Gender Issues in Human, Animal and Plant Health using an Ecohealth Perspective
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Abstract

The ecohealth approach is a core concept integrating environmental aspects with human and animal health (domestic and wild animals). Zoonotic and emerging diseases affect human health and impact negatively on food security. Although both the risk of contracting a disease and the subsequent impacts vary between different genders, age groups, cultures and social conditions, very little research has been done on this and few guidelines or interventions focus adequately on these aspects. This paper is based on a conceptual framework identifying the relationship between gender inequalities and the risk of contracting a disease in an ecohealth perspective. It looks at the varying impacts of plant, animal and human diseases and identifies four contributing factors.

This paper first discusses the socially defined roles including social, economic, cultural, legal and political factors that often determine which place men and women occupy in society, which animals and plants men or women have accumulated knowledge of, which they have control of and which they benefit from and consequently the impact men and women have on the environment due to these specific roles. Secondly, it analyses the gender differences in risk of infection. It also analyses cultural differences that influence practices connected to animal, plant and human diseases and discusses respective preventions and treatments. Thirdly, it also identifies the ways men and women are impacted by the diseases of human, plants and animals in different ways. Lastly, this paper assesses the biological factors that influence the differences in exposure, infection rates and mortality rates between men and women during their life cycle. These four factors contribute to gender variations in relation to animal, human, plant and ecological health.
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1. Introduction

The rise in the global population has had a profound effect on the interaction between people, domestic animals and wildlife, and has led to accrued land use for livestock and agriculture, increased livestock production, accelerated encroachment on wildlife habitat, redoubled demand for natural resources, and increased population movement, both international and local. The emergence and re-emergence of zoonotic diseases such as Rift Valley fever, highly pathogenic avian influenza (HPAI), HIV/AIDS, Ebola virus, Brucellosis and Tuberculosis are examples of this increasing interdependence between the environment, the people and their agricultural endeavours to feed the population. The ecohealth approach, integrating environmental aspects (including plant health) with human and animal health (including domestic and wild animals) (AVMA, 2008) recognizes the inter-dependency between these three systems and maintains that a multisectoral collaboration has to be promoted and new policies, systems and processes have to be put in place in order to prevent the spread of such diseases.
Charron (2011) identified six pillars to ecohealth required to frame an effective research process when tackling complex problems and to aid in the application of the results: systems thinking, transdisciplinary research, participation, gender and social equity, sustainability, and knowledge to action. While several reports have highlighted the importance of gender issues on various facets of health (WHO, 2007), agriculture (Saito & Spurling, 1992; FAO, 1995, 1996; SOFA team & Doss, 2011) and the environment (Shiva, 1989, 1997) very little research, and few guidelines or interventions focus adequately on the relationship between plant, animal and human health and the environmental aspects that contribute to this. Addressing gender issues is both holistic and transdisciplinary, and therefore offers a unique transversal lens through which to understand ecohealth. Gender is an essential element to be addressed if the ecohealth paradigm is to realize its potential.

Despite problems with generalisation and the importance of affirming that each situation needs to be analysed within its specific context, it can be stated that gender is fundamental to the ecohealth approach for several reasons (Figure 1). First, the risk of infection differs between women and men (WHO, 2007). For example, in the case of diseases in animals, plants and humans, the different access and control over resources between men and women and their different role and responsibilities in society and in the household directly impacts the effect of the disease on them. Gender also influences the likelihood of accessing information and treatment, the resources required to get access to treatment, the evolution of the disease and the outcome of the treatment. There is little biomedical knowledge on the effect of treatment between men and women, which has not been fully researched or understood (WHO, 2007). More knowledge has been accumulated on the diseases of plants and livestock under the care of men than on those cared for by women (Lofstedt, 2003). The focus of agricultural research and extension activities has also generally been on commercial crops usually under the control of men rather than the subsistence crops under the responsibility of women (FAO, 1996). When surveillance, control and responses to disease are designed without gender sensitivity, they are less likely to encompass a full understanding of the causes, consequences and implication of the diseases and consequently to design adequate solution (WHO, 2007).

![Figure 1. Why does gender matter in the ecohealth approach?](image-url)

This paper proposes a conceptual framework that identifies four factors that contribute to gender inequities in relation to animal, plant and human health issues. Firstly, the social, economic, legal cultural and political factors that influence how men and women impact differently on the environment. Secondly, differences in the levels of risk between men and women, are interconnected with the inequality in access to information, education and economic activities that limits women’s earning capacity and contributes to unequal income distribution between men and women. It also affects the opportunities women have to access relevant information relating to disease prevention and treatment. Additionally, women’s limited participation in decision-making exacerbates their invisibility and often results in women’s issues not being adequately analysed and integrated thus increasing their
risk to be adversely affected by ecological disasters and problems. Thirdly, socially defined roles often determine
the animals and plants about which men and women have accumulated knowledge, control over and from which
they directly benefit. Consequently, ecological degradation, plant and animal diseases affect men and women
differently both in terms of their risk of infection and their level of socio-economic impact. Fourthly, biological
factors influence differences in exposure, infection rates and mortality rates of men and women during their life

cycle. These four factors contribute to gender variations in relation to animal, human, plant and ecological health.
The complexity of ecohealth requires new ways of interacting and working to transition from multidisciplinary to
transdisciplinary approaches.

