ünal Çoban et al., 2007; Hırça et al., 2008); force (Kurt & Akdeniz, 2004); force and motion (Yıldız & Büyükñasap, 2006); chemical change and conservation of matter (Geban & Bayır, 2000); chemical equilibrium (Sepet et al., 2004); evaporation (Bulus Kırıkkaya & Güllü, 2008); heat and temperature (Aydog˘an et al., 2003; Başer & Çataloglu, 2005; Buluş Kırıkkaya & Güllü, 2008); mass and weight (Koray et al., 2005); matter (Erden et al., 2004); light, vision and mirrors (Şen, 2003); structure and conductivity of mixtures (Akgın et al., 2005); substance (Karaer, 2007); physical and chemical changes (Atasoy et al., 2007); reaction rate and its relationship with concentration or pressure (Çakma˘ç et al., 2006); radioactivity (Yaşar & Kilç, 2005); sound (Demirci & Efe, 2007); earth and the universe (Bağlıoğlu Üğurulu, 2005); respiration and anaerobic respiration (Yürekü & Çakır, 2000); genetics (Tatar & Çansüng˘u Koray, 2005; Topçu & Şahin-Pekmez, 2009); digestion (Çakır, 2005); classification of living things and their diversity (Türkmen et al., 2005); photosynthesis and respiration (Tekkaya & Balci, 2003); photosynthesis and plant nutrition (Özay & Öztas¸, 2003); greenhouse effect (Bozkurt & Çansüng˘u Koray, 2002; Arsal, 2010). In terms of the kinds of the misconceptions revealed, and their sources, the results of these studies seem to be consistent with the results of related studies in literature.

Research show that the misconceptions occur due to learner’s unschooled experience of the World, media, language and models used by teachers and textbooks, culture and overgeneralizations (Driver et al., 1985, Gunstone, 1988, Coştu et al., 2007). The sources of misconceptions may also differ depending on the nature of the discipline. Most misconceptions in chemistry do not derive from the learner’s unschooled experience of the World but result from the situations occurring in formal learning environment, the limitation of the models in science, mistakes in model applications and misleading expressions in the language used (Taber, 2001). The literature also supports, that the interplay between macroscopic and microscopic worlds is a source of difficulty for many chemistry learners (Sirhan, 2007).

The particulate nature of matter could be accepted as a foundation for understanding other chemistry concepts and to explain the changes in state, chemical reactions and behaviors of gases, students must have an understanding of the particulate nature of matter (Singer, et al., 2003, Özmen & Kenan, 2007). Any meaningful study of chemistry requires learners to have grasped the notion of substance and to appreciate that substances maintain their identity through a change of state (Taber, 2001). Taber (2001) points out that even though a great deal of the theoretical structure of chemistry depends on entities that are on a molecular level (ions, electrons, orbitals, ...), the learner experiences chemistry (substances and their interactions) at a macroscopic level. Chemists tend to switch between considering these two levels when discussing their subject. Yet, this situation creates several problems for the learner (Sirhan, 2007). Accordingly, the literature of science education indicates that students have difficulties understanding the structure of matter (Ben-Zvi et al., 1986, Krajcik, 1991, Griffiths & Preston, 1992, Taber, 2001, Erdem, et al., 2004). In a comprehensive study on the structure of matter, numbers of misconceptions as listed below have been detected (Griffiths & Preston, 1992):

- A molecule has a weighable weight.
- Atoms can be seen with a microscope.
- Water is a homogeneous mixture consisting of oxygen and hydrogen.
- Atoms and molecules have macroscopic properties.
- When matter is heated, atoms expand.
- When matter is frozen, atoms freeze too.
- Atoms or molecules comprising matter, reflect the properties of that matter (atoms could be colorful, conductive, etc.).
- All atoms have the same weight.
- Atoms and molecules move, so they are alive.
• Matter has a continuous structure and there are no spaces between atoms and molecules.
• During a change of the state of matter, changes occur in atom’s size, shape and weight.
• Under standard conditions, the volume of one mole; solid or liquid is 22.4 liter.
• All atoms or molecules of the matter move at the same speed.
• During melting and boiling, intramolecular bonds are broken.
• Bubbles in boiling water are air molecules.
• When a substance changes its state from liquid into gas, its mass is reduced.
• Gases have no mass.

