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Abstract

The critical role of knowledge management in achieving and sustaining competitive advantage has been strongly emphasised in the extant literature. However, most previous studies were conceptually grounded and empirically examined in advanced, developed and newly industrialised countries. In addition, research to date has predominantly explored findings from a large company view while little attempt has been made to address the relative importance of different factors constituting the organisational KM capability in the context of Asian emerging, less developed countries such as Vietnam where a socialist market economy, a Confucian culture and a majority of small and medium sized enterprises currently exist. By adopting a resource-based theory of the firm with an extension of a knowledge-based perspective, this paper aims at developing and empirically validating a conceptual model of the relationships between KM capability components and their impacts on a firm’s competitive advantage in Vietnam. The results of 148 surveyed respondents in the construction industry reconfirmed a general agreement found in the literature that a combined social and technological approach is ideal to take advantage of their significant positive correlations in improving organisational competitive advantage. Moreover, in the Vietnamese-specific context, the study indicated that while culture is the most important issue affecting knowledge management, integration with information technology may assist to overcome cultural barriers and provide a stronger contribution to competitive advantage.
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1. introduction

In the twenty first century landscape with all its uncertainty and dynamism, many firms are competing in a complex and challenging environment which is being transformed by many factors ranging from globalisation, technological development and increasingly rapid diffusion of technology, to the development and use of knowledge (Hitt, Keats, and DeMarie, 1998).

As such, the foundation of organisational competitiveness has shifted from an emphasis on physical and tangible resources to knowledge (Wong and Aspinwall, 2005) and managing knowledge-based resources has become the key for sustaining competitive advantage (CA) and superior performance (Grant, 1996b; Grover and Davenport, 2001; Jackson, Hitt, and DeNisi, 2003; Sharkie, 2003; and Teece, Pisano, and Shuen, 1997).

Although the concepts of knowledge management (KM) and CA have been strongly emphasised in existing literature, only a limited number of studies have explored the resource-based view (RBV) of KM. Analyses to date have mainly focused on infrastructure elements in isolation which is inconsistent with extensive discussions found in the literature dealing with the interwoven nature of the organisational factors (Zheng, 2005). In addition, most previous studies were conceptually grounded and empirically examined in advanced, developed and newly industrialised countries. Research has predominantly explored findings from a large company perspective with a general agreement that a combined social
and technological approach is ideal. However, little attempt has been made to address the relative importance of different factors constituting organisational KM capability in the context of Asian emerging, less developed countries such as Vietnam where a socialist market economy, a Confucian culture and a majority of small and medium sized enterprises (SMEs) currently exist.

This study presents the results of a survey on the inter-relationships among the KM capability components and their impact on a firm’s CA conducted in the Vietnamese construction industry. Viewed from both social and technical perspectives, the study also aims at predicting which components of KM would be most significant and effective in the context of Vietnam, one of the Asian developing countries with its own specific features, characteristics and conditions. The paper begins with an overview of the RBV of KM coupled with a review of empirical studies that describe and link KM capability components leading to positive outcomes. Drawing on the literature review and the theoretical issues discussed, a specific model of KM capability-based CA of the firm is proposed. The next section presents an outline of the methodology employed for conducting the survey and its findings based on various statistical analyses. The paper concludes with an interpretation and discussion of the overall results gained from the study, followed by some indications of the study limitations as well as the proposed future research directions.

The key implication in using this model is that practising managers in Vietnam and other Asian developing countries with similar economic and Confucian cultural environments, while employing both social and technical KM capabilities, need to strongly emphasise the more important role of cultural elements to achieve and sustain a CA in the current complex landscape.

2. Literature review

This section deals respectively with a RBV of KM and a review of KM capability.

2.1 Resource-based view of knowledge management

The RBV of CA examines the link between a firm’s idiosyncratic attributes and performance (Barney, 1991) based on its internal strengths to take advantage of opportunities and counter threats in the market, aimed at creating sustainable CA through acquiring, utilising, and exploiting firm-specific resources (April, 2002; Riahi-Belkoui, 2003) and, more importantly, integrating different resources to form strong organisational capabilities (Grant, 1996a; Verona, and Ravasi, 2003; and Zollo and Winter, 2002).

