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Abstract

Objective: Smoking is considered a major public health problem throughout the world. Although the burden of a disease attributable to smoking occurs among adults yet, the problem originates in the teenage and adolescence when the majority of smokers have their first experience with cigarettes. The objective of this study was to estimate prevalence of cigarette consumption among the University of Sharjah students.

Setting: University of Sharjah, Sharjah campus.

Participants: The total undergraduate student population registered at University of Sharjah (UoS) during the period of study.

Design: A cross-sectional design was followed and included a sample of the University of Sharjah students based on the assumption of a prevalence of 15% and a degree of precision of 5% at the 95% confidence interval for each of the two campuses within the University city (Medical and Health Sciences campus and Non-Medical campus). The designed data collection tool was distributed based on the stratified sampling technique.

Results: The overall prevalence was 28.2% for both sexes. The prevalence of smoking among males accounted for 44.6%, while the prevalence of smoking accounted for 13% in females. The highest percentage of type of smoking was cigarettes 52.2% in males and 78.5% in females followed by medwakh 30.2% in males and water-pipe in females 21.5%. A student at a non-medical college, being a non-national, and having parents who were smokers were the common logistic regression predictors of smoking for both sexes in the sample under study.

Conclusion: Tobacco control strategies and preventive measures in the UAE should start as early as preparatory and high school education and be directed towards school students as it seems that the problem is escalating in prevalence and magnitude.
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1. Introduction

Smoking is considered a major public health problem throughout the world. It is estimated that smoking and tobacco-induced diseases such as chronic bronchitis, bronchial asthma, and lung cancer lead to approximately 5.4 million deaths annually. By 2030, 8.3 million deaths worldwide will be attributed to these diseases, representing 10% of deaths globally (Jafari, Haji Zamani, & Alizadeh, 2011). Although the burden of a disease attributable to smoking occurs among adults yet, the problem originates in the teenage and adolescence when the majority of smokers have their first experience with cigarettes (Polanska, Wojtysiak, Bąk-Romaniszyn, & Kaleta, 2016).

The epidemic of tobacco use among young people is on the rise globally, in developed and developing countries. According to the Global Youth Tobacco Survey (GYTS) conducted from 1999 to 2009, 21% of boys and 17% of girls had smoked cigarettes in the previous 30 days (Öncel, Gebizlioglu, & Aliev, 2011). The epidemic goes back till 2002 as identified by the WHO Tobacco Atlas, when the rate of male adult smokers was 48% in Southeast Asia, 43% in other Asian regions, 38% in Europe, and 35% in North America, while that of female adult smokers was 11%, 11%, 23%, and 21%, respectively (Takeuchi, Morita, Naito, & Hamajima, 2010).

Tobacco consumption in males was estimated to be much higher in Arab nations (24.8-61.7%) compared to
western nations (19.8-46%); whereas it was estimated to be lower for females in Arab nations (0.3-7.9%) compared to western nations (13.7-31.1%) (Mandil et al., 2010). In many developing countries, the prevalence of smoking among women consistently reported to be lower than in men, where women are traditionally stigmatized for using tobacco (Lopez, Collinson, & Piha, 1994). Eastern Mediterranean Region (EMR) countries, follow the same trend in gender differences in smoking when it comes to conventional methods of tobacco use (cigarette smoking) (Mandil et al., 2010).

The rate of smoking behaviors was found to be high among adolescents with decrease in the age of onset over the time. An issue of great importance among university students, as an educated population which can affect all the strata of society (Jafari et al., 2011).

In a previous study at the University of Sharjah (Mandil, Hussein, Omer, Turki, & Gaber, 2007), reported that smoking among university students ranged between 13-20% in males, and 9-11% in females students. Another study among students at a Saudi university estimated the overall prevalence of smoking at 14.5%, where smoking among male students was (32.7%), and females (5.9%) (Mandil et al., 2010). The WHO (World Health Organization, 2015), report for UAE revealed that the prevalence of smoking among the youth for both sexes in the UAE 12.5%, 16.5% among males and 8.4% among females.