Boz (2005) examined 6th, 8th and 11th year students’ misconceptions on the condensation of water vapor and found that the majority of students had difficulty in understanding this topic. In a study to find out the misconceptions regarding boiling and its reasons, teachers pointed out that their students wrongly believed that “boiling is a form of rapid evaporation” (Coştu, et al., 2007: 130). In another study on secondary school students, even though they were taught about the properties of matter and gases, believed that gases have no weight (Stavy, 1987; in Morgil, et al., 2003). In Erdem’s et al. (2004) study, first year science teacher students had low levels of understanding and misconceptions particularly in the concepts of solubility, dissolving and phase change. Moreover, Tatar (2011) examined 227 fourth-year primary school students teachers’ views on the states of matter and presented their misconceptions under five main headings including; weight, shape, particle, flowing and volume. On the other hand, Boz & Boz (2011) investigated 22 chemistry student teachers’ subject matter knowledge and their awareness of student’s difficulties in understanding the particulate nature of matter within phase changes. They found out that most student teachers’ subject matter knowledge was sufficient, but only 14% of the participants still had difficulties in applying the particulate theory, for explaining the notion of constant temperature during melting. The majority of them could think of pupils’ possible difficulties about the particulate theory in the context of phase changes.

Teachers need to associate the concepts and make connections between the concepts and students’ daily life. In other words; they need to translate scientific information to the students’ level without juggling with the scientific meaning of information. Moreover, in the process of constructing knowledge, in order teachers can be able to identify students’ misconceptions correctly and efficiently and to guide their students adequately; teachers themselves should not have those misconceptions. Therefore, in teacher education courses student teachers’ possible misconceptions should be identified and teacher educators have to be aware of student teachers’ misconceptions. For this reason, this study aims to determine science student teachers’ misconceptions on the concepts related to ‘matter and its states’.

2. Method

One of the methods commonly used in identifying misconceptions is interviewing (Fensham, et al., 1981). Interviewing is a form of a data collection method which “sought the answer from the people within the framework of research questions. Interviews provide in-depth knowledge about a specific research topic or a question”. However, this method “as in all research methods, is open to errors and individual aptitude. Most importantly, obtaining reliable and valid results is the main difficulty of interviews”. For its “training and preparation” this method takes time for the interviewer (Büyüköztürk, et al., 2008: 232). The interviewing method also limits the sample. On the other hand, open-ended questions and multiple choice questions either alone or as part of a multiple-choice questions are used to determine students’ misconceptions. However, Boo’s (2006) study argues that Multiple Choice Questions provide no means for the students to express their own views to those articulating the questionnaire. On the other hand, a well-structured open-ended questionnaire gives the interviewees the opportunity to express their views in their own words and reveal their higher level of thinking skills (Gronlund & Linn, 1990; in Azizoğlu & Alkan, 2002).

In this study, a case study approach was applied. This approach provides opportunities for in-depth research within the specific subject boundaries (Çepni, 2001).

2.1 Sample

This study was carried out during the academic year of 2009-2010, at spring term, in the Department of Primary Science Education in a Turkish State University. 61 (16 men & 45 women) student teachers of day time education and 51 (21 men & 30 women) science student teachers of evening education, aggregating to a total of 112 third year science student teachers participated in this research. Third-year science student teachers were chosen since they all already studied the topic of “matter and its states” in “General Chemistry I” and “Specific
Topics in Chemistry” courses and completed all chemistry courses including “General Chemistry I-II, General Chemistry Laboratory I-II, Analytical Chemistry, Organic Chemistry, and Specific Topics in Chemistry”. These student teachers were supposed to give correct answers to the questions asked due to the reasons that the topic of “matter and its states” was taught in the courses of Primary Science and Technology, Secondary School Chemistry, and in courses at university level.

2.2 Data Collection Instruments

A questionnaire consisting of 13 open-ended items was designed to collect the data. The questionnaire aimed to reveal student teachers’ views concerning:

- The properties of the states of ‘solid, liquid and gas’ and their uses in everyday life,
- The state of matter at room temperature (25 °C and 1 atm.) and in normal conditions (0°C and 1 atm.),
- Particulate structure of matter,
- Unique properties of each particle of matter,
- The relationship between attraction force among these particles and room temperature.

The validity of these questions was provided by the examination of the questions by a chemistry education expert and a science education expert. During the process of piloting, the questionnaire consisted of 15 open-ended questions. 50 student teachers participated to pilot study. In the pilot study, two questions were not adequately understood by the students, and therefore these questions were removed. Accordingly, the final version of the questionnaire contained thirteen open-ended questions.

