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Abstract
In the study, we adopted comparative statistical techniques to evaluate the behaviors of stock returns in Nigerian Capital market. Data were collected from CBN statistical bulletin over a period of Jan 1985 to Dec 2012. Our findings based on JB, BJ, portmantuea autocorrelation and LM serial correlation tests showed that the behaviors of stock returns follow the pattern of a random walk or alternatively the steps of a man who is drunk, while the K-S, Runs and unit root tests contradict this position. Therefore we conclude that the controversial issue on the tests of RWH in Nigerian stock market strikingly relies on the techniques that are applied and the asymptotic nature of data set.
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1. Introduction

We are all well familiar with the expressions “There’s no free lunch” and with regards to mutual bargaining “You get what you pay for” these expressions are commonly replicated in our daily conversation for the simple fact that the entire world is complex and full of competitive investors who are well informed or economically self interested in security price changes. They know that the price of a security tends to reflect its true equilibrium value (that is its relation to other assets’ prices). Like other markets, stock market is some times riddle with unimaginable competition which is less or almost unnoticed by market participants. This issue has attracted enormous amount of theoretically and empirically research guides principally by the tenets of the Efficient Market Hypotheses (EMH).

The concept of EMH is one of the most important and pervasive as well as debatable issue in the modern theory of finance (Fama, 1970, 1991). This is because the hypothesis presents in a general term, the consequence of competition on the capital market in determining the equilibrium values of financial assets. However, a market is said to be efficient if prices fully reflect all available information and the level of efficiency of a market can be tested using three models: the fair Game model, the Submartingale Model and the Random Walk Model (Fama, 1970). Also, according to Fama, EMH can be categorised into three level based on the definition of the available information sets, namely weak form, Semi-Strong form and the Strong form. Following the work of Fama and others the EMH has been really investigated in both the developed and emerging markets; especially, in the emerging markets, most empirical studies have focused on weak form of market efficiency, for instance, Dickson and Muragu (1994) provided evidence which showed that Kenyan stock market was weak form efficient. However, Parkinson’s (1984) study contradicted the position of Dickson and Muragu. In the same token, Gupta and Basu (2007) concluded that the weak form hypothesis did not significantly hold in Indian stock market. The test conducted on a group of Asian markets revealed that the markets of Japan and Taiwan were weak form efficient; while those of Indonesia, Malaysia and Philippines were found to be inefficient but Singaporean and Thai markets have become efficient after the Asian crisis (Kim & Shamsuddin, 2008). Liu (2010) found that the Chinese stock mark was not efficient in the weak form. Apart from this generally mixed evidence, a central feature is that most of the existing studies are conducted through the use of conventional weak form testing techniques such as serial correlation test, whose robustness has been empirically questioned elsewhere (see, Hsich, 1991).
In Nigeria, stock exchange has been in operation since 1960. Olowe (1999) has investigated the weak form efficiency in the price series of a number of selected stocks listed in the Nigerian Stock Exchange (NSE). The study did not reject the notion of weak form market efficiency in Nigeria. Currently, the use of serial correlation test whose robustness has been questioned in the literature, is a striking feature, raising substantial doubt as to whether Olowe’s result will hold in the face of an empirically well-specified methodology like the Lo and Mackinlay’s (1988, 1989) parametric variance ratio test. A more compelling basis was provided by Wright (2000) who proposed the use of a non-parametric alternative. In a more recent time, the statistical applications adopted by Belaire, French and Opong (2005a, 2005b) and chang Fok, and Lung (2006) provided robust evidence which was methodologically consistent with that of Wright. Additionally, market microstructure theory suggests that increase in market capitalization and membership among others can lead to procurements in treading efficiency (Amihud, Mendelson, & Lauterbach, 1997). Rapuluchukwu (2010) provided substantial evidence in support of Olowe but however, Inegbedio (2009) as well as Aguegbo, Adewole and Maduegbuna (2010) concluded that the Nigerian stock market is not information ally efficient. Therefore, there have been considerable developments on the tests of weak form efficiency of the Nigerian capital market. It is important to know that Nigerian investors are guided by the sentiments of faith and phobia and since they are rationally economic agents, they like to play safe by investing their hard-earning money optimally. These investors look for organized information and logical reasoning backed by scientific methods and techniques. Since the two prime constellations of judicious investors are the risk and return inherently present in securities, therefore, guidance on selecting the right investments based on scientific methods would be a boom to the investors.