Emerging as an extension of the RBV, the knowledge-based perspective defines firms as bodies that generate, integrate and distribute knowledge (McEvily, and Chakravarthy, 2002; Miller, 2002; Narasimha, 2000; and Narasimha, 2001) which is considered to be the key or strategic asset to hold the potential of sustainable CA (Grant, 1996a; and Lopez, 2005). It explains how firms leverage their KM resources to create unique KM capability to determine a firm’s overall effectiveness (Gold, Malhotra, and Segars, 2001).

Thus, adopting the resource-based theory of the firm blended with a knowledge-based approach, KM capability is explicitly recognised to be central in creating CA of a firm in today’s dynamic market place. The following section provides a review of empirical studies dealing with this concept.

2.2 Review of knowledge management capability

Extending the traditional notion of organisational resource-based capability to KM function, a firm’s KM capability is defined as ‘its ability to mobilise and deploy KM-based resources in combination with other resources and capabilities’, leading to sustainable CA (Chuang, 2004, p460). Table 1 (Appendix 1) presents a summary of empirical studies on KM capability conducted since 1995. The columns show the names of authors and KM components including enablers or infrastructure elements and processes. The research objectives, as displayed in the last column, are mainly to investigate the connection among KM components and identify their impact on organisational outcomes such as KM effectiveness, organisational effectiveness, CA, and firm performance. Using this table the study has identified perceived gaps in the relevant literature and adapted prior research to develop a research model.

Viewed from resource-based and knowledge-based perspectives, Gold et al. (2001), followed by Smith (2006) are amongst the first KM researchers to identify that technology, culture, and structure are rare and firm-specific resources which likely serve as the source of organisational capability. Meanwhile, Lee and Choi (2003) measure the impact of KM enablers including structure, culture, people, and technology on organisational performance. Similarly, Khalifa and Liu (2003), Gimenez and Rincon (2003), and Zheng (2005) identify a number of factors as the primary sources of organisational effectiveness that include strategy, culture, structure, leadership, and technology.

It is noted that Chuang’s (2004) model is one of a limited number of studies employing the RBV of KM to develop theoretical links and empirically examine the relationship between KM capability and CA. However, the model examined the four elements of KM resources of a firm in isolation (namely structure, culture, and people as the social perspective, and information technology as the technical perspective) which is inconsistent with extensive discussions found in the literature of the interwoven nature among the organisational factors (Zheng, 2005). In addition, most
studies were conceptually grounded and empirically examined in advanced, developed and newly industrialised countries with a heavy focus on large companies. To date, only scant attention has been paid to address the relative importance of different factors constituting the organisational KM capability in the context of Asian emerging, less developed countries considering their own specific features, characteristics and conditions.

This paper seeks to fill the identified gaps by adapting Chuang’s (2004), Lee and Choi (2003), and Smith’s (2006) studies to develop a theoretical model of KM capability based CA of the firm and then, empirically, to test the model by conducting a survey in Vietnam where a socialist market economy, a Confucian culture and a majority of SMEs currently exist. The development of the research model is discussed in the following section.

3. Theoretical development

This section discusses the technical and social KM capabilities and CA and continues to propose a research model.

3.1 Technical KM capability and CA

Though described as a major business resource and a key source for attaining long-term CA (Gold et al., 2001; Nemati, 2002), little evidence has been found of the direct effects of IT alone and performance correlations while at the same time, if existing (though not significant), frequent negative correlations suggest that IT may worsen a firm’s competitive position (Porter, 1985; and Powell and Dent-Micalef, 1997). Due to possible IT imitation by competitors, IT per se does not generate sustainable performance advantages and firms must use IT to leverage or exploit other firm-specific, intangible resources such as organisational leadership, culture, and business processes (Clemons and Row, 1991; and Henderson and Venatraman, 1993). Citing the theory of technology assimilation, Khalifa and Liu (2003) also state that technologies must be infused and diffused into business processes to enhance organisational performance (Cooper and Zmud, 1990; and Fichman and Kemeter, 1997). In the context of KM, therefore, IT should be incorporated with other KM capability dimensions to exhibit and significantly improve its impact on a firm’s CA.