2.3 Data Collection

Before the data collection, the researchers explained to student teachers that this study aims to reveal their views concerning the concepts related to ‘matter and its states’, and expressed that their voluntary participation is needed. Filling out the questionnaire took approximately 60-90 minutes whilst there was no time limitation.

2.4 Data Analysis

In the data collection tool, each question consisted of two options. One of which was for the response, the other one was for the reason of that response. For the analysis of the responses, a scientific answer key was prepared by a chemistry education expert and a science education expert. Student teachers’ responses were analyzed according to this scientific answer key. During the analysis, a coding system similar to Abraham’s et al., (1992) coding system was used. The compliance rate of two encoders was found to be 95%. In the analysis of the responses, percentage and frequencies were used. Concisely, data were analyzed mainly qualitatively, but also quantitatively.

3. Findings

As a result of the analysis, in three general areas were determined (Table 1).

Table 1. Kind of misconceptions identified on ‘the states of matter’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of misconception</th>
<th>Numbers of students (N=112)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A Not comprehending that liquids evaporate at any temperature</td>
<td>50,0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B Mentions of the state of matter without specifying the temperature and the pressure and errors made in identifying the states of solid, liquid, gas</td>
<td>47,6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C Not understanding the properties of the particulate structures of solid, liquid and gaseous substances (volume and the number of the particles)</td>
<td>21,0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

As presented in Table 1, 44,6% student teachers could not perceive that ‘liquids evaporate at any temperature’, and over generalized by believing that ‘water vapor would convert into gas at over 100 °C, and liquid under 100 °C’. In literature, there are studies related to students’ misconceptions about condensation (Boz, 2005). Thus,
42.5% of student teachers ‘did not mention the temperature and the pressure, in identifying the solid, liquid and gas states of the substances’. Accordingly, they had misconceptions in the identification of solid, liquid and gas states of the substances. A minor percentage of student teachers (18.8%) could not adequately understand the properties (volume and the number of the particles) of solid, liquid and gas substances at particulate level. They seemed to unconsciously draw the pictures related to solid, liquid and gas substances at particulate level. Similar findings were found in literature (Canpolat, et al., 2004). In the above three general areas, fourteen misconceptions were identified (Table 2).

Table 2. Misconceptions identified on ‘the states of matter’

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Kind of misconception</th>
<th>Misconceptions identified</th>
<th>Numbers of students (N=112)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>f</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Mentioning of the state of matter, without specifying the temperature and the pressure.</td>
<td>79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>At room temperature (25°C) and pressure of 1 atmosphere, stating that ‘water vapor is liquid, cologne is gas, sponge and glass are solid’.</td>
<td>74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>Water vapor is gas at over 1000C, water vapor is liquid at under 1000.</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>Substances are classified as solid, liquid and gas substances because each substance has a unique structure.</td>
<td>60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>At room temperature (25OC) and 1 atm. the reason of the substances for being at different states is their temperature.</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>At normal conditions (0°C and 1 atm.) water vapor condenses and changes into a liquid.</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>When the attraction force of the particles was weakened by the energy taken from the environment, and the spaces between the particles are greater, the substance would be in solid state.</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>There are no spaces between the particles of solid substances.</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>At room temperature, when the attraction force between the particles are large enough not to be overcome by the energy taken from the environment, the matter is at gas state. Because if it would overcome, the matter would have changed its state.</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>The number of particles decreases while the state changes from solid into gas whereby the size of the particles increase.</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>A solid substance is being heated while being in a closed container. Accordingly the state of the substance changes. The change of the state of the matter leads to a change of the size of particles. Because, when the temperature increases, the volume of the particles increases, leading to an enlargement of the particles.</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>When the attraction force of the particles has been overcome by the energy taken from the environment whereby there is no relation between the particles, the substance would be in solid state. When the matter moves away from its environment, it would be in gas state.</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B</td>
<td>For any substance, depending on their hardness, softness, and viscosity, reaching the conclusion that they are solid, liquid or gas, without specifying their temperature and pressure.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C</td>
<td>A solid substance is being heated while being in a closed container. Accordingly the state of the substance changes. The change of the state of the matter leads to a change of the number of particles. Because, when the solid is heated, it changes into liquid, it becomes fluid, the spaces between the particles increases, accordingly, the numbers of the particles reduce.</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4. Results
This study aimed to identify 112 science student teachers’ misconceptions on the concepts related to ‘matter and its states’. A questionnaire with 13 open-ended revealed student teachers’ views concerning; the properties of the states of ‘solid, liquid and gases’ and their uses in everyday life while the state of the matter at room temperature (25 °C and 1 atm) and in normal conditions (0 °C and 1 atm), particulate structure of matter, unique properties of each particle of matter, the relationship between the attraction force between these particles and the room temperature. As a result of the analysis, fourteen kinds of misconceptions were determined. These misconceptions have been categorized as:
1) ‘Not comprehending that liquids evaporate at any temperature’,
2) ‘Mentioning of the state of matter without specifying temperature and pressure’,
3) ‘Not understanding the properties of the particulate structures of solid, liquid and gaseous substances (volume and the number of the particles)’.