This study seeks to empirically revalidate the Nigeria market in the weak form efficiency using monthly data to cover a period of 24 months ranging from 2009 to 2010. In doing so, it makes significant contribution to the existing literature. First, it adopts three statistical tests—the serial correlation test, the Run test and the parametric variance ratio test to examine the efficiency status of Nigerian stock market; second, unlike some of the prior studies that offer evidence by analysing only the price series of sample of individual stocks this study provides conclusive evidence using the price series of all share market index at different Lagged autoregressive model. Thirdly, it extends the existing evidence by using the most recently available data. Finally, it unambiguously investigates the random walk hypothesis with the aim of re-examining the prior positions in the literature with regard to the efficiency of the Nigerian stock market. The remainder of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 reviews some of the previous literature on EMH, section 3 describes the data and research methodology, and section 4 presents empirical results, while conclusion and recommendations are highlighted in section 5 and 6 respectively.

2. Literature Review

The principal notion behind the EMH is that stock prices adjust rapidly to the new information and thus current prices fully reflect all available information (Fama, 1970). EMH is an important concept, both in terms of an understanding of the working stock and in their performance and contribution to the development of a country’s economy. If the stock market is efficient, the prices of stocks will represent the intrinsic value of the stock market and in turn, the secured savings will be optimally allocated to productive investments in a way that benefits both individual investors and the country economy (Copeland & Weston, 1988).

The efficient market theory further asserts that if markets are efficient, then it should be mutually impossible for an investor to outperform the market on a sustainable basis. Even though variation will occur and there will be problems when securities are over or undervalued these anomalies are expected to disappear as quickly as they appear, thus, making almost impossible to profit from them consistently. However, Fama (1970) formalized the theory of efficient market, organized empirical evidence on and divided the EMH into three sub-hypotheses—the weak form, the semi-strong form and the strong form hypotheses—depending on the information set involved. Our primary concern in this study is the weak form hypothesis. Therefore the relevant literature on this subject is reviewed as follows.

The weak form of market efficiency theorizes that the current prices of stocks do not reflect fair values and are only reflections of past prices. It further states that the future price can not be predicted using either the past or current prices. This is alternatively known as independence hypothesis or Random Walk Hypothesis (RWH). The empirical testing of this RWH was initially mixed. Early studies by Fama (1965), Sameulson (1965) and Working (1934, 1960) examined it and could not refute a random walk. RWH were tested heavily in both developed and developing markets. The developed markets were found to be weak form efficient. This means that successive returns are independent and follow random walk (Fama, 1965, 1970). The results of weak-form efficiency are confirmed considering a low degree of serial correlation and transaction cost (Cootner, 1962; Fama, 1965; Kendall, 1943, 1953; Osborne, 1962). All these research works support the proposition that price
runs techniques to examine the behaviour of stocks in the Nigerian Capital market.