The objective of this study was to estimate prevalence of cigarette consumption among the University of Sharjah (UoS) undergraduate students enrolled during 2016/2017; and to explore associated risk factors which may contribute to cigarette use among both sexes.

2. Methods

2.1 Study Design

A cross-sectional design was followed for data collection. The study was conducted during the period between March 1st to May 10th 2017.

2.2 Sample Size Determination

Sample size for proportion without replacement was calculated (Equation 1) (n=696) and a degree of precision of 4% at the 95% confidence interval for both campuses (Medical and Non-Medical campuses).

\[ n = \frac{\hat{p} (1 - \hat{p})}{e^2} \]

2.3 Sampling

The total undergraduate student population registered at University of Sharjah (UoS) during the period of study accounted for 11808 students. The inclusion criteria for the sample included full time student status for both genders, and enrolled at the UoS during the academic year 2016/2017. The sample was stratified into two samples from the two campuses in the University. Medical campus students (includes the medical and health sciences colleges) (n=2121) and Non-Medical campus students (includes all the non-medical colleges, e.g engineering, law, arts, etc;) (n=9687). Further stratification according to gender was followed based on the proportion of male and female students in both campuses. Stratification for the Medical campus yielded a sample of 326 students; where males account for n=42 and females accounted for n=284, and a sample of 370 students for the Non-Medical campus where males accounted for n=143 and females accounted for n=227. The questionnaire was randomly distributed to the selected samples. Anonymity of participating students was indicated on the cover page of the questionnaire and confidentiality was maintained on all collected questionnaires. The inclusion criteria for the sample were: full time student status (of both genders), enrollment in one of UoS undergraduate programs, during the academic year 2016/2017, with an age range: 17–25 years.

2.4 Data Collection Tool

A questionnaire was developed for data collection based on the Global Adults Tobacco Survey (GATS) (Global Adult tobacco Survey Collaborative Group, 2011). The questionnaire entailed demographic variables as well as possible risk factors including: age (quantitative), gender (male=1, female=2), campus (Medical=1, Non-medical=2), nationality (national=1, non-national=2) are you a smoker? (Yes=1, No=2), have you ever tried smoking, even just a few puffs? (Yes=1, No=2), are you fully aware of the dangers of smoking? (Yes=1, No=2), from where did you get your information about smoking? (1=friends, 2=Media e.g; magazine/ newspapers, 3=my
lectures and studies at the university, 4=other/ specify), do your parents smoke? (1=No, 2=father, 3=mother, 4=both), what is the main reason behind your smoking habit? (1=trying the experience, 2=parents habits, 3=stress relief, 4= friends habits, 5=relatives habits), type of smoke (1=cigarette, 2=chewing tobacco, 3=pipe, 4=medwakh, 5= water-pipe), how often do you smoke? (1=daily, 2=2-4/week, 3=weekly, 4=less often), how many cigarettes per day? (1=1 – 5, 2=6 – 10, 3=10 – 20, 4=20+, 5=Not applicable (I don’t smoke cigarettes), monthly cost of smoking (1=100-200 AED, 2=200-400 AED, 3=400-600 AED, 4=600+AED), Is this considered an economic burden to you? (1=Yes, 2=No),

2.5 Statistical Analysis

Data were reviewed for completion and accuracy, and analyzed using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS) version 21.0. Descriptive statistics as mean, standard deviation and proportion were used to describe the quantitative and qualitative variables. One sample test, and Chi-square tests were used to observe and measure the association between qualitative variables and outcome variables. Binary logistic regression was used to yield risk factors for a dichotomous outcome variable smoking status (Yes/No). Reference categories for the variables included in the equation for both genders were adjusted as follows; age (17 to below 22 years), non-national students, non-medical colleges, awareness of dangers of smoking, parents being smokers. For both crude and adjusted odds ratios, 95% confidence intervals were calculated. A p-value of <0.05 was considered as statistically significant.

2.6 Ethical Considerations

This study proposal was granted approval by The Ethics Committee of the Medical Campus at the University of Sharjah on March 2017 (Research Ethics Committee, University of Sharjah, 2017).