These misconceptions seem to support the view that the interplay between macroscopic and microscopic worlds is a source of difficulty for learners (Sirhan, 2007). In this study too, student teachers seem to focus at the molecular level but not at a macroscopic level. They seem to fail to switch between considering these two levels when discussing the matter and its states (Ben-Zvi et al., 1986, Krajcik, 1991, Griffiths & Preston, 1992, Taber, 2001, Erdem, et al., 2004, Sirhan, 2007).

5. Suggestions
In order to overcome these misconceptions, science and technology teachers, chemistry teachers and curriculum designers should be sensitive in identifying students’ misconceptions and should be aware of these misconceptions. They should know the techniques of identifying misconceptions, and develop different teaching strategies and methods to overcome misconceptions. Tsaparlis (1997) suggests teaching introductory chemistry through three cycles at the macro, representational and sub-micro levels. For instance, in Singer’s et al., (2003) study, various types of representations (multiple levels of representations –macro, micro, symbolic; gumdrops, hand, human models, computerized models, eChem models and drawings) enabled students to make transitions between the macroscopic, microscopic, and symbolic levels.

Teachers can also be the source of many of the misconceptions of the pupils (Boo, 2006). As a result; pupils’ misconceptions should be discussed in teacher education courses (Boz & Boz, 2012).

Moreover, student teachers should actively participate in identifying pupils’ misconceptions since it enhances student teachers’ awareness concerning pupils’ learning difficulties and the identification of misconceptions and therefore, seems useful in developing student teachers’ knowledge base (Gödek Altuk & Kaya, 2011). Thereby, this kind of activities will enable student teachers to face their own misconceptions.
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When we look around, there are some substances which are solid, liquid or gas.

a) Please identify the states of matter of the substances in I, II and III (chalk, petrol, and water vapor)

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

2. "Substances such as, ice, water, water vapor have a mass and they are in different states at room temperature".

a) Please identify the states of these substances at room temperature 25 °C and 1 atm.

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

3. "Substances such as, ice, water, water vapor have a mass and they are in different states at 0 °C and 1 atm."

a) Please identify the states of these substances at 0 °C and 1 atm.

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

4. "Each substance in nature may exist in solid, liquid and gas states. At room temperature 25 °C and 1 atm. substances can be solid, liquid or gas".

a) Please explain the reasons why some substances are solid, some liquid and some gas.

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

5. "Every particle of a matter has its unique properties. At room temperature, when the attraction force between the particles is large enough to be overcome by the energy taken from the environment", 

a) Please identify the state of a matter

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

6. "When the attraction force of the particles was weakened by the energy taken from the environment, and the spaces between the particles are greater",

a) Please identify the state of the matter

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

7. "When the attraction force of the particles has been overcome by the energy taken from the environment whereby there is no relation between the particles",

a) Please identify the state of the matter

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

8. Is it right to assert that "the substances are solid, liquid or gas, without specifying their temperature and pressure"? Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

9. Is it right to make a distinction between solid, liquid and gas substances? Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

10. "The properties of the state of a substance are the properties of the particles aligning together".

a) Is this statement correct?

b) Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.
11. The figure shows the particulate structure of a solid substance. Please draw the particulate structures of this substance in liquid and gas states.

12. "A solid substance is being heated in a closed container. Accordingly, the state of the substance changes". During this process,
   a) Is there any change in the number of particles? Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.
   b) Is there any change in the size of particles? Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.

13. Please classify the substances (glass, sponge, salt, sugar, smoke, water vapor, cologne, ink, iron, aluminum, milk, air, chalk, soil) as solid, liquid or gas at room temperature (25 °C) and pressure of 1 atmosphere. Please explain the reason of your answer carefully.