Predicting Bankruptcy of Belgian SMEs:
A Hybrid Approach Based on Factorial Analysis

Loredana Cultrera[1], Mélanie Croquet[1], Jérémy Jospin[2]

[1]Warocqué School of Business and Economics, University of Mons, Belgium and Human Org Research Institute, Belgium

[2]MAINSYS, Belgium

Correspondence: Loredana Cultrera, Warocqué School of Business and Economics, University of Mons, Belgium and Human Org Research Institute, Belgium. E-mail: loredana.cultrera@umons.ac.be

Abstract

The aim of this paper is to verify the relevance of technical data analysis which seems to be useful for identifying predictors of bankruptcy of Belgian SMEs. To do so, a sample of 1,860 Belgian companies, including healthy and bankrupt firms, was used. The sample was constituted using Belfirst software (2015). A mixed method data analysis, coupling the Ward aggregation criterion, the method of mobile centres and principal component analysis, was performed on the variables commonly cited in the literature as predictive of corporate bankruptcies. The results of this study show that the use of these methods is not relevant in the context of bankruptcy prediction using this sample, but the results of the logistic regressions did not question the discriminatory power of the introduced active variables.

Keywords: bankruptcy, principal component analysis, bankruptcy prediction models, classification, SME

1. Introduction

The prediction of the bankruptcy phenomenon is based on the work of Fitzpatrick (1932), but the pioneers in this field are Beaver (1966) with one-dimensional methodology, and Altman (1968) with discriminant analysis. Subsequently, discriminant analysis and other techniques, such as logit and probit models (Ohlson, 1980; Zmijewski, 1984), or neural networks (Odom and Sharda, 1990), have exploited the various financial data necessary to predict bankruptcy. In Belgium, different models have been applied, but the discriminant analysis of Ooghe and Verbaere (1982) and of Ooghe et al. (1991) are the two best known. These models aim to predict bankruptcy as soon as possible to prevent the company's failure and minimise the costs associated with a possible bankruptcy (Van Caillie and Dighaye, 2002).

Many Belgian authors have also focused on the preventive aspect, rather than on the predictive aspect, of bankruptcy (Van Caillie, 2004, Crutzen and Van Caillie, 2010). Indeed, the financial approach to bankruptcy is mainly based on bankruptcy prediction models relying on accounting ratios that can be considered and analysed as they reflect the symptoms arising from the real causes of the failure. Three other approaches have been developed (Guilhot, 2000): the economic approach, the strategic/ organisational approach and finally, the managerial approach. These appear at earlier stages of the process of bankruptcy, and therefore characterise deeper causes. These bankruptcy processes allow the identification of the causes and their patterns, as well as the determination of ways to prevent bankruptcy (Levratto, 2011).

However, despite the many existing bankruptcy prediction models, some methodologies are not used by researchers. This is particularly the case for multivariate statistical methods, such as factorial analysis or classification algorithms. It was only recently that some authors investigated the case of SMEs for the application of these prediction models (Donckels, 1984; Keasey and Watson, 1991) as the models are mainly used on larger firms (Levratto, 2011). However, while the study of SMEs is attractive because of their characteristics, such as their ability to respond quickly and creatively thanks to their greater flexibility (Bellanca et al., 2015), analysing SMEs requires facing many obstacles, including the lack of data, which is certainly the biggest problem (Van Caillie, 1993).

The objective of this research is twofold:

- Improve the knowledge of bankruptcy prediction for Belgian SMEs

- Analyse the predictive capacity of factorial analysis using in particular the principal components analysis, coupled with classification algorithms. The goal here is to identify if the obvious underuse of these techniques is the result of a lack of recognition from the scientific community or the lack of performance of these methods.

2. Literature Review

Different prediction models have been used for decades when it comes to predicting the risk of bankruptcy. These models include parametric statistical classification models (Beaver, 1966; Altman, 1968; Deakin, 1972, Ohlson, 1980; Mensah, 1984; Platt and Platt, 1991; Zmijewski, 1984; Gentry et al., 1985; etc.) non-parametric ones (Frydman et al., 1985; Calia and Ganucci, 1997; etc.), models based on artificial intelligence (Varetto, 1998; Barney et al., 1999), on the risk index (Tamari, 1966), on the behaviour analysis of titles (Beaver, 1968; Aharony et al., 1980) and SIM models (Ooghe et al., 2005). Although this list is not exhaustive, it represents most of the models used.