This hypothesis. Simulation tests generate a random series of numbers as returns and compare them with the actual problem of heteroscedasticity. However, of late simulation tests are also used in testing the efficient market unit root test to ascertain the stationarity of stock return series and then adopt the Auto Regressive (AR) and examining the efficiency of the stock market. We follow this trend in this present study. First of all we use the future movement of prices. Thus, a wide variety of both parametric and non-parametric tests are used in relevance of technical analysis as a stock market price predictor since random numbers can be generated to know price changes in the market. The similarity between the parametric and non-parametric tests establishes the validity of the weak form hypothesis. These tests are used to testing the randomness in the true senses of stock prices taking into consideration the variance ratio tests, both are respectively introduced by Reilly and Brown (2003), Lo and Mackinlay (1988). It is quite obvious that a wide variety of statistical tests have been used in the literature to examine or re-examine the empirical validity of the weak form hypothesis. These tests are grouped into two: we have the parametric and the non-parametric tests. The parametric test is essentially relating to the serial correlation test which involve estimating Auto Regression model (AR) or Auto Regression Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA). Either of these warrants assumption of normality of the distributions. The non-parametric tests includes the runs and the autocorrelation, and the multiple variance-ratio tests. In congruence with previous studies, their results indicated that apart from South Africa, the index price behaviours of the markets analysed were weak form inefficient. Elango and Hussein (2008) employed daily indices of Gulf Co-operation Council (GCC) countries’ stock markets and found that the hypothesis of market weak-form efficiency did not hold. Therefore, they affirmed that the GCC stock markets should be integrated to improve their efficiencies. Mishra, Das and Pradhan (2009) applied unit root tests on a given sample of Bombay Stock Exchange (BSE) daily stock index and confirmed that stock prices were independent on one another and therefore they displayed random pattern in their movements. The study of Hameed and Ashraf (2009) revealed that the historical information about future expected prices helps in guessing the riddles of the capital market and there was convincing evidence that the investors that shouldered high risk made substantial gains. Richard, Suchi and Ranghunandan (2010) investigated the information efficiency of the Nigerian stock market using 2003 SEC regulation fair disclosure. Specifically they made comparison of four quarters before and after the regulation and concluded that after this regulation, stock market efficiency improved considerably. Hamid, Suleman, Shah and Akash (2010) employed the Runs, Unit Root, Autocorrelation, and Ljung-Box Q-statistic Tests on monthly data of the stock market of the Asia-Pacific region for the period over January 2004 to December 2009 and confirmed that the market follows a random walk phenomenon. Kormaz and Akman in 2010 selected two indices from Istanbul Stock Exchange (ISE). They carried out their analysis using unit root and co-integration tests. Overall their finding revealed that there was no presence of co-integration among the selected indices and such concluded that ISE was Weak-form efficient.

2.1 Prior Statistical Tools Applicable in the Literature

It is quite obvious that a wide variety of statistical tests have been used in the literature to examine or re-examine the empirical validity of the weak form hypothesis. These tests are grouped into two: we have the parametric and the non-parametric tests. The parametric test is essentially relating to the serial correlation test which involve estimating Auto Regression model (AR) or Auto Regression Integrated Moving Average (ARIMA). Either of these warrants assumption of normality of the distributions. The non-parametric tests includes the runs and the variance ratio tests, both are respectively introduced by Reilly and Brown (2003), Lo and Mackinlay (1988). These tests are used to testing the randomness in the true senses of stock prices taking into consideration the problem of heteroscedasticity. However, of late simulation tests are also used in testing the efficient market hypothesis. Simulation tests generate a random series of numbers as returns and compare them with the actual price changes in the market. The similarity between the parametric and non-parametric tests establishes the relevance of technical analysis as a stock market price predictor since random numbers can be generated to know the future movement of prices. Thus, a wide variety of both parametric and non-parametric tests are used in examining the efficiency of the stock market. We follow this trend in this present study. First of all we use the unit root test to ascertain the stationarity of stock return series and then adopt the Auto Regressive (AR) and runs techniques to examine the behaviour of stocks in the Nigerian Capital market.
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3. Data and Methodology

3.1 Data

We used the monthly aggregate price index (i.e., the market index) obtained from CBN statistical bulletin over a period of Jan 1985 to Dec 2012. The index was used to compute the return of the stock market at a given time. Functionally, the market return is expressed as:

\[ R_{mt} = \frac{CM_{It} - BM_{It}}{BM_{It}} \]  

(1)

Where: \( R_{mt} \) is the aggregate market return at month \((t)\);
\( CM_{It} \) is the closing market index at month \((t)\);
\( BM_{It} \) is the beginning market index at month \((t)\).

3.2 Methodology

3.2.1 Parametric Model

The model adopted in this study shows the independency or dependency between/among successive stock market returns, and it takes its lead from the works of Claessens, Dasgupta and Glen (1995), Kendall (1943), Laurence (1986), Poshakwaleb (1996), Micolaas (1997), Nourredine and Khaba (1998). However, it is stated as follows with little modification.

\[ R_{mt} = y_0 + y_1 R_{mt-1} + y_2 R_{mt-2} + \ldots + y_n R_{mt-n} + u_t \]  

(2)

Where: \( R_m \) is the return on market at current period;
\( R_{mt-1} \) is the lag one-return;
\( R_{mt-2} \) is the lag two-return;
\( n \) is the lag operator.