2. Social, Economic, Legal, Cultural and Political Factors Influences how Men and Women Impact the
Environment
The relations of men and women with nature, are not based on biological characteristics, but on social and
ideological construction (Leach, 2007: 70; Gagné, 2010: 41) that needs to be understood to provide information on
the way men and women impact the environment and vice versa. Because of their different gender roles and power
in society men and women impact the environment differently. Economic, cultural, religious, legal and political

aspects influence the ability of girls and women to fully exercise control over their environment, their health, and
the health of their children, animal and plants. In general, women have less access to resources such as information,
education, labour, land, decision making, etc., which contributes to the lower economic and social power of both
girls and women and limits their possibility to define how to relate to the environment. While men tend to define
the policies related to development and associated environmental impact, women, and more specifically poor
women, are simply left to deal with the consequences of those decisions.

In the last decades rising human population has lead to increased land use for agriculture, in livestock production
and in demand for natural resources. Population movement across the globe, globalisation and accelerated
encroachment on natural habitats has seriously impacted on ecosystems leading to the degradation of the
environment with increased atmospheric concentration of carbon dioxide, climate change and the emergence of
new diseases, including zoonoses. Often, people live in environments that are harmful to their health and they face
malnutrition (both under and over nutrition) and environmental pollution. These problems are not only in
developing countries but also in the built environment in urban areas of the developed. Obesity, diabetes, asthma,
and heart disease are all directly related to how humans interact with the urban ecosystem in which they live.
Urban planning and design determines the transport used, the food available, the air pollution levels and the life

decision. Western science and epistemologies that were perceived as a source of unquestioned truth until recently are now analysed to highlight their failure and their negative impact both
on nature, marginalized economies and on women. Some authors analyse the links between the gender
inequalities and the ecological crisis (Braidotti, Charkiewicz, Hausler, & Wieringa, 1994). The male
dominated theories and instruments used to exert domination on nature and on people (specifically women often
seen as part of nature due to their reproductive role) are questioned as they are understood to be the causes of the
depletion of the earth’s resources, the increased poverty, especially for women. For example, in Sub Saharan
Africa women play a dominant role in the production, processing and post-harvest storage of the food and yet only
15 percent of landholders are women. Women also receive less than 10 percent of financial credit distributed and 7
percent of extension services (Dutta, 2005; Maass Wolfenson, 2013). Most interventions following outbreaks of
plant, animal and human diseases and ecological disasters are carried out through imposing a “Western”, “modern”
and “rational”, view (De Sousa Santos, 2007; Latour, 2012), without taking the local contexts and perspectives
into consideration. Local communities may have different reasons for interpreting the etiology of disease (Green,
1999). in a different way to the biomedical practitioners and this is often overlooked This has profound
implications for the prevention, treatment and the sharing of information about human, animal and plant diseases.
This also impact negatively on women who are often the major victims of these problems.

An example of this is water management in Mozambique. Despite the fact that female farmers have a key role in
the household economy, that they are the main catchers of water in the household (around 93% of women against
2% of men and 5% for children) (Ministério de Obras Públicas e Habitação, Direcção Nacional de Água, Programa
Nacional de Água e Saneamento Rural, 2012) and are often the ones taking care of sanitation, their contribution
and their knowledge as well as their economic empowerment, are not always taken into consideration when it
comes, for example, to sustainably manage water resources.

Development options and the allocation of water to certain productive sectors over others are interventions that
impact men and women differently. The pollution of rivers due to mining, the granting of land to private farmers
that fence it, or the construction of tourism infrastructure, which forbid direct access to primary water sources to women is already affecting the daily lives of rural communities (Borges et al., 2011). With climate change and increasing water use in neighboring countries, the availability of water is tending to decrease. The decrease in water used for household consumption, for irrigation, for fishing, is greatly affecting women and, consequently, household wellbeing.

Prioritizing irrigation for the production of sugar cane around the Pungwe River instead of creating irrigation systems to smallholder sector tends to favor male employment at the expense of improving the family farm and, consequently, the work performed by women. Thus, the gender strategy of the agrarian sector (Ministry of Agriculture, Gender Unit of MOA, 2005) advocates "the promotion of small-scale irrigation projects integrating women as beneficiaries and with women participating in its management in a sustainable manner" in order to reduce poverty and empower women. The conversion of rainfed agriculture into irrigated agriculture, can greatly reduce the food insecurity of households, help reduce rural poverty and mitigate climate change. This recommendation tries to mitigate the negative impact of the exclusion of women from the discussion about national policies, from the benefits of the interventions developed. In the Mozambican National Directorate of Water the participation of women has increased from 24.5% in 1994 (CBE Consultores, 2005) to 40.2% in 2012. However, women tend to work in administrative aspects while men are a decision level. At provincial level, the percentage of women drops to around 3%. A very small number of women participate in dam and river basin committees. When they are in water committees they are often responsible for hygiene and committee administration but not the head of the committees. Although the women are important users of the water resources they are seldom involved in the decision taken around the management of water. As a consequence of women not being involved in decision making in relation to issues that concern them, the water resources are used inadequately and the infrastructure are not adapted to their needs.