3. Results

The total number of smokers accounted for 209 students. By using the one sample test for dichotomous variables (smoker/non-smoker), testing the prevalence reported by the WHO (12.5%), the results yielded a significant difference with a prevalence of 28.2%, p<0.001 for both sexes in the sample under study. Male smokers accounted for 75.6% of the total number of smokers, whereas, females accounted for 24.4%. The prevalence of smoking among males accounted for 44.6%, while the prevalence of smoking accounted for 13% in females.

Table 1 presents the demographic characteristics of the sample under study by smoking habit. The test of significance yielded a significant association between variables gender (X²= 90.3) where the highest proportion of smokers were males (75.6%) while the highest proportion of non-smokers were females (63.2%), college (X²=40.5) where the highest proportion of smokers were enrolled at the non-medical colleges (78.9%) as well as the highest proportion of non-smokers were also enrolled in non-medical colleges (53.6%), ever tried smoking (just a few puffs) (X²=280.2) where the highest proportion of smokers answered (yes, 93.3%) while the highest proportion of non-smokers answered (no, 67.1%), and parents smoke (X²=21.3) where the highest proportion of smokers had smoking parents (51.2%) and the highest proportion of non-smokers had non-smoking parents (67.1%) respectively.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Smoker (n=209)</th>
<th>Non-Smoker (n=532)</th>
<th>Test of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>17 – &lt; 22</td>
<td>148 70.8</td>
<td>413 77.6</td>
<td>3.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>22 +</td>
<td>61 29.2</td>
<td>119 22.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Smoker (n=209)</th>
<th>Non-Smoker (n=532)</th>
<th>Test of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>158 75.6</td>
<td>196 36.8</td>
<td>90.33*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>51 24.4</td>
<td>336 63.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Nationality</th>
<th>Smoker (n=209)</th>
<th>Non-Smoker (n=532)</th>
<th>Test of Significance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>National</td>
<td>48 23.0</td>
<td>156 29.3</td>
<td>3.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non-National</td>
<td>161 77.0</td>
<td>376 70.7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
College
Medical College  44  21.1  247  46.4  40.5*
Non-Medical College  165  78.9  285  53.6

Awareness of dangers of smoking
Yes  198  94.7  513  96.4  1.1
No  11  5.3  19  3.6

Ever tried smoking (just a few puffs)?
Yes  195  93.3  135  25.4  280.2*
No  14  6.7  397  74.6

Parents smoke
Yes  107  51.2  175  32.9  21.3*
No  102  48.8  357  67.1

Table 2 presents the type of smoke by gender. The highest percentage for both genders accounted for cigarettes in males (52.2%) and females (78.5%). Whereas the second highest percentage in males accounted for midwakh (30.2%) and water-pipe for females (21.5%). Water-pipe and pipe smoking in males accounted for 6.3% each.

Table 2. Type of smoke by gender (Sharjah, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Male (n=205)</th>
<th>Female (n=65)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>No.</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cigarette</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>52.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Water-pipe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medwakh</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>30.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pipe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chewing tobacco</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 presents the significant logistic regression predictors of smoking in males. The variables namely; college (non-medical) (β)= -1.1, p<0.001), nationality (non-national) (β)= 0.6, p<0.024), and parent is a smoker (β)= -0.6, p<0.007)

Table 3. Logistic regression predictors in smoking males (Sharjah, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nationality</td>
<td>0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent smoker</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 presents the significant logistic regression predictors of smoking in females. The variables namely; college (non-medical) (β)= -1.1, p<0.001), awareness of danger (β)= 1.6, p<0.04), age (17 to below 22 years) (β)= 0.96, p<0.02) and parent is a smoker (β)= -1.2, p<0.001).

Table 4. Logistic regression predictors in smoking females (Sharjah, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>1.07</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
<td>1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.024</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.007</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent smoker</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

p < 0.05.
Table 4. Logistic regression predictors in smoking females (Sharjah, 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variable</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>SE</th>
<th>Significance</th>
<th>Exp(B)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Constant</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.54</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>College</td>
<td>-1.1</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awareness of dangers</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.81</td>
<td>0.04</td>
<td>4.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (17 - below 22)</td>
<td>0.96</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.02</td>
<td>2.63</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parent smoker</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>0.4</td>
<td>0.001</td>
<td>0.29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p < 0.05.