3.2 Social KM capability and CA

Organisational social resources (now sometimes referred to as social capital) generally comprise the sum of the actual and potential resources available that derive from the network of relationships possessed by a human or in a social unit (Nahapiet and Ghoshal, 1998). Lee and Choi (2003) propose that the ability of organisational structure, organisational culture, and people as the three critical dimensions of social KM resources to encourage the multi-faceted activities associated with successful implementation of KM has been found to be a key distinguishing factor of successful firms. These valuable resources typically evolve over a long period of time through the accumulation of organisational operation experience (Gold et al., 2001), and, thus, become hard to acquire and complex to duplicate. When effectively combined with the strong technical KM dimension they will become a unique organisational KM capability which provides a sustained CA (Chuang, 2004).

3.3 Proposed research model

Based on findings in the literature review coupled with theoretical development, a research model of KM capability-based CA of the firm is now proposed (Figure 1). The key components are identified as the technical KM capability (IT) and the social KM capability contributing to organisational CA. The model demonstrates that these two perspectives of KM capability have inter-correlations which strongly support their impacts on CA.

4. Methodology

This section deals with developing measures of theoretical constructs and techniques of data collection in this study.

4.1 Operationalising measures

There are three main constructs in the theoretical model, namely (1) technical KM capability; (2) social KM capability; and (3) competitive advantage. Measuring these constructs are mainly adapted from studies by Lee and Choi (2003), Chuang (2004) and Smith (2006) using seven-point Likert-type scales anchored by 1 (strongly disagree) and 7 (strongly agree).

(1) Technical KM capability or IT refers to the technical systems within an organisation that determine how knowledge travels throughout the enterprise and how knowledge is accessed (Leonard-Barton, 1995). Measuring this variable is based on Smith (2006) reflecting numerous aspects of technological infrastructure that are part of effective KM within an organisation such as collaboration, distributed learning, and knowledge mapping.

(2) Social KM capability is predicted by the three-factor scales of structure, culture, and people.

Organisational structure is defined as ‘the rules, policies, and procedures, hierarchy of reporting relationships, incentive systems, and departmental boundaries that organise tasks within the firm’ (Gold et al., 2001, p. 198). In this study, the
variable is operationalised based on Smith (2006) assessing the extent to which a formal organisational structure facilitates the discovery, creation, sharing, exchange, and transference of new knowledge within the organisation.

The most significant hurdle preventing effective KM is organisational culture (Gold et al., 2001) which is defined as ‘the shared values, beliefs and practices of the people in the organisation’ (McDermott and O’Dell, 2001, p. 77). This study adapts Smith’s (2006) instrument scale to measure organisational culture through its important components including employee interaction, corporate vision, and senior management support.

Predicting the effect of human resources on KM, this study relies on task-shaped skills of employees which imply a degree of understanding by workers of their own and others’ task areas (Lee and Choi, 2003) that are both deep (the vertical part of the ‘T’) and broad (the horizontal part of the ‘T’) (Leonard-Barton, 1995). The operationalisation of this construct developed by Lee and Choi (2003) is followed in the current study to access knowledge domains of employees and their various applications in particular products.

(3) Competitive advantage is considered to be the objective of strategy (Day, 1984; Porter, 1985) and described as the unique position that an organisation develops over its competitors by employing its resources (Hofer and Schendel, 1978). The multi-dimensions of the construct developed by Chuang (2004), including innovativeness, market position, mass customisation, and difficulty in duplicating, is adopted in this study.

4.2 Data collection

The survey questionnaires attached with invitation letters were directly distributed to 600 potential respondents as senior management participating in a large exhibition of construction firms (VinaBuild) organised in Hochiminh City, Vietnam in September 2007.

The reason for selecting this sector to gather empirical data is because it is a project-based industry which utilises a variety of many separate firms in a temporary multidisciplinary organisation and thus operates within a dynamic and changing environment (Kamara, Augenbroe, Anumba, and Carrillo, 2002). Effective KM is being recognised as a vehicle through which the industry can address its critical needs for innovation, enhanced business performance, client satisfaction, improved efficiency, and effectiveness (Egan, 1998; Egbu, Sturgessand, and Bates, 1999). As such, it is critical to identify on which factors to focus if construction firms are to improve their CA through effective management of their knowledge assets.