Most projects and programmes are designed without the participation of women and even without the consultation of women. Consequently women's knowledge and problems are not taken into consideration. This results in projects and programmes that impact nature in ways that women are not always conscious of and that do not provide solutions to women's constraints and needs. Projects are thus more likely to employ men, give them more access to resource, power and knowledge and reinforce power inequities. Thus, projects, as well as institutions and the state, are not gender neutral. Understanding the consequences of such practices is of extreme importance.

3. Men and Women Experience Different Health Risks

A complex web of marginalisation, poverty and other vulnerabilities determine a certain lack of control for many women and men over their life and health. In different socio-economic, racial and cultural contexts the situation may vary greatly and these differences need to be taken into consideration for the development of localized analysis and the resulting solutions. In many countries girls have less access to education than their male colleagues. The 2013 Millennium Development Goals Report from the United Nations indicates that girls in the less wealthy groups and in rural areas are less likely to access education than in wealthy and urban setting (UN, 2013). Access to education for a woman is considered to be the best predictor of her children's health compared to access to land, household assets or their father's education (Wamani, Tylleskär, Astrøm, Tumwine, & Peterson, 2004), and therefore limitation to the education of girls is a gendered risk factor for the poor health of children. Each year of education of the mother reduces the likelihood of her child's growth being stunted between 4 to 5 percent (Semba et al., 2008). One study pointed out that women's education contributed to 43% of the reduction in child malnutrition over time (Smith & Haddad, 2000). Thus, the lack of access to education through gender inequalities is, in this case, a contributing cause of malnutrition.

Overall, it is recognized that poverty is a predictor of poor health (Farmer, 1999) and that bad health and bad nutrition contribute to poor school attendance (Miguel & Kremer, 2003). Undernutrition negatively influences intellectual and physical capacities, affects labour productivity and the ability of the undernourished to make a living, thus perpetuating a poverty and undernutrition cycle. Undernourished boys and girls do not perform as well in school as compared to their well-nourished peers, and as adults, they are less productive and earn lower wages. In countries where girls have less access to school and less access to adequate food, they are more likely to have a lower income and consequently, poor health.

Access to human health services depends on several factors including gender, economical resources, religion and education. While women regularly go to the health center when they are pregnant or with young children for weighing or immunization, they are less likely than men to go to the health center for their own health problems. In most situations, women do not have the economic resources, or, when there are resources in their households, the control over them to enable them to decide whether to seek treatment or to buy medication for themselves and their
children. In some cases with particular norms of social behavior, women may not have the decision-making authority to take their children to the health center and to consult the health center for their own health issues and may require a formal authorization from their male partner.

Lack of equality in access to information, education and economic activities (UN, 2013) limits women’s earning capacity, contributes to the unequal income distribution between men and women and consequently to the set of resources necessary to access treatment. This includes resources such as financial resources, access to information and the autonomy to take decisions. Women also have less decision-making power. An example of this situation is their limited participation in parliament as an expression of their contribution to leadership and policy development in society (Paxton, Green & Hughes, 2008; World Bank, 2012 (http://devpolicy.org/want-a-strong-economy-electing-females-can-help20120529/proportion-of-women-in-parliament-by-region/)).

The limitations experienced by women are not restricted to their physical health but also impact their activities as crop and livestock producers. Although women provide 90% of the labour to produce rice in Southeast Asia and 80% of the basic food in Africa (FAO, 2009a), the UN Food and Agriculture Organization (FAO) has found that women represent only 15% of the extension agents worldwide, female farmers receive only 5% of all agricultural extension services across 97 countries, and only 10% of total aid for agriculture, forestry and fishing goes to women (http://www.fao.org/gender/infographic/en/). Women have less access to inputs such as improved seeds, fertilizers and equipment and, as a result, their yield is lower than those of men (http://www.fao.org/gender/infographic/en/). Women also have less opportunity to access inputs and markets (Njuki, 2012) a situation that negatively affects the possibilities of yield improvement. Patterns of ownership of land also limit yield improvement by women, as they do not always have the final decision regarding the adoption of new crop production technologies. Because women represent a high proportion of producer and livestock keepers and because they have been sidelined from access to information and input, they are more likely to be impacted more seriously than their male counterparts in the event of animal and plant disease. This situation is the result of social practices and policies that discriminate women.

Cultural aspects are intrinsically interwoven with the social, economic, religious and political factors and may exacerbate gender differences, both in terms of the risk of contracting diseases and the opportunities for receiving or giving adequate treatment (Blum, Khan, Nahar & Breiman, 2009; Luby, 2013; Banwell, Ulijaszek & Dixon, 2013). Patterns of human knowledge; shared traits transmitted through social learning, are characteristics of culture. Traits can be ideologies, attitudes toward behavior, material culture and survival strategies that particular groups hold in common. Within one "culture" there are many sub-cultures built around religion, economics, politics or other common interests. Effective understanding of diseases and other misfortunes and the actions needed to be taken to prevent and treat them (including the way people frequent health facilities) are very often specific to a particular context and are influenced by religious and secular beliefs. Local beliefs and behaviors can contribute to disease transmission in ways that might promote, legitimize, routinize or even sanctify behaviors that elevates risk factors.