Alongside the more "famous" models briefly presented above, other existing methods are less used in the field of failure prediction due to the fact that they are less recognised or have lower performance. This is particularly the case for factorial analysis, including principal components analysis (PCA) and multiple correspondence analysis (MCA). Although they are very similar in their objectives, that is to say they aim to reduce a large number of observed variables into a small number of latent variables, these methods are characterised by the continuous or nominal character of the observed variables. Indeed, PCA is conducted as part of the continuous reduction of observed variables while MCA is used to reduce the nominal observed variables. In the following section, certain studies into bankruptcy predictions that use factorial methods, such as PCA or MCA, will be identified.

PCA is mainly used in combination with neural networks (Mensah, 1984; Zavgren, 1985; Gombola et al. 1987; Skogsvik 1990; Alici, 1996; Sharma and Iselin, 2003; Shin and Lee, 2003; Wang, 2004; Canbas et al., 2005; Min and Lee, 2005; Tang and Chi, 2005; Shin et al., 2006; Sookhanaphibarn et al., 2007; Yao, 2007. Ravi and Pramodh, 2008; Chen and Du 2009; Balas et al, 2010). Indeed, PCA is one of the most popular data selection methods (Zhang, 2000; Li and Sun, 2011). The reason why PCA is very often associated with neural networks is that the linear limitation of this method can be overcome thanks to the neural networks that can capture nonlinear relationships (Zhang, 2000). Pump and Bilderbeek (2005) based their analysis on PCA to predict the bankruptcy of Belgian companies using a neural network.

Other authors, such as Armeanu et al. (2012), have used PCA to determine different stages of insolvency (monthly repayments delays, payment default, default fee or default interest, etc.).
Takahashi and Kurokawa (1984) and Laitinen (1991) also used PCA on failing firms that filed accounts three years before bankruptcy in order to achieve the failing companies' profiles.

The authors Li and Sun (2009) associated PCA with the case-based reasoning method (CBR) in the context of the bankruptcy prediction of listed Chinese companies. They mixed these methods to improve the performance of CBR. In a subsequent study, Li and Sun (2011) together developed a hybrid model using PCA, multivariate discriminant analysis (MDA) and logistic regression. Having compared their hybrid model to models that do not use PCA, the authors concluded that PCA gives better results.

Van Caillie (1993) sought to assess the contribution of MCA to the detection of bankruptcy warning signs. He said that this method applies rather well for SMEs, as it allows the drawbacks of conventional models to be overcome. In his study two axes were used to synthesise of all the variables, while losing as little information as possible. Furthermore, a factorial representation of the financial behaviour of SMEs was developed. The author was able to go from prediction to prevention by analysing the movement over time between different classes of companies, identified by MCA, and by observing the change in various components.

Finally, Crutzen and Van Caillie (2010) conducted a typology of bankrupted Belgian SMEs with MCA. A cluster analysis (conducted using the method of Ward) first allowed them to gather businesses with similar characteristics. Correspondence analysis was then used to determine the variables that could be associated with each group. Two dimensions were used: the first opposed internal and external failure factors, and the second characterised the adaptability of the firms to their environment.

Thus, factorial analysis (through MCA, PCA or other factorial methods), when mobilised by researchers to improve bankruptcy prediction models are often used together with other methodologies. As far as is known, the principal components analysis have never been used before using the Ward criterion and mobile centres as part

of bankruptcy prediction. But these methodologies, if used simultaneously, could help to identify classes of homogeneous businesses, when also combined with other features used in the analysis. The bankrupt or non-bankrupt nature of the companies would therefore be a feature that could potentially explain the obtained classes. The joint use of PCA, the Ward criterion and mobile centres could therefore serve the cause of variable selection in the field of forecasting bankruptcy, and subsequently allow the calculation of bankruptcy probability.

3. Method

3.1 Data

A sample of 1,860 unlisted bankrupt and non-bankrupt Belgian SMEs was developed with the Belfirst software, which is published annually by the Bureau van Dijk. The objective was to focus on SMEs, as such only firms employing fewer than 100 people were selected. Thereafter, only the firms filing their annual accounts in abbreviated format were kept to improve the uniformity of the data (many SMEs opt to present their accounts in an abbreviated format because it is less restrictive than the full format).

