Landslide Susceptibility Mapping Using Multiple Regression and GIS Tools in Tajan Basin, North of Iran
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Abstract

Landslide is a natural hazard that causes many damages to the environment. Depending on the landform, several factors can cause the landslide. This research addresses the methodology for landslide susceptibility mapping using multiple regression analysis and GIS tools. Based on the initial hypothesis, ten factors were recognized as effectual elements on landslide, which is geology, slope, aspect, distance from roads, faults and drainage network, soil capability, land use and rainfall. Crossing investigated parameters with the observed landslides indicated that three factor including distance from channel network, distance from fault and rainfall have no major effect on observed landslide in Tajan area. In order to quantifying the parameters in the form of weighting factors, the coverage of landslides in different observation was determined. Then Stepwise method was used for statistical analysis. It was found that slope, aspect, distance from the roads and soil capability are as most effective factors in landslide respectively.
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1. Introduction

Landslide susceptibility can be determined by using comparative analyses of conditions and factors in previously damaged areas. Landslide susceptibility has been the focus of several researchers. Major parameters that often involved in modeling landslide susceptibility are climatic variables that can be analyzed to predict temporal triggering of instabilities (Terlien, 1998; Schmidt & Beyer, 2003; Casadei et al., 2003). In addition, slope morphology, land use and bedrock lithology are also used in modelling landslide susceptibility (Baeza & Corominas, 2001; Gorsevski et al., 2006). According to Lee and Min (2001) the main parameter of the slope stability analysis is the slope angle. The slope angle is directly related to the landslides, and frequently used in preparing landslide susceptibility maps (Clerici et al., 2002; Saha et al., 2002; Cevik & Topal, 2003; Ercanoglu et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004; Lee, 2005; Yalcin, 2005). Moreover, Landslides may occur near to the roads and on the side of the slopes affected by roads (Pachauri & Pant, 1992; Pachauri et al., 1998; Ayalew & Yamagishi, 2005; Yalcin, 2005). Many of the landslides in hilly areas occur due to the erosion associated with drainages. Thus, a drainage network has been used to consider the impact of soil saturation zones on left and right over banks of drainage systems (Saha et al., 2002; Cevik & Topal, 2003; Yalcin, 2005). Other researchers have investigated on the impact of aspect on landslides (Saha et al., 2002; Cevik & Topal, 2003; Ercanoglu et al., 2004; Lee et al., 2004a; Yalcin, 2008). Refer to the Sinha et al. (1975) south aspects more sensitive to landslide than north aspects. In addition, the related parameters of aspect such as exposure to sunlight, drying winds, rainfall (degree of saturation), and discontinuities may control the landslides (Cevik & Topal, 2003; Suzan & Doyuran, 2004; Komac, 2006). It is believed that faults can also be important parameter in landslide. The effect of distance from the faults has been the focus of study by Lee (2007). Morphometric features have also been used to discuss landslide typology (Skempton, 1953; Glenn et al., 2006). Modeling and prediction of geo hazard such as landslide can be accomplished much more efficiently and cost effectively using GIS. During the past decades, many GIS-based approaches have been conducted for quantitative prediction of landslide. (Carrara, 1983; Carrara et al., 1991, 1999; Jade & Sarkar, 1993; Chung et al., 1995, Chung & Fabbri, 1999, 2001). It is also common to use multivariate statistics with GIS tools in landslide susceptibility studies (Carrera et al., 1983, 1991,
From multivariate analysis, better hypothetical correlation between instability factors and landslide occurrence is perceived and it provides more reliable response (Carrara, 1983; Carrara et al., 1991, 1992; Mark & Ellen, 1995; Clerici et al., 2002; Ayalew & Yamagishi, 2004). Multivariate regression analysis plays a central role in statistics that cause one of the most powerful and commonly used techniques. (McCullagh & Nelder, 1989). However, this approach inherently has some limitations such as generalizing and simplifying of causal factors. In addition, this method does not take into account the temporal aspects of landslides and is not able to predict the impact of changes in the controlling conditions.