5. Data analysis and results

This section covers sampling procedures and the statistical analyses employed.

5.1 Sample characteristics and profile

A total of 170 responses were returned, 22 had data missing and, therefore, were not included in the analysis, producing an acceptable usable response rate of 25%. Table 2 (Appendix 2) summarises the descriptive statistics of company profile and personal characteristics for the 148 respondents in terms of their type of business, number of employees, education, position, and years in current company.

5.2 Reliability and validity analysis

The construct validity and reliability of the multi-item constructs were assessed using the principle component analysis (PCA) with Varimax rotation and coefficient (Cronbach) alpha. Items with low factor loadings (absolute values below 0.5) were deleted, while values of coefficient alpha above 0.7 were considered to represent acceptable reliability (Hair, Anderson, Tatham, and Black, 1998).

Based on these criteria, the results of the study (described in Table 3 - Appendix 3) showed that all construct measurement scales had satisfactory coefficient (Cronbach) alpha (though slightly lower for IT construct). The PCA for all composite variables except organisational culture and people extracted only one underlying component with an eigenvalue greater than 1 explaining from 46.154% to 61.230% of the total variance in the original sets of variables and so unidimensionality was assumed. Moreover, all relevant items were found to have component loadings greater than the minimum criterion of 0.5, therefore, these were considered to be acceptable for further analyses.

However, the two constructs (organisational culture and people) were both found to load into two components. To improve their validity and reliability, it was decided to recalculate these two variables using only those items correlated with the first of the underlying components which were then found to have acceptable levels of reliability and, thus, were used in all subsequent analyses.

5.3 Pearson correlation analysis

As presented in Table 4 (Appendix 4), the results of Pearson product-moment correlations show significant positive correlations between all different components of KM capability, namely culture, structure, people, and IT. In addition, each of these factors was also found to be significantly correlated with CA (p < 0.01).
5.4 Multiple linear regression analysis

A standard multiple regression was performed between CA as the dependent variable and structure, culture, people, and IT as independent variables. Table 5 (Appendix 5) indicates that the multiple correlation coefficient \( R = 0.58 \) was significantly different from zero, \( F(4, 105) = 13.002, p < 0.05 \), and 30.6% of the variation in the dependent variable was explained by the set of independent variables (adjusted \( R^2 = 0.306 \)). Both culture, \( \beta^2 = 0.10, t = 4.04, p < 0.05 \) and IT, \( \beta^2 = 0.03, t = 2.11, p < 0.05 \) were found to contribute significantly and uniquely to predicting CA while structure and people were found not to provide any significant unique contribution to prediction (\( t = 0.89 \) and 0.28 respectively, \( p > 0.05 \)).

6. Findings and discussion

It is stated that the Vietnamese cultural, economic, and political context shapes entrepreneurial business activities and strongly impacts a firm’s ability to achieve CA and business success (Swierczek and Ha, 2003; Nguyen, Alam, and Perry, 2007a; Nguyen and Alam, 2007b). Within the scope of this paper, however, focus is directed to examining the internal environment of organisations. This is considered the paper’s limitation that will be dealt with in further research. Given that the organisational structure, organisational culture, people, and IT were proposed as potential moderators of the conceptual model, the results of our study reveal that only two factors, namely cultural and technical KM capabilities, have unique and significant influences on a firm’s CA. The following empirical evidence from Vietnamese context-based literature supports the findings and explains why this could be the case.

6.1. Organizational culture

One of the key findings of this study is that cultural KM capability makes a unique and significant contribution to a firm’s CA. This is quite consistent with the study of Swierczek and Ha (2003) that cultural elements have a strong impact on Vietnamese business innovation and business activities. This argument is further supported by a number of studies showing that potential for entrepreneurial activities and business success can be enhanced by cultural factors in general and organizational culture in particular (Baughn, Cao, Le, Lim, and Neupert, 2006; Deshpande, Farley, and Bowman, 2004).