The bankrupt companies were selected on the basis of the previous year's annual accounts. In the case of this study, the last year was 2012. To analyse the five years before the bankruptcy, only companies that filed accounts between 2007 and 2011 were selected. The final sample included 930 bankrupt SMEs.

To ensure the integration of healthy SMEs in the sample the applied logic was the same. However, here, the last year of filed accounts was chosen as 2014, to avoid the possibility of the 2012 filings coming from firms which went on to fail in 2013 or 2014. The year 2014 was the last year of account available in the Belfirst software. The aggregate sample included 2,113 healthy SMEs among which 930 SMEs were randomly selected to obtain a balanced final sample.

3.2 Methodological Choices

To achieve the objective of the study, a mixed classification method was chosen. This method combines a hierarchical ascending method, in this case the Ward aggregation criterion, and a non-hierarchical method, namely the mobile centres, to take the advantages of both methods and to reduce their disadvantages. The application of these methods was preceded by PCA on the active variables. These variables are those most commonly cited in the literature as predictors of bankruptcy:

- Equity / Total Assets,
- Cash Flow / Debts,
- Current ratio,
- Tax, wage and social debts / Net value added,
- EBIT / Total Assets.

These variables characterise the solvency, profitability, corporate liquidity, and also the tax, which is relatively high in Belgium. These ratios were used by Belgian authors (Ooghe and Van Wymeersch, 2000 Pump and Bilderbeek, 2005) and international authors (Altman, 1968; Taffler 1982; Frydman et al., 1985) and directly apply to the case of SMEs because of their availability in abbreviated accounts.

These variables were retained for the years 2007, 2009 and 2011 for all 1,860 firms in the sample. For firms that went bankrupt in 2012, the years 2007, 2009 and 2011 are the years which precede the bankruptcy by five years, three years and one year respectively.

The final database included 15 variables. Finally, the main variable that was retained to characterise the obtained classes was the nominal variable bankrupt / not bankrupt. This is the variable that eventually identifies a failing company's profile.

SPAD software was used to carry out this classification.

4. Results

Six principal components were selected after the analysis of eigenvalues (Table 1). These values explain 57.15% of the total variance.

Table 1. Eigenvalues of factorial axes (extract)

Principal Component	Eigen value	%	% cumulative
1	2.2362	14.91	14.91
2	1.8123	12.08	26.99
3	1.4208	9.47	36.46
4	1.0608	7.07	43.53
5	1.0412	6.94	50.48
6	1.0009	6.67	57.15
7	0.9979	6.65	63.80

The analysis of the coordinates of the active variables on the principal component allows the characterisation of these axes. The principal components were retained if their eigenvalue was above 1. Then, the Ward aggregation criterion and mobile centres were combined on the factorial scores of the active variables on the first six principal components. These methods identified five classes. Nevertheless, it appears that the class sizes are very different (see Table 2).

Table 2. Class sizes

Class	Number of firms	Percentage of the total
1	1781	95,75%
2	2	0,11%
3	69	3,71%
4	4	0,215%
5	4	0,215%

This imbalance in terms of numbers is a bad sign for either the relevance of these variables in forecasting bankruptcies, which would go against the empirical literature, or the suitability of this methodology in identifying predictors of bankruptcy.

Still, the classification was continued by attempting to identify the active variables of the two largest groups, those that characterise most of these classes. This table only contains the most characteristic variables, those whose test-values are greater than 2. That is, in order to be considered as relevant characteristics, the test-values of the variables is the principal criteria that will be used. The test value makes it possible to evaluate the interest of a variable in the characterization of a class of individuals on the basis of a statistic calculated on the sample and on the class. This statistic is often the mean. Thus, if the difference between the average of a variable calculated on the individuals of the class and the mean of this variable calculated on the sample is due to chance then, this variable does not characterize the class. The test value is expressed as the number of standard deviations of a normal distribution. For example, a test value of 8 for a variable can be interpreted as follows: the observed deviation is equal to the probability of drawing an observation at 8 standard deviations in a normal distribution.