Based on this model, we hypothesize that:

1) The returns on Nigerian Capital market follow a normal distribution.
2) The returns on Nigerian Capital market are randomly distributed.

The reason for testing normality is due to the fact that unless the time series data are normal or stationary, any parametric test such as serial correlation test will yield spurious or nuanced results.

3.2.2 Non-Parametric Model

We used the Runs test of Siegal (1956). According to him a “Run” is defined as “a succession of identical symbols which are followed or preceded by different symbols or no symbol at all”. The number of Runs is computed as a sequence of the price changes of the same sign (such as: ++, –, oo). When the expected number of run is significantly different from the actual number of runs, we reject the null hypothesis that the stock market returns are randomly distributed. As reported by Poshakwale (1996) “a lower than expected number of Runs indicates market’s over reaction to information, subsequently reversed, while higher number of Runs reflects a lagged response to information. Either situation would suggest an opportunity to excess return”.

The Run test has advantage over the serial correlation test because it does not require returns to be normally distributed. It therefore provides a strong alternative to the parametric serial correlation test in which returns are assumed to be normal. To perform the run test, both the expected runs and the actual runs are computed for a given sample of returns.

The expected number of run is given by

\[ E(R) = \frac{n + 2nab}{n} \]  

(3)

Where: \( E(R) \) is the expected number of Runs;
\( n \) is the number observations;
while \( n_a \) and \( n_b \) are number of observations above and below the sample mean or median and \( R \) represents the observed number of runs.
The standard error can be stated as:

\[ \delta(R) = \left[ \frac{2n_an_b(2n_an_b - n)}{n^2(n-1)} \right]^{\frac{1}{2}} \] (4)

Where: \( \delta(R) \) is the standard error.

The asymptotic or approximately normal Z-statistic is expressed as:

\[ Z(R) = \frac{R - E(R)}{\delta(R)} \] (5)

Where: \( Z(R) \) is the Z-statistics.

### 3.2.3 Kolmogorov-Smirnov Model Specification

Kolmogorov (1933) and Smirnov (1948) independently developed the Kolmogorov-Smirnov test for normality and it can be defined as:

\[ Z_{K-S} = \max \left[ \frac{F(X_i) - i - 1}{N}, \frac{i - F(X_i)}{N} \right] \] (6)

Where: \( Z_{K-S} \) represents the Z-Statistic for K-S Tests;

- \( X_i \) is the series under investigation;
- \( F \) is the theoretical cumulative distribution of the distribution being tested.

### 3.2.4 Jarque-Bera Model Specification

Bera and Jarque (1981) formalized a specification that was rooted on the coefficients of total skewness and kurtosis which are expressed as:

\[ Sk = \frac{E(U^3)}{(\delta^2)^{3/2}} \] (7)

\[ Kt = \frac{E(U^4)}{(\delta^2)^2} \] (8)

Where: \( Sk \) is the skewness coefficient;

- \( Kt \) is the kurtosis coefficient;
- \( E(U) \) and \( \delta^2 \) are the mean and variance of the series respectively.

Based on equations 7 and 8, the Jarque Bera specification can be expressed as:

\[ Z_{JB} = \left[ \frac{NSk^2}{6} + \frac{(Kt-3)^2}{24} \right] \] (9)

Where: \( Z_{JB} \) implies computed z-statistic for the Jarque Bera Test;

- \( N \) is the sample size.

### 3.2.5 Box Jenkin Q Statistic Specification

The BJ statistic was credited to Box and Pierce (1970) and Ljung and Box (1978) as a portmanteau test for linear dependence in time series and it can be expressed as:

\[ Q^* = \frac{T(T+2)\sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{A}_L^2 - X_R^2}{T - L} \] (10)

Where: \( Q^* \) implies the computed statistic for the BJ;

- \( T \) is the sample size;
- \( N \) is the maximum lag length;
- \( L \) is the lag operator;
- \( \hat{A}_L \) is the Autocorrelation coefficient at a given lag length which is given.
Where: \( X_i \) is the series under investigation; 
\( E(X) \) is the expected value or mean of the series.