More specifically, the study of Le, Rowley, Truong, and Warner (2007), attempts to explain why cultural factors are critical to business success in Vietnam. Citing from Mcleod and Dieu (2001) they argue that ‘Vietnam has had long exposure to Western values since the French colonization in the 1880s up to the 1950s and the US intervention until 1975’ (p114). In addition, more than half the Vietnamese population were born after the Vietnam War who tend to be increasingly influenced by Western values and lifestyles. According to Le et al., (2007), this imprint may sustain a promising potential implication of western-based managerial practices with a selective approach.

Historically, Vietnam has also been strongly affected by Chinese Confucian culture. Though there are many debates on the contradictory roles between Neo Confucianism and Communism, their inter-relationship is recognised as a key distinct feature of business environment in Vietnam. These factors have shaped the organisational culture and can be considered a referencing system that prescribes ethical ways of doing business.

To many young Vietnamese, Confucian culture is values and beliefs while Western lifestyles and management approach are ideal. Given that 49.7% of business owners in Vietnam are young with an average age below 39 years (Pham, 2002), it may imply that Vietnamese entrepreneurs are getting younger and higher educated (79% undergraduate and postgraduate in our study). They are more flexible and willing to change to enhance organisational CA.

It is clear that to improve innovation and competitiveness, Vietnamese enterprises need to incorporate Confucian philosophy, socialist market philosophy and a careful adaptation of new managerial approaches and innovative ideas in accordance with their ‘belief reference system’ (Mcleod and Dieu, 2001). Cultural factors can positively, or negatively, affect the entrepreneurs’ willingness to take risks and make business decisions, while the presence of a risk avoidance attitude would be still prominent if an entrepreneurial culture was not strong (Nguyen et al., 2007a). These explain why the Vietnamese-specific cultural attributes are extremely important to entrepreneurial culture which, in turn, positively influences the KM capability of an organisation and its CA.

6.2 Organizational structure

Although positively and significantly correlated with other organisational factors such as culture, IT, people, and CA (as presented in Table 4), organizational structure does not uniquely and significantly contribute to a firm’s CA. This result is supported by Deshpande et al., (2004, p22) claiming that ‘Vietnamese firms emerging from central planning to some form of market socialism tend to be bureaucratic’. It means that they tend to focus on loyalty, commitment, regulations and formal organizational structure rather than on future orientation. The result is further supported by Berrell, Wright, and Hoa (1999) who state that due to strong influence by Confucian culture, in comparison to their Australian counterparts, Vietnamese managers were more accepting of hierarchical and formal management structures, placing less emphasis on individual actions and achievement, and being less willing to accept changes.
It is also widely recognised by many Asian and Vietnamese scholars that the adopted Western based managerial practices should be different from the original models due to different business and culture conditions. In addition, they must be implemented gradually and, thus, can limit an organisation’s flexibility. As such, this explains why organisational structure, though positively correlated with other factors, may depend heavily on other social KM dimensions and does not give any unique and significant contribution to a firm’s CA.

6.3 Human resources

King-Kauanui, Su, and Ashley-Cotleur (2006) indicate that Vietnamese human resource management practices rely more heavily on human labour factor than is found elsewhere. The scholars also acknowledge the importance of culture in forming organizational norms and practices which greatly emphasise collectivism and commitment.

However, contrary to this argument and other scholars’ expectations, these current findings show that human KM capability does not contribute uniquely significantly to the prediction of a firm’s CA. According to Tuan and Napier (2000) although emphasising collectivism, Vietnamese may not necessarily work as effective teams as generally expected because of a lack of common well-defined goals in group work while encouraging an increasing popularity of pursuing their personal goals.

As presented in Table 4, human KM capability is positively correlated with other KM capability components and, thus, may depend on them, which supports the findings of this study and explains why no significant contribution of human KM resource to organisational CA was found.

6.4 Information technology

According to Le (2006), Vietnamese entrepreneurs’ demands for technological innovation are relatively low. The average expenditure of Vietnamese SMEs for this purpose accounts for only 0.2-0.3% of total revenue in comparison with 5% for Indian SMEs or 10% for Korean SMEs. This figure indicates that SMEs are not proving to be able to upgrade their technology and equipment to high levels of technological development.