Table 3. Classes 1 and 3: description by the active variables

Class 1/5		Weight – 1781 / Number– 1781				
V. Test	P-value	Means		Standard deviations		Characteristic variables
		Class	General	Class	General	
8.51	0.000	0.15	-0.45	2.60	14.24	89. Equity/Total Assets 2007
7.67	0.000	0.16	0.02	1.02	3.70	87. Equity/Total Assets 2009
6.49	0.000	0.01	-0.04	0.30	1.75	318. EBIT/Total Assets 2009
6.25	0.000	0.03	-0.15	0.27	6.25	320. EBIT/Total Assets 2007
6.16	0.000	0.07	-0.42	1.56	16.04	85. Equity/Total Assets 2011
4.32	0.000	0.07	-0.03	3.15	5.10	219. Tax, wage and social debts / Net value added 2009
2.45	0.007	0.00	0.00	0.37	0.42	316. EBIT/Total Assets 2011
-3.36	0.000	1.23	1.23	2.69	36.85	100. Cash Flow/Debts 2007
-6.80	0.000	1.87	1.87	2.24	47.37	98. Cash Flow/Debts 2009
-26.98	0.000	2.74	2.74	2.93	7.08	173. Current ratio 2011
-27.21	0.000	2.87	2.87	2.80	7.14	175. Current ratio 2009
-28.09	0.000	2.85	0.85	2.69	6.93	177. Current ratio 2007

Class 3/5		Weight – 69.00 / Number – 69				
V. Test	P-value	Means		Standard deviations		Characteristic variables
		Class	General	Class	General	
29.79	0.000	27.61	2.85	21.86	6.93	177. Current ratio 2007
29.17	0.000	28.97	2.74	23.32	7.08	173. Current ratio 2011
28.88	0.000	27.98	2.87	23.60	7.14	175. Current ratio 2009
-4.62	0.000	-3.53	-0.03	24.47	5.10	219. Tax, wage and social debts / Net value added 2009

Consequently, the variables for which the test value will be greater than 2 in absolute value will be considered as significantly characteristic of a class because de p-value will be smaller than the significance threshold of 5%. The higher the test value associated with a variable in absolute value, the more this variable characterizes the class of individuals.

Table 3 shows the description of classes 1 and 3 by their active variables.

It appears that the firms in the first class had significantly higher levels of solvency than the general mean calculated using all the firms. Note that these average levels are negative in 2007 and 2011, and slightly positive in 2009. This finding is certainly the translation of the consequences of the recent financial crisis because half the companies in the sample went bankrupt in 2012.

It was also noted that the firms in class 1 had mean liquidity levels lower than average levels calculated using all the firms for the three years. Similarly, it appears that these companies had a lower average level of cash flow / debts than the general average for the years 2007 and 2009, five years and three years before the bankruptcy of the firms in the sample. Conversely, the class 3 companies had higher levels of liquidity than the rest of the sample for the three selected years.

Finally, it seems that the variable "taxes, wages and social debts / Net value added" is also characteristic of classes 1 and 3. Indeed, the firms in class 1 appear to have a higher mean value than the average for this ratio in 2009, while the opposite occurred for the class 3 companies.

To complete the typology, a dichotomous variable "bankruptcy or not" was integrated as an illustrative variable. Table 4 characterises class 3 by the two modalities of this dichotomous variable. Modality 1 of this variable represents failing firms while modality 2 represents the healthy firms. Thus, it seems that the most liquid firms in 2007, 2009 and 2011 showed a higher probability of not defaulting in 2012. Liquidity is therefore an important variable in the field of bankruptcy prediction.

Table 4. Characterisation of Class 3 by the modality of the illustrative variable « Bankrupt »

Class 3 / 5						
V.Test	P-value		Percentages		Modalities	Variables
		CLA/MOD	MOD/CLA	GLOBAL		
				3.71	Class 3 / 5	
7.05	0.000	6.67	89.86	50.00	Modality 2	Bankruptcy
-7.05	0.000	0.75	10.14	50.00	Modality 1	Bankruptcy

After this typology, it can be noted that the results are quite limited in the context of bankruptcy prediction, with respect to the previous empirical results. This finding may be explained in two different ways. First, it could potentially qualify the low predictive power of the bankruptcy variables selected, even if this goes against the empirical literature on the subject (in particular, the findings of Altman, 1968; Frydman et al., 1985; Ooghe and Van Wymeersch, 2000; Pompe and Bilderbeek, 2005). Then, the relevance of using this methodology in the context of bankruptcy prediction could also be questioned. To remove any doubt about the preliminary variable selection, a parallel study using logistic regression was conducted.