3.1 Cultural Aspects and HIV

A number of factors fuel the HIV/AIDS pandemic; sexual behavior in the form of multiple sexual partners spread over a disparate network, or behavior that stems out of livelihood insecurities, such as survival sex; preference for dry sex or intercourse without the use of condom; and other masculine models supporting multiple partner, risk taking and violence, are all contributing factors, with young women being particularly affected (ICF Macro, 2010; República de Angola, 2010; Republic of Botswana, 2010; Republic of Namibia, Ministry of Health and Social Services, 2010; Republic of Zimbabwe, 2010). Women bear most of the weight of HIV/AIDS infection because of their limited possibilities to make free choice due to gender inequalities that stem from both cultural aspects and from poverty. In sub-Saharan Africa, women constitute 60% of people living with HIV although they are underrepresented in HIV research (http://www.who.int/gender/hiv_aids/en/). In some countries, HIV infection rates can be five times higher among girls than among boys (http://www.unicef.org/esaro/5482_HIV_prevention.html). Beliefs and practices are always fluid - adapting and changing in response to new circumstances - but they can also provide remarkably strong barriers to change. In particular, barriers can stem out of ideologically conservative ideas both those that see abstinence as the only moral means for prevention against HIV/AIDS, for example, and those that see the power to affect disease and it’s outcomes as lying in the hands of God or ancestral spirits. Barriers can also stem out of structural factors such as poverty or isolation. Gender and cultural aspects have been identified as a major issue in relation to the prevention and impact mitigation of HIV&AIDS (UNAIDS, 2009).
3.2 Nutritional Practices

Women and men play different roles in nutrition and are affected differently. Women have an important role to play as food producer, food provider and carer of the sick and the elderly. Women are given the responsibility, but often not the means (decision, economic, knowledge, etc.) to ensure optimal nutrition for themselves and their children. Men and women face different nutritional needs during their life cycle. In some population groups and regions of the world, as is the case in India, (Sivakumar, 2008) or South Africa (Oxfam, 2014) girls and women are disadvantaged in relation to boys in terms of food intake, thus putting them at risk of experiencing health problems and becoming malnourished adolescents and mothers. Women are usually more affected by anemia (De Benoist, McLean, Egli & Cogswell, 2008) and obesity than men (Kanter & Caballero, 2012). Overall, the prevalence of undernutrition rate are similar between adult men and women, except for South East Asia where prevalence rate are higher for women and differences are exceptionally large in some communities (Nubé & Van Den Boom, 2003). Due to their reproductive role women face the biological burden to give birth and breast-feed with all the associated health consequences. They also face the social burden of feeding the babies and children. Widespread nutrition deprivation among women perpetuates an inter-generational cycle of nutrition deprivation in children. Chronically malnourished mothers with limited access to adequate prenatal, delivery, and postnatal care are at increased risk of delivering undernourished babies. These, in turn, are at greater risk of limited growth and development, to suffer higher rates of infection, and face a greater probability of death from infection and undernutrition. Undernutrition negatively influences intellectual and physical capacities, affects labour productivity and the ability of the undernourished to make a living, thus establishing a poverty and undernutrition cycle. In such cases, gender inequality is also a cause of poor health both for the mothers and for their children. Gender inequalities are a cause and a consequence of malnutrition.

But nutrition is not a household and an individual issue. It is a societal problem embedded in the fabric of social inequalities and national policies. Obesity, undernutrition and many other diseases (diabetes, asthma, and heart diseases, etc.) are all directly related to how humans interact with the local ecosystem. A recent report from Oxfam (2014) shows how in South Africa, a food secure country, malnutrition has two faces, with childhood stunting representing 26.5% and the percentage of obese women being amongst the highest of the world at 42% (Oxfam, 2014). Undernutrition, overweight and obesity might be found in the same community and the same household. They are different consequences of the same problem, a societal disease connected to the way people live. Women headed households are more vulnerable to food insecurity than male headed households. The causes of the problems are multiples and interconnected. In South Africa, food industry is dominated by very few corporates with large commercial agribusinesses controlling the production, the processing and the distribution of food (Oxfam, 2014). Households have very limited access to land and water for cultivation and gardening. Unemployment (23% among men and 27% among women), low wages and the lack of money to buy food are additional factors (Oxfam, 2014). Food is available but unaffordable. Often the only food available in super market is of low quality (even expired food with inadequate labeling) with no fresh food and vegetables. The raising of the price of food, electricity and petrol in 2013/14 has worsened the situation. In South Africa, as in other countries with similar problems, it is crucial to develop whole food chains in an holistic and transdisciplinary manner in support to sustainable livelihood and nutrition systems (including child care, health and water and sanitation). The approaches need to include political will to coordinate and implement adequate policies involving all stakeholders, including the food industry, and tackling issues such as the access to land and work, support to agriculture and marketing, descent salaries, addressing gender inequities and supporting people facing hunger.