As found recently by Nguyen et al., (2007a), although facing an urgent need for technological innovation and CA improvement, many private firms in Vietnam do not have the capability to adopt new technology due to an inadequate labour force, inadequate capital and managerial skills. Most private SMEs’ equipment and production facilities are based on traditional technologies operated under manual or semi-automatic control or transferred from State-own enterprises (SOE) as well as other domestic sources which are already out of date by two to three or even four technological generations in comparison with regional countries and even with larger Vietnamese companies in the same industry.

The construction industry in Vietnam, though representing by 90% as SMEs (less than 300 employees) as the result of this study, has experienced several distinct characteristics such as a high demand in technology and a fast-speed development to meet ever increasing infrastructure needs and requirements. Moreover, a major part of the industry originated from SOEs (41% as joint stock, joint venture, or fully owned by foreigners, 22% SOEs, and only 37% private companies as shown in Table 2) who are eligible to the State’s policies such as special credit loans, tax incentives, training assistance, support in importing equipment and transferring technologies. They also receive more preferential support from their own governing body and get easier access to resources than private SMEs (Nguyen et al., 2007a). These specific industrial characteristics, plus the emerging private business sector and the fast growing economic boom, have created a more intensive and dynamic competition landscape, requiring firms to upgrade their technological equipment and information systems to acquire and maintain a CA. As a result, the technical KM capability positively and significantly influences the firms’ CA.

6.5 Conclusion

This research has developed, operationalised, and empirically validated a theoretical model that explains the inter-relationships amongst KM infrastructure elements and their role in achieving CA in Vietnam, an emerging Asian, less developed country where a Confucian culture, a socialist market economy and a majority of SMEs exist. A survey study of 148 senior executives in the construction industry provides strong support for the research model proposed. Consistent with general agreement in the literature that a combined social and technological approach is ideal, it is contended that there are significant positive correlations amongst the KM capability components as well as between them and organisational CA. However, the findings show that, in the current Vietnamese-specific environment, only two critical factors of cultural and technological KM dimensions make a unique significant contribution to a firm’s CA with culture having a major influence. This result highlights the necessity to consider culture as a dominant issue in KM to enhance organisational outcomes.

In terms of practical implications, the paper attempts to provide Vietnamese business executives, especially those from SMEs in the construction industry, with a better understanding about how firms need to be effectively managed to improve their overall KM capability to leverage, exploit, and sustain CA. In addition to consider a combination of technical and social KM resources, practising managers should investigate and take full advantages of IT in relation to
other key organisational factors to overcome cultural barriers and strengthen their contribution to long-term performance.

The limitations suggest that further research needs to be conducted in other sectors to provide a more comprehensive picture of KM in Vietnam. Moreover, an external business environment, especially involving cultural issues, also needs to be investigated systematically to link organisational resources in moving towards a more proactive dynamic approach for long-term strategies.
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Table (1) A summary of empirical studies on knowledge management capability components