STATA software enabled this logistic regression to be carried out on the variables from one, three and five years before bankruptcy. Based on a sample of 930 failing firms and 2,113 healthy companies, that is to say 3,043 raw data, the regression used a final sample of 2,668 exploitable companies after data cleansing.

Table 5. Results of logistic regression – one year before bankruptcy

Variables	Results
Equity/Total Assets 2011	-0.372***
	(0.039)
Cash Flow/Debts 2011	-0.281***
	(0.108)
Current ratio 2011	-0.274***
	(0.040)
EBIT/Total Assets 2011	-3.072***
	(0.314)
Tax, wage and social debts/Net value added 2011	-0.018***
	(0.004)
Sig. model (p-value)	0.000
Number of observations firm-year	2 668

Note. Standard errors are reported in brackets; ***, **, * significant at 1, 5 and 10% respectively.

According to the results of previous studies, the results of logistic regressions highlight the predictive nature of the five variables introduced into the initial model. Therefore, the poor results obtained, following the classification of factors, confirms the relative irrelevance of this methodology in the context of bankruptcy prediction.

Table 5 lists the results of the logistic regression, one year before the bankruptcy. The results are substantially identical for the logistic regressions conducted for three and five years before bankruptcy.

The results of the logistic regression for the year 2011 appear to be very satisfactory. Indeed, the chi square maximum likelihood related to the model is very high, and the resulting p-value indicates that the model is statistically significant at 1%. The five variables included in the model are statistically significant, at a threshold of 1%. The sign associated with each coefficient is negative, which means that the higher the significant value for each variable for a company, the lower the likely risk of bankruptcy will be.

5. Discussion

Owing to its importance for the economy, bankruptcy is one of the most discussed topics in the literature. The costs that bankruptcy may generate have led many authors to develop bankruptcy prediction models (Beaver, 1966; Altman, 1968; Ohlson, 1980).

However, these models have the disadvantage of limiting companies to being categorised as either bankrupt or not, and do not consider the different stages the company can go through before bankruptcy is declared. These stages have also led to different definitions of failure, it may be economic, financial, organisational or legal (Crucifix and Derni, 1992; Gresse, 1994; Quintart, 2001; Hol et al., 2002; Grégoire, 2012).

When it comes to studying the phenomenon of bankruptcy, different approaches have also been developed because of the multitude of factors that can be involved. These are economic, financial, strategic/organisational, and managerial approaches.

By focusing on the readily available financial statements, problems of profitability, solvency and liquidity, which a company may face, can be highlighted. It is from these that most predictive models, such as univariate analysis, linear discriminant analysis, logistic regression, recursive partitioning and neural networks, are built. In Belgium, the most successful models are the linear discriminant analysis of Ooghe and Verbaere (1982) and the logit model of Ooghe et al. (1991). These models were firstly used for the case of large companies with greater visibility. It is only later that SMEs, representing the majority of the economic landscape, have attracted the attention of researchers.

In recent years, various authors (Li and Sun, 2009, 2011) have continued to develop new methods to better predict bankruptcy. PCA is one of the methods that had never been used before as a bankruptcy prediction tool. However, this technique can be applied to qualitative variables and, therefore, adapts quite well to the deeper causes of bankruptcy. Therefore, it was used to determine different types of bankruptcy (Crutzen, 2010).

This article aims to combine this technique with the Ward classification methods and mobile centres, making principal component analysis a real bankruptcy prediction tool. Prior to this study, this method had never been used to discriminate failing firms from non-failing ones. This is, therefore, a real innovation in the field of bankruptcy prediction. This combination of methods was performed using SPAD software and aimed to form various classes. Unlike conventional prediction models, more than two final classes were obtained.

To validate this methodology, a sample of 930 Belgian failing firms and 930 non-failing firms was analysed. Five ratios representing the solvency, profitability, added value, liquidity and the Belgian tax system were identified five years, three years and one year before the bankruptcy. The methodology has identified six factorial axes having eigenvalues greater than 1. Representation on the first two axes (for axes 2 and 3 also) pointed out a high concentration of companies at the origin of the axes, not allowing for healthy firms to be adequately discriminated from failing ones, from the variables initially selected. However, the choice of variables should not be called into question because, on the one hand, they are used in many articles in the literature (Altman, 1968; Taffler, 1982; Ooghe and Van Wymeersch, 2000; Pompe and Bilderbeek, 2005), and on the other hand, the discriminating power of these variables was confirmed using logistic regression (most variables one year, three years and five years before bankruptcy were significant). The principal components analysis method associated with the Ward criterion and mobile centres applied to the prediction of bankruptcy was not found to be effective for determining a sufficient number of classes composed of a majority of healthy or failing firms.