There is an emerging consensus as well as an increasing bulk of evidence that it is necessary to address gender inequality to contribute to alleviating hunger, poverty and unemployment. A recent literature review shows that there have been very few agricultural interventions linking food production, nutritional and health outcomes and that most of the research has failed to show a direct link between increased production and improved nutritional status both directly and indirectly (Hawkes et al., 2012; Dorward & Dangour, 2012, Masset et al, 2012). Additionally, available data indicate that gender inequality and cultural issues have been inadequately addressed in most research linking agriculture and nutrition (Hawkes et al., 2012:11). While policy development aims to be based on sound “evidence”; these “evidences” are often missing where food and agriculture is concerned in Africa (Sumberg et al, 2013).

4. Human, Animal and Plant Diseases Impact Men and Women Differently

Men and women tend to impact the environment differently and also are impacted differently by it. The way human, animal and plant diseases impact men and women varies. Men and women carry out different activities as a result of gender norms. In rural areas, men are more likely to be involved in hunting, commercial cropping, large
livestock production and formal employment, while women are gathering wood, edible and medicinal plants, caring for small livestock, and producing subsistence food (Doss, 2002). Livestock, crops, natural resources and activities, are thus “gendered” as well as the risk to contract disease as a result of these activities.

The primary source of livelihood for 48% of women worldwide is agriculture. Women make up 43% of the agricultural labour force in developing countries and account for two-thirds of the world’s 600 million poor livestock keepers (http://www.fao.org/gender/infographic/en/). In Sub-Saharan African countries the contribution made by women to agriculture is critical as they provide 60% to 80% of the labour for food production and most of the post-harvest management (Mehra & Rojas, 2008). While women in developing countries engage in both commercial and subsistence cropping, their role of providing food via subsistence cropping is particularly important. They often manage species-rich production systems adapted to drought and pests while male dominated production tends to be oriented towards a single cash crop. While the ecological context affects human, animal and plant development, similarly, poor human, animal and poor plant health impacts negatively on human nutrition and wellbeing. Not only do different social groups bear these consequences differently, but gender can also influence their distribution. Gender inequality and cultural issues have been inadequately addressed in most research linking agriculture and nutrition (Hawkes et al., 2012). In fact, most agricultural interventions reviewed showed increased food production but no improvement in nutrition or health within the households because neither nutritional education nor gender issues are invested in (Berti et al., 2004). Within the context of climate change and increasing poverty and food insecurity, improving both food production and nutritional and health outcomes are recognised as being of major relevance (FAO, 2012; World Hunger, 2012). Food production, nutrition and health are interconnected. As food producer, provider of food and as carer of the sick and the old, women have an important role to play in all these areas.

When considering human health, men and women have distinguishable exposure as a result of patterns of activities resulting from socially defined gender roles that influence the timing of the contact with the infectious agent within the cycle of the outbreak. Although it might differ from context to context, women are often responsible for the nutrition and health of their households, especially when preparing daily meals and taking care of the sick and the elderly, both as paid professionals or as unpaid and untrained family or community members. They are thus the most affected in instances of poor health in their household and without access to media and information they run a higher risk of being infected in the case of contagious diseases. Additionally, women assume most of the emotional weight of caring for the sick, often without any psychological support. Girls and women also carry most of the weight of the economic impact of poor health in the household, sacrificing their education, their careers or their income activities to care for the sick as this is often considered their responsibility. This has been well-reported in relation to HIV/AIDS (Esplen, 2009a, 2009b). The common practise of withdrawing girls from school to care for a sick family member, tends to reproduce a vicious circle of poor education, poverty and low social and economic power.

4.1 Ebola Virus and Gender

Ebola virus is a zoonotic disease, often fatal in humans and primates and is transmitted through contact between animals, animals and humans and between humans (http://www.who.int/csr/disease/ebola/en/) Information available on the Ebola hemorrhagic fever virus published by World Health Organization (2007) shows gender differences in the transmission route and in the timing of the infection. During the 2001–2002 outbreak that occurred in the Congo and Gabon, men in contact with infected primates, often hunters, became infected at the onset of the outbreak, but as the outbreak progressed, more women were exposed due to specific gender roles such as caring for sick people either at home, in the health center, as traditional healers or as midwives (Table 3). In Gulu, in Uganda the number of female cases outnumbered the number of male during the whole duration of the 2001–2002 outbreak (WHO, 2007). While the differences between the two outbreaks are not clearly understood, this data highlights the fact that the context in which disease and gender intersect varies from place to place and time to time.

During an outbreak of Ebola Hemorrhagic Fever in Kikwitt in the Democratic Republic of Congo in 1995, a large number of women were infected in the maternity clinic (WHO, 2007). Thus, maternity clinics and hospitals can be a space where nosocomial spread is observed and the outbreak amplified. Health professionals and people more likely to frequent the facilities are at increased risk of contracting the infectious disease at an early stage of the outbreak when preventive measures are not yet in place.