2. Methods and Material

2.1 Materials

2.1.1 Study Area

The study region covering 62.07 km² is located on the southwestern part of Sari the capital of Mazandaran province, Iran. Geographically is located in Tajan basin in the northern Alborz range where is limited between 53º00´12˝E to 53º06´34˝E and 36º20´48˝N to 36º27´50˝N. This study was completed during summer of 2008.

2.1.2 Landslide Inventory Map

The landslide inventory map generation involved the following steps: a) the locations indicating landslide events were recognized on aerial photos; b) landslide indications were verified using field survey by GPS; c) the manifested landslides were mapped on topographic sheets; and d) The landslide occurrences were digitized as polygon layer in ArcGIS.

2.1.3 Land Component

According to Lee (2005), soil properties have significant influence on landslide zonation. Soil map of the study area were obtained from the local organization at scale of 1:25 000, which includes four different land components denoted with 1.5.2, 1.5.3, 2.5.2 and 2.5.4. The characteristics of these land components are presented in Table 1. Classification has been made based on physiographic units. Soil units were then weighted based on depth and drainage conditions (see Figure 2A).

2.1.4 Slope and Aspect

The percent slope map was derived from digital elevation model (DEM) in four classes by using the slope tool and the Raster calculator in Spatial Analyst. The slope of the study area ranges from 0% to 86%. Slope classes were identified based on practical applications and land suitability. Aspect map were generated from DEM in
five classes including north (N), south (S), east (E), west (W) and plateau area (F) with no aspect (see Figure 2B and 2F).

Table 1. Major factors and coding approach for homogeneous unites

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Factor</th>
<th>Cod of classes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Soil</td>
<td>1.5.2 1.5.3 2.5.2 2.5.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspect</td>
<td>N E S W F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elevation</td>
<td>0-291 291-434 434-592 592-890</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Geology</td>
<td>Q2 PLQCS M2.3 m.s.l</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Slope</td>
<td>0-8 8-14 14-25 &gt;25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Distance from road</td>
<td>0-100 100-200 200-300 &gt;300</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Landuse</td>
<td>Forest Cropland Garden</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 2. Investigated factors for landslide susceptibility mapping

A) Land component; B) Aspect; C) Distance from fault (m); D) Distance from river (m); E) Distance from road (m); F) Slope (%); G) Rainfall (mm); H) Landuse; I) Elevation (m); J) Formation

2.1.5 Distance from the Roads, Faults and Drainage

To consider the impact of distance from the roads three type of buffer zone range from 100 to 300 meter were created for existing road map collected from topographic map at scale of 1:50 000 (see Figure 2E).

The same way six buffer zones with 100 m intervals were created to account the influence of distances from the faults. Distance classes includes 0–100 m, 100–200 m, 200–300 m, 300–400 m, 400-500 and up to 500 m (see Figure 2C).

Similarly, six buffer zones with 100 m intervals were generated to account the influence of distance from the drainage network on landslide. Distance classes are included 0–100 m, 100–200 m, 200–300 m, 300–400 m, 400-500 and up to 500 m (see Figure 2D).

2.1.6 Rainfall

The precipitation data of the study area has been obtained from the Ministry of Energy, Government of Iran. Based on these data, rainfall distribution considerably varies through the year in Tajan basin. The mean annual precipitation in Tajan basin ranges from 417 mm over lowlands to 872 mm over highlands. Rainfall distribution map was generated using an empirical equation (Equation 1) that relates altitude to the mean annual rainfall over the Tajan basin.
\[ P = 323.2 \log H - 53.2 \]  

Where \( P \) is the mean annual rainfall (mm) and \( H \) is elevation (m). Then DEM was employed to generate rainfall spatial distribution (see Figure 2G).