One way to extend this study could be to use the pairing method, to pair firms by sector or by legal form. This should provide a more homogeneous sample, with the hope of improving the results.

References

Aharony, J., Jones, C., & Swary, I. (1980). An analysis of risk characteristics of corporate bankruptcy firms using capital market data. *Journal of Finance, 35*, 1001-1016. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1980.tb03516.x

Alici, Y. (1996). Neural networks in corporate failure prediction: The UK experience. In Y. Abu-Mostafa, J. Moody & A. Weigend (Ed.), *Neural Networks in Financial Engineering* (pp. 393-406), Singapore: World Scientific.

Altman, E. I. (1968). Financial Ratios, Discriminants Analysis and the Prediction of Corporate Bankruptcy. *Journal of Finance*, *23*, 589-609. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1968.tb00843.x

Armeanu, S. D., Vintila, G., Moscalu, M., Filipescu, M., & Lazar, P. (2012). Using Quantitative Data Analysis Techniques for Bankruptcy Risk Estimation for Corporations. *Theoretical and Applied Economics, 19*, 97-112.

Balas, C. E., Koç, M. L., & Tür, R. (2010). Artificial neural networks based on principal component analysis, fuzzy systems and fuzzy neural networks for preliminary design of rubble mound breakwaters. *Applied Ocean Research, 32*, 425-433. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.apor.2010.09.005

Barney, D. K., Graves, O. F., & Johnson, J. D. (1999). The farmer home administration and farm failure prediction. *Journal of Accounting and Public Policy, 18,* 99-139. https://doi.org/10.1016/S0278-4254(98)10018-2

Beaver, W. H. (1966). Financial ratios as predictors of failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *4,* 71-111. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490171

Beaver, W. H. (1968). Market Price, Financial Ratios and the Prediction of Failure. *Journal of Accounting Research, 6*, 179-192. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490233

Bellanca, S., Cultrera, L., & Vermeylen, G. (2015). La faillite des PME belges. *La Libre Belgique – La Libre Entreprise*, p.A4.

Calia, P., & Ganucci, P. (1997). Kernel and nearest neighbour discriminant analysis: Business failure classification in industrial district. *Applied Stochastic Models and Data Analysis,* Paper presented at Capri conference, 11-14th June.

Canbas, S., Cabuk, A., & Kilic, S. B. (2005). Prediction of commercial bank failure via multivariate statistical analysis of financial structures: The Turkish case. *European Journal of Operational Research*, *166*, 528-546. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ejor.2004.03.023

Chen, W. S., & Du, Y. K. (2009). Using neural networks and data mining techniques for the financial distress prediction model. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *36*, 4075-4086. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2008.03.020

Crucifix, P., & Derni, A. (1992). *Le Redressement de l'entreprise : les symptômes de défaillance et les stratégies*. Louvain-la-Neuve: Academia.

Crutzen, N. (2010). *The origins of small business failure: A Taxonomy of Five Explanatory Business Failure Patterns*. Paper presented at the Annual Conference of the AIMS, Liège Belgium. Paper retrieved from http://orbi.ulg.ac.be/bitstream/2268/57383/1/CrutzenNathalie-AIMS2010.pdf

Crutzen, N., & Van Caillie, D. (2010). La mauvaise gestion de l'entreprise : trois profils-types de petites entreprises en difficulté'. *Centre d'Etude de la Performance des Entreprises (CEPE) Working Papers*, 24.: http://orbi.ulg.ac.be/bitstream/2268/35970/1/2010%20-%20RFG%20-%20Proposal.pdf

Cultrera, L., & Vermeylen, G. (Unknown). Predicting bankruptcy of Belgian SME's: Statistical modelling. *MIMEO*.

Deakin, E. B. (1972). A Discriminant Analysis of Predictors of Business Failure. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *10*, 167-179. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490225

Donckels, R. (1984). Algemene aspekten van het KMO-beleid. *Accountancy et Bedrijfskunde, Jaargang, 9,* 16-27.

Fitzpatrick, P. J. (1932). *A comparison of ratios of successful industrial enterprises with those of failed companies*. Washington: The Accountants Publ. Co.

