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Abstract

The value of grammar instruction in foreign language learning and teaching has been a focus of debate for quite some time, which has resulted in different views on grammar and grammar teaching as well as different teaching approaches based on different perspectives or in different language learning contexts. To explore some modes for grammar teaching in China on the basis of distinguishing grammar and grammaring, this research reviews briefly the current situations of grammar teaching at colleges in China and the various teaching modes adopted in different teaching contexts. Two teaching modes are suggested, linguistic mode and story-telling mode, which may activate inquiry learning and active learning. Linguistic mode, which emphasizes the dual features of grammar learning, is more reasoning-centered than knowledge-centered and is designed from linguistic and academic perspective for advanced learners. Story telling mode, which focuses on smooth communication in different contexts, is more skill-centered than rule-centered and is designed from social and communicative perspective for beginners. Exploring the modes for teaching grammar from linguistic and social perspectives will be a pilot study for inquiring other aspects of grammar teaching as well as for teaching grammar to the learners of other languages.
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1. Introduction

As one component of communicative competence (Canale & Swain, 1980), grammar is one of the essential elements of language learning and teaching. Despite the significant changes in approaches to language teaching that have occurred in recent years, the status of grammar instruction is an issue that language teachers still have to resolve (Ellis, 2002). According to our survey from 2013 to 2014, grammar is still taught in most of the English departments in China as a compulsory course called English Grammar, Practical English Grammar, or English Grammar and Writing. *A Handbook of English Grammar* by Bao Bing was used as a textbook in the 1980s, *Practical English Grammar* by Zhang Daozhen was used in the 1990s and *A New English Grammar Course Book* by Zhang Zhenbang or *Basic English Grammar, Fundamentals of English Grammar and Understanding and Using English Grammar* by Betty Schramfer Azar have been used since the 21st century. Since most of the exercises in these textbooks are mechanical rather than meaningful or synthetic, more explicit and deductive grammar teaching is conducted than inductive or guided discovery teaching with more focus on form and meaning than use.

This research makes a brief review of grammar teaching in China and abroad and then tries to explore the modes for grammar teaching in China on the basis of distinguishing grammar and grammaring.

2. Overview of Grammar and Grammar Teaching

2.1 Role of Grammar Teaching

There are many different views on the role of grammar and grammar teaching in foreign language teaching since the issue is looked at from different perspectives or in different language learning contexts.

A lot of research has agreed that there is a positive role of grammar teaching in foreign language learning. Celce-Murcia (1991) held that grammar instruction is part of language teaching since grammar interacts with meaning, social function, or discourse—or a combination of these—rather than standing alone as an autonomous system to be learned for its own sake. That is to say, grammatical competence is essential for communication
(Brown, 1994; Larsen-Freeman & Long, 1991) and instructed learners are found to outperform uninstructed learners in their rate of learning and level of achievement (Long, 1988). Hinkel and Fotos (2002) argued that grammar teaching can enhance learner proficiency and accuracy and facilitate the internalization of its syntactic system. Designing tasks and curriculum that built on what learners already know represents one of the strengths of explicit grammar teaching within the format of communicative and interactional activities. Other scholars argued that teaching grammar should not be banned and grammar instruction should be carried out in a way that does not interfere with natural acquisition (Long, 1991). Explicit attention to grammatical form can contribute to spontaneous production as well (Housen, Pierrard & Van Daele, 2005; Sheen, 2005; Pawlak, 2007; Spada & Tomita, 2010; Scheffler, 2012). Scott (1989) drew the similar conclusion about explicit attention in his empirical study that explicitly instructed students outperformed in a grammar test than implicitly instructed ones.

But, according to Larsen-Freeman (2015), some scholars think grammar teaching has little value for natural language acquisition process (Krashen, 1981; Paradis, 2004) and learning grammar rules and practicing them are only of marginal value (Krashen, 1999, 2011) because it would not develop learners’ grammatical competence.

2.2 Grammar Teaching in Different Contexts


3. Grammar and Grammaring

Knowing grammar is not enough for real communication, but an inadequate knowledge of grammar would severely constrain one’s capacity for effective communication. Grammar is a lexicogrammatical resource for making meaning. In the process of making meaning, grammar changes in both form and meaning to ensure appropriate use in different situations.

Grammaring was first put forward by Larsen-Freeman in 1992. She regards English grammar not only as a set of structural patterns, but also as an important resource for making meaning and for adapting language appropriately to the communicative context. The grammar system is not closed, but is rather constantly evolving, due to the creativity of its users as they make new meanings, making it impossible to distinguish errors from linguistic innovations without an appeal to sociopolitical factors, such as who is doing the talking (Larsen-Freeman, 2012, 2014b).

According to grammaring, grammar has features of duality to English majors, that is, it should be taken both as knowledge and as ability. When viewed as knowledge, the focus is on the acquisition and research of rules for sentence formation. When viewed as ability, the focus is on how grammar is used as a resource in the creation of spoken and written texts. (Richards & Reppen, 2014)

4. Modes for Teaching Grammar

Different teaching modes, such as a separate mode, an integrated mode, skills mode or knowledge mode, can be
adopted depending on certain variables in the language teaching contexts, such as learner variables and instructional variables. This article will suggest two kinds of modes, story-telling mode for beginners and linguistic mode for advanced learners.

4.1 Linguistic Mode

Larsen-Freeman (2002, 2014a) maintained that students need to know about the use of structures so that they understand the consequences of their choices since the grammatical system offers its users choices in how they wish to realize meanings and position themselves ideologically and socially. Therefore, grammar teaching should take place not only for understanding the rules but also for inducing the reasons of different sentence formations in different contexts.