In some communities such as in Uganda, it was found that old women were responsible for caring for the sick and performing the cleansing of the dead body. This was both due to established cultural practices and as a way of preventing the younger generation from being exposed to the risk of contracting the disease (Helmet & Amola,
2003; WHO, 2007). Thus, not only gender and the role of the person, but also his/her age can be an important risk factor that needs to be taken into consideration. Table 1 summarizes the different transmission routes related to the different gender roles and their occurrence during the outbreak cycle.

Table 1. Difference in exposure to the Ebola hemorrhagic fever virus by men and women

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Transmission route</th>
<th>Gender role</th>
<th>Human group affected</th>
<th>Period of the outbreak cycle</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>From infected primate</td>
<td>Hunting</td>
<td>Adult male</td>
<td>Onset of the outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From infected persons</td>
<td>Caring for sick people</td>
<td>Female youth, adult and elder women</td>
<td>Successive phase of the outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From infected persons</td>
<td>Caring for sick people</td>
<td>Health care staff usually mainly female</td>
<td>Successive phase of the outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From infected persons</td>
<td>Caring for sick people</td>
<td>Traditional healers and midwives</td>
<td>Successive phase of the outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From infected persons</td>
<td>No specific gender role</td>
<td>Sick patients in hospital</td>
<td>Successive phase of the outbreak</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>From infected persons</td>
<td>Preparing the body of the death</td>
<td>Usually women, influenced by gender and cultural norms</td>
<td>Successive phase of the outbreak</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


4.2 Bubonic Plague and Gender

In animal reservoirs, specifically those with rodents, Bubonic plague circulates when the fleas bite a rodent that itself has been infected by the bite of a flea carrying the disease. When infected fleas bite humans to feed from their blood, these in turn become infected by the disease. In the Lushoto district, in Tanzania, most girls were sleeping on mats on the floor while boys were sleeping on beds (Kilonzo, Mvena, Machangu & Mbise, 1997). Due to this gender difference, girls were exposed to higher risk of being bitten by fleas as these can be found in dark and humid areas in the cracks and crevices of the floor. The adoption of prevention and treatment measures were often hampered as the causes of ongoing outbreaks of plague were often attributed by some people to witchcraft and bad spirits (Kilonzo & Mhina, 1982), which again has a more direct impact on the women and girls.

4.3 Newcastle Disease and Gender

Newcastle disease, considered the most important chicken disease worldwide (Alexander et al., 2004; Samal, 2011), affects rural economies and those living in poverty, especially impacting the lives of the members of the community who are already most vulnerable. While, for households in the highest wealth quintile, losing five chickens a year to Newcastle disease might be irrelevant, for the poorest households it makes an important difference in terms of access to both income and food. It tends to specifically bear upon women who are very often responsible for the chickens and for controlling the use of the revenue resulting from their sale. Very often chickens are the only livestock assets over which women have any control. As women use 90% of their revenue in support of their household and community, with men only reinvesting between 30 and 40% (OECD, 2009), the loss of women’s revenue greatly alters the level of household poverty and food security. In the wake of HPAI subtype H5N1 pandemic, millions of poultry have been killed or slaughtered and the livelihoods of many households, and women in particular, severely affected (Alders, Awuni, Farrell, Bagnol & de Haan, 2013; FAO, 2009b). Widespread culling of family poultry has impacted on vulnerable households, contributing to increased stunting in children under five in Egypt (FAO, 2009b) and decreased enrolment of girls in school in Turkey post HPAI H5N1 control activities (Bagnol, 2009).

It is noteworthy that of all of the paramyxoviruses of animals listed above, Newcastle disease has the greatest impact on women and vulnerable households, yet it remains endemic in most African and SE Asian countries and its prevention and control receives limited attention in comparison to Rinderpest and PPR, perhaps because historically, the veterinary profession has been a male-dominated arena (Loftedt, 2003). In the last decade or so, social scientists and gender specialists have been brought in to work with ruminant health programs but rarely has an objective assessment been made in relation to whether this is the best way to strengthen assets more likely to be under the control of women. Village chickens, for example, are the most commonly owned livestock in sub-Saharan Africa and they are frequently the only livestock asset owned by the most vulnerable households and over which women have some control. Very limited research and development is focused on village chickens and that the International Livestock Research Institute within the Consultative Group on International Agricultural Research has only recently commenced limited research on poultry.
4.4 Plant Diseases (African Cassava Mosaic Virus and Mycotoxins) and Gender

Subsistence farmers in the tropical regions of Africa grow cassava as their major crop. Cassava is particularly resistant to drought (Okogbenin et al., 2013) and excess product provides a source of income for poor households. African Cassava Mosaic Virus is a devastating disease, widespread in Africa (Legg & Fauquet, 2004), often destroying much of the harvest with significant impact, on subsistence farming and women’s income. (Thro et al., 1998).