Frydman, H., Altman, E., & Kao. (1985). Introducing recursive partitioning for financial classification: The case

of financial distress. *Journal of Finance, 40*, 269-291. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1540-6261.1985.tb04949.x

Gentry, J. A., Newblod, P., & Whiteford, D. T. (1985). Classifying Bankrupt Firms With Funds Flow Components. *Journal of Accounting Research, 23*, 146-160. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490911

Gombola, M. J., Haskins, M. E., Ketz, J. E., & Williams, D. D. (1987). Cash-Flow in Bankruptcy Prediction. *Financial Management, 16*, 55-65. https://doi.org/10.2307/3666109

Grégoire, M. (2012). *Procédures collectives d'insolvabilité*. Bruxelles : Bruylant.

Gresse, C. (1994). *Les entreprises en difficulté*. Paris : Economica.

Guilhot, B. (2000). Défaillance d'entreprise : soixante-dix ans d'analyses théoriques et empiriques. *Revue française de gestion, 26,* 52-67.

Hol, S., Westgaard, S., & Van der Wijst, N. (2002). *Capital structure and the prediction of Bankruptcy*. Paper presented at the EWGFM Conference, Capital Markets Research (Bankruptcy Prediction), retrieved from: http://www.fbv.kit.edu/symposium/9th/papers/Hol_Wes_Wij.pdf

Keasey, K, & Watson, R. (1991). The State of the Art of Small Firm Failure Prediction: Achievements and Prognosis. *Journal of Small Business Management, 9,* 11-29. https://doi.org/10.1177/026624269100900401

Laitinen, E. K. (1991). Financial ratios and different failure processes. *Journal of Business, Finance and Accounting, 18,* 649-673. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.1991.tb00231.x

Levratto, N. (2001). L'échec des pme: mieux le comprendre pour mieux le prévenir. *Business Management Review, 1*, 36-47.

Li, H., & Sun, J. (2011). Empirical research of hybridizing principal component analysis with multivariate discriminant analysis and logistic regression for business failure prediction. *Expert Systems with Applications, 38*, 6244-6253. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2010.11.043

Mensah, Y. M. (1984). An Examination of the Stationarity of Multivariate Bankruptcy Prediction Models: A Methodological Study. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *22*, 380-395. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490719

Min, J. H., & Lee, Y. C. (2005). Bankruptcy Prediction Using Support Vector Machine with Optimal Choice of Kernel Function Parameters. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *28*, 603-614. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2004.12.008

Odom, M. C., & Sharda, R. (1990). A Neural Network Model for Bankruptcy Prediction. *Proceedings of the IEEE International Joint Conference on Neural Networks*, *2*, 163-168. https://doi.org/10.1109/ijcnn.1990.137710

Ohlson, J. (1980). Financial Ratios and Ratios and the probabilistic Prediction of Bankruptcy. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *18*, 109-131. http://dx.doi.org/10.2307/2490395

Ooghe, H., Joos, P. H., & De Vos, D. (Unknown). Failure prediction models. *Ghent University Working Paper*, Belgium.

Ooghe, H., & Verbaere, E. (1982). Determinante van faling: verklaring en predictive. *Accountancy – Bedrijfsfinanciering – Beleidsinformatie (Rijksuniversiteit Gent)*, 5-166.

Ooghe, H., Spaenjers, C., & Vandermoere, P. (2005). Business Failure Prediction: Simple-Intuitive Models Versus Statistical Models. *Faculteit Economie en Bedrijfskunde, Universiteit Gent*, 1-36, in : http://core.ac.uk/download/pdf/6251372.pdf

Ooghe, H., & Van Wymeersch, C. (2000). *Traité d'analyse financière*. Anthémis, Tome 1, Partie V : Modèles quantitatifs de diagnostic financier et défaillance d'entreprise, 376-440.

Platt, H. D., & Platt, M. B. (1991). A note on the use of industry-relative ratios in bankruptcy prediction. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, *15*, 1183-1194. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(91)90057-s

Pompe, P. M., & Bilderbeek, J. (2005). The prediction of bankruptcy of small and medium sized industrials firms. *Journal of Business Venturing*, *20*, 847-868. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusvent.2004.07.003

Quintart, A. (2001). *Méthodes d'analyse des états financiers*. Université Catholique de Louvain (lesson notes).