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author(s)</th>
<th>Knowledge management enabler/infrastructure</th>
<th>Knowledge management process</th>
<th>Research objective/outcome</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Hsu (2006) | - Intellectual capital:  
  - Structure  
  - Human  
  - Innovation | Adapted from Gold et al., (2001) | To examine the links between intellectual capital, knowledge management process capability, organisational effectiveness, and competitive advantage. |
| Smith (2006) | | | To examine the knowledge management capabilities linked to the business strategy for organisational effectiveness. |
| Buyyaarapu (2005) | - Knowledge management strategy | N/A | To examine the relationship between knowledge management strategy and firm performance. |
| Migdal (2005) | Adapted from Lee and Choi's (2003) model with an additional construct of Transformational Leadership | | To find the relationships among knowledge management components (enables, knowledge creation process, organisational creativity, and organisational performance). |
| Zheng (2005) | - Culture  
  - Strategy  
  - Structure | N/A | To measure the impact of structure, culture, and strategy on knowledge management effectiveness and organisational effectiveness. |
| Chuang (2004) | - Technical knowledge management resource: IT  
  - Social knowledge management resource:  
    - Structure  
    - Culture  
    - People (Adapted from Lee and Choi, 2003) | N/A | To employ the resource-based theory to develop theoretical links and empirically examine the association between knowledge management capability and competitive advantage. |
| Harlow (2004) | - Knowledge management strategy:  
  - Tacit  
  - Explicit | N/A | To determine the relationship between knowledge management strategies, firm financial and innovation outcomes. |
| Choi and Lee (2003) | - Knowledge management styles | N/A | To investigate knowledge management methods and their effect on corporate performance. |
| Gimenez and Rincon (2003) | - Leadership  
  - Technology  
  - Culture | - Knowledge creation:  
  - Knowledge organisation  
  - Knowledge sharing  
  - Knowledge application | To predict what aspects/components of knowledge management would be successful in diverse cultural contexts. |
| Khalifa and Liu (2003) | - Knowledge management infrastructural capabilities  
  - Leadership  
  - Culture  
  - Knowledge management strategy  
  - Information technology | - Knowledge management process capabilities | To identify the effect of IT, knowledge management infrastructural & process capabilities on knowledge management effectiveness. |
| Lee and Choi (2003) | - Social perspective:  
  - Structure  
  - Culture  
  - People  
  - Technical perspective: Information technology | - Knowledge creation:  
  - Socialisation  
  - Externalisation  
  - Combination  
  - Internalisation | To find the relationships among knowledge management components (enables, knowledge creation process, organisational creativity, and organisational performance). |
| Choi and Lee (2002) | - Knowledge management strategy | - Knowledge creation | To depict the link between knowledge management strategy and knowledge creation process. |
| Becerra-Fernandez | - Broad and process-oriented tasks | | To match different type-oriented tasks with knowledge creation sub-
and Sabherwal (2001)  
- Focused and content-oriented tasks  
- Broad and content-oriented tasks  
- Focused and process-oriented tasks  
- Knowledge creation  

Gold (2001)  
- Technology  
- Structure  
- Culture  
- Knowledge acquisition  
- Knowledge conversion  
- Knowledge application  
- Knowledge protection  

Gold et al. (2001)  
- Knowledge infrastructure and knowledge process as essential organisational capabilities or preconditions for effective knowledge management and their effect on organisational effectiveness.

Khalifeh, Lam and Lee (2001)  
- Knowledge management strategy  
- Technology fit  
- Culture  
- Leadership  
- N/A  

To identify the antecedents of knowledge management structure adequacy and the consequence on knowledge management effectiveness.

Bennet and Gabriel (1999)  
- Structure  
- Culture  
- Size  
- Environment  
- Knowledge management method  
- N/A  

To investigate the effect of change-friendly culture on the number of knowledge management methods employed.

Hansen (1999)  
- Weak ties  
- Knowledge characteristics  
- Knowledge transfer (percentage of a project’s total knowledge that comes from other divisions)  

To examine the impact of weak ties and knowledge characteristics on knowledge transfer.

Appleyard (1996)  
- Industry and national characteristics  
- Knowledge transfer (number of times the respondents provide and receive knowledge in a give period)  

To examine the impact of industry & national characteristics on knowledge transfer.

Berly and Chakrabarti (1996)  
- Knowledge management strategy  
- N/A  

To investigate the relationship between knowledge management strategy and performance.

Szulanski (1996)  
- Characteristics of the knowledge transferred (source, recipient, and context)  
- Knowledge transfer (four-stage transfer process)  

To examine the impact of knowledge characteristics on knowledge transfer.

Zander and Kogut (1995)  
- Characteristics of social knowledge  
- Knowledge transfer (time to transfer)  

To examine the effects of knowledge characteristics on the time to transfer.

(Note: The studies are listed in the order of publication year starting with the latest followed by the author’s surname in alphabetical order if published in the same year.)