English is rich in passive voice which is not possible in many of the other languages with similar passive formation, therefore it is very important for the learners to understand its different meanings in order for appropriate use. Since English passive voice can be used without referring to the agent of an action, the following three functions of passive voice are listed in most grammar books:

- to place emphasis on the agent, using by-phrase
- when the agent is unknown or unimportant
- the speaker does not wish to mention the agent

Usually some exercises of distinguishing active voice and passive voice are designed for the learners according to the three functions. In fact, more exercises which needs explanations in terms of linguistics can be designed and carried out in the classroom teaching. For example, asking the students to analyze the differences among A1, A2 and A3 in the following dialogue and explain the reasons or the purposes of Speaker A in answering in different ways.

A: I won’t go to the party.
B: Why?
A1: You haven’t invited me.
A2: Nobody has invited me.
A3: I haven’t been invited.

A1 is the most direct way of answering which expresses complaint to the listener B, and A2 is moderate but still expresses a kind of dissatisfaction, while A3 is a mild way which shows politeness. Being taught in this way, the learners will not only internalize the rules and functions but also inquiry the use of English passive voice. They will realize that choices of different forms of grammar can express various feelings and bring different communicative effects. In addition, since English passive voice is culturally related, the process of reasoning may also contribute to the learners’ cross-cultural consciousness raising and their understanding of different worldviews and different ways that speakers of other languages construct experience in the world. (Larsen-Freeman, 2003)

Another example is teaching coordinate construction in English. It is very easy for the students to use and as a conjunction, but not easy for them to know how to use it for a pragmatic effect. Learners are usually taught that and should be used before the last component when it is used to coordinate more than three components. But in fact this rule can be violated for a specific reason.

```plaintext
a. Mary bought a skirt, a shirt, a coat and a handbag.
b. Mary bought a skirt and a shirt and a coat and a handbag.
```

Sentence a only tells us a fact, but Sentence b entails more than that. When and is used between all the noun phrases instead of being used only between the last two, it not only functions as connecting two coordinate structures but also embodies some emotional effect and rhetorical effect. This kind of grammar instruction enables the students to integrate form, meaning and use of grammar. In addition, it will motivate the learners to use grammar in a more flexible way since they know grammar is dynamic and changeable as stated below:

There is a fundamental different between the laws of physics and the laws of language. The law of gravity is not modified by use: no matter how many times we throw a ball into the air, it will fall to the ground with the same acceleration. Rules of grammar, on the other hand, are modified by use. (Haimen, 1985)

Linguistic mode emphasizes more reasoning and inquiring the pragmatics of grammar than understanding the form and meaning. It starts with a certain use of grammar and ends with more uses in different contexts. Its
circular process is the perfect combination of form, meaning and use and can be formulated as “general use → specific use → reasoning → different meanings → forms → other uses”.

4.2 Story Telling Mode

A grammar lesson is not complete without an application stage. Ur (1988) emphasizes that application is believed to require “volume and repetition”; that is, learners need to be given adequate opportunities to use the items to be learnt as much as possible. Therefore, teachers should help learners make the leap from form-focused accuracy to meaning-focused fluency after explicit instructions by providing a variety of practice activities that will familiarize the learners with structure in contexts, giving practice both in form and communicative meaning. (Ur, 1996) Story telling mode is an effective way to apply what the students learn to real communication. English grammar can be taught in real communication through four language skills by listening, speaking, reading and writing some stories of both the teacher and the students. Therefore, it is also called integrated mode. Sometimes it is difficult for the students, especially beginners, to use simple past and present perfect appropriately. Teaching English grammar to beginners by telling stories can help the students to better understand and use the tense and aspect more correctly. Firstly, we can ask the students to listen to our own stories, for example My Love for Sports, and ask them to recognize different tenses and then answer some related questions. Then the following reading material can be provided for the students to understand the form and meaning of simple past and present perfect.

I’m an English teacher at YBU. I’ve taught English for more than 28 years. I’ve taught reading, listening, writing, grammar, linguistics and etc. In order to make my teaching more effective and more interesting, I got my MA in 1999 and Ph.D in 2007. I got a lot of fresh ideas about teaching when I was working in Australia and USA. I love teaching and I love my students.

After listening and reading, the students can be asked to have a free talk about their hobbies and learning experiences in small groups. In this way, they will be highly motivated to learn by hearing more stories which they might be or must be interested in. After class, they will be assigned to write down their own stories. It is more than grammar learning. It is grammaring, that is, applying a rule to produce a grammatical utterance. Students will get to know each other better by telling and listening to each other’s stories.

In this way each grammatical structure is introduced in a meaningful context and follow-up communicative interactions are provided to serve as culminating activities that combine meaning, form and use. This mode is a circular process of “use in certain context → meaning → form → other meanings → more contexts”.

5. Conclusion

Perhaps there will never be a solution to the debate about the value of teaching grammar because language learning and teaching contexts vary so greatly. Chinese learners learning a foreign language as a major need a certain degree of mastery of grammar of the target language though learning grammar is not the ultimate goal of learning a foreign language. Therefore it is important to reform and adjust teaching contents and methods according to different teaching contexts, such as levels of students and linguistics backgrounds of the students.

Grammar can be taught both as knowledge and skills. Teaching grammar as knowledge, linguistic teaching mode emphasizes the dual features of grammar learning, understanding grammar rules and doing research of implicit grammar functions. This mode will empower the English learners with the potential and enthusiasm for inquiry learning and active learning. Story-telling teaching mode can not only provide rich materials for grammar teaching but also motivate students and facilitate their meaningful learning of English grammar as well as smooth communication in different contexts.

Exploring the modes for teaching grammar from linguistic and social perspectives will be a pilot study for researching from other perspectives as well as for teaching grammar to the learners of other languages.
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