Mycotoxins are highly toxic metabolites produced pre and post-harvest by fungal pathogens such as Aspergillus flavus, and Aspergillus parasiticus and are present in a wide range of staple foods such as grains, oilseeds and groundnuts in tropical environments (Shuaib et al., 2010). Aflatoxin contamination is estimated to affect 25% of the global food supply with 4.5 billion people exposed to high, unacceptable levels, mostly in developing countries (Williams et al., 2004). Chronic aflatoxin exposure causes cirrhosis or carcinoma of the liver in humans and animals (Wagacha and Muthomi, 2008), adverse birth outcomes (Shuaib, et al., 2010) and growth impairment (Khlangwiset et al., 20011). Aflatoxins can also permeate through the skin. Women and children are the most vulnerable to this as they are more often in contact with the grains. Acute aflatoxin also occurs periodically, with the worst case of 125 deaths and 317 sickened being recorded in Kenya in 2004, when contaminated home grown maize entered the local supply chain (Wagacha & Muthomi, 2008). While aflatoxins can contaminate crops pre-harvest, high humidity and temperatures post-harvest, coupled with inadequate drying and storage promotes toxin production, inextricably linking low socio-economic status to the likelihood of mycotoxin exposure.

Women are responsible for 80 percent of the work associated with food storage and transport from farm to village, and 60 percent of harvesting and marketing. Thus, women are primarily exposed to aflatoxins, potentially ingesting high levels and passing to children while in utero or through breastmilk (Shuaib et al., 2010).

Mitigation of aflatoxin production pre-harvest can be achieved by better irrigation practices, use of biopesticides, and use of technologies such as Aflasafe™ which competitively excludes the toxin producing Aspergillus species (EIRD, 2013). Similarly post-harvest reduction of aflatoxins can be obtained by improved drying and storage (Kimatu et al., 2012). The significant contribution of women in both pre and post harvest activities, strongly suggests that policies and extension practices that target women will support interventions that reduce aflatoxin poisoning.

5. Biological Differences Between Men and Women

Biological factors influence differences in exposure, infection and mortality rates of men and women and constitute the fourth factor of the conceptual framework of this paper. Biological distinctions between men and women exist not only in terms of the constitution of the whole body, but also in terms of the constitution of the organs and cells. The variation in the risk of infection between genders can also be related to biological characteristics such as menstruation, pregnancy and lactation and to different stages in the life cycle.

The likelihood of contracting a disease during the life cycle, and the outcome of this disease, varies according to various factors. Table 2 presents the main differences between male and female susceptibility, exposure, treatment and morbimortality in relation to infectious diseases during the life cycle. For example, the immunity level is lower in childhood and among the elderly. Gender difference also influences the course and outcome of the disease. There is a difference, for example, between male and female children in relation to immunization or nutritional status and how quickly appropriate care is provided. Pregnancy and breast-feeding are conditions that are rarely taken into adequate consideration but in which, susceptibility, exposure, symptoms, treatment impact and morbimortality varies from other groups (WHO, 2007).

While some groups can be more prone to be infected by a disease some others, such as pregnant and breast feeding women, also find themselves in a situation where the side effects of the medicine on both them, the fetus and the breast feeding child are not always well known (WHO, 2007).

5.1 Biological Differences in Relation to HIV/AIDS Infection

One example of a biological difference that influences the exposure, infection and mortality rates of men and women is the case of the human immunodeficiency virus and acquired immunodeficiency syndrome (HIV&AIDS) where women face greater susceptibility to HIV infection through heterosexual sexual relations than men (Mastro & de Vincenzi, 1996). Although biological distinctions alone cannot explain the variation in prevalence of the disease between men and women, several biological characteristics are known to be influential: the cervix of a young girl is less mature and more vulnerable to infection, there is a greater possibility of lesions occurring in the female genital track than in the male track, the female genital track has a greater surface area than the male track and there is a higher concentration of HIV in semen than in vaginal fluid (UNAIDS, 2000; UNIFEM, 2001).
addition to these aspects, conception and pregnancy can also be factors contributing to increased risk of infection for HIV negative women in serodiscordant couples (WHO, 2012:44). Also, in relation to cell composition, there are two X chromosomes in female cells and one X and one Y in male cells, and the X cell is known for its role in the immune system (WHO, 2007:3). Although it is not yet known how this difference influences predisposition, resilience and disease expression it is nonetheless worth noting and an area worthy of further research.

Table 2. Differences between male and female during the life cycle in relation to infectious diseases

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Life cycle</th>
<th>Susceptibility</th>
<th>Exposure</th>
<th>Treatment</th>
<th>Morbimortality</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Infant</td>
<td>Female infants have a stronger immune system</td>
<td>Similar exposure for male and female infants</td>
<td>Male infants are more likely to be treated outside of the household</td>
<td>Male infants have higher mortality than female</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Children</td>
<td>Male and female immunization</td>
<td>Boys tend to spend more time outside the house</td>
<td>Boys are more likely to be treated outside of the household</td>
<td>Disease specific differences in both severity and outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adults</td>
<td>Differences in exposure between men and women</td>
<td>Gender roles often limit women to activities within the household and to men essentially to activities outside</td>
<td>Women have less access than men to health services for themselves. Research often uses male cases and there is less evidence of results from treatments on women</td>
<td>Disease specific differences in both severity and outcome</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pregnant and lactating women</td>
<td>Important changes in the immune system – but there is a knowledge gap in this respect.</td>
<td>Greater exposure to health unit with more potential sick individuals</td>
<td>Some treatments are harmful for pregnant women and their fetus or breastfeeding mother or child. Limited research on treatment of pregnant women, resulting in insufficient information on their impact.</td>
<td>Some diseases adversely affect pregnant women, their fetus or breastfeeding mother or child.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The elderly</td>
<td>Poor immune system for male and female</td>
<td>Lack of evidence</td>
<td>Diagnosis more difficult due to atypical presentation in both male and female</td>
<td>More women than men in this age group. Little information on gender difference.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>


6. Discussion: Implication of Gender Issues for Disease Surveillance, Control and Response

Men and women impact the environment differently and are affected differently. Human, animal and plant diseases affect and impact men and women differently. This is due to a web of interconnected circumstances, from which we have distinguished the four key contributing factors. First, the socio-economic, cultural, legal and political factors that influence how men and women have different impacts on the environment. Secondly, as a result of their different power, role and status in society men and women face specific health risks. Thirdly, ecological degradation, plant and animal diseases affect men and women differently. Fourthly, biological factors influence differences in exposure, infection rates and mortality rates of men and women during their life cycle. Depending on the local contexts, these four factors contribute in a variety of ways in defining different risks for
men and women. Taking several examples relating to human, animal and plant health we have shown how gender
does not only impact on the risk of contracting diseases but also influences the likelihood of accessing information
and treatment, and of obtaining resources to get access to treatment. Gender also influences the evolution of
disease and disorders and treatment outcome. Gender may also determine the impacts of a specific disease or
disorder on men and women’s livelihood, their health and the health of their household and the implementation of
prevention measures or of biosecurity and eco-security measures.

Ecohealth problems originate in complex interactions between factors that reveal the extent to which successful
solutions must cross research disciplines (Barbés-Blásquez, Oestreicher, Mertehs & Saint-Charles, 2014). All the
institutions involved need to apply a gender sensitive analysis and function in a gender sensitive manner.
Responding effectively to ecohealth issues and emerging infectious diseases requires engagement of and
coordination with a diversity of professions and stakeholders in both human and animal health, as well as social
and environmental sciences. To preserve the environment in a sustainable way it is of extreme importance to pay
close attention to the impact of disparities between women and men on access to resources and opportunities, how
men and women impact the environment and how they are impacted by degraded ecosystems. Sustainable
development and effective prevention strategies must aim to foster women’s empowerment and effective
participation. Not only because it is more effective and sustainable but also because life chances should not be
pre-determined at birth by the sex of the person or any other reason. Thus it is both a human right and a
development issue. This implies involving women and men as partners and allies in formulating and pursuing
strategies for more equal societies. Gender and social equity are already part of the ecohealth agenda (Charron,
2011) and need to be supported by gender sensitive policies, guidelines and procedures developed with national
and international agencies.

Possible interventions to mitigate inequalities and strengthen the effectiveness of the ecohealth paradigm should
include improving incorporation of social sciences and gender equity in the training of professionals and
ensuring that ecohealth training programs include case studies that highlight the importance of recognizing the
contribution of gender when investigating and controlling disease outbreaks. Higher institutions of learning can
and must play a key role in transforming and shifting the response and control of emerging pandemics towards a
gender sensitive ecohealth approach. However, institutions of higher learning have tended to reflect local gender
norms of the society in which they are located. Supporting academic institutions with multidisciplinary applied
training and experience in animal, human and environmental health threats with a focus on gender is crucial.
Courses delivered in a gender-sensitive and transdisciplinary manner can provide students with an overview of the
different tools available to carry out a gender analysis and implement disease surveillance, response, prevention
and control. Participatory methods should be used to allow participants to integrate their experience and
knowledge with the skills learned during the course and also to motivate them to apply such methodologies in their
own work.

For the development of gender sensitive disease prevention, surveillance and response approaches. The
epidemiology of risk and vulnerability for all groups must be analyzed and the economic, legal and sociological
contexts that fuel the spread of the disease, increase the burden of care, and prevent effective treatment and support
(UNAIDS, 2009) must be taken into account. It is important to be able to understand disease epidemics and
responses in terms of gender in order to better meet the needs of all groups within a community. It is also critical to
collect other relevant socio-cultural, economic and legal data in addition to carrying out gender analyses in all
phases of programming and action. This enables a better understanding of the varying impacts of infectious and
emerging diseases and disorders at all levels of society where women, men, girls and boys are viewed as people
that are or can be infected and affected by the diseases or disorders in various ways. The use of relevant sex and
age-disaggregated data helps to build the knowledge necessary for programming action and for developing
gender-responsive prevention and response interventions. All data such as infection rate and case-fatality should
be disaggregated by gender. Similarly, the profile of symptoms for men and women should also be collected
separately. Women and men should be enabled to analyze the data and to decide the actions to be taken.

7. Conclusion

Although there is an increasing awareness of the importance of addressing gender in relation to health, agriculture,
livestock and ecology in research, training and interventions, these issues are not yet fully and adequately
integrated into all programs. This paper argues that full integration would result in significantly improved results in
both the medium and long term. The active involvement of social scientists in ecohealth activities is essential to
ensure that the different knowledge held by men and women, their roles, and the impact of these are understood
and used to deliver effective, efficient and sustainable ecohealth programs.
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