Source: Developed for this research by the authors
Appendix 2

Table 2. Descriptive statistics of the usable responses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Education</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diploma</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bachelor</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Master</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Doctoral</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Position</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Senior executive (CEO, MD)</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Functional manager</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>57</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Years in current company</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 2 years</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-5 years</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6-10 years</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 10 years</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Number of employees</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than 20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20-49</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50-199</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200-299</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More than 300</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Type of business</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>State-owned</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Private Limited</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Stock</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Joint Venture</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100% foreign invested</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Source:* Developed for this research
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Table 3. Results of reliability and validity testing

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Construct (Cronbach alpha)</th>
<th>Item/Factor Description</th>
<th>PCA Component Loading</th>
<th>PCA Variance extracted</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TKMC (IT)</strong> (0.691)</td>
<td>My organisation uses technology that allows ...</td>
<td>0.608</td>
<td>53.400%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Employees to collaborate with other persons outside the organisation</td>
<td>0.800</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>People in multiple locations to learn as a group from a single source or at a single point in time</td>
<td>0.861</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>People in multiple locations to learn as a group from a multiple source or at multiple points in time</td>
<td>0.622</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SKMC (0.768)</strong></td>
<td>My organisation (*)s ...</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td>68.517%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational Structure</td>
<td>Structure facilitates the discovery of new knowledge</td>
<td>0.847</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational Culture</td>
<td>Structure facilitates the creation of new knowledge</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People (T-shaped skills)</td>
<td>Bases our performance on knowledge creation</td>
<td>0.826</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Has a standardised reward system for sharing knowledge</td>
<td>0.817</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Designs processes to facilitate knowledge exchange across functional boundaries</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Managers frequently examine knowledge for errors/mistakes</td>
<td>0.786</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structure facilitates the transfer of new knowledge across structural boundaries</td>
<td>0.734</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>In my organisation ...</strong></td>
<td>My organisation’s members ...</td>
<td>0.825</td>
<td>46.154%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Organisational Culture</td>
<td>Employees understand the importance of knowledge to corporate success</td>
<td>0.850</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.780)</td>
<td>High levels of participation are expected in capturing and transferring knowledge</td>
<td>0.802</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>On-the-job training and learning are valued</td>
<td>0.744</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Senior management clearly supports the role of knowledge in our firm’s success</td>
<td>0.754</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People (0.710)</td>
<td>Can communicate well not only with their department members but also with other department members</td>
<td>0.806</td>
<td>63.526%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Are specialists in their own field of expertise</td>
<td>0.816</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can perform their own task effectively without regard to environmental changes</td>
<td>0.768</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive Advantage</td>
<td>My organisation often uses knowledge-based innovation</td>
<td>0.648</td>
<td>53.926%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(0.709)</td>
<td>My organisation’s market position can strong barriers to entry for other firms</td>
<td>0.761</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>My organisation uses knowledge management to widen the array of products without increasing costs</td>
<td>0.810</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>The KM capability in my organisation would be difficult and expensive for rivals to duplicate</td>
<td>0.709</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Developed for this study
Appendix 4

Table 4. Correlations between KM capability dimensions and CA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CA</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Culture</th>
<th>IT</th>
<th>People</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CA</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.474(**)</td>
<td>.543(**)</td>
<td>.363(**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.474(**)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.523(**)</td>
<td>.498(**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>121</td>
<td>127</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.543(**)</td>
<td>.523(**)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>.270(**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.363(**)</td>
<td>.498(**)</td>
<td>.270(**)</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.002</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>119</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>People</td>
<td>Pearson Correlation</td>
<td>.452(**)</td>
<td>.533(**)</td>
<td>.568(**)</td>
<td>.515(**)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Sig. (2-tailed)</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
<td>.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
<td>136</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>135</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

** Correlation is significant at the 0.01 level (2-tailed).

Source: Developed for this research
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Table 5. Summary of regression results

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Model</th>
<th>Unstandardized Coefficients</th>
<th>Standardized Coefficients</th>
<th>t</th>
<th>Sig.</th>
<th>Correlations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>B</td>
<td>Std. Error</td>
<td>Beta</td>
<td></td>
<td>Zero-order</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>(Constant)</td>
<td>.628</td>
<td>.661</td>
<td>.949</td>
<td>.345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Structure</td>
<td>.107</td>
<td>.121</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>.885</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Culture</td>
<td>.493</td>
<td>.122</td>
<td>.406</td>
<td>4.041</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>People</td>
<td>.031</td>
<td>.111</td>
<td>.028</td>
<td>.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IT</td>
<td>.199</td>
<td>.094</td>
<td>.200</td>
<td>2.113</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a. Dependent Variable: CA

Source: Developed for this research

Appendix 1

Figure 1. Theoretical model