4. Discussion

The study results reveal an alarming rise in the proportion of smokers among university students (28.2%) for both sexes compared to a previous study conducted in 2008 (12.5%) for both sexes (Mandil et al., 2007) and the results based on the data from the National Study of Population Health in the UAE (NSPHUAU) (2007–2009) which accounted for 13.9% among adolescents between the ages of 13 to 20 years (Barakat-Haddad, Zhang, Siddiqua, & Dghaim, 2015). A high prevalence of smoking among university students was encountered in other studies, 46.9% in Greece (Alexopoulos, Jelastopulu, Aronis, & Dougenis, 2010), 31.6% in Turkey (Öncel et al., 2011), 31% in Gaza, Palestine (Abu Shomar, Lubbad, El Ansari, Al-Khatib, & Alharazin, 2014), and as low as 25.3% in a university in Tehran (Jafari et al., 2011). According to the American College Health Association, approximately 29% U.S. college students report lifetime cigarette smoking (Bennett, Deiner, & Pokhrel, 2017).

Water-pipe smoking continues to be a popular type of tobacco consumption in this region especially for females as previously revealed in other studies (Maziak et al., 2004; WHO, 2006; Badr & Hamada, 2005) yet, another type of tobacco consumption characteristic for the youth in the UAE which is medwakh came second in prevalence among the sample. The popularity of this type of consumption in this country replaces the water-pipe to third place for males, yet the overall prevalence of medwakh and water-pipe (36.5%) was closely similar to previous studies in the area (37.8%) (WHO, 2006).

Badr and Hamada (2005), also reported that prevalence of smoking among females is on the rise and females are being targeted in the marketing strategies of cigarette industry (Badr & Hamada, 2005), this was consistent with the high prevalence yielded in the results contrary to our hypothesis of a lower prevalence owing to the customs and cultures in the region which consider such habits unacceptable for females (Maziak et al., 2004; WHO, 2006; Badr & Hamada, 2005; Al-Mahmoudi & Amin, 2010).

Affiliated to a non-medical college was a predictor of smoking, but the probability of such a predictor is less than 50% owing to the negative beta coefficient. Although there is no evidence regarding the type of education and courses taught at university level and its association with smoking, yet there health related course could contribute to the awareness of dangers of smoking and could have affected the results of the sample under study. In the same context, being a multinational university with a diversity of cultures, where the majority of students at the university are non-nationals could have contributed to the nationality variable where non-national being a predictor of smoking.

Smoke-free environments are protective for young people. They prevent young people from starting to smoke and cause those who do smoke to reduce their smoking (Geidne et al., 2016); therefore more efforts are stringently required for the publics in the UAE towards tobacco control.

5. Conclusion

Tobacco control strategies and preventive measures in the UAE should start as early as preparatory and high school education and be directed towards high school students as it seems that the problem is escalating in prevalence and
magnitude rather than declining. This highlights the importance of supporting anti-tobacco messages and education campaigns targeting families and adolescents regarding the risks of tobacco use. In order to make preventive programs more effective, efforts should be focused on the groups at risk, with a comprehensive approach including multiple factors and involving higher education personnel, parents and the group leaders in tobacco control activities. Projects should be aimed at changing social norms around smoking and providing the youth with knowledge and skills to resist smoking are also needed.

Limitations of the Study

The results of this study were based on a survey in a major university in United Arab Emirates, and are not necessarily representative for the student population of the entire country. Yet compared with similar studies in university settings, the sample was large and randomly selected; therefore, selection bias is less likely to have occurred. An inherent bias for cross sectional studies lies in bias related to the characteristics of the non-respondents. The conservative cultures and beliefs of Arab students especially females may have influenced the response rate and responses on the data collection tool regarding the sensitive topic of the smoking habit in such societies. Being in an academic and research oriented environment, students are exposed to various exhaustive data collection tools throughout the academic year, thus a possibility of response bias is present in the yielded results.
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