### 2.1.7 Land Use

It has also been proven that land use play important role in instability of slope in some regions (Jacob, 2000, Anbalagan, 1992, Koukis & Ziourkas, 1991). However, due to homogeneity of land used in Tajan basin, which is entirely covered with forest we did not take into account the effect of land use in this study. By using IRS and ETM images, the land use map of the study area was produced and then boundaries were determined in conformity with land use state. As a result of the evaluation, three different land cover are described including mixed of forest and garden, forests, and croplands (see Figure 2H).

### 2.1.8 Elevation

This factor is important because in high elevation, precipitation is mostly in the form of snow, which is melting gradually and increasing soil moisture. Therefore, it is more susceptible to landslide. To account the elevation in landslide susceptibility map, DEM was classified into four classes (see Figure 2I).

### 2.1.9 Geology

The main source of data related to the geomorphology of an area of land is determined by its lithologic properties (Dai et al., 2001). Geology map for Tajan basin were collected from national geological organization at scale 1:100 000. The permanent lithology units of the study area include M\(_{2.3}\), PlQ\(_{s}\) and Q\(_{2}\), which:

- M\(_{2.3}\) is Miocene sequences consist of marl, sandstone, siltstone, sandy limestone, limy sandstone and mudstone.
- PlQ\(_{s}\) is Pliocene and Quaternary sequences consist of conglomerate, sandstone, siltstone, silty marl, marl and mudstone.
- Q\(_{2}\) is young terraces and young alluvium.

So these units are very susceptible to landslide (see Figure 2J).

### 2.2 Methodology

In this research, experimental method is applied for assessment of landslide susceptibility implemented through the following steps:

#### Preparation of the basic maps:

Survey of Iran 1:25,000 topographical maps were scanned and registered in ERDAS IMAGINE software to prepare the physiographic characteristics of the study area including elevation, slope and aspect. The drainage divides and drainage network were digitized in ArcMap. Contour lines with a 10 m interval were digitized in ArcMap from the toposheets, and DEM with a 100 m cell size was generated using TOPOGRID tool in ArcInfo.

Geology, land use and soil maps with climatological characteristics were prepared based on existing source of data collected from local organizations.

#### Preparation of homogenous units:

Generally, selection of effective factors is based on the nature of the study area and data availability. This is leading to identify the units with similar characteristics. Statistical analysis on observed landslides showed that four factor including distance from channel network, distance from fault and rainfall have not major effect on landslides in this area. Homogenous units were generated using effective layers including land use, lithology, soil, elevation, slope, aspect and distance from the roads. Then these factors were coded from 1 to 5 as showed in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Susceptibility of classes</th>
<th>Susceptibility index</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Very low hazard class</td>
<td>( Y &lt; -0.0015 )</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Low hazard class</td>
<td>(-0.0015 &lt; Y &lt; 0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium hazard class</td>
<td>(0.01 &lt; Y &lt; 0.036)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High hazard class</td>
<td>(0.036 &lt; Y &lt; 0.061)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Very high hazard class</td>
<td>(0.061 &lt; Y &lt; 0.087)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Homogeneous units were identified by combining the data layers which representing the effective parameters. To produce the homogenous units at first, all of the layers converted from raster to vector form in Arcview, then these maps was overlaid using Combine tool in the Transform Grid menu and again the final raster map converted to vector. Finally 158 homogeneous unites were identified.

Quantifying the effective parameters and weighting the factors:

The coded spatial data cannot be used as input variable values directly in the regression analysis to explore the coefficients between the distribution of landslide and the factors in the study area (Xiaoduo et al., 2008). Before modeling the classified factors should be weighted. The weight was taken as input variable values. It was calculated as below:

Quantifying the effective parameters and weighting the factors was based on the area percentage of landslides in the homogenous units where all of the effective parameters were similar excluding one of them that was changing. The area percentage of landslides which depended on each factors were identified by calculating the ratio of observed landslide area to the area of homogeneous units. The weight factor for each class of a specific factor was produced by summing the ratios for each class in different units.

Weight factors were transferred to the quantitative values from 0 to 10. The class with maximum of the area percentage summation was given the weight of 10 and other classes were given below (<10) based on their proportions.