### 3.2.6 Test for Stationarity

The Augmented Dickey-Fuller (ADF) and Philips-Peron (PP) have been thoroughly discussed in the literature including the Kwiatowski, Phillips-Schmidt and Shin (KPSS) as tests for a unit root. In summary the specification can be expressed as:

\[
\Delta R_t = a_0 + a_1 t + b_0 R_{t-1} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} b_i \Delta R_{t-i} + \mu_t
\]

Where: \( R_t \) and \( R_{t-1} \) signify present and previous value of return series; 
\( \Delta R_t = R_t - R_{t-1} \), b’s are the parameters to be estimated, k is the maximum number of lagged terms, t is the trend term which may be included or not depending on the assumption under which the test is being conducted; \( \lambda_1 \) is the estimated coefficient of trend, \( \lambda_0 \) is the constant and \( \mu_t \) is the white noise.

### 4. Results

Most of the researchers who tested the RWH in Nigerian stock market employed serial correlation technique and in some cases they conducted subjective analyses like questionnaire, amidst score of powerful techniques. Therefore their conclusions may be imprecise and needed to be revalidated. To accomplish this task, we utilized a battery of tests as discussed below.

#### 4.1 Unit Root Tests

The tests of a unit root in a series reveal the level of its stationarity or otherwise. In this study, we conducted the ADF, PP and KPSS tests on the index of Nigerian stock market. The results of these tests are summarized in Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable Series</th>
<th>ADF-Stat</th>
<th>TCV(_1) @ 5%</th>
<th>PP-Stat.</th>
<th>TCV(_2) @ 5%</th>
<th>KPSS</th>
<th>TCV(_3) @ 5%</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rmt(0)</td>
<td>-3.99</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>-3.93</td>
<td>-2.98</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.46</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Note. TCV\(_1\), TCV\(_2\) & TCV\(_3\) indicate Test Critical Value for ADF, PP and KPSS respectively; while Rmt(0) means the series of market at level.*

Source: Extracted from E-view Window 7.

The results imputed in Table 1 show that the absolute values of ADF (3.99) and PP (3.93) are larger than the critical value (2.98). Therefore, the null hypothesis that there is presence of a unit root can be rejected. Also, we corroborate these tests with the KPSS whose null hypothesis is that there is no presence of a unit root. Since the KPSS statistic (0.34) is less than the critical value (0.46), we can not reject this null hypothesis. Hence, it is amazingly striking that the three tests provide overwhelming evidence in support of the series being stationary at level. We can infer here that returns on the Nigerian stock market are relatively stable debunking the popular claim of the RWH.

#### 4.2 Normality Test

We employed the Jarque-Bera test to investigate whether there are anomalies in the market which investors can take advantage off to outperform the market or any other player(s). See the summarised results in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Series</th>
<th>Mean</th>
<th>Std. Dev</th>
<th>Skewness</th>
<th>Kurtosis</th>
<th>JB</th>
<th>PV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rmt</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>0.30</td>
<td>0.87</td>
<td>5.77</td>
<td>12.54</td>
<td>0.00</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source: Extracted from E-view Window 7.*
The mean value of the series as revealed in Table 2 is approximately 0.23, positive but very small. This indicates that the returns on the market display an increasing tendency over the study period. However, the returns are volatile as revealed by the value of the standard deviation (0.30), positively skewed and leptokurtic in nature. The Jarque-Bera (JB) statistic is 12.54 and its corresponding probability value is 0.00 implying that the null hypothesis of normality is rejected. Therefore, the return generating-process of the market is not normally distributed and it follows the pattern of a random frame-walk or steps of a drinker.

4.3 Parametric Test

The estimated value of the autoregression equation (2) and its test for significance represent the parametric test adopted in this study. The results are found in Table 3.

Table 3. Estimated value of equation 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>Coefficient</th>
<th>t-value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>R_{mt}(-1)</td>
<td>0.27</td>
<td>(1.11)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{mt}(-2)</td>
<td>-0.19</td>
<td>(-0.74)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{mt}(-3)</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>(0.24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{mt}(-4)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R_{mt}(-5)</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>(0.06)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from E-view Window 7.

The results in Table 3 show the relationship between the current and previous returns on the market up to lag 5. All the absolute values of the observed t-statistics are by far less than the critical t-statistic 5% (1.72). Therefore, we can assert that there is no significant relationship between the present return and past returns which is in tandem with the random walk preposition; no investor can significantly predict the variations exhibiting the movements of market returns.