Ravi, V., & Pramodh, C. (2008). Threshold accepting trained principal component neural network and feature subset selection: application to bankruptcy prediction in banks. *Appl Soft Comput*, *8*, 1539-1548. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.asoc.2007.12.003

Sharma, D. S., & Iselin, E. R. (2003). The Relative Relevance of Cash-Flow and Accrual Information for Solvency

Assessments: A Multi-Method Approach. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, *30*, 1115-1140. https://doi.org/10.1111/1468-5957.05421

Shin, K. S., & Lee, Y. J. (2003). A Genetic Algorithm Application in Bankruptcy Prediction Modeling. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *23*, 321-328. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0957-4174(02)00051-9

Shin, S. W., Lee, K. C., & Kilic, S. B. (2006). Ensemble prediction of commercial bank failure through diversification of input features. *Lecture notes in computer science*, *4304*, 887-896. https://doi.org/10.1007/11941439_93

Skogsvik, K. (1990). Current Cost Accounting Ratios as Predictors of Business Failure: The Swedish Case. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, *17*, 137-160. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.1990.tb00554.x

Sookhanaphibarn, K., Polsiri, P., Choensawat, W., & Lin, F. C. (2007). Application of Neural Networks to Business Bankruptcy Analysis in Thailand. *International Journal of Computational Intelligence Research*, *3*, 91-96. https://doi.org/10.5019/j.ijcir.2007.92

Taffler, R. J. (1982). Forecasting Company Failure in the UK using Discriminant Analysis and Financial Ratio Data. *Journal of Royal Statistical Society*, *145*, 342-358. https://doi.org/10.2307/2981867

Takahashi, K., & Kurokawa, Y. (1984). Corporate Bankruptcy Prediction in Japan. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 229-247. https://doi.org/10.1016/0378-4266(84)90005-0

Tamari, M. (1966). Financial ratios as a means of forecasting bankruptcy. *Management International Review, 6*, 15-21.

Tang, T. C., & Chi, L. C. (2005). Neural Networks Analysis in Business Failure Prediction of Chinese Importers: A Between-Countries Approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, *29*, 244-255. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.eswa.2005.03.003

Van Caillie, D. (1993). Apports de l'analyse factorielle des correspondances multiples à l'étude de la détection des signaux annonciateurs de faillite parmi les PME" *Cahier de Recherche du Service d'Informatique de Gestion (Reprint: Cahier de Recherche du CEPE)*, *1*, 1-36.

Van Caillie, D. (2004). La faillite d'entreprise, champ d'application privilégié des méthodes quantitatives en gestion. in: Regards croisés sur les méthodes quantitatives en gestion, Bair J. & Henry Eds V., Editions de l'Université de Liège, 295-327.

Van Caillie, D., & Dighaye, A. (2002). La recherche en matière de faillite d'entreprise : un état de sa situation et de ses perspectives d'avenir. *Communication proposée à la XIème Conférence Internationale de Management Stratégique, Paris – ESCP-EAP*, 28. disponible sur: http://orbi.ulg.ac.be//bitstream/2268/38168/1/2002%20-%20AIMS%20-%20Trajectoire%20de%20faillites.pdf

Varetto, F. (1998). Genetic Algorithms Applications in the Analysis of Insolvency Risk. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, *22*, 1421-1439. https://doi.org/10.1016/s0378-4266(98)00059-4

Wang, Z. (2004). Financial Ratio Selection for Default-Rating Modeling: A Model-Free Approach and Its Empirical Performance. *Journal of Applied Finance*, *14*, 20-35.

Yao, P. (2007). Research of financial crisis prediction based on FCMPCA-SVM model. *ISCRAM CHINA 2007: Proceedings of the second international workshop on information systems for crisis response and management*, 476-481.

Zavgren, C. V. (1985). Assessing the Vulnerability to Failure of American Industrial Firms: A Logistic Analysis. *Journal of Business Finance and Accounting*, *12*, 19-45. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-5957.1985.tb00077.x

Zhang, G. P. (2000). Neural Networks for Classification: A Survey. IEEE transactions on systems, man, and cybernetics: Part C: Applications and reviews, *30*, 451-462. https://doi.org/10.1109/5326.897072

Zmijewski, M. (1984). Methodological issues related to the estimation of financial distress prediction models. *Journal of Accounting Research*, *22*, 59-86. https://doi.org/10.2307/2490859

Copyrights