Multivariate statistical analysis:

Linear regression is a form of multivariate statistical analysis in which observational data are modeled by a function. The function has usually assumed one response variable $Y$ and $m$ predictor variables $X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_m$ and $n$ observations (Boroushaki & Malczewski, 2008). In this case study $Y$ is the area percentage of landslides in each homogenous unit, the X’s are input independent variables (the weight of classes in effective factors) measured or observed for $n$ homogeneous unit.

Multivariate statistical analysis was then employed to generate multivariate regression model using SPSS software.

Effective vector layers were then converted to raster with 5 meter cell size.

Derived model was applied to calculated landslide susceptibility map in a raster environment.

Raster map was classified in to five quantitative classes range from very low to very high.

3. Modeling of Landslide Susceptibility

Multiple regressions with stepwise method in SPSS software was used with seven factors including land use, lithology, soil, elevation, slope, aspect and distance from road against 158 homogeneous units. In this method, independent variables (effective factors) were entered based on its correlation with depend variable (landslide susceptibility). Variables with greater correlation are entered earlier and variables with lower correlation are eliminated. Eventually a numerical model of landslide susceptibility zonation is formed as showed in equation 2.

$$Y=0.005x_1+0.003x_2+0.004x_3+0.004x_4+0.002x_5-0.093$$

Where $x_1$, $x_2$, $x_3$, $x_4$ and $x_5$ are weighted factors of Slope, Distance from road, Elevation, Aspect and Soil, respectively, and $Y$ is Susceptibility index (the area percentage of landslides).

Landslide susceptibility map was generated with developed model in a raster-GIS environment (see Figure 3). Landslide susceptibility map was classified into five classes with equal interval as shown in Table 3.
4. Validation of Landslide Susceptibility Map

To validate the accuracy of the landslide susceptibility map, observed landslides and susceptibility map was compared. As it evident in Table 3, high percentage of the landslides has been occurred in very high susceptibility area that covers the lower percentage of study area.

5. Discussion

In this study area, most of observed landslides have been occurred on slope between 14% to 25%, which has been already proved, by Neuhauser and Terhorst (2007) and Yalcin (2008). Any landslide has occurred in slopes greater than 25%. It is because of the fact that according to Knopen et al., (2006) in very steep slopes the soil depth and its load are decreased. Considering aspect indicated that most of landslides are occurred in north and west directions, which is related to angle of sun radiation and rainfall. In Iran, most of rainfalls are coming from north-west direction. In addition, North Slope not exposed to radiation, therefore it is more wetly than other aspects. The rate of landslide is increased with elevation. However, in elevation range from zero to 291 meters (elevation class 1) the rate of landslide is more than classes 2 and 3. It may relate to landuse change (forest to cropland) in lower elevation. According to Yalcin (2008), lithology may have significant influences on landslide; however, in this area lithology was eliminated, because the whole study area extended with almost the same lithology. As experienced by Ayalew and Yamagishi (2005), Ercanoglu and Gokeoglu (2004) and Yalcin (2008) degraded forest and gardens are very susceptible to landslide. They have also experienced that cropland and forest are less susceptible to landslide. However, in this study, those factors were eliminated, because there is no significant change in landuse types.

As mentioned by Duman et al. (2006) and Yalcin (2008) observed landslides confirm that most of events are occurred near or close to the roads. The reason for this may relate to constructing roads with deep trenches. It is also observed that more landslides have occurred in land type 2.5.2, it may relate to low infiltration capacity of this zone, which is a barrier for drainage system. Whereas no landslide has been occurred in land type 1.5.3. It is
may relate to low slope and high infiltration in this land type.

6. Conclusion

In this research elevation factor that cause the landslides were investigated. It was found out that five factors including slope, aspect, distance from the road, elevation and land type are more important than the others. It was also found that human activities such as road constructing accelerate the landslide process. It was concluded that with multivariate regression model interrelation existing between instability factors are more highlighted.
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