4.4 Diagnostic Tests

We diagnosed the autoregression equation (2) for autocorrelations and serial correlations. The results are reported in Tables (4a and 4b) respectively.

Table 4a. Portmanteau tests for autocorrelations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags</th>
<th>Q-Stat</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
<th>Adj Q-Stat</th>
<th>Prob.</th>
<th>df</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.002767</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>0.002893</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.004922</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>0.005253</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.005900</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>0.006378</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.008022</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>0.008946</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.012442</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>0.014594</td>
<td>NA*</td>
<td>NA*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.036691</td>
<td>0.9818</td>
<td>0.047401</td>
<td>0.9766</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from E-view Window 7.

It is observed in Table 4a that the Q-statistic (0.04) and the Adj Q-statistic (0.05) both at lag 6 are far less than the Chi-squared statistic (5.99) at 5% based on df(2) meaning that null hypothesis of no residual autocorrelations cannot be rejected. Additionally, Table 4b reveals that all the LM statistics are less than the Chi-squared statistic (3.84) at 5% based on df(1). Again, the null hypothesis of no serial correlations cannot be rejected. These are significance evidence that successive error terms are not serially correlated/ dependent and their variances are constant. The absences of autocorrelations and serial correlations support the evidence of random walk movements in the market.
Table 4b. Langrager multiplier serial correlation test

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Lags</th>
<th>LM-Stat</th>
<th>Prob</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>0.391726</td>
<td>0.5314</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>0.307588</td>
<td>0.5792</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>0.049508</td>
<td>0.8239</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>0.043587</td>
<td>0.8346</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>0.046582</td>
<td>0.8291</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0.027194</td>
<td>0.8690</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from E-view Window 7.

4.5 Test for Linear Dependency

In this study, we employed the Box-Jenkin statistics to estimate the linear dependency of the serial of returns as reported in Table 5.

Table 5. Results of the Box-Jenkin statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Autocorrelation</th>
<th>Partial Correlation</th>
<th>AC</th>
<th>PAC</th>
<th>Q-Stat</th>
<th>Prob</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>**.</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td>**.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.*</td>
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<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td>.*</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>.</td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td>.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from E-view Window 7.

As shown in Table 5, Q-statistics up to lag 6 are not significant as revealed by their corresponding probability values. Therefore, the null hypothesis of no autocorrelation cannot be rejected strongly indicating that the series of market returns are not linearly dependent just as the steps of a drinker.

4.6 The Runs and Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) Test

These two non-parametric tests are also conducted in this study. The summary of their outcomes are presented in Table 6.

Table 6. Showing results of the runs and K-S tests

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test type</th>
<th>Z-statistic</th>
<th>Asymp-sig.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Runs</td>
<td>-2.10</td>
<td>0.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>K-S</td>
<td>0.58</td>
<td>0.89</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Extracted from SPSS Window 19.

The observed Z-statistics for Runs and K-S tests are approximately 2.10 and 0.58 in absolute terms as revealed in Table 6. While the critical Z-statistic obtained at 5% is 1.64. This suggests that in the Runs test the null hypothesis of randomness can be rejected. Also, the hypothesis of normality cannot be rejected in the K-S Test. Therefore, the two tests substantially oppose the weak form efficient theory.

5. Conclusion

In this study, we re-examine the positions or conclusions of different authors, particularly in Nigeria on the tests of RWH using a battery of tests: the ADF, PP, KPSS, JB, and BJ, K-S and Geary/Runs tests. We also adopt
autocorrelation and serial correlation tests in our investigation. All of these tests except the unit root, Runs and K-S tests jointly agree that there is presence of random-walk in the behaviour of stock returns .This is in tandem with the foundational work of Fama (1965) in American stock market and in recent time with the studies of Richard, Suchi and Rangunandan (2010) in Nigeria but contradicts the study of Elango and Hussein (2008) in GCC countries. Thus, based on our findings, the controversial issue on the tests of RWH strongly relies on the test methods that are applied. We therefore recommend that more sophisticated techniques with asymptotically large data set should be applied in